HYPOTHESIS TESTING

1. Cutlets:

Normality Test:

Ho: Unit A Data is normal **Ha**: Unit A Data is not normal

p-value: 0.287

p-value > alpha (0.05)

p high →Ho fly→Fail to reject Ho hypothesis. Con: Unit A data is assumed to be normal

Ho: Unit B Data is normal **Ha:** Unit B Data is not normal

p-value:0.687 p-value > alpha (0.05)

p high →Ho fly→Fail to reject Ho hypothesis. Con: Unit B data is assumed to be normal

are external conditions same?

NO

Variance Test:

Ho: $Var(A) = Var(B) \rightarrow Var(A)/Var(B) = 1 \rightarrow SD(A)/SD(B) = 1$ **Ha:** $Var(A) \neq Var(B) \rightarrow Var(A)/Var(B) \neq 1 \rightarrow SD(A)/SD(B) \neq 1$

p-value: 0.297

p-value > alpha (0.05)

p high \rightarrow Ho fly \rightarrow Fail to reject Ho hypothesis. Con: Var(A) is assumed to be equal to Var(B).

Final Test:

2- sample t test for equal variances:

Ho: Diameter of cutlet from Unit A =Diameter of cutlet from Unit B \rightarrow (Diameter of cutlet from Unit A) - (Diameter of cutlet from Unit B) = 0 **Ha:** Diameter of cutlet from Unit A \neq Diameter of cutlet from Unit B \rightarrow (Diameter of cutlet from Unit A) - (Diameter of cutlet from Unit B)

p-value: 0.472

p-value > alpha (0.05)

p high →Ho fly→Fail to reject Ho hypothesis.

Con: Diameter of cutlet from Unit A is assumed to be equal to Diameter of cutlet from Unit B

2.LabTAT:

Normality Test:

Ho: Lab 1 Data is normal **Ha**: Lab 1 Data is not normal

p-value: 0.532

p-value > alpha (0.05)

p high →Ho fly→Fail to reject Ho hypothesis.

Con: Lab 1 data is assumed to be normal

Ho: Lab 2 Data is normal **Ha**: Lab 2 Data is not normal

p-value: 0.733

p-value > alpha (0.05)

p high → Ho fly → Fail to reject Ho hypothesis.

Con: Lab 2 data is assumed to be normal

Ho: Lab 3 Data is normal **Ha**: Lab 3 Data is not normal

p-value: 0.577

p-value > alpha (0.05)

p high → Ho fly → Fail to reject Ho hypothesis.

Con: Lab 3 data is assumed to be normal

Ho: Lab 4 Data is normal **Ha**: Lab 4 Data is not normal

p-value: 0.419

p-value > alpha (0.05)

p high → Ho fly → Fail to reject Ho hypothesis.

Con: Lab 4 data is assumed to be normal

Variance Test:

Ho: All the variances are equal

Ha: Atleast one of the variances is not equal

P-value: 0.070

p high → Ho fly → Fail to reject Ho hypothesis.

Con: All the four variances are assumed to be equal

Final Test:

ANOVA Test:

Ho: All the four mean TAT values are equal

Ha: Atleast one of the mean TAT vales is not equal

p-value: 0.00 < 0.05 p-value < alpha (0.05)

p low→null go→reject Ho Hypothesis Con: The mean TAT values are not same.

3. Buyer Ratio:

Chi-Square Test:

Ho: Buyer ratio (Male) is equals to Buyer ratio (Female) →

 $[Buyer\ ratio\ (Male)] - [Buyer\ ratio\ (Female)] = 0$

Ha: Buyer ratio (Male) is not equals to Buyer ratio (Female)→

[Buyer ratio (Male)] – [Buyer ratio (Female)] $\neq 0$

p-value:0.660 p-value > alpha (0.05)

p high → Ho fly → Fail to reject Ho hypothesis.

Con: The buyer ratios of both male and female are assumed to be similar across the regions.

4.Customer Order Form:

Chi-Square Test:

Ho: The defective percentage of all the four centres is equal

Ha: The defective percentage of atleast one of the four centres is not equal

p-value:0.277 p-value > alpha (0.05)

p high →Ho fly→Fail to reject Ho hypothesis.

Con: The defective percentage of all the four centres is assumed to be equal.

5. Fantaloons

2- proportion t test:

Ho: Percentage of male's vs Females on a weekday = Percentage of male's vs Females on a weekend→[Percentage of male's vs Females on a weekday] – [Percentage of male's vs Females on a weekend] = 0

Ha: Percentage of male's vs Females on a weekday \neq Percentage of male's vs Females on a weekend \rightarrow [Percentage of male's vs Females on a weekday] – [Percentage of male's vs Females on a weekend] \neq 0

p-value: 0.00 p-value < alpha (0.05)

p low→null go→reject Ho Hypothesis

Con: Percentage of male's vs females walking into the store differs based on the day of the week