

EBERHARD KARLS UNIVERSITÄT TÜBINGEN
&
UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA

MASTER THESIS

Master thesis

Author:
Leopold BODAMER

Supervisor:
Prof. Dr. Daniel Manzano
Diosdado

*A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of Master of Science*

in

Theoretical Atomic Physics and Synthetic Quantum Systems
Institut für Theoretische Physik

March 31, 2025

Contents

Contents	iii
1 Chapter Title Here	1
1.1 Main Section 1	1
1.1.1 Subsection 1	1
1.1.2 Subsection 2	1
1.2 Main Section 2	1
2 Introduction	3
2.1 Coherence and Excitation Transport	3
2.2 Motivation	4
3 Derivation of the Redfield Equation	5
3.1 Derivation from microscopic dynamics	5
3.1.1 Interaction Picture	6
3.1.2 Partial Trace and Approximation	6
3.1.3 Final Expression	7
4 Bath Correlation Functions	9
4.0.1 Bath Correlator	9
4.1 Useful Tools	9
4.1.1 Infinite Geometric Series	9
4.1.2 Trace	9
4.2 Harmonic Oscillators	10
4.2.1 Single Mode	10
4.3 Bath Correlators	11
4.3.1 Spectral Density Representation	12
4.3.2 Continuum Limit	12
4.3.3 Ohmic Spectral Density	12
5 Rabi Oscillations and Related Concepts	13
5.1 Rabi Oscillations	13
5.1.1 Rotating Wave Approximation (RWA)	13
5.1.2 Density Matrix Formalism	13
5.2 Applications and Implications	14
A Appendix Title Here	15

Chapter 1

Chapter Title Here

1.1 Main Section 1

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Aliquam ultricies lacinia euismod. Nam tempus risus in dolor rhoncus in interdum enim tincidunt. Donec vel nunc neque. In condimentum ullamcorper quam non consequat. Fusce sagittis tempor feugiat. Fusce magna erat, molestie eu convallis ut, tempus sed arcu. Quisque molestie, ante a tincidunt ullamcorper, sapien enim dignissim lacus, in semper nibh erat lobortis purus. Integer dapibus ligula ac risus convallis pellentesque.

1.1.1 Subsection 1

Nunc posuere quam at lectus tristique eu ultrices augue venenatis. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Aliquam erat volutpat. Vivamus sodales tortor eget quam adipiscing in vulputate ante ullamcorper. Sed eros ante, lacinia et sollicitudin et, aliquam sit amet augue. In hac habitasse platea dictumst.

1.1.2 Subsection 2

Morbi rutrum odio eget arcu adipiscing sodales. Aenean et purus a est pulvinar pellentesque. Cras in elit neque, quis varius elit. Phasellus fringilla, nibh eu tempus venenatis, dolor elit posuere quam, quis adipiscing urna leo nec orci. Sed nec nulla auctor odio aliquet consequat. Ut nec nulla in ante ullamcorper aliquam at sed dolor. Phasellus fermentum magna in augue gravida cursus. Cras sed pretium lorem. Pellentesque eget ornare odio. Proin accumsan, massa viverra cursus pharetra, ipsum nisi lobortis velit, a malesuada dolor lorem eu neque.

1.2 Main Section 2

Sed ullamcorper quam eu nisl interdum at interdum enim egestas. Aliquam placerat justo sed lectus lobortis ut porta nisl porttitor. Vestibulum mi dolor, lacinia molestie gravida at, tempus vitae ligula. Donec eget quam sapien, in viverra eros. Donec pellentesque justo a massa fringilla non vestibulum metus vestibulum. Vestibulum in orci quis felis tempor lacinia. Vivamus ornare ultrices facilisis. Ut hendrerit volutpat vulputate. Morbi condimentum venenatis augue, id porta ipsum vulputate in. Curabitur luctus tempus justo. Vestibulum risus lectus, adipiscing nec condimentum quis, condimentum nec nisl. Aliquam dictum sagittis velit sed iaculis. Morbi tristique augue sit amet nulla pulvinar id facilisis ligula mollis. Nam elit libero, tincidunt ut aliquam at, molestie in quam. Aenean rhoncus vehicula hendrerit.

