Exercise 1.1, Structural Econometrics

Giovanni Cavalcanti

2024-10-30

Data and model

We have a panel with 50 products dispersed over 20 geographic markets. For each product, we have its market share, 4 product characteristics, prices and 6 instrumental variables for it. The consumer utility is defined as

$$U_{ijm} = -\alpha p_{jm} + \sum_{k=1}^{3} \beta_k x_{jmk} + \beta_{4i} x_{jm4} + \xi_j + \xi_{jm} + \varepsilon_{ijm}$$

where i denotes individuals, j denotes choices and m markets. $\beta_{4i} = \beta_4 + \sigma_1 v_1$ and $v_i \sim N(0,1)$, ξ_j is a product fixed effect, ξ_{jm} denotes characteristics of product j at market m that is observed by consumers and firms (but not to the econometrician) and ε_{ijm} is iid EV across (i, j, m). We further assume that there is a outside good such that $U_{im0} = \varepsilon_{im0}$ for each m.

We load the dataset and make preliminary manipulations on it

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 14
     market product
                                       x2
                                             xЗ
                                                    x4
                                                                        iv2
                                                                               iv3
                                                                                     iv4
                       share
                                x1
                                                         price
                                                                  iv1
     <fct>
            <fct>
                       <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <
                                                 <dbl>
                                                         <dbl>
                                                                <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
                                                                                   <dbl>
## 1 1
            1
                     0.00541 0.529
                                                 1.89 0.00494
                                                                 5.44
                                                                       5.40
                                                                             5.90
                                     1.15
                                               0
## 2 1
            2
                     0.00115 0.494
                                     1.28
                                                 1.94 0.00413
                                                                 5.89
                                                                       6.21
## 3 1
            3
                     0.00131 0.468
                                     1.46
                                                 1.72 0.00590
                                                                 7.89
                                                                       7.48
                                                                             7.77
                                                                                    7.15
## 4 1
                     0.00133 0.427
                                     1.61
                                                 1.69 0.00541
                                                                 7.58
                                                                       7.33
                                                                             7.01
                                                                                    7.60
## 5 1
            5
                     0.00314 0.452
                                     1.65
                                                 1.50 0.00820
                                                                8.93
                                                                       9.58
                                                                             8.93
                                                                                    8.95
                     0.00305 0.451
                                                 1.73 0.00616
                                                                7.79
                                                                       7.22
## # i 2 more variables: iv5 <dbl>, iv6 <dbl>
```

Problem 1: Multinomial Logit

Assume that $\sigma_1 = 0$, and $\varepsilon_{ijm} \sim TIEV(\mu, \theta)$, where $\mu > 0$ is the scale parameter and θ is the location parameter of the distribution, i.e. its distribution is $F_{\varepsilon}(x) = exp(-exp(-\mu(x-\theta)))$

1.1 Derive the share of each choice in each market.

The probability that a consumer i in market m chooses product j is given by:

$$P(a_{im} = j) = P\left(U_{ijm} > U_{ikm}, \ \forall k \neq j\right),\,$$

where U_{ijm} is the utility of product j for consumer i in market m.

Given the utility specification $U_{ijm} = V_{ijm} + \varepsilon_{ijm}$ with $V_{ijm} = \sum_{l=1}^{3} \beta_l x_{jml} + \beta_{4i} x_{jm4} - \alpha p_{jm}$, we assume that $\varepsilon_{ijm} \sim \text{i.i.d.}$ Type I Extreme Value.

Using the properties of the Extreme Value distribution, the choice probability for product j becomes:

$$P(a_{im} = j) = \frac{\exp(V_{ijm})}{\sum_{k} \exp(V_{ikm})}.$$

This implies that the market share s_{jm} of product j in market m is:

$$s_{jm} = \frac{\exp(V_{jm})}{\sum_{k} \exp(V_{km})}.$$

Since we already observe the market share for each product, we only need to calculate the share for the outside option and add it to the dataset. The outside option's share represents the probability of consumers choosing none of the observed products in each market, defined as $1 - \sum_{i}$ share_j for each market.

The following code calculates this share and appends it to the dataset:

```
# Calculate outside good share and add outside good
outside_goods <- dataset %>%
group_by(market) %>%
summarize(
   product = as.factor("0"),  # Label for outside good
   share = 1 - sum(share),  # Calculated outside share
   x1 = 0, x2 = 0, x3 = 0, x4 = 0,  # Characteristics set to 0
   price = 0,  # Price of outside good is 0
   iv1 = 0, iv2 = 0, iv3 = 0, iv4 = 0, iv5 = 0, iv6 = 0  # Instrument variables set to 0
)

