

# Discrete Methods

Giorgio Grigolo

February 25, 2022

## Contents

|          |  |          |
|----------|--|----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Tuples, Permutations Sets and Multisets</b> | <b>1</b> |
| 1.1      | Repetition and Order . . . . .                 | 2        |
| 1.2      | <del>Repetition</del> and Order . . . . .      | 3        |
| 1.2.1    | Stirling's Approximation . . . . .             | 4        |

## 1 Tuples, Permutations Sets and Multisets

**Proposition 1.1.**  $|X \times Y| = |X| \cdot |Y|$

*Proof.* Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$  be the elements of  $X$  and let  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  be the elements of  $Y$ .  $X \times Y$  consists of all the pairs with the choices for the first element from  $X$  and the choices for the second element from  $Y$ . The number of elements of  $X \times Y$  is the sum of  $n$   $m$ 's, i.e.  $mn$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.2.** If  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$  are mutually disjoint sets, then  $|A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n| = |A_1| + |A_2| + \dots + |A_k|$ , i.e.:

$$\left| \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k \right| = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |A_k|$$

*Proof.* By induction on  $k$ . This proposition is a special case of the **Inclusion-Exclusion** principle where the unions of all the sets are the empty set and thus have size 0.  $\square$

## 1.1 Repetition and Order

Consider the set  $[5] = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ . Suppose we had to pick 4 numbers from this set, possibly with repetition. So the set of all choices would look like the following:

$$[5]^4 = \{(1, 1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 2, 1), \dots, (5, 5, 5, 5)\}$$

which is equivalent to  $[5] \times [5] \times [5] \times [5]$ . The following proposition will enlighten us on how to calculate the size of said set.

**Proposition 1.3.** For all  $k \geq 2$  sets  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k$ ,

$$|X_1 \times X_2 \times \dots \times X_k| = |X_1| \times |X_2| \times \dots \times |X_k|.$$

*Proof.* By induction on  $k$ , the base case  $k = 2$  is given by **Proposition 1.1**. Now, consider  $k \geq 3$ . Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$  be the elements of  $X_k$  and  $A = X_1 \times X_2 \times \dots \times X_k$ , or rather

$$A = \{x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{1k}\} \times \{x_{21}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{2k}\} \times \dots \times \{x_{k1}, x_{k2}, \dots, x_{kk}\}.$$

For  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $A_j$  be the set of  $k$ -tuples ending in  $x_j \in X_1$ . Therefore, a  $k$ -tuple is in  $A_j$  if and only if its first  $k-1$  entries form a  $(k-1)$ -tuple in  $X_1 \times X_2 \times \dots \times X_{k-1}$  and its  $k$ -th entry is  $x_j$ . Thus,  $|A_j|$ , or the number of  $k$ -tuples in  $A_j$  is  $|X_1 \times X_2 \times \dots \times X_{k-1}|$ , which is  $|X_1| \times |X_2| \times \dots \times |X_{k-1}|$  by the inductive hypothesis.  $A_j \subseteq A$ .

Next, we show that  $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ . Let  $a \in A$ . Then, the  $k$ -th entry of  $a$  is  $x_i$  for some  $i \in [n]$ . So  $a \in A_i$  and hence  $a \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$  and therefore  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ . Now let  $b \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ . Then,  $b$  is an element of at least one set of  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ . Since  $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}, A_j \subseteq A$ , we have  $b \in A$  and therefore  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \subseteq A$ . Finally,  $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ .

Now,  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  are mutually disjoint, because for any two sets  $A_i$  and  $A_j$  with  $i \neq j$ , any  $k$ -tuple in  $A_i$  ends with  $x_i$ , whilst any  $k$ -tuple in  $A_j$  ends with  $x_j$ . This fact allows us to use **Proposition 1.2** as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_k \\ |A| &= |A_1| + |A_2| + \dots + |A_n| \\ &= \underbrace{|X_1| \times |X_2| \times \dots \times |X_{k-1}|}_{n \text{ times}} \\ &= (|X_1| \times |X_2| \times \dots \times |X_{k-1}|)n \\ &= |X_1| \times |X_2| \times \dots \times |X_{k-1}| \times |X_k| \end{aligned}$$

$$n = |X_k|$$

□

**Corollary 1.4.** For any  $k \geq 2$  and any set  $X$ ,

$$|X^k| = |X|^k.$$

*Proof.* This is given by **Proposition 1.3** with  $X_1 = X_2 = \dots = X_k = X$  □

## 1.2 Repetition and Order

Suppose we had to choose  $n$  objects from  $k$  distinct objects, that each object can only be chosen once, and that the order in which we picked the  $n$  things mattered. We can label the set of  $n$  objects  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\} = [n]$ . Each unique possibility can be represented by a  $k$ -tuple  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ , but this time we have  $a_1 \neq a_2 \neq \dots \neq a_k$ , or rather, all  $a_k$  are distinct elements from  $[n]$ . Let  $P_n^k$  be the set of such  $k$ -tuples defined as follows:

$$P_n^k = \{ (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \in [n]^k : a_1 \neq a_2 \neq \dots \neq a_k \}.$$

The problem is now reduced into finding the size of  $P_n^k$ , since any  $k$ -tuple in this set represents a valid possibility, and all possibilities must be in this form.

**Note.** Since we cannot choose more objects ( $k$ ) than the amount we have ( $n$ ) we have to assume  $n$  is at least  $k$ . So we have:

$$P_n^k = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow k \leq n.$$

For example, if we had to pick 3 objects from a pool of 4, so  $n = 4$  and  $k = 3$ , then the possibilities would be:

$$\begin{aligned} &(1, 2, 3), (1, 3, 2), (2, 1, 3), (2, 3, 1), (3, 1, 2), (3, 2, 1), \\ &(1, 2, 4), (1, 4, 2), (2, 1, 4), (2, 4, 1), (4, 1, 2), (4, 2, 1), \\ &(1, 3, 4), (1, 4, 3), (3, 1, 4), (3, 4, 1), (4, 1, 3), (4, 3, 1), \\ &(2, 3, 4), (2, 4, 3), (3, 2, 4), (3, 4, 2), (4, 2, 3), (4, 3, 2). \end{aligned}$$

**Recall.** The product  $n \times (n-1) \times (n-2) \dots \times 2 \times 1$  is represented by  $n!$ . In general,  $\prod_{i=1}^n a_i$  represents the product  $a_1 \times a_2 \times \dots \times a_n$ . Thus,  $n! = \prod_{i=1}^n i$ . We define  $0!$  as 1.

**Proposition 1.5.** For  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,

$$|P_n^k| = n \times (n-1) \times (n-2) \times \dots \times (n-k+1) = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!}$$

*Proof.* By induction on  $k$ . Consider the base case  $k = 1$ . The set  $P_n^1$  is  $\{(1), (2), \dots, (n)\}$  and has size  $n = \frac{n!}{(n-1)!}$  as required.

Now consider  $k \geq 2$ . For each  $i \in [n]$ , let  $A_i$  be the set of tuples in  $P_n^k$  which end with  $i$ . Then, a tuple  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \in P_n^k$  is in  $A_i$  if and only if  $a_k = i$  and  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}$  are distinct elements of  $[n] \setminus \{i\}$ . So, a tuple in  $A_i$  would look like the following:

$$A_i = \{(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{k-1}, i) : a_1 \neq a_2 \neq \dots \neq a_{k-1} \wedge \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, a_j \in [n] \setminus \{i\}\}$$

Thus, size of  $A_i$  is the number of  $(k-1)$ -tuples such that  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}$  are distinct elements of  $[n] \setminus \{i\}$ . By applying the inductive hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned} |A_i| &= |P_{n-1}^{k-1}| \\ &= \frac{(n-1)!}{((n-1) - (k-1))!} = \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)!} \end{aligned}$$

By an argument similar to that in **Proposition 1.3**, we have that  $P_n^k = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$  and that  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  are mutually disjoint. By **Proposition 1.2**,

$$|P_n^k| = |A_1| + |A_2| + \dots + |A_n|$$

as required.  $\square$

**Note.**  $P_n^n$  is the set of all permutations of the elements of set  $[n]$ . Therefore, the number of permutations of a set of  $n$  objects is  $\frac{n!}{(n-n)!} = n!$ . We can refer to  $P_n^k$  as the set of permutations of the  $k$ -element subsets of  $[n]$ .

### 1.2.1 Stirling's Approximation

For two functions  $f$  and  $g$  we write that  $f(n) \sim g(n)$  if

$$\frac{f(n)}{g(n)} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

A result using this notation is **Stirling's Approximation**, which approximates the value of  $n!$ , goes as follows:

$$n! \sim \sqrt{2n\pi} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n$$

A direct consequence of **Stirling's Approximation** gives us this result, quotable without proof:

$$\left(\frac{n}{e}\right) \leq n! \leq \frac{(n+1)^{n+1}}{e^n}.$$