Chapter 2

Introduction

2.1 Coherence and Excitation Transport

In this chapter, we aim to explain the phenomena of long coherences (lifetimes) and the excitation transport of light on a microtubule. The proposed model takes the following approach:

- The microtubule is modeled as a cylindrical structure consisting of nodes. Each node represents an atom, which is modeled as a two-level system. The number of atoms, N_{atoms} , is determined by the number of chains (n_{chains}) and the number of rings (n_{rings}), assuming fixed positions for these nodes.
- The system is restricted to a single excitation.
- A time-dependent coupling to an electric field is proposed, which may be either classical or quantum in nature. This coupling is intended to facilitate spectroscopy.
- Two types of Lindblad operators are introduced to model dissipation processes. Specifically:
 1. Spontaneous decay
 2. Dephasing

The Lindblad operators introduced to model the spontaneous decay and dephasing processes for each individual atom are defined as follows:

$$C_{\text{decay}}^{(i)} = \sqrt{\gamma_0} \sigma_-^{(i)}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$C_{\text{dephase}}^{(i)} = \sqrt{\gamma_\phi} \sigma_z^{(i)}, \quad (2.2)$$

where:

- $C_{\text{decay}}^{(i)}$ describes the spontaneous decay of the i -th atom, with a rate given by γ_0 .
- $C_{\text{dephase}}^{(i)}$ describes the dephasing of the i -th atom, with a rate given by γ_ϕ .
- $\sigma_-^{(i)}$ is the lowering operator for the i -th atom, and $\sigma_z^{(i)}$ is the Pauli z -operator for the i -th atom.

2.2 Motivation

It is widely assumed that one of the crucial tasks currently facing quantum theorists is to understand and characterize the behaviour of realistic quantum systems. In any experiment, a quantum system is subject to noise and decoherence due to the unavoidable interaction with its surroundings. The theory of open quantum systems aims at developing a general framework to analyze the dynamical behaviour of systems that, as a result of their coupling with environmental degrees of freedom, will no longer evolve unitarily. [1]

2DES> [2], [3], [4]

NONlinear Optics> [5], [6]

Spectroscopy investigates the interaction between matter and electromagnetic radiation, offering a means to analyze composition and structure. Central to this analysis is the understanding of how molecules respond to specific frequencies of light, revealing information about their energy levels and bonding. Key concepts include wavelength (λ), wavenumber ($\bar{\nu}$), and frequency (ν). Wavelength, the distance between successive wave crests, is typically measured in nanometers or micrometers. Wavenumber, expressed in inverse centimeters (cm^{-1}), represents the number of waves per unit distance and is directly proportional to energy, defined as $\bar{\nu} = 1/\lambda$ (where λ is in cm). Frequency, the number of wave cycles per second, is measured in Hertz (Hz), and the angular frequency (ω) is related to frequency by $\omega = 2\pi\nu$. The relationship between angular frequency and wavenumber is given by $\omega = 2\pi c\bar{\nu}$, where c is the speed of light.

Next, I converted all units into femtoseconds (fs^{-1}), which is commonly used in time-domain spectroscopy.

Spectrometers are instruments designed to measure the intensity of light as a function of wavelength or frequency.

Different types of spectrometers are employed for various regions of the electromagnetic spectrum. Notably, UV-Vis spectrometers analyze absorption and transmission of ultraviolet and visible light, while infrared (IR) spectrometers measure the absorption of infrared light, providing insights into molecular vibrations. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectrometers probe the magnetic properties of atomic nuclei, revealing molecular structure.

Chapter 3

Derivation of the Redfield Equation

The following derivation is part of [7]

3.1 Derivation from microscopic dynamics

The most common derivation of the Redfield master equation is based on open quantum theory. The Redfield equation is then an effective motion equation for a subsystem that belongs to a more complicated system. This derivation can be found in several textbooks such as Breuer and Petruccione [8]. A total system belonging to a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_T is divided into our system of interest, belonging to a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_S , and the environment living in \mathcal{H}_E .

