

### PowerEnJoy Software Engineering II

# Project Plan

Giovanni Scotti, Marco Trabucchi

Document version: 1 January 12, 2017

# Contents

Co	ntents	1
1	Introduction  1.1 Purpose and Scope	2 2 2 3
2	Function Points Estimation  2.1 Internal Logic Files (ILFs)	4 5 5 6 6
3	COCOMO II Effort Estimation	7
<b>4 5</b>	Schedule Planning and Resource Allocation 4.1 Tasks and Schedule	8 8 9
A	Appendix A.1 Software and tools used	
Ri	oliography	12

#### Section 1

#### Introduction

#### 1.1 Purpose and Scope

The purpose of this document is to provide a reasonable estimate of the complexity of the *PowerEnJoy* project in terms of the development team effort.

In the first section of the document, two complementary estimation models, Function Point Analysis (FPA) and COCOMO II, are going to be used in order to support the estimation process; the result will be an estimate of the number of lines of source code (SLOC) to be written and the average effort required for the development process itself.

In the second section, the organizational structure of the project plan will be laid down; here will be defined a possible schedule to cover all activities within the deadline and with a proper redistribution of tasks among the team members.

The last section of the document contains an analysis of all kinds of risks that the project could be facing throughout its life-cycle, from the development phase to the deployment phase, up to the maintenance and support phase. Here are also defined the safety measures, both reactive and preemptive, to face the eventual occurrence of the risks mentioned above.

#### 1.2 Definitions, Acronyms and Abbreviations

**RASD:** Requirements Analysis and Specification Document

**DD:** Design Document

ITPD: Integration Test Plan Document

FP: Function Point

FPA: Function Point Analysis

COCOMO: COnstructive COst MOdel

SLOC/KSLOC: Source Lines Of Code / Kilo Source Lines Of Code

**ILF:** Internal Logic Files

**EIF:** External Interface Files

**EI:** External Inputs

**EO:** External Outputs

**EQ:** External Inquiries

#### 1.3 Reference Documents

The indications provided in this document are based on the ones stated in the previous deliverables for the project, the RASD document [1], the DD document [2] and the ITPD document [3].

Moreover it is strictly based on the specifications concerning the RASD assignment [4] for the Software Engineering II project, part of the course held by professors Luca Mottola and Elisabetta Di Nitto at the Politecnico di Milano, A.Y. 2016/17.

To support the application of the COCOMO II model estimate, the COCOMO II Model Definition Manual [5] has been followed.

#### Section 2

### **Function Points Estimation**

This chapter is devoted to the Function Point Analysis for the *PowerEnJoy* project, aimed at obtaining a reasonable estimate of the size of the project, which will be later used within the COCOMO II estimation model to compute an average effort factor for the development process.

#### 2.1 Internal Logic Files (ILFs)

Internal Logic Files are defined as follows [5]:

"Internal Logic Files count each major logical group of user data or control information in the software system as a logical internal file type. They include each logical file (e.g., each logical group of data) that is generated, used, or maintained by the software system."

In practice, they can be identified as a homogeneous set of data used and managed by the application itself.

The identified ILFs for the application are:

- User
- Car
- Payment
- Reservation
- Ride
- AlternativeChargesSituation

- SafeArea
- PowerGridStation

#### 2.2 External Interface Files (EIFs)

External Interface Files are defined as follows [5]:

"Files passed or shared between software systems should be counted as External Interface File types within each system."

In practice, they can be identified as a homogeneous set of data used by the application but generated and maintained by other applications.

The identified EIFs for the application are:

- Payment records in the Payment Handlers databases
- Maintenance intervention records in the Maintenance System database
- The data streams related to the *Google Maps* service

#### 2.3 External Inputs (EIs)

External Inputs are defined as follows [5]:

"External Inputs count each unique user data or user control input type that enters the external boundary of the software system being measured."

In practice, they can be identified as elementary operations to elaborate data coming from the external environment.

The identified EIs for the application are:

- The registration process
- The login process
- The update process for user profiles
- Data streams from the sensors and equipment of cars
- Data about the availability of cars
- The car reservation process
- The car unlocking process
- The user authentication process for the rides

#### 2.4 External Outputs (EOs)

External Outputs are defined as follows [5]:

"External Outputs count each unique user data or control output type that leaves the external boundary of the software system being measured."

In practice, they can be identified as elementary operations that generate data for the external environment.

The identified EOs for the application are:

- E-mail notifications
- User notifications

#### 2.5 External Inquiries (EQs)

External Inquiries are defined as follows [5]:

"External Inquiries count each unique input-output combination, where input causes and generates an immediate output."

In practice, they can be identified as elementary operation that involve input and output, without significant elaboration of data from logic files.

The identified EQs for the application are:

- The visualization of user profile data by the user him/herself
- The detection of alternative charges situation during rides

# Section 3 COCOMO II Effort Estimation

#### Section 4

# Schedule Planning and Resource Allocation

#### 4.1 Tasks and Schedule

The main tasks of which the *PowerEnJoy* project is composed of are the following:

- 1. Requirements Analysis and Specification Document (RASD) delivery deliver a document containing the description of all goals, domain assumptions, functional and non-functional requirements for the project;
- 2. **Design Document (DD)** delivery deliver a document describing the architectural design of the software system to be produced;
- 3. **Integration Test Plan Document (ITPD)** delivery deliver a document containing the strategy to perform integration testing on the components of the system;
- 4. **Project Plan Document (PPD)** delivery deliver a document containing the description of the schedule and tasks for the project and an estimation of the effort and size of the project itself, as well as an analysis of the risks that the project could face during its life-cycle;
- 5. **Implementation** implement the software product and thoroughly write unit tests for all the code;
- 6. **Integration testing** test the integration of all the software components of the project;

During the project life-cycle, some of these task can not begin if others are not completed yet. To illustrate the precedence constraints of the case, a **dependency graph** is provided in Figure

Note that, however, since a software project is highly subject to change in requirements and evolves continuously, there might be the need of coming back to previous tasks one or more times after the conclusion of said tasks themselves.

The following is a Gantt chart to describe the chosen schedule for the project:

#### 4.2 Resource Allocation

# Section 5 Risk Management

# Appendix A

# Appendix

#### A.1 Software and tools used

- LaTeX, used as typesetting system to build this document.
- draw.io https://www.draw.io used to draw diagrams and mock-ups.
- GitHub https://github.com used to manage the different versions of the document and to make the distributed work much easier.
- GitHub Desktop, the GitHub official application that offers a seamless way to contribute to projects.

#### A.2 Hours of work

The absolute major part of the document was produced in group work. The approximate number of hours of work for each member of the group is the following:

- Giovanni Scotti:
- Marco Trabucchi:

NOTE: indicated hours include the time spent in group work.

# **Bibliography**

- [1] AA 2016/2017 Software Engineering 2 Requirements Analysis and Specification Document Giovanni Scotti, Marco Trabucchi
- [2] AA 2016/2017 Software Engineering 2 Design Document Giovanni Scotti, Marco Trabucchi
- [3] AA 2016/2017 Software Engineering 2 Integration Test Plan Document Giovanni Scotti, Marco Trabucchi
- [4] AA 2016/2017 Software Engineering 2 Project goal, schedule and rules
- [5] 1995 2000 Center For Software Engineering, USC COCOMO II Model Definition Manual - Version 2.1