# Bind the outside good rows to the original dataset and arrange by market
dataset <- bind_rows(dataset, outside_goods) %>%
arrange(market)
```

To preview the shares for the outside option across markets:

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 3
     market product share
##
##
     <fct> <fct>
                     <dbl>
            0
## 1 1
                     0.433
## 2 2
            0
                     0.455
## 3 3
            0
                     0.436
            0
## 4 4
                     0.463
## 5 5
            0
                     0.437
## 6 6
                     0.427
```

1.2 Is μ identified? Why? And what about θ ?

In general, the scale parameter μ cannot be identified. Suppose that $\varepsilon_{ij} \sim T1EV(\mu_j, \theta_j)$ and $\varepsilon_{ik} \sim T1EV(\mu_k, \theta_k)$ represent the unobserved idiosyncratic utility shocks, where we assume orthogonality between these terms. When we take the difference $\varepsilon_{ik} - \varepsilon_{ij}$, it yields a logistic distribution that depends only on the difference in location parameters $\theta_j - \theta_k$ and not on the scale μ :

$$\varepsilon_{ik} - \varepsilon_{ij} \sim \text{Logistic}(\theta_j - \theta_k).$$

This difference reflects the general case of choosing product j over any other product k in the market, represented by:

$$P(a_i = k) = \text{Prob}\left(\varepsilon_{ik} - \varepsilon_{ij} < V_{ij} - V_{ik}, \forall k \neq j\right).$$

Thus, μ cannot be directly identified from this structure since it cancels out in the difference.

Regarding θ , the location parameter, it is only partially identifiable. By anchoring θ to an outside option with an observed utility level of zero, we set a baseline against which the utility levels of all other options are identified. This normalization allows us to interpret and identify the utilities of other products relative to the outside good, assuming its utility level is fixed at zero.

1.3 Suppose that $\mu = 1, \theta = 0$. Suppose that you want to estimate the model using OLS.

a) Derive the equation you will use to estimate the model.

We first start with the closed logistic formula for the share in each market

$$P(a_i = j | V_{i1}, \dots, V_{i50}) = \frac{exp(V_j)}{\sum_{k=1}^{50} exp(V_k)}$$

Where V_k is the vector of observed characteristics and price. If we divide each probability, wich is the observed market share, the denominator cancels out, yielding

$$\frac{P(a_i = j | V_{i1}, \dots, V_{i50})}{P(a_i = 0 | V_{i1}, \dots, V_{i50})} = \frac{exp(V_j)}{exp(V_0)}$$

Taking the logs ob both sides, we linearize it

$$ln(P(a_i = j|\cdot)) - ln(P(a_i = 0|\cdot)) = V_i - V_0$$

Therefore, out estimating equation for this aggregated model is

$$ln(\hat{P}(a_i = j|\cdot)) - ln(\hat{P}(a_i = 0|\cdot)) = \sum_{l=1}^{L} \beta_l(x_{jl} - x_{ol}) + (\xi_j - \xi_o)$$

$$ln(\hat{P}(a_i = j|\cdot)) - ln(\hat{P}(a_i = 0|\cdot)) = \sum_{l=1}^{L} \beta_l(x_{jl} - x_{ol}) + (\xi_j^*)$$

b) Under what conditions the OLS estimator is consistent?

The model parameters β can only be consistently estimated if the vectors of observed characteristics V_j contains no unknowns or unobservables, as to have no endogeneity problem in the estimation.

1.4 Assume that $\xi_j = 0$. Estimate the parameters of the model by OLS and IV. Compare the estimates of α . Does the OLS bias have the expected sign? Explain.

The following code fits the two requested models

Table 1: Comparison of OLS and IV Model Results with Clustered SEs

	OLS Model	IV Model		
(Intercept)	-7.357***	-7.424***		
	(0.471)	(0.472)		
x1	0.350	0.778*		
	(0.391)	(0.387)		
x2	1.623***	1.664***		
	(0.242)	(0.242)		
x3	0.581***	0.797***		
	(0.098)	(0.104)		
x4	0.329**	0.326**		
	(0.117)	(0.117)		
price	-50.024***	-70.161***		
	(6.157)	(7.250)		
Num.Obs.	1000	1000		
R2	0.089	0.084		
R2 Adj.	0.084	0.079		
AIC	2994.3	2999.8		
BIC	3028.7	3034.1		
Log.Lik.	-1490.160			
RMSE	1.07	1.08		
Std.Errors	Custom	Custom		