The evolution of the total system is given by the von Neumann equation:

$$\dot{\rho}_T(t) = -i[H_T, \rho_T(t)], \quad (3.1)$$

where $\rho_T(t)$ is the density matrix of the total system, and H_T is the total Hamiltonian.

As we are interested in the dynamics of the system without the environment, we trace over the environment degrees of freedom to obtain the reduced density matrix of the system $\rho(t) = \text{Tr}_E[\rho_T]$. The total Hamiltonian can be separated as:

$$H_T = H_S \otimes \mathbb{1}_E + \mathbb{1}_S \otimes H_E + \alpha H_I, \quad (3.2)$$

where $H_S \in \mathcal{H}_S$, $H_E \in \mathcal{H}_E$, and $H_I \in \mathcal{H}_T$ represents the interaction between the system and the environment with coupling strength α . The interaction term is typically decomposed as:

$$H_I = \sum_i S_i \otimes E_i, \quad (3.3)$$

where $S_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_S)$ and $E_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_E)$.

The following requirements are to be fulfilled by the final derived equation:

- The equation should be linear in the system density matrix $\dot{\rho}_S(t) = F(\rho_S(t))$ (reduced equation of motion).
- The equation should be Markovian, meaning that the evolution of the system density matrix at time t only depends on the state of the system at time t and not on its past history $\dot{\rho}_S(t) = \rho_S(t)$.
- The equation should be trace-preserving, meaning that $\text{Tr}[\rho_S(t)] = \text{Tr}[\rho_S(0)]$ for all times t .

However unlike the Lindblad equation it does not guarantee the complete (not even normal) positivity of the density matrix, which is a requirement for a physical state. So care has to be taken, when the Redfield equation is useful. The equation will be valid in the weak

coupling limit, meaning that the interaction Hamiltonian H_I is small compared to the system Hamiltonian H_S .

3.1.1 Interaction Picture

To describe the system dynamics, we move to the interaction picture where the operators evolve with respect to $H_S + H_E$:

$$\hat{O}(t) = e^{i(H_S+H_E)t} O e^{-i(H_S+H_E)t}. \quad (3.4)$$

The time evolution in the interaction picture is given by:

$$\dot{\hat{\rho}}_T(t) = -i\alpha[\hat{H}_I(t), \hat{\rho}_T(t)], \quad (3.5)$$

which can be formally integrated as:

$$\hat{\rho}_T(t) = \hat{\rho}_T(0) - i\alpha \int_0^t ds [\hat{H}_I(s), \hat{\rho}_T(s)]. \quad (3.6)$$

This equation will be inserted in Eq. (3.5) to obtain the second-order expansion of the density matrix. Expanding to second order in α , we obtain:

$$\dot{\hat{\rho}}_T(t) = -i\alpha [\hat{H}_I(t), \hat{\rho}_T(0)] - \alpha^2 \int_0^t [\hat{H}_I(t), [\hat{H}_I(s), \hat{\rho}_T(s)]] ds. \quad (3.7)$$

which is not Markovian, because of the integral which sums up all the past. The step of integration and insertion can be repeated leading to a series expansion of the density matrix:

$$\dot{\hat{\rho}}_T(t) = -i\alpha [\hat{H}_I(t), \hat{\rho}_T(0)] - \alpha^2 \int_0^t [\hat{H}_I(t), [\hat{H}_I(t'), \hat{\rho}_T(t')]] dt' + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^3). \quad (3.8)$$

where third order contributions are neglected. This can be justified by the fact that the interaction Hamiltonian is small compared to the system Hamiltonian (weak coupling limit). But remark, that it is still not Markovian, because of the integral over time.

