



## Applied Data Science Capstone



Neveen Kuehler

# Outline

- Executive Summary
- Introduction
- Methodology
- Results
- Conclusion
- Appendix

# Executive Summary

The aim of this research is to study data from SpaceX Falcon 9 using different sources and use Machine Learning to guess if the rocket's first stage will land successfully. This information can help other space agencies decide if they want to compete with SpaceX.

Here's a simplified version of the summary of methodologies and results:

## Summary of How We Did It:

1. We gathered data from APIs and websites.
2. We organized and cleaned the data.
3. We looked at the data using SQL and visuals.
4. We made a map to see how close launch sites are.
5. We created a dashboard for interactive analysis.
6. Lastly, we made a model to predict successful landings.

## Summary of What We Found:

1. We have results from analyzing the data.
2. We made visuals and interactive dashboards.
3. We also have predictions from our model.

# Introduction

## Project Background:

With private space travel gaining popularity, the cost of launching into space is a significant hurdle for new competitors. SpaceX's ability to reuse the first stage of its Falcon 9 rocket gives it a competitive edge, costing around \$62 million per launch compared to competitors spending about \$165 million.

## Research Objectives:

1. Predict SpaceX Falcon 9 First Stage Landings: Determine if the first stage of SpaceX's Falcon 9 rocket will land successfully.
2. Impact of Variables on Landing Success: Analyze how factors like launch site, payload mass, booster version, etc., influence landing outcomes.
3. Launch Site Success Correlations: Investigate whether specific launch sites are associated with higher success rates for first stage landings.

# Methodology


- Data collection methodology
- Perform data wrangling
- Perform exploratory data analysis (EDA) using visualization and SQL
- Perform interactive visual analytics using Folium and Plotly Dash
- Perform predictive analysis using classification models

# Data Collection

- Data collection is the process of gathering data from available sources. This data can be structured, unstructured, or semi-structured. For this project, data was collected via SpaceX API and Web scrapping Wiki pages for relevant launch data.

## SpaceX API

## Web scraping data from Wiki



# Data Collection - SpaceX API

```
spacex_url="https://api.spacexdata.com/v4/launches/past"
```

```
response = requests.get(spacex_url)
```

```
# Use json_normalize method to convert the json  
data = pd.json_normalize(response.json())
```

```
#Global variables  
BoosterVersion = []  
PayloadMass = []  
Orbit = []  
LaunchSite = []  
Outcome = []  
Flights = []  
GridFins = []  
● Reused = []  
Legs = []  
LandingPad = []  
Block = []  
ReusedCount = []  
Serial = []  
Longitude = []  
Latitude = []
```

```
# Create a data from launch_dict  
df_launch = pd.DataFrame(launch_dict)
```

```
# Hint data['BoosterVersion']!='Falcon 1'  
data_falcon9 = df_launch[df_launch['BoosterVersion']!='Falcon 1']
```

```
data_falcon9.to_csv('dataset_part_1.csv', index=False)
```

## 1.API Request and Reading Response into a DataFrame:

1. Send a request to the API to fetch data.
2. Read the API response into a DataFrame (DF).

## 2.Declare Global Variables:

1. Define global variables to store data fetched from the API.

## 3.Call Helper Functions with API Calls to Populate Global Variables:

1. Create helper functions that make API calls and retrieve specific data.
2. Use these functions to populate the global variables declared earlier.

## 4.Construct Data Using Dictionary:

1. Create a dictionary to organize the collected data.

## 5.Convert Dictionary to DataFrame and Filter for Falcon 9 Launches, then Convert to CSV:

1. Convert the dictionary into a DataFrame.
2. Apply filters to select data related to Falcon 9 launches.
3. Convert the filtered DataFrame into a CSV file for further analysis.

We can now export it to a CSV for the next section, but to make the answers consistent, in the next lab we will provide data in a pre-selected date range.

```
df.to_csv("dataset_part_2.csv", index=False)
```

# Data Collection - Scraping

```
static_url = "https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=List_of_Falcon_9_and_Falcon_Heavy_launches&oldid=1027686922"
```

```
html_data = requests.get(static_url).text
```

```
soup = BeautifulSoup(html_data,"html.parser")
```

```
html_tables = soup.find_all ('table')
```

```
column_names = []
```

```
# Apply find_all() function with `th` element on first table  
# Iterate each th element and apply the provided extract_column_name function  
# Append the Non-empty column name (if name is not None)  
colnames = soup.find_all('th')  
for x in range (len(colnames)):  
    name2 = extract_column_from_header(colnames[x])  
    if (name2 is not None and len(name2) > 3):  
        column_names.append(name2)
```

```
def date_time(table_cells):
```

```
def booster_version(table_cells):
```

```
def landing_status(table_cells):
```

```
def get_mass(table_cells):
```

```
df=pd.DataFrame(launch_dict)
```

Perform HTTP GET Request to Request HTML Page:

Use a library like requests to send an HTTP GET request to the web page you want to scrape.

