

Self and Peer Evaluation of Group Project
CS 418

- 5 = Excellent work; was crucial component to group's success
4 = Very strong work; contributed significantly to group
3 = Sufficient effort; contributed adequately to group
2 = Insufficient effort; met minimal standards of group
1 = Little or weak effort; was detrimental to group*

SELF Evaluation (Name: Hongcheng Wu):

- 5 Participation in developing ideas and planning project
5 Willingness to discuss the ideas of others
5 Cooperation with other group members
5 Interest and enthusiasm in project
5 Participation in leading/facilitating discussion
5 Ease and familiarity with discussion material

PEER Evaluation (Partner 1: Seungbin Yang):

- 5 Participation in developing ideas and planning project
5 Willingness to discuss the ideas of others
5 Cooperation with other group members
5 Interest and enthusiasm in project
5 Participation in leading/facilitating discussion
5 Ease and familiarity with discussion material

* An assessment of "1" or "0" requires a written explanation.

PEER Evaluation (Partner 2: Liang Liu):

5 Participation in developing ideas and planning project

5 Willingness to discuss the ideas of others

5 Cooperation with other group members

5 Interest and enthusiasm in project

5 Participation in leading/facilitating discussion

5 Ease and familiarity with discussion material

Self-Reflection

What did you learn from the experience?

When we comparison between two group, we need to scaled them to percentage

What do you think went well?

Everyone collaborated very well, we started early and finished early

What would you have done differently, given the opportunity?

The methods are different

Do you have any other comments or suggestions about the project?

No, everything went well