3.1.2 Partial Trace and Approximation

By taking the interaction Hamiltonian Eq. (3.3) into account, assuming a separability of the system as an initial product state:

$$\hat{\rho}_T(0) = \hat{\rho}_S(0) \otimes \hat{\rho}_E(0), \quad (3.9)$$

and taking the partial trace over the environment, we obtain:

$$\sum_i \text{Tr}_E [S_i \otimes E_i, \hat{\rho}_S(0) \otimes \hat{\rho}_E(0)] = \sum_i (S_i \hat{\rho}_S(0) - \hat{\rho}_S(0) S_i) \cdot \text{Tr}_E [E_i \hat{\rho}_E(0)], \quad (3.10)$$

for the first part of Eq. (3.8), where we used the cyclic property of the trace. We define the average of the bath degrees of freedom at zero temperature:

$$\langle E_i \rangle_0 \equiv \text{Tr}_E [E_i \hat{\rho}_E(0)]. \quad (3.11)$$

which have to be justified to result to zero.

This can be done when adding a zero to the total Hamiltonian

$$H_T = H'_S + H_E + \alpha H'_I, \quad (3.12)$$

and defining a new interaction Hamiltonian:

$$H'_I = \sum_i S_i \otimes (E_i - \langle E_i \rangle_0). \quad (3.13)$$

where the price of a new system Hamiltonian is paid:

$$H'_S = H_S + \sum_i S_i \otimes (E_i - \langle E_i \rangle_0), \quad (3.14)$$

This however doesn't change the structure of the system Hamiltonian. It only accounts for a redefinition of the energy levels ("a sort of renormalization"). This way the equation (3.10) results to zero and only the second part of the equation Eq. (3.8) remains.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\rho}_S(t) &= -i\alpha[\hat{H}_I(t), \hat{\rho}_T(0)] - \alpha^2 \int_0^t ds \text{Tr}_E [\hat{H}_I(t), [\hat{H}_I(s), \rho_S(t) \otimes \rho_E]] \\ &= -\alpha^2 \int_0^t ds \text{Tr}_E [\hat{H}_I(t), [\hat{H}_I(s), \rho_S(t) \otimes \rho_E]]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

3.1.3 Final Expression

Defining $s' = t - s$, we rewrite the second-order term as:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\rho}_S(t) &= \alpha^2 \int_0^t ds \text{Tr}_E \left\{ \hat{H}_I(t) [\hat{H}_I(t-s) \hat{\rho}_T(t) - \hat{\rho}_T(t) \hat{H}_I(t-s)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - [\hat{H}_I(t-s) \hat{\rho}_T(t) - \hat{\rho}_T(t) \hat{H}_I(t-s)] \hat{H}_I(t) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

A separability at all times is now assumed:

$$\hat{\rho}_T(t) = \hat{\rho}_S(t) \otimes \hat{\rho}_E(t), \quad (3.17)$$

This assumption has to be made even stronger later $\hat{\rho}_T(t) = \hat{\rho}_S(t) \otimes \hat{\rho}_E(0)$. Expanding Eq. (3.16), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\rho}_T(t) &= \alpha^2 \int_0^t ds \left\{ \text{Tr}_E [\hat{H}_I(t) \hat{H}_I(t-s) \hat{\rho}_T(t)] - \text{Tr}_E [\hat{H}_I(t) \hat{\rho}_T(t) \hat{H}_I(t-s)] - \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{Tr}_E [\hat{H}_I(t-s) \hat{\rho}_T(t) \hat{H}_I(t)] + \text{Tr}_E [\hat{\rho}_T(t) \hat{H}_I(t-s) \hat{H}_I(t)] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Now, inserting the interaction Hamiltonian by tracking the operators at time $t - s$ with i' and at t with i , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\rho}_T(t) &= \alpha^2 \sum_{i,i'} \int_0^t ds \left\{ \text{Tr}_E [\hat{S}_i(t) \hat{S}_{i'}(t-s) \hat{\rho}_S(t) \otimes \hat{E}_i(t) \hat{E}_{i'}(t-s) \hat{\rho}_E(t)] - \right. \\ &\quad \text{Tr}_E [\hat{S}_i(t) \hat{\rho}_S(t) \hat{S}_{i'}(t-s) \otimes \hat{E}_i(t) \hat{\rho}_E(t) \hat{E}_{i'}(t-s)] - \\ &\quad \text{Tr}_E [\hat{S}_{i'}(t-s) \hat{\rho}_S(t) \hat{S}_i(t) \otimes \hat{E}_{i'}(t-s) \hat{\rho}_E(t) \hat{E}_i(t)] + \\ &\quad \left. \text{Tr}_E [\hat{\rho}_S(t) \hat{S}_{i'}(t-s) \hat{S}_i(t) \otimes \hat{\rho}_E(t) \hat{E}_{i'}(t-s) \hat{E}_i(t)] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Since the trace only acts on the environment, the system operators can be taken out of the trace, and we define the correlation functions:

$$C_{ij}(t-s) = \text{Tr}_E[\hat{E}_i(t)\hat{E}_{i'}(t-s)\hat{\rho}_E(t)], \quad (3.20)$$

such that:

$$\dot{\hat{\rho}}_T(t) = \alpha^2 \sum_{i,i'} \int_0^t ds \left\{ C_{ij}(t-s) [\hat{S}_i(t), \hat{S}_{i'}(t-s)\hat{\rho}_S(t)] + \text{H.c.} \right\}. \quad (3.21)$$

which is the desired form of the Redfield equation.

Note however, that we have not used the strong condition $\hat{\rho}_T(t) = \hat{\rho}_S(t) \otimes \hat{\rho}_E(0)$. was not used yet. This however will make it possible to calculate the correlations Eq. (3.20), because we can assume that the environment is in a thermal equilibrium at a certain temperature. Because of the assumption this is the case for all times. It is equivalent to say that the environment is unaffected by the system. It is memoryless, because it is very big.

Chapter 4

Bath Correlation Functions

4.0.1 Bath Correlator

The general task of this chapter is to calculate the bath correlator, which is defined as:

$$C(\tau) = \langle B(\tau)B(0) \rangle, \quad (4.1)$$

where B is the bath operator, specified later. This correlator measures the operator B at two different times in the bath.

4.1 Useful Tools

4.1.1 Infinite Geometric Series

An infinite geometric series is expressed as:

$$S = a + ar + ar^2 + ar^3 + \dots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ar^n, \quad (4.2)$$

where a is the first term and r is the common ratio. For $|r| < 1$, the sum converges to:

$$S = \frac{a}{1-r}. \quad (4.3)$$

Differentiating Eq. (4.3) with respect to r yields another useful series:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} nr^n = \frac{r}{(1-r)^2}, \quad \text{for } |r| < 1. \quad (4.4)$$

4.1.2 Trace

For a bipartite system $A \otimes B$, the reduced density matrix of system A is obtained by tracing out system B :

$$\rho_A = \text{Tr}_B[\rho_{AB}], \quad (4.5)$$

where the partial trace over the subspace B is defined as:

$$\text{Tr}_B \left[\sum_{i,j,k,l} |a_i\rangle\langle a_j| \otimes |b_k\rangle\langle b_l| \right] = \sum_{i,j} |a_i\rangle\langle a_j| [\text{Tr} [|b_k\rangle\langle b_l|]] \quad (4.6)$$

The expectation value of an operator A in a system S is calculated as:

$$\langle A \rangle_S = \text{Tr}_S[\rho_S A] = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_n e^{-\beta E_n} A_{nn}, \quad (4.7)$$

where the inverse temperature β is defined as:

$$\beta = \frac{1}{k_B T}. \quad (4.8)$$

4.2 Harmonic Oscillators

A bosonic bath is modeled by an infinitely big set of harmonic oscillators, which we assume to be in thermal equilibrium. For such a system the thermal state is described by the Gibbs distribution:

$$\rho = \frac{e^{-\beta H}}{\text{Tr}[e^{-\beta H}]}, \quad H = \sum_k \hbar \omega_k \left(b_k^\dagger b_k + \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad E_k = \hbar \omega_k \left(n_k + \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad (4.9)$$

where ω_k is the frequency of the k -th mode, b_k^\dagger and b_k are the creation and annihilation operators, respectively, and $n_k = \langle b_k^\dagger b_k \rangle$ is the expectation value of the number operator. The number operator is an eigenoperator to the number states $|k\rangle$ and the number n_k represents the number of excitations in that mode. The energy of the k -th mode E_k is directly related to this number.

