

S O L V E
3 3 3 2 3

FB1 Using the Euclidean algorithm, find $\text{hcf}(86, 100)$, and use this to find integers s, t such that $\text{hcf}(86, 100) = 86s + 100t$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hcf}(86, 100) \\ 100 &= 86 \times 1 + 14 && \text{Final non-zero remainder in the Euclidean algorithm} \\ 86 &= 14 \times 6 + 2 && \text{is the highest common factor, hence } \text{hcf}(86, 100) = 2 \\ 14 &= 2 \times 7 + 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{hcf}(86, 100) = 86s + 100t \quad \text{hcf}(86, 100) = 2 \quad 2 = 86s + 100t$$

$$100 = 86 \times 1 + 14 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 14 = 100 + 86 \times (-1)$$

I substitute $100 + 86 \times (-1)$ for 14 in the equation $2 = 86 + 14 \times (-6)$

$$2 = 86 + (100 + 86(-1))(-6)$$

$$2 = 86 + 100(-6) + 86(6)$$

$$2 = 86 \times 7 + 100 \times (-6)$$

$$s = 7 \text{ and } t = -6$$

5

FB2 Which positive integers have exactly three positive divisors?

If a number has 3 positive divisors one of them is a 1, another is itself and the 3rd one is some other integer.

Lets say that x resembles the integers we are looking for, y represents the 3rd divisor apart from 1 and x itself and b represents the outcome of $x \div y$. $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$
Also $b \in \mathbb{N}$ because if it doesn't then $x \nmid y$.

$x \div y = b$ y must be equal to b because if it wasn't then a and b would be 2 separate divisors of x and that would mean that there would be at least 4 divisors for x . (1, a, b, x)

$x \div y = y$ y must be a prime because if it wasn't it could be factored into smaller integers and they too would be divisors for x .

We know that $x \div y = y$ and y is a prime, we can solve the equation for x and we get $x = y^2$.

The integers which have exactly 3 divisors are prime squares, $x = y^2$ $\{y \in \mathbb{N} \mid y \text{ is prime}\}$

See solutions

3

FB3 Let $f(x) = 2 - |4x - 2|$. Show that there is no value of c such that $f(3) - f(0) = f'(c)(3 - 0)$. Why does this not contradict the Mean Value Theorem?

$$f(3) = 2 - |4 \times 3 - 2| = 2 - 10 = -8$$

$$f(0) = 2 - |4 \times 0 - 2| = 2 - 2 = 0$$

$$f(3) - f(0) = -8 - 0 = -8 \quad \checkmark$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}|u| = \frac{u}{|u|} \cdot \frac{d}{dx}u$$

$$f'(x) = \frac{d}{dx}2 - \frac{d}{dx}|4x - 2| = 0 - \frac{4x - 2}{|4x - 2|} \cdot 4 = -\frac{4(4x - 2)}{|4x - 2|}$$

$$f'(x) \text{ domain } \{x \in \mathbb{R} | x \neq 0.5\} \quad \checkmark$$

$$f(3) - f(0) = f'(c)(3 - 0)$$

$$f'(c) = \frac{f(3) - f(0)}{3 - 0}$$

I substitute $f(3)$, $f(0)$ and $f'(c)$ in $f'(c) = \frac{f(3) - f(0)}{3 - 0}$.

$$-\frac{4(4c - 2)}{|4c - 2|} = \frac{-8}{3} \quad c \neq 0.5$$

$$\frac{4c - 2}{|4c - 2|} = \frac{2}{3}$$

$$3(4c - 2) = 2|4c - 2|$$

$f(3) - f(0) = f'(c)(3 - 0)$ is false $\forall c$ because of the 2 bullet points below.

- $f(3) - f(0) = f'(c)(3 - 0)$ is not defined for $c=0.5$ because $f'(0.5) \nexists$ (V)
- For $c > 0.5$ the equation $f(3) - f(0) = f'(c)(3 - 0)$ is false.

$$\text{if } c > 0.5$$

$$3(4c - 2) = 2|4c - 2|$$

$$3(4c - 2) = 2(4c - 2)$$

$$3 = 2$$

- For $c < 0.5$ the equation $f(3) - f(0) = f'(c)(3 - 0)$ is false.

$$\text{if } c < 0.5$$

$$3(4c - 2) = 2|4c - 2|$$

$$3(4c - 2) = 2(2 - 4c)$$

$$-3(2 - 4c) = 2(2 - 4c)$$

$$-3 = 2$$

5

It does not contradict the mean value theorem because it can not be applied, and that is because it is not differentiable at $c = 0.5$. Cannot be applied, therefore it has to say about the mean value theorem and it does not contradict it.