

## Self and Peer Evaluation of Group Project CS 418

- 5 = Excellent work; was crucial component to group's success
- 4 = Very strong work; contributed significantly to group
- 3 = Sufficient effort; contributed adequately to group
- 2 = Insufficient effort; met minimal standards of group
- 1 = Little or weak effort; was detrimental to group\*

### SELF Evaluation (Name: Seungbin Yang):

- 5 Participation in developing ideas and planning project
- 5 Willingness to discuss the ideas of others
- 5 Cooperation with other group members
- 5 Interest and enthusiasm in project
- 5 Participation in leading/facilitating discussion
- 5 Ease and familiarity with discussion material

### PEER Evaluation (Partner 1: Liang Liu):

- 5 Participation in developing ideas and planning project
- 5 Willingness to discuss the ideas of others
- 5 Cooperation with other group members
- 5 Interest and enthusiasm in project
- 5 Participation in leading/facilitating discussion
- 5 Ease and familiarity with discussion material

---

\* An assessment of "1" or "0" requires a written explanation.

**PEER Evaluation (Partner 2: Hongcheng Wu):**

5 Participation in developing ideas and planning project

5 Willingness to discuss the ideas of others

5 Cooperation with other group members

5 Interest and enthusiasm in project

5 Participation in leading/facilitating discussion

5 Ease and familiarity with discussion material

**Self-Reflection**

What did you learn from the experience?

I can learn about data preparation and exploratory data analysis.

I can learn how to deal with the dataframe and how to approach to each column.

What do you think went well?

Discussion with team members, everyone attended well, and gives great idea to do project.

What would you have done differently, given the opportunity?

For getting the same result, use different code, and try.

Do you have any other comments or suggestions about the project?\

NO.