4.2.1 Single Mode

For a single mode k harmonic oscillator $H = \hbar \omega_k b_k^\dagger b_k$ in thermal equilibrium at temperature T , the density matrix is given by:

$$\rho = \frac{e^{-\beta \hbar \omega_k b_k^\dagger b_k}}{Z_k}, \quad (4.10)$$

where ω_k is the constant frequency of the mode k . The partition function Z can be calculated by the geometric series:

$$Z_k \equiv \text{Tr} \left[e^{-\beta H} \right] = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \langle m | e^{-\beta \hbar \omega_k (n_k + \frac{1}{2})} | m \rangle = e^{-\beta \hbar \omega_k / 2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \langle m | m \rangle \delta_{km} e^{-\beta \hbar \omega_k n_k} = \frac{e^{-\beta \hbar \omega_k / 2}}{1 - e^{-\beta \hbar \omega_k}}. \quad (4.11)$$

Using this, the expectation value of the number operator can be calculated:

$$n_k = \langle b_k^\dagger b_k \rangle_{\text{th}} = \text{Tr} \left[b_k^\dagger b_k \frac{e^{-\beta H}}{Z_k} \right] \quad (4.12)$$

$$= \frac{\text{Tr} \left[b_k^\dagger b_k e^{-\beta \hbar \omega_k b_k^\dagger b_k} \right]}{Z_k} \quad (4.13)$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \langle m | b_k^\dagger b_k e^{-\beta \hbar \omega_k b_k^\dagger b_k} | m \rangle}{\frac{e^{-\beta \hbar \omega_k / 2}}{1 - e^{-\beta \hbar \omega_k}}} \quad (4.14)$$

$$= \frac{e^{-\beta \hbar \omega_k}}{1 - e^{-\beta \hbar \omega_k}} \quad (4.15)$$

$$= \frac{1}{e^{\beta \hbar \omega_k} - 1}. \quad (4.16)$$

where we have used Eq. (4.4) in the last step. The partition function for the infinite set then generalizes to a product:

$$Z = \prod_k Z_k = \prod_k \frac{e^{-\beta \hbar \omega_k / 2}}{1 - e^{-\beta \hbar \omega_k}}. \quad (4.17)$$

4.3 Bath Correlators

Now we turn to calculating the bath correlator. The bath operator B is defined as:

$$B = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x_n, \quad (4.18)$$

where c_n are coupling constants and x_n are position operators. Note that in Sec. 3.1, the interaction Hamiltonian reduces such that only one bath correlator $C(\tau) \equiv C_{ii}(\tau)$ in Eq. (3.20) remains.

Expressing B in terms of creation and annihilation operators:

$$B(0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \sqrt{\frac{1}{2m_n \omega_n}} (b_n + b_n^\dagger), \quad (4.19)$$

$$B(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \sqrt{\frac{1}{2m_n \omega_n}} \left(b_n e^{-i\omega_n \tau} + b_n^\dagger e^{i\omega_n \tau} \right). \quad (4.20)$$

Substituting $B(\tau)$ and $B(0)$ into Eq. (4.1), we find:

$$C(\tau) = \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \sqrt{\frac{1}{2m_n \omega_n}} (b_n e^{-i\omega_n \tau} + b_n^\dagger e^{i\omega_n \tau}) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m \sqrt{\frac{1}{2m_m \omega_m}} (b_m + b_m^\dagger) \right\rangle. \quad (4.21)$$

Similar calculations to Eq. (4.12) thermal expectation values can be calculated:

$$\langle b_n b_m^\dagger \rangle = \delta_{nm} (n_n + 1), \quad \langle b_n^\dagger b_m \rangle = \delta_{nm} n_n, \quad (4.22)$$

where n_k is the Bose-Einstein distribution:

$$n_k = \frac{1}{e^{\beta\omega_k} - 1}, \quad (4.23)$$

we obtain:

$$C(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n^2}{2m_n\omega_n} [(n_n + 1)e^{-i\omega_n\tau} + n_n e^{i\omega_n\tau}]. \quad (4.24)$$