+ p < 0.1, * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.01, *** p

```
# Prepare the dataset with log difference in shares
dataset_with_outside <- dataset %>%
  left_join(dataset %>%
              filter(product == "0") %>%
              select(market, share) %>%
              rename(outside_share = share),
            by = "market") %>%
  filter(product != "0") %>% # Exclude the outside good
  mutate(log_diff_share = log(share) - log(outside_share))
# Fit OLS and IV models
ols_model <- lm(log_diff_share ~ x1 + x2 + x3 + x4 + price, data = dataset_with_outside)
iv_model <- ivreg(log_diff_share ~ x1 + x2 + x3 + x4 + price |</pre>
                    . - price + iv1 + iv2 + iv3 + iv4 + iv5 + iv6,
                  data = dataset_with_outside)
# Clustered standard errors by product
ols_se_clustered <- vcovCL(ols_model, cluster = ~product)</pre>
iv_se_clustered <- vcovCL(iv_model, cluster = ~product)</pre>
```

Controlling for the instruments given yields an α estimand with higher magnitude, this is expected as the endogeneity problem would yield an upward bias

1.5 Based on the IV parameters estimated above compute own- and cross-price elasticities for each good in market one. Discuss the results

The following code calculates the elasticities for market 1

```
# Select data for market 1
market_data <- dataset %>% filter(market == 1, product != 0)
```

```
# Extract coefficients from the model
alpha_price <- iv_model$coefficients["price"] # Coefficient for price

# Calculate Own-Price Elasticities
market_data <- market_data %>%
    mutate(own_price_elasticity = price * alpha_price * (1 - share))

# Calculate Cross-Price Elasticities
# Create a dataframe for cross-price elasticities
cross_price_elasticities <- expand.grid(j = market_data$product, k = market_data$product)
cross_price_elasticities <- merge(cross_price_elasticities, market_data[, c("product", "price", "share"
cross_price_elasticities <- merge(cross_price_elasticities, market_data[, c("product", "price", "share"

# Calculate cross-price elasticities
cross_price_elasticities <- cross_price_elasticities %>%
    mutate(cross_price_elasticity = -price_k * alpha_price * share_k)
```

The first results for the elasticity matrix are

```
# Create a full elasticity matrix
# Initialize a matrix with NA values
num_products <- nrow(market_data)</pre>
elasticity_matrix <- matrix(NA, nrow = num_products, ncol = num_products)</pre>
# Fill the diagonal with own-price elasticities
rownames(elasticity_matrix) <- market_data$product</pre>
colnames(elasticity_matrix) <- market_data$product</pre>
# Fill in cross-price elasticities
for (row in 1:nrow(cross_price_elasticities)) {
  j <- as.character(cross_price_elasticities$j[row])</pre>
 k <- as.character(cross_price_elasticities$k[row])</pre>
  elasticity_matrix[j, k] <- cross_price_elasticities$cross_price_elasticity[row]
for (i in 1:num products) {
  elasticity_matrix[i, i] <- market_data$own_price_elasticity[i]
# Print the elasticity matrix
print(elasticity_matrix[1:10,1:10])
```

The elasticity matrix results align with theoretical expectations: own-price elasticities are negative, indicating that an increase in the price of a product leads to a decrease in its demand. Additionally, the cross-price elasticities exhibit the Independence of Irrelevant Alternatives (IIA) property, where a change in the price of one product affects the demand for all other products uniformly. This outcome reinforces the suitability of the multinomial logit model for this analysis, albeit with the known limitation that IIA may oversimplify substitution patterns among products.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	-0.3444	0.0003	0.0005	0.0005	0.0018	0.0013	0.0033	0.0630	0.0167	0.0236
2	0.0019	-0.2895	0.0005	0.0005	0.0018	0.0013	0.0033	0.0630	0.0167	0.0236
3	0.0019	0.0003	-0.4133	0.0005	0.0018	0.0013	0.0033	0.0630	0.0167	0.0236
4	0.0019	0.0003	0.0005	-0.3788	0.0018	0.0013	0.0033	0.0630	0.0167	0.0236
5	0.0019	0.0003	0.0005	0.0005	-0.5736	0.0013	0.0033	0.0630	0.0167	0.0236
6	0.0019	0.0003	0.0005	0.0005	0.0018	-0.4308	0.0033	0.0630	0.0167	0.0236
7	0.0019	0.0003	0.0005	0.0005	0.0018	0.0013	-0.6111	0.0630	0.0167	0.0236
8	0.0019	0.0003	0.0005	0.0005	0.0018	0.0013	0.0033	-0.6786	0.0167	0.0236
9	0.0019	0.0003	0.0005	0.0005	0.0018	0.0013	0.0033	0.0630	-0.7500	0.0236
10	0.0019	0.0003	0.0005	0.0005	0.0018	0.0013	0.0033	0.0630	0.0167	-0.8403

Table 2: Elasticity Matrix for the first 10 goods