



Competitive Programming

From Problem 2 Solution in $O(1)$

Combinatorial Game Theory Conjunctive Compound Games

Mostafa Saad Ibrahim

PhD Student @ Simon Fraser University



Other Compound games

- Selective compound (**covered last session**)
 - Move **at least 1** knight
 - Move **at least 1** knight but **NOT all**
 - Make use of grundy
- Conjunctive compound
 - Move **all** knights (short rule)
 - **Minimax** style game to count the game # steps
 - E.g. introduce **Remoteness function**
- Continued conjunctive compound
 - Move in **all available** knights (long rule)
 - **Maximin / Suspense function** (count the game # steps)

Conjunctive compound

■ Game (short rule)

- Conjunctive = Move **all** knights
- **Short** rule: Once any pile is empty= \Rightarrow we know winner
- Players now are **very sensitive** to the sub-games that takes very **little steps** to be completed!
- That is, player has interest in winning **quickly** on winning sub-games and postponing defeat **as long as** possible on losing ones (different winning concerns)
- This makes **grundy** useless
 - In knight chess, $Grundy(1, 2) = Grundy(7, 6) = 2$
 - Although knight(1, 2) can be completed in 1 step!
- We need function that **computes game steps**!

Conjunctive compound

■ Remoteness function r

- the # of games steps if a player who can **force a win** will try to **win as soon as possible** and the losing player will try to **lose as slowly as possible**.
- Losing-position has **even r** and W-positions have **odd r** .
 - Intuition: if after odd step game ends = first win
- $r(\text{terminal position}) = 0$
- For each sub-move, compute r for its sub-game
- If any sub-game is even: $r(x) = 1 + \text{smallest even } r$
 - Win using min # steps
- otherwise $r(x) = 1 + \text{largest odd } r$
 - Lose using max # steps

Conjunctive compound

- For knights game: r table
 - knight(1, 2) needs 1 step to 1 to win
 - knight(7, 6) needs 5 steps to win
 - knight(4, 4) = 4 = loses
 - Recall:
 - **odd** => **win**
 - **even** => **lose**

0	0	1	1	2	2	3	3
0	0	1	1	2	2	3	3
1	1	1	1	3	3	3	3
1	1	1	3	3	3	3	5
2	2	3	3	4	4	5	5
2	2	3	3	4	4	5	5
3	3	3	3	5	5	5	5
3	3	3	5	5	5	5	6

Conjunctive compound

```
int remotnessMem[120][120];
int suspenseMem[120][120];

bool valid(int v) {
    return v >= 0 && v < 8;
}

const int DIR = 4;
int dr[DIR] = { 1, -1, -2, -2 };
int dc[DIR] = { -2, -2, 1, -1 };
const int OO = 1000000;
```

Conjunctive compound

```
int calcRemoteness(int r, int c) {
    int &ret = remotnessMem[r][c];
    if (ret != -1)
        return ret;

    int leastEven = 00, largestOdd = -00;

    for (int d = 0; d < 4; ++d) {
        if (valid(r + dr[d]) && valid(c + dc[d])) {
            int remotness = calcRemoteness(r + dr[d], c + dc[d]);
            if (remotness % 2 == 0)
                leastEven = min(leastEven, remotness);
            else
                largestOdd = max(largestOdd, remotness);
        }
    }
    ret = 0;
    if (leastEven != 00)
        ret = 1 + leastEven;
    else if (largestOdd != -00)
        ret = 1 + largestOdd;
    return ret;
}
```

Conjunctive compound

- Given k sub-games, who win?
 - Compute r function for each position
 - $r(\text{game}) = \min(r(g1), r(g2), \dots, r(gn))$
 - if the overall game r is even \Rightarrow lose
- So $r(g1, g2) = \min(r(g1), r(g2))$
- Do you notice the overall corresponds to grundy computations?
 - $sg(\text{game}) = \text{xor}(sg(g1), sg(g2), \dots, sg(gn))$

Conjunctive compound

```
void chessRemotenessMain() {
    clr(remotenessMem, -1);

    for (int i = 0; i < 8; ++i) {
        for (int j = 0; j < 8; ++j) {
            cout << calcRemoteness(i, j) << " ";
        }
        cout << "\n";
    }

    int minVal = 1000000, knights;

    cin>>knights;
    for (int d = 0; d < knights; ++d) {
        int x, y;
        cin>>x>>y;
        minVal = min(minVal, calcRemoteness(x, y));
    }
    if(minVal % 2 != 0)    cout<<"First win";
    else                  cout<<"Second win";
}
```

Continued conjunctive compound

- Game (conjunctive compound long rule)
 - Not so intuitive. Think about it.
 - Move in **all available** knights
 - If some piles are empty, we keep playing (normal)
 - Losing subgame is ok if some subgames are not nished.
 - Winning strategy: finish losing subgames as soon as possible and play winning subgames as long as possible
 - Overall, it is the reverse of shor rule case
 - The function to compute # of steps named **Suspense function**

Continued conjunctive compound

■ Suspense function s

- the # of games steps if the loser player will try to **lose as soon as possible** and the winner player will try to **win as long as possible**.
- Losing-position has **even** s and W-positions have **odd** s .
- $s(\text{terminal position}) = 0$
- For each sub-move, compute r for its sub-game
- If any sub-game is event: $s(x) = 1 + \text{largest even } s$
 - Win using max # steps
- otherwise $s(x) = 1 + \text{smallest odd } s$
 - Lose using min # steps

Continued conjunctive compound

- Suspense values (very close to r)

0	0	1	1	2	2	3	3
0	0	1	1	2	2	3	3
1	1	1	3	3	3	3	3
1	1	3	3	3	3	5	5
2	2	3	3	2	4	5	5
2	2	3	3	4	4	5	5
3	3	3	5	5	5	5	5
3	3	3	5	5	5	5	6

Continued conjunctive compound

- Given k sub-games, who win?
 - Compute s function for each position
 - $s(\text{game}) = \mathbf{max}(s(g1), s(g2), \dots, s(gn))$
 - if the overall game s is even \Rightarrow lose
- So $s(g1, g2) = \max(s(g1), s(g2))$

Continued conjunctive compound

```
int calcSuspense(int r, int c) {
    int &ret = suspenseMem[r][c];
    if (ret != -1)
        return ret;

    int largestEven = -00, leastOdd = 00;

    for (int d = 0; d < 4; ++d) {
        if (valid(r + dr[d]) && valid(c + dc[d])) {
            int remotness = calcSuspense(r + dr[d], c + dc[d]);
            if (remotness % 2 == 0)
                largestEven = max(largestEven, remotness);
            else
                leastOdd = min(leastOdd, remotness);
        }
    }
    ret = 0;
    if (largestEven != -00)
        ret = 1 + largestEven;
    else if (leastOdd != 00)
        ret = 1 + leastOdd;
    return ret;
}
```

Continued conjunctive compound

```
void chessSuspenseMain() {
    clr(remotnessMem, -1);

    for (int i = 0; i < 8; ++i) {
        for (int j = 0; j < 8; ++j) {
            cout << calcRemoteness(i, j) << " ";
        }
        cout << "\n";
    }

    int maxVal = -1000000, knights;

    cin>>knights;
    for (int d = 0; d < knights; ++d) {
        int x, y;
        cin>>x>>y;
        maxVal = max(maxVal, calcRemoteness(x, y));
    }
    if(maxVal % 2 != 0)    cout<<"First win";
    else                  cout<<"Second win";
}
```

Misere for conjunctive cases

- In both previous cases, all what to do, interchange words even and odd
 - Then to win in conjunctive compound
 - $r(x) = 1 + \text{smallest odd } r$
 - Also, if **final game is even, you win**
 - Remember it with base case $\text{misere}(0) \Rightarrow 1\text{st win}$
 - E.g. RemotenessMisere code
 - = Suspense code with 2 simple changes
- In other words, in normal and misere plays, we are coding classical dp functions

Misere for conjunctive compound

```
int calcRemotenessMisere(int r, int c) {
    int &ret = remotnessMem[r][c];
    if (ret != -1)
        return ret;

    int leastOdd = 00, largestEven = -00;

    for (int d = 0; d < 4; ++d) {
        if (valid(r + dr[d]) && valid(c + dc[d])) {
            int remotness = calcRemotenessMisere(r + dr[d], c + dc[d]);
            if (remotness % 2 != 0) // 1st change in calcSuspense
                leastOdd = min(leastOdd, remotness);
            else
                largestEven = max(largestEven, remotness);
        }
    }
    ret = 0;
    if (leastOdd != 00) // 2nd change in calcSuspense
        ret = 1 + leastOdd;
    else if (largestEven != -00)
        ret = 1 + largestEven;
    return ret;
}
```

Finally

- The only trick in this game is to notice its direct **correspondence to minimax, maximin** games (E.g. Grundy won't be used)
- Misere case under such recurrence is a normal thing too, as we don't need any theory
- Code is normal and you can write from mind once you know the **optimal strategy** for each player
- Remoteness/Suspense are not new theory :)

Summary: normal play

Disjunctive compound	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Long rule, Like Nim, based on xor values for the piles • Grundy is computed for sub-games, then xor sub-games grundies
Diminished disjunctive compound	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Short rule version • W-numbers = Modified Grundy* = {SL SW Grundy-{SW, SL}}
Selective compound	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Move in at least 1 sub-game • If ALL grundies = 0 \Rightarrow Losing position
Selective compound - variant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Move in at least 1 sub-game but not all • If ALL grundies are equal value \Rightarrow Losing position
Shortened selective compound	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Short rule. Move in at least 1 sub-game • If ALL grundies = 0 \Rightarrow Losing position
Continued conjunctive compound	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Long rule • Winning strategy: Classical Maximin (max steps to win / min to lose) • Compute # of game steps (suspense function)
Conjunctive compound	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Similar, short rule (Minimax = (min steps to win / max to lose)) • Compute # of game steps (remoteness function)

Summary: misere play

Disjunctive compound	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Misere name defined. Normal nim + special base case handling• Grundy is NOT defined - so not solvable in complex games• As base case grundy = 1, and other winning position = 0
Diminished disjunctive compound	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Surprisingly modified grundy (w-numbers) are defined.• Short rule + SW position trick is a reason behind
Selective compound	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• If ALL grundies = 0 \Rightarrow Losing position• Only 1 grundy = 0 \Rightarrow Wining position (exception for above case)• Otherwise \Rightarrow Winning position
Selective compound - variant	<ul style="list-style-type: none">•
Shortened selective compound	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Same as normal play..no special handling!
Continued conjunctive compound	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• A classical Maximin game, just normal recurrence handling
Conjunctive compound	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• A classical Minimax game, just normal recurrence handling

Final notes

- 3 critical parts in game theory solving
 - a. **Win/Lose positions classical rules**
 - b. **Base Case analysis**
 - From a, b: Some games are the normal nim playing, except special handling for the bottom cases
 - Once bottom cases are handled, remaining from bottom to top is normal Win/Lose rules
 - Examples: Nim misere, Diminished Disjunctive
 - c. **Identifying the winning strategy**
 - From Win Strategy \Rightarrow grundy, w-number, remoteness, suspense functions, adhock recurrence handling...etc)
 - Xor/Mex/Grundy are the critical theories parts

Reading

- For formal proves, please read
 - [See 1](#)
 - [See 2](#)

تم بحمد الله

علمكم الله ما ينفعكم

ونفعكم بما تعلمتم

وزادكم علماً