4.3.1 Spectral Density Representation

The bath correlator can be expressed in terms of the spectral density $J(\omega)$, defined as:

$$J(\omega) = \pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n^2}{2m_n\omega_n} \delta(\omega - \omega_n). \quad (4.25)$$

With this, the bath correlator becomes:

$$C(\tau) = \int_0^{\infty} d\omega \frac{J(\omega)}{\pi} [(n(\omega) + 1)e^{-i\omega\tau} + n(\omega)e^{i\omega\tau}]. \quad (4.26)$$

4.3.2 Continuum Limit

As the frequencies $\{\omega_j\}$ become dense, the sum transitions to an integral:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \longrightarrow \int d\omega' \rho(\omega'),$$

where $\rho(\omega')$ is the density of states. The coupling g_j becomes a function of frequency, $g(\omega')$, leading to:

$$J(\omega) = \rho(\omega) g(\omega)^2.$$

In this continuum limit, the bath correlator Eq. (4.24) becomes:

$$C(\tau) = \int_0^{\infty} d\omega \frac{J(\omega)}{\pi} \left[\coth\left(\frac{\beta\omega}{2}\right) \cos(\omega\tau) - i \sin(\omega\tau) \right]. \quad (4.27)$$

4.3.3 Ohmic Spectral Density

Source: Ulrich Weiss chapter 7.3: (*my interpretation: The Redfield equation is influenced by classical phenomenological models of dissipation*) For an Ohmic spectral density, the damping is frequency-independent, and the spectral density is given by:

$$J(\omega) \propto \gamma\omega, \quad (4.28)$$

where γ is the damping constant. To ensure physical behavior, a cutoff is introduced:

$$J(\omega) = \eta \frac{\omega^s}{\omega_c^{s-1}} e^{-\omega/\omega_c}, \quad (4.29)$$

where η is a dimensionless coupling constant, ω_c is the cutoff frequency, and s determines the type of spectral density (Ohmic for $s = 1$, sub-Ohmic for $s < 1$, and super-Ohmic for $s > 1$).

Chapter 5

Rabi Oscillations and Related Concepts

5.1 Rabi Oscillations

Rabi oscillations describe the coherent oscillatory behavior of a two-level quantum system interacting with a resonant electromagnetic field. This phenomenon is fundamental in quantum mechanics and quantum optics, with applications in quantum computing, spectroscopy, and atomic physics.

Consider a two-level system with states $|g\rangle$ (ground state) and $|e\rangle$ (excited state). The interaction with a classical electromagnetic field can be described by the time-dependent Hamiltonian:

$$H(t) = \frac{\hbar\omega_0}{2}\sigma_z + \hbar\Omega\cos(\omega t)\sigma_x, \quad (5.1)$$

where:

- ω_0 is the transition frequency between the two levels,
- Ω is the Rabi frequency, proportional to the field amplitude,
- ω is the frequency of the driving field,
- σ_z and σ_x are Pauli matrices.

The dynamics of the system are governed by the Schrödinger equation:

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\psi(t)\rangle = H(t)|\psi(t)\rangle. \quad (5.2)$$

5.1.1 Rotating Wave Approximation (RWA)

The rotating wave approximation simplifies the analysis of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (5.1). By moving to a rotating frame and neglecting rapidly oscillating terms, the effective Hamiltonian becomes:

$$H_{\text{RWA}} = \frac{\hbar\Delta}{2}\sigma_z + \frac{\hbar\Omega}{2}\sigma_x, \quad (5.3)$$

where $\Delta = \omega - \omega_0$ is the detuning between the driving field and the transition frequency.

This approximation is valid when $\Omega \ll \omega_0$, allowing us to focus on the resonant interaction. The RWA reveals the essence of Rabi oscillations, where the population of the two levels oscillates with the Rabi frequency $\Omega_R = \sqrt{\Delta^2 + \Omega^2}$.

5.1.2 Density Matrix Formalism

The density matrix formalism provides a powerful framework to describe the dynamics of quantum systