LAISelf and Peer Evaluation of Group
Project CS 418

5 = Excellent work; was crucial component to group's success
4 = Very strong work; contributed significantly to group
3 = Sufficient effort; contributed adequately to group
2 = Insufficient effort; met minimal standards of group
1 = Little or weak effort; was detrimental to group♣

SELF Evaluation (Name: Liang Liu   ):

 5   Participation in developing ideas and planning project

 5   Willingness to discuss the ideas of others

 5   Cooperation with other group members

 5   Interest and enthusiasm in project

 5   Participation in leading/facilitating discussion

 5   Ease and familiarity with discussion material

PEER Evaluation (Partner 1: Seungbin   ):

 5   Participation in developing ideas and planning project

 5   Willingness to discuss the ideas of others

 5   Cooperation with other group members

 5   Interest and enthusiasm in project

 5   Participation in leading/facilitating discussion

 5   Ease and familiarity with discussion material

♣ An assessment of "1" or "0"requires a written explanation.

PEER Evaluation (Partner 2: Hongcheng Wu   ):

 5   Participation in developing ideas and planning project

 5   Willingness to discuss the ideas of others

 5   Cooperation with other group members

 5   Interest and enthusiasm in project

 5   Participation in leading/facilitating discussion

 5   Ease and familiarity with discussion material

Self-Reflection

  What did you learn from the experience?
Experience about how to prepare data, vitalize data.

  What do you think went well?

Everything has been taught from class and homework, not that hard, everything goes well

  What would you have done differently, given the opportunity?

Get states' name to abbreviation data from github that save much time, learn to read documentation.

  Do you have any other comments or suggestions about the project?
  No, that is good