

Software Engineering for Economists^{*}

Building Confidence in a Model

- Computational models of socio-economic phenomena are a manifestation of our perceived knowledge about the underlying processes. The key question is how much confidence should we have in a particular model?
- It turns out to be useful to structure such a discussion around three interrelated questions Council (2012).
- Software Engineering encompasses the tools and methods for defining requirements for designing, programming, testing, and managing software. It is crucial to ensure that the computational implementation is a faithful representation of the original mathematical model William L. Oberkamp (2010). Thus, it is part of the verification step.
- As an aside, for those interested in structural microeconometrics, we were lucky enough to have Prof. Keane talk about the process of developing, estimating, and validating in the *Computation Economics Colloquium*.
- Basic software engineering allows free cognitive resources that we can use to expand the set of possible economic questions we can address responsibly.
- Computational implementation is part of the scholarship.

^{*}For further information or questions and suggestions, please contact us at info@policy-lab.org.

Research Example

Running Example

- For the rest of this lecture, we will use a small examples to illustrate ideas of different software engineering tools. However, we will also have a brief look how these tools are applied in the more complex setting of my current research. The online code repository is available online.

Goal of the Lecture

- The ideas and tools are most powerful when they are all acting in concert. However, as a word of caution: *A Fool with a Tools is still a Fool.*

Version Control

- *Flexibility* refers to moving across different machines.

Testing

- To see these basic ideas in action, let us check out the testing harness for my current research project online.
- Using bugs to define test cases ensures that they only need to be fixed once.

Profiling

- Now that we have a well designed and tested version of our code, it is time address any performance issues. We will profile our program by measuring the execution time of the program.
- Profiling tools also measure the time spend in each function allowing us to target our development efforts at particularly time-consuming parts of the code.
- Studying the output directly can be rather tedious for large programs. That is when visualization tools turn out very useful. We build on SNAKEVIZ.
- For even more advanced visualization, check out pyprof2calltree. Tutorial for advanced visualization using KcacheGrind.

Continuous Integration Workflow

- By running the testing harness early and often, bugs are caught closer to their creation. This makes debugging much easier.
- Scalability of research team is improved as basic quality assurance is automated.
- The badges signal to your fellow researchers that we take your responsibilities as a developer of research software serious.
- Reliable work-flow increases own satisfaction.

Best Practices

- Iterative project development with only incremental addition of features. Testing harness ensures that old features are not broken.

References

- Bilschak, J. B., Davenport, E. R., and Wilson, G. (2016). A Quick Introduction to Version Control with Git and GitHub. *PLOS Computational Biology*, 12(1). e1004668. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004668.
- Bourque, P. and Fairley, R., editors (2014). *Guide to the Software Engineering Body of Knowledge*. IEEE Computer Society.
- Council, N. R., editor (2012). *Assessing the Reliability of Complex Models: Mathematical and Statistical Foundations of Verification, Validation, and Uncertainty Quantification*. The National Academies Press, Washington, D.C.
- Judd, K. L. and Skrainka, B. S. (2011). High Performance Quadrature Rules: How Numerical Integration Affects a Popular Model of Product Differentiation. *CEMMAP Working Paper*.
- Schlesinger, S. (1979). Terminology for Model Credibility. *Simulation*, 32(2):103–104.
- William L. Oberkamp, C. J. R. (2010). *Verification and Validation in Scientific Computing*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England.
- Wilson, G., Aruliah, D. A., Brown, C. T., Hong, N. P. C., Davis, M., Guy, R. T., Haddock, S. H. D., Huff, K., Mitchell, I., Plumbley, M., Waugh, B., White, E. P., and Wilson, P. (2014). Best Practices for Scientific Computing. *PLOS Biology*.