Retrieve the HTML content of the page.

Create BeautifulSoup Object:

Create a BeautifulSoup object from the HTML content to parse and extract data from it.

Extract Column Names from HTML Table Header:

Locate the HTML table containing the data you need.

Extract the column names from the table header.

Create a Dictionary with Keys from Extracted Column Names:

Create an empty dictionary with keys based on the extracted column names.

Call Helper Functions to Fill Up Dictionary with Launch Records:

Write helper functions that navigate the HTML structure to extract launch records.

Use these functions to populate the dictionary with launch data.

Convert Dictionary to DataFrame:

Convert the populated dictionary into a DataFrame.



# Data Wrangling

- Conducted Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) to find patterns in data and define labels for training supervised models
- The data set contained various mission outcomes that were converted into Training Labels with 1 meaning the booster successfully landed and 0 meaning booster was unsuccessful in landing. Following landing scenarios were considered to create labels:
  - True Ocean means the mission outcome was successfully landed to a specific region of the ocean
  - False Ocean means the mission outcome was unsuccessfully landed to a specific region of the ocean
  - RTL means the mission outcome was successfully landed to a ground pad
  - False RTL means the mission outcome was unsuccessfully landed to a ground pad
  - True ASDS means the mission outcome was successfully landed on a drone ship
  - False ASDS means the mission outcome was unsuccessfully landed on a drone ship

# Data Wrangling - cont'd

## 1. Load dataset in to Dataframe

### 1. Load SpaceX dataset (csv) in to a Dataframe

```
df=pd.read_csv("https://cf-courses-data.s3.us.cloud-object-storage.appd  
art_1.csv")
```

## 2. Find patterns in data

### 2. Find data patterns:

#### i. Calculate the number of launches on each site

```
df['LaunchSite'].value_counts()
```

```
CCAFS SLC 40    55  
KSC LC 39A     22  
VAFB SLC 4E     13
```

#### ii. Calculate the number and occurrence of each orbit

```
df['Orbit'].value_counts()
```

```
GTO    27  
ISS    21  
VLEO   14  
PO      9  
LEO     7  
SSO     5  
MEO     3  
GEO     1  
HEO     1  
SO      1  
ES-L1   1
```

#### iii. Calculate number/occurrence of mission outcomes per orbit type

```
landing_outcomes = df['Outcome'].value_counts()
```

## 3. Create landing outcome label

### 3. Create a landing outcome label from Outcome column in the Dataframe

```
# Landing_class = 0 if bad_outcome  
# Landing_class = 1 otherwise
```

```
landing_class = []  
for i in df['Outcome']:  
    if i in bad_outcomes:  
        landing_class.append(0)  
    else:  
        landing_class.append(1)
```

```
df['Class']=landing_class  
df[['Class']].head(8)
```

	Class
0	0
1	0
2	0
3	0
4	0

# EDA with Data Visualization

- As part of the Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA), following charts were plotted to gain further insights into the dataset:

## 1. Scatter plot:

- Shows relationship or correlation between two variables making patterns easy to observe
- Plotted following charts to visualize:
  - Relationship between Flight Number and Launch Site
  - Relationship between Payload and Launch Site
  - Relationship between Flight Number and Orbit Type
  - Relationship between Payload and Orbit Type

## 2. Bar Chart:

- Commonly used to compare the values of a variable at a given point in time. Bar charts makes it easy to see which groups are highest/common and how other groups compare against each other. Length of each bar is proportional to the value of the items that it represents
- Plotted following Bar chart to visualize:
  - Relationship between success rate of each orbit type

## 3. Line Chart:

- Commonly used to track changes over a period of time. It helps depict trends over time.
- Plotted following Line chart to observe:
  - Average launch success yearly trend

