

## Competitive Programming From Problem 2 Solution in O(1)

# Number Theory Modular multiplicative inverse

Mostafa Saad Ibrahim
PhD Student @ Simon Fraser University



#### **Recall**

- Mod distributed smoothly over +, -, \*
  - (a + b \* c) % n = (a%n + (b%n \* c%n)%n) % n
- Multiplicative inverse (reciprocal)
  - Of number a: 1/a or  $a^{-1}$  => then a \* (1/a) = 1
  - Then for any a \* b = 1, then  $b = 1 / a = a^{-1}$
  - And  $a / x \Rightarrow a * x^{-1}$
- Congruence:  $a \equiv x (\% m) => a x = qm$ 
  - $ax \equiv 1 (\% m) ?$
- what about (a / x) %n? Should equal a \* Multiplicative inverse of x considering n

#### Modular multiplicative inverse

- $ax \equiv 1 (\% m)$ 
  - Which means ax % m = 1 % m
  - $m = 11, a = 8, x = 7 \implies 8 * 7 = 1 \pmod{11}$
- Then, a is multiplicative inverse of x for % m
- $\blacksquare \text{ Also } \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{1} / \mathbf{x} \pmod{\mathbf{m}}$
- Exists IFF gcd(a, m) = 1
- $\blacksquare$  (119 / 7) % 11 => 17 % 11 => 6
  - Recall  $8 * 7 = 1 \pmod{11} \dots \text{ then } \frac{1/7 == 8}{1/7}$  %11
- (119 \* 8) % 11 = (119%11 \* 8) % 11 = 6

#### Solution 1: Extended Euclidean

- $ax \equiv 1 (\% m)$
- Then (ax-1) % m = 0, then ax-1 = qm
  - $m = 11, a = 8, x = 7 \implies 8 * 7 = 1 \pmod{11}$
  - 56 1 = 5 \* 11
- Rearrange: ax + m(-q) = 1
- This is similar to ax + my = gcd(a, m) = 1
- That is, the solution to extended (a, m) giving that gcd(a, m) = 1
- So just 1 call to extended, x is the answer

#### Solution 1: Extended Euclidean

- a = 17, m = 43
  - -5\*17+2\*43=1
  - then (1/17) % 43 = -5 = 38
- a = 43, m = 17
  - **2** \* 43 5 \* 17 = 1
  - then (1/43) % 17 = 2
  - E.g. (559 / 43 ) % 17 = 13 % 17 = 13
  - Same: (559 \* 2) % 17 = 13

#### Solution 1: Extended Euclidean

```
// ax ==1 %m IFF a, m coprimes
// return -1 means NO answer
// handle case x may be -ve
ll modInversek(ll a, ll m) {
    ll x, y;

    ll d = extended_euclid(a, m, x, y);

    if(d == 1)
        return -1;

    return (x + m) % m;
}
```

#### Solution 2: Euler's theorem

- if  $gcd(a, m) = 1 \Longrightarrow a^{\varphi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$
- As a result (divide both sides by a)

  - $a^{-1} \equiv a^{m-2} \pmod{m}.$  if m is prime
- Computations amount in GCD vs Euler?
- In addition, the theorem can be used to help reducing large powers evaluations

#### Solution 2: Euler's theorem

```
// (a^k) % m
ll pow(ll a, ll k, ll M) {
    if (k == 0)
    return 1;
    ll r = pow(a, k / 2, M);
    r = (r * r) % M;
    if (k % 2)
       r = (r * a) % M;
    return r;
}
//ax ==1 %p IFF p primes
ll modInversep(ll a, ll p) {
    return pow(a, p-2, p);
}
//ax ==1 %m IFF a, m coprimes
ll modInverse(ll a, ll m) { //IFF a, m coprimes
    return pow(a, phi(m) - 1, m);
}
```

## Modinverse range for prime

- Given P, compute all mod inv for range 1 (p-1)
- p% i = p (p/i) \* i => % equation
  - (p%i) % p = p%i
  - p%p = 0
- $p \% i = -(p / i) * i \pmod{p} => \% P$
- Now, divide by i \* (p % i)
- 1/i = -(p/i) \* 1/(p% i) % p
- Add +p to convert to +ve
- inv[i] = p (p / i) \* inv[p % i] % p

## Modinverse range for prime

- $\mathbf{a}^{\phi(m)} = \mathbf{1}$  and  $\mathbf{a}^{\phi(m)-1} = \mathbf{a}^{-1}$  if  $\gcd(\mathbf{a}, m) = 1$ •  $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{p}-1} = \mathbf{1}$  and  $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{p}-2} = \mathbf{a}^{-1}$  if p is prime
  - number
- **7**<sup>222</sup> % 10.
  - $\gcd(7, 10) = 1 \text{ and } \varphi(10) = 4$
  - From Euler's theorem  $7^4 \equiv 1 \ (\% \ 10)$
- $7^{222} \equiv 7^{4 \times 55 + 2} \equiv (7^4)^{55} \times 7^2 \equiv 1^{55} \times 7^2$
- $7^{222} \equiv 49 \equiv 9 \pmod{10}$
- Or shortly,  $7^{222} \equiv 7^{222\%4} \equiv 7^{2} = 9 \pmod{10}$

- Compute  $(1/a^m)\%$  p .. where p is prime
- Same as  $((1/a)\% p)^m \% p$
- $(a^{p-2} \% p)^m \% p$  use inverse modular
- $a^{m(p-2)} \% p$
- What about using euler to reduce the power?
- $a^{(m(p-2))\%(p-1)}\% p or a^{(m\%(p-1)*(p-2)\%(p-1))\%(p-1)}\%$

p

```
Similal modInverse_am(ll a, ll m, ll p) {
    //return pow(a, (m * (p - 2))%(p-1), p);
    return pow(a, (m%(p-1) * (p - 2)%(p-1))%(p-1), p);
}
```

- Let's learn one more trick for previous issue
- (p-2) % (p-1) = -1 [use -ve mode]
- It now turns to be:  $a^{-m\%(p-1)}$  % p ... recall:
  - if m is +ve, its mode: m\%a
  - if m is +ve, then -m is: (a + (-m)%a) % a
  - Or more directly a m%a
- Then turns to be:  $a^{p-1-(m\%(p-1))}$  % p
- Moral of that, is we get rid of p-2 with a constant -1. this helps in some advanced problems

- What about  $a^x$  % n where gcd(a, n) > 1?
- Let's factorize a to p1 \* p2 \* p3...pk
  - e.g. 12 = 2 \* 2 \* 3 (p1 = p2 = 2)
  - Then answer =  $(p1^x \% n * p2^x \% n...)\%n$
- Our problem = new sub-problems:  $p^x \% n$ 
  - p is a prime number
  - if gcd(p, n) = 1, direct euler...otherwise m % p = 0
- Find largest g such that:  $p^g \% n = 0$ 
  - Then  $gcd(p, t = n/p^g) = 1$  ... using euler rule
  - $p^{\phi(t)} = 1$  (%t) multiply all terms by  $p^g$
  - $p^g p^{\phi(t)} = p^g (\%n) \text{ and generally: } p^g p^{k\phi(t)} = p^g (\%n)$

- Now:  $p^g p^{k\phi(t)} = p^g (\%n)$ 
  - means multiple of has  $p^{\phi(t)}$  no effect
- Back to p<sup>x</sup>
  - if  $x \le g$ , then it was actually small power. Forget euler
  - if x > g, let's embed it in equation: x = x g + g
  - $p^x = p^g p^{x-g}$  .... using modified euler
  - $p^x$  (%n) =  $p^g p^{(x-g)\%\phi(t)} p^{k(x-g)}$ (%n) [recall: d = qk + r]
  - $p^{x} (\%n) = p^{g} p^{(x-g)\%\phi(t)}(\%n)$
- Your turn: use above to compute:
  - (8^2^6^4^2^5^8^9) % 10000
    - Hint think: 8<sup>x</sup> % 10000 ... use recursion for the tower

## Finally

- In many problems it asks your for solution % prime (e.g. 10^9+7). They select it prime to allow some euler/inverse solutions
- Readings
  - Euler (<u>link 1</u>, <u>link 2</u>)
  - Fermat's little theorem
  - Carmichael function
  - Discrete logarithm problem (Practice problem)

# تم بحمد الله

علمكم الله ما ينفعكم

ونفعكم بما تعلمتم

وزادكم علمأ

#### Problems

UVA (11440, 11174), UVA (10692),
 LiveArchive (3343 - Last Digits)