

pt() - a1							feedback	
Concept	There is no concept and idea on what to build	You've written a job story and there is a concept but it's vague and lacks specificity	You've written a good job story, there is a clear concept and there are wireframes and requirements lists	You've researched other applications and did some benchmarking	You've researched other applications and implemented design patterns and insights in your own project			
	There is no technical research in the wiki	There is some technical research in the wiki but it's very scanty	You researched technical terms and concepts related to web tech and documented them clearly in you wiki	You described more advanced technical research in the wiki	You describe the technical choices you made and can offer alternatives for chosen technology			
	The feature doesn't work; there are errors and warnings	The feature partially works but is not complete; incomplete flow	The feature completely works and is usable from a user experience point of view	The feature is advanced and is technically more complex	The user experience is fantastic and the feature is complex. You took special care of your interface and your user			
	The project is handed in documented, on time, working without technical problems, and on GitHub	The code is readable, consistent and the code, project, and process are partially documente	Code adheres to standards; docs cover the process and what the project is and does	Code quality is good and enforced; docs are more than useful and professional	Code and docs both read like great books and the project is structured logically			
You'll need a > 5.5 for each row to pass: you can't compensate between rows. Each of this rubric's rows is cumulative: for example, to get a 5-6 on application, you also need to have a 1-2 and 3-4.								
student name		student number		lecturer		date		grade