# EDA with SQL

- ◀ To better understand SpaceX data set, following SQL queries/operations were
- ▶ performed on an IBM DB2 cloud instance:
  1. Display the names of the unique launch sites in the space mission
  2. Display 5 records where launch sites begin with the string 'CCA'
  3. Display the total payload mass carried by boosters launched by NASA (CRS)
  4. Display average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1
  5. List the date when the first successful landing outcome in ground pad was achieved.
  6. List the names of the boosters which have success in drone ship and have payload mass greater than 4000 but less than 6000
  7. List the total number of successful and failure mission outcomes
  8. List the names of the booster\_versions which have carried the maximum payload mass. Use a subquery
  9. List the failed landing\_outcomes in drone ship, their booster versions, and launch site names for in year 2015
  10. Rank the count of landing outcomes (such as Failure (drone ship) or Success (ground pad))

# Build an Interactive Map with Folium

- Folium interactive map helps analyze geospatial data to perform more interactive visual analytics and better understand factors such location and proximity of launch sites that impact launch success rate.
- Following map object were created and added to the map:
  - Mark all launch sites on the map. This allowed to visually see the launch sites on the map.
    - Added 'folium.circle' and 'folium.marker' to highlight circle area with a text label over each launch site.
  - Added a 'MarkerCluster()' to show launch success (green) and failure (red) markers for each launch site.
  - Calculated distances between a launch site to its proximities (e.g., coastline, railroad, highway, city)
    - Added 'MousePosition()' to get coordinate for a mouse position over a point on the map
    - Added 'folium.Marker()' to display distance (in KM) on the point on the map (e.g., coastline, railroad, highway, city)
    - Added 'folium.Polyline()' to draw a line between the point on the map and the launch site
    - Repeated steps above to add markers and draw lines between launch sites and proximities – coastline, railroad, highway, city)
- Building the Interactive Map with Folium helped answered following questions:
  - Are launch sites in close proximity to railways? YES
  - Are launch sites in close proximity to highways? YES
  - Are launch sites in close proximity to coastline? YES
  - Do launch sites keep certain distance away from cities? YES

# Build a Dashboard with Plotly Dash

- Built a Plotly Dash web application to perform interactive visual analytics on SpaceX launch data in real-time. Added Launch Site Drop-down, Pie Chart, Payload range slide, and a Scatter chart to the Dashboard.
  1. Added a Launch Site Drop-down Input component to the dashboard to provide an ability to filter Dashboard visual by all launch sites or a particular launch site
  2. Added a Pie Chart to the Dashboard to show total success launches when 'All Sites' is selected and show success and failed counts when a particular site is selected
  3. Added a Payload range slider to the Dashboard to easily select different payload ranges to identify visual patterns
  4. Added a Scatter chart to observe how payload may be correlated with mission outcomes for selected site(s). The color-label Booster version on each scatter point provided missions outcomes with different boosters
- Dashboard helped answer following questions:
  1. Which site has the largest successful launches? [KSC LC-39A with 10](#)
  2. Which site has the highest launch success rate? [KSC LC-39A with 76.9% success](#)
  3. Which payload range(s) has the highest launch success rate? [2000 – 5000 kg](#)
  4. Which payload range(s) has the lowest launch success rate? [0-2000 and 5500 - 7000](#)
  5. Which F9 Booster version (v1.0, v1.1, FT, B4, B5, etc.) has the highest launch success rate? [FT](#)

# Predictive Analysis (Classification)

1. Read dataset into Dataframe and create a 'Class' array

2. Standardize the data

3. Train/Test/Split data in to training and test data sets

4. Create and Refine Models

5. Find the best performing Model

1. Load SpaceX dataset (csv) in to a Dataframe and create NumPy array from the column class in data

```
data = pd.read_csv("https://cf-courses-data.s3.us.cloud-object-et_part_2.csv")
```

```
Y = data['Class'].to_numpy()
```

2. Standardize data in X then reassign to variable X using transform

```
X= preprocessing.StandardScaler().fit(X).transform(X)
```

3. Train/test/split X and Y in to training and test data sets.

```
# Split data for training and testing data sets
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
X_train, X_test, Y_train, Y_test = train_test_split(X, Y, test_size=0.2, random_state=2)
print('Train set:', X_train.shape, Y_train.shape)
print('Test set:', X_test.shape, Y_test.shape)
```

4. Create and refine Models based on following classification Algorithms: (below is LR example)

- Create Logistic Regression object and then create a GridSearchCV object
- Fit train data set in to the GridSearchCV object and train the Model

```
parameters = {"C": [0.01, 0.1, 1], 'penalty': ['l2'], 'solver': ['lbfgs']}
LR = LogisticRegression()
logreg_cv = GridSearchCV(LR, parameters, cv=10)
logreg_cv.fit(X_train, Y_train)
```

