ECE 592 – Topics in Data Science

Test 5: Sparse Signal Processing – Fall 2022 December 9, 2022

Please remember to justify your answers carefully.	
Last name:	First name:

Please recall the course academic integrity policy (from the syllabus): When working on tests, no cooperation or "collaboration" between students is allowed. While it could be tempting to text or email a friend during a test that is administered electronically, this is not allowed. You will be allowed to use your notes, books, a browser, and software such as Matlab and/or Python.¹ However, while working on the test you should not text, email, or communicate with other people (certainly not other students) in any way, unless you are consulting with the course staff. By submitting the test, you will be acknowledging that you completed the work on your own without the help of others in any capacity. Any such aid would be unauthorized and a violation of the academic integrity policy.

¹You can use the browser to access Moodle, the course webpage, and look up technical topics. Similar to a normal test, you must not communicate with other people.

${\bf Question} \ {\bf 1} \ ({\bf Homeworks} \ {\bf and} \ {\bf final} \ {\bf projects.})$

Among the homeworks or final projects you peer-graded (not your final project), describe something that made an impression on you. Why was it interesting? What did you learn?

Question 2 (Sparse recovery with sparsifying basis.)

You are designing a compressive signal acquisition system. The system will acquire unknown inputs, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, using linear measurements, y = Ax + z, where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$ is a measurement matrix, $z \in \mathbb{R}^M$ is Gaussian noise, and you will recover x from y, A, and possible statistical properties of x.

- (a) Suppose that you have plenty of training data, meaning many instances of x. Could you use the training data to identify a good sparsifying transform W for x? How?
- (b) We now have a sparsifying transform, meaning that $\theta = Wx$ will tend to be sparse. (In the context of this part, you can state any assumptions about θ that you want.) How would you reconstruct x from y, A, W, and possible statistical knowledge (your assumptions) about x? Note that we are asking you how to reconstruct x, not θ .

Question 3 (Approximate message passing with ℓ_1 penalty.)

Consider a sparse recovery problem, y = Ax + z, where $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is an unknown input vector, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$ is a measurement matrix, $z \in \mathbb{R}^M$ is Gaussian noise, and the goal is to recover x from y, A, and possible statistical properties of x.

Many sparse recovery approaches try to minimize the mean squared error (MSE) between the unknown input, x, and our estimate, \hat{x} . What if we want to minimize the ℓ_1 error,

$$\widehat{x} = \arg\min_{x'} E[\|x' - x\|_1 | y, A]? \tag{1}$$

(Recall that $\|\cdot\|_1$ denotes the ℓ_1 norm of a vector, which is the sum of absolute values of individual vector entries.) In Test 4, we saw that linear regression with an ℓ_1 penalty could be performed by perturbing an existing solution for conventional regression with an ℓ_2 penalty. In this question, we will try to solve (1) using approximate message passing (AMP).

The key idea underlying AMP is that the linear inverse problem, y = Ax + z, is decoupled into a scalar denoising problem,

$$v = x + w, (2)$$

where $v \in \mathbb{R}^N$ are noisy measurements, and $w \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is additive white Gaussian noise with zero mean and variance σ^2 . AMP performs several denoising steps to estimate x from v, where the variance σ^2 tends to diminish until some noise floor is approached. A conditional expectation denoiser is often used,

$$\widehat{x} = E[X|V = v],\tag{3}$$

where \hat{x} is our estimate for the unknown input, x, because conditional expectation minimizes the mean squared error and thus drives down noise in the scalar denoising problem. However, our goal is to find the estimate \hat{x} that minimizes the expected ℓ_1 error (1), not the squared error, hence a conditional expectation denoiser in the last iteration seems inappropriate. To perform ℓ_1 regression, we propose a variant of AMP, which is comprised of 2 parts. In Part 1, we reduce the noise level in our scalar denoising problem (2) for several iterations. To do so, a conditional expectation denoiser (3) reduces the noise level (σ^2) in each iteration quickly. Next, Part 2 performs ℓ_1 denoising,

$$\widehat{x} = \arg\min_{x'} E[\|x' - x\|_1 | v]. \tag{4}$$

Below, you will derive both denoisers for an example probability density function (pdf). To keep the derivations simple, we will consider a scalar denoising problem where X is a scalar random variable whose unknown value is x, and we estimate x from a noisy measurement, v = x + w. The noise is a Gaussian random variable, $W \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ whose pdf obeys $f(W = w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}e^{-\frac{w^2}{2\sigma^2}}$. Again, to keep the derivations simple, the random variable X will take values -1 or +1, each with probability 0.5.

- (a) For Part 1, compute the conditional expectation denoiser (3) for X. (Derive a scalar denoiser.)
- (b) For Part 2, we want an ℓ_1 denoiser, which in this scalar case minimizes the absolute value of the error,

$$\widehat{x} = \arg\min_{x'} E[|x' - x||v]. \tag{5}$$

We will show in steps that \widehat{x} , the optimal ℓ_1 estimate for x, is the median of the posterior, f(x|v), meaning that

$$\Pr(x \ge \widehat{x}|v), \Pr(x \le \widehat{x}|v) \ge 0.5.$$

Let us discuss this median estimator. First, the probability for x to be smaller or larger than \hat{x} depends on v (2), hence we condition on v in both probability expressions. Second, when X is a continuous valued random variable, $\Pr(X = \hat{x}|v) = 0$, hence

$$\Pr(x \ge \widehat{x}|v) = \Pr(x \le \widehat{x}|v) = 0.5. \tag{6}$$

If f(x) contains probability masses (deltas in the pdf), then we could have $\Pr(X = \widehat{x}|v) > 0$, in which case (6) might not be correct. To simplify our question, we will assume that X is continuous valued, hence you will show the simplified result, (6). To show this result (6), define the function,

$$\mu(x', v) = E[|x' - x||V = v],$$

which is the expected ℓ_1 (in our scalar variable case, absolute value) error between x and \hat{x} , conditioned on the scalar channel v. In the optimal ℓ_1 denoising function, (5), \hat{x} minimizes $\mu(x', v)$. We now analyze $\mu(x', v)$,

$$\mu(x',v) = \int_{t=-\infty}^{+\infty} |x' - t| f_X(X = t|V = v) dt$$

$$= \int_{t=-\infty}^{x'} |x' - t| f_X(X = t|V = v) dt + \int_{t=x'}^{+\infty} |x' - t| f_X(X = t|V = v) dt.$$

Explain why we partitioned the first integral where t was within the range $(-\infty, +\infty)$ into integrals with ranges $(-\infty, x')$ and $(x', +\infty)$. Specifically, how does $|x' - t| f_X(X = t | V = v)$ change between these ranges?

(c) Again, \hat{x} minimizes $\mu(x', v)$. Because X is continuous valued, we can compute the derivative of $\mu(x', v)$ with respect to x',

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \mu(x', v) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \int_{t=-\infty}^{x'} |x' - t| f_X(X = t | V = v) dt + \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \int_{t=x'}^{+\infty} |x' - t| f_X(X = t | V = v) dt
= \int_{t=-\infty}^{x'} [+1] f_X(X = t | V = v) dt + \int_{t=x'}^{+\infty} [-1] f_X(X = t | V = v) dt
= \int_{t=-\infty}^{x'} f_X(X = t | V = v) dt - \int_{t=x'}^{+\infty} f_X(X = t | V = v) dt,$$

where [-1] and [+1] in red font are the derivatives of |x'-t| in the 2 integrals. (The derivative of an integral may include terms caused by the bounds of the interval changing, but this is not a concern here.) At the minimum, this derivative is zero,

$$\int_{t=-\infty}^{x'} f_X(X=t|V=v)dt = \int_{t=x'}^{+\infty} f_X(X=t|V=v)dt.$$
 (7)

How does (7) relate to what we wanted to show, (6)?

(d) Recall that X takes values -1 or +1, each with probability 0.5. What is the optimal ℓ_1 denoising function, $\eta(v)$? (Hint: your actual answer will have a very simple form.)