- Find and display best hyperparameters and accuracy score

```
print("tuned hpyerparameters :(best parameters) ", logreg_cv.best_params_)
print("accuracy :", logreg_cv.best_score_)
```

- Check the accuracy on the test data by creating a confusion matrix

```
yhat=logreg_cv.predict(X_test)
plot_confusion_matrix(Y_test, yhat)
```

- Repeat above steps for Decision Tree, KNN, and SVM algorithms

3. Find the best performing model

```
Model_Performance_df = pd.DataFrame({'Algo Type': ['Logistic Regression', 'SVM', 'Decision Tree', 'KNN'],
'Accuracy Score': [logreg_cv.best_score_, svm_cv.best_score_, tree_cv.best_score_, knn_cv.best_score_],
'Test Data Accuracy Score': [logreg_cv.score(X_test, Y_test), svm_cv.score(X_test, Y_test), tree_cv.score(X_test, Y_test), knn_cv.score(X_test, Y_test)]})
```


```
i = Model_Performance_df['Accuracy Score'].idxmax()
print('The best performing algorithm is ' + Model_Performance_df['Algo Type'][i]
+ ' with score ' + str(Model_Performance_df['Accuracy Score'][i]))
```

The best performing algorithm is Decision Tree with score 0.875

	Algo Type	Accuracy Score	Test Data Accuracy Score
2	Decision Tree	0.875000	0.833333
3	KNN	0.848214	0.833333
1	SVM	0.848214	0.833333
0	Logistic Regression	0.846429	0.833333




# Payload vs. Launch Site



- For launch site 'VAFB SLC 4E', there are no rockets launched for payload greater than 10,000 kg
- Percentage of successful launch (Class=1) increases for launch site 'VAFB SLC 4E' as the payload mass increases
- There is no clear correlation or pattern between launch site and payload mass




# Success Rate vs. Orbit Type




- Orbits ES-LI, GEO, HEO, and SSO have the highest success rates
- GTO orbit has the lowest success rate

# Flight Number vs. Orbit Type




- For orbit VLEO, first successful landing (class=1) doesn't occur until 60+ number of flights
- For most orbits (LEO, ISS, PO, SSO, MEO, VLEO) successful landing rates appear to increase with flight numbers
- There is no relationship between flight number and orbit for GTO

# Payload vs. Orbit Type



- Successful landing rates (Class=1) appear to increase with pay load for orbits LEO, ISS, PO, and SSO
- For GEOorbit, there is not clear pattern between payload and orbit for successful or unsuccessful landing

# Launch Success Yearly Trend



- Success rate (Class=1) increased by about 80% between 2013 and 2020
- Success rates remained the same between 2010 and 2013 and between 2014 and 2015
- Success rates decreased between 2017 and 2018 and between 2019 and 2020

# All Launch Site Names

- Query:

```
select distinct Launch_Site from spacextbl
```

- Description:

- 'distinct' returns only unique values from the queries column (Launch\_Site)
- There are 4 unique launch sites

- Result:

launch_site
CCAFS LC-40
CCAFS SLC-40
KSC LC-39A
VAFB SLC-4E

# Launch Site Names Begin with 'CCA'

- Query:

```
select * from spacextbl where Launch_Site LIKE 'CCA%' limit 5;
```

- Description:

- Using keyword 'Like' and format 'CCA%', returns records where 'Launch\_Site' column starts with "CCA".
- Limit 5, limits the number of returned records to 5

- Result:

DATE	time_utc	booster_version	launch_site	payload	payload_mass_kg	orbit	customer	mission_outcome	landing_outcome
2010-04-06	18:45:00	F9 v1.0 B0003	CCAFS LC-40	Dragon Spacecraft Qualification Unit	0	LEO	SpaceX	Success	Failure (parachute)
2010-08-12	15:43:00	F9 v1.0 B0004	CCAFS LC-40	Dragon demo flight C1, two CubeSats, barrel of Brouere cheese	0	LEO (ISS)	NASA (COTS) NRO	Success	Failure (parachute)
2012-05-22	7:44:00	F9 v1.0 B0005	CCAFS LC-40	Dragon demo flight C2	525	LEO (ISS)	NASA (COTS)	Success	No attempt
2012-08-10	0:35:00	F9 v1.0 B0006	CCAFS LC-40	SpaceX CRS-1	500	LEO (ISS)	NASA (CRS)	Success	No attempt
2013-01-03	15:10:00	F9 v1.0 B0007	CCAFS LC-40	SpaceX CRS-2	677	LEO (ISS)	NASA (CRS)	Success	No attempt

# Total Payload Mass

- Query:

```
select sum(PAYLOAD_MASS_KG_) from spacextbl where Customer = 'NASA (CRS)'
```

- Description:

- 'sum' adds column 'PAYLOAD\_MASS\_KG\_' and returns total payload mass for customers named 'NASA (CRS)'

- Result:

45596
-------

# Average Payload Mass by F9 v1.1

- Query:

```
select avg(PAYLOAD_MASS__KG_) from spacextbl where Booster_Version LIKE 'F9 v1.1'
```

- Description:

- 'avg' keyword returns the average of payload mass in 'PAYLOAD\_MASS\_KG' column where booster version is 'F9 v1.1'

- Result:

2928
------



# First Successful Ground Landing Date

- Query:

```
select min(Date) as min_date from spacextbl where Landing_Outcome = 'Success (ground pad)';
```

- Description:

- 'min(Date)' selects the first or the oldest date from the 'Date' column where first successful landing on group pad was achieved
- Where clause defines the criteria to return date for scenarios where 'Landing\_Outcome' value is equal to 'Success (ground pad)'

- Result:

min_date
2015-12-22

## Successful Drone Ship Landing with Payload between 4000 and 6000

- Query:

```
select Booster_Version from spacextbl where (PAYLOAD_MASS__KG_ > 4000 and PAYLOAD_MASS__KG_ < 6000)  
and (Landing__Outcome = 'Success (drone ship)');
```

- Description:

- The query finds the booster version where payload mass is greater than 4000 but less than 6000 and the landing outcome is success in drone ship
- The 'and' operator in the where clause returns booster versions where both conditions in the where clause are true

- Result:

booster_version
F9 FT B1022
F9 FT B1026
F9 FT B1021.2
F9 FT B1031.2

# Total Number of Successful and Failure Mission Outcomes

- Query:

```
select Mission_Outcome, count(Mission_Outcome) as counts from spacextbl group by Mission_Outcome
```

- Description:

- The 'group by' keyword arranges identical data in a column in to group
- In this case, number of mission outcomes by types of outcomes are grouped in column 'counts'

- Result:

mission_outcome	counts
Failure (in flight)	1
Success	99
Success (payload status unclear)	1

# Boosters Carried Maximum Payload

- Query:

```
select Booster_Version, PAYLOAD_MASS_KG_ from spacextbl where PAYLOAD_MASS_KG_ = (select max(PAYLOAD_MASS_KG_) from spacextbl)
```

- Description:

- The sub query returns the maximum payload mass by using keyword 'max' on the payload mass column
- The main query returns booster versions and respective payload mass where payload mass is maximum with value of 15600

booster_version	payload_mass_kg_
F9 B5 B1048.4	15600
F9 B5 B1049.4	15600
F9 B5 B1051.3	15600
F9 B5 B1056.4	15600
F9 B5 B1048.5	15600
F9 B5 B1051.4	15600
F9 B5 B1049.5	15600
F9 B5 B1060.2	15600
F9 B5 B1058.3	15600
F9 B5 B1051.6	15600
F9 B5 B1060.3	15600
F9 B5 B1049.7	15600

- Result:

# 2015 Launch Records

- Query:

```
select Landing__Outcome, Booster_Version, Launch_Site from spacextbl where Landing__Outcome = 'Failure (drone ship)' and year(Date) = '2015'
```

- Description:

- The query lists landing outcome, booster version, and the launch site where landing outcome is failed in drone ship and the year is 2015
- The 'and' operator in the where clause returns booster versions where both conditions in the where clause are true
- The 'year' keyword extracts the year from column 'Date'
- The results identify launch site as 'CCAFSLC-40' and booster version as F9 v1.1 B1012 and B1015 that had failed landing outcomes in drop ship in the year 2015

- Result:

landing__outcome	booster_version	launch_site
Failure (drone ship)	F9 v1.1 B1012	CCAFS LC-40
Failure (drone ship)	F9 v1.1 B1015	CCAFS LC-40

## Rank Landing Outcomes Between 2010-06-04 and 2017-03-20

- Query:

```
select Landing__Outcome, count(*) as LandingCounts from spacextbl where Date between '2010-06-04' and '2017-03-20'  
group by Landing__Outcome  
order by count(*) desc;
```

- Description:

- The 'group by' key word arranges data in column 'Landing\_\_Outcome' into groups
- The 'between' and 'and' keywords return data that is between 2010-06-04 and 2017-03-20
- The 'order by' keyword arranges the counts column in descending order
- The result of the query is a ranked list of landing outcome counts per the specified date range

- Result:

landing__outcome	landingcounts
No attempt	10
Failure (drone ship)	5
Success (drone ship)	5
Success (ground pad)	5
Controlled (ocean)	3
Uncontrolled (ocean)	2
Failure (parachute)	1
Precluded (drone ship)	1

# Interactive map with Folium results - Launch Sites Map




Fig 1 – Global Map



Fig 2 – Zoom 1

Figure 1 on left displays the Global map with Falcon 9 launch sites that are located in the United States (in California and Florida). Each launch site contains a circle, label, and a popup to highlight the location and the name of the launch site. It is also evident that all launch sites are near the coast.

Figure 2 and Figure 3 zoom in to the launch sites to display 4 launch sites:

- VAFB SLC-4E (CA)
- CCAFS LC-40 (FL)
- KSC LC-39A (FL)
- CCAFS SLC-40 (FL)



Fig 3 – Zoom 2







# Interactive map with Folium results - Success/Failed Launch Map for all Launch Sites



Fig 1 – US map with all Launch Sites

- Figure 1 is the US map with all the Launch Sites. The numbers on each site depict the total number of successful and failed launches
- Figure 2, 3, 4, and 5 zoom in to each site and displays the success/fail markers with green as success and red as failed
- By looking at each site map, KSC LC-39A Launch Site has the greatest number of successful launches






## Plotly Dash dashboard results - Launch Success Counts For All Sites


All Sites

Total Success Launches By All Sites



KSC LC-39A

Launch status by: KSC LC-39A



- Launch Site 'KSC LC-39A' has the highest launch success rate
- Launch Site 'CCAFS SLC-40' has the lowest launch success rate
- KSC LC-39A Launch Site has the highest launch success rate and count
- Launch success rate is 76.9%
- Launch success failure rate is 23.1%

# Plotly Dash dashboard results -Payload vs. Launch Outcome Scatter Plot for All Sites




Key observations regarding successful launches:


- 1. Payload Range for Success: Most successful launches fall within the payload range of 2000 to approximately 5500, suggesting that SpaceX's rockets are particularly reliable within this payload range.
- 2. Booster Version 'FT' Success: The booster version category labeled 'FT' has the highest number of successful launches, indicating that this specific booster version has a strong track record of successful missions.
- 3. 'B4' Booster Success for High Payloads: Among launches with a payload greater than 6000, only the booster version 'B4' has achieved success, suggesting its capability to handle higher payload missions effectively.



# Predictive analysis -Classification Accuracy



	Algo Type	Accuracy Score	Test Data Accuracy Score
2	Decision Tree	0.875000	0.833333
3	KNN	0.848214	0.833333
1	SVM	0.848214	0.833333
0	Logistic Regression	0.846429	0.833333



The Accuracy scores indicate that the Decision Tree algorithm performs the best with a score of 0.8750, while all classification algorithms achieve the same accuracy score of 0.8333 on the test data. The close similarity in accuracy scores across models suggests that a broader dataset may be necessary for further model refinement and differentiation.

The confusion matrix shows consistent results for all models (LR, SVM, Decision Tree, KNN):

- There were 18 predictions in total.
- Out of these, 12 scenarios were predicted as "Yes" for landing, and they indeed landed successfully (True positive).
- In 3 scenarios, the prediction was "No" for landing, and they did not land (True negative).
- In 3 scenarios, the prediction was "Yes" for landing, but they did not land successfully (False positive).

Overall, the classifier is correct about 83% of the time (accuracy) and has a misclassification or error rate of approximately 16.5%. This information provides insights into the model's performance in correctly predicting the outcomes of SpaceX Falcon 9 landings.

# Conclusions

- As flight numbers increase, the first stage success rate rises, indicating improved reliability over time.
- Payload mass affects success rates, but a clear correlation is not evident, suggesting other factors at play.
- Launch success rate grew by 80% from 2013 to 2020, showcasing SpaceX's progress.
- Decision Tree is the top-performing ML model with an 87.5% accuracy; further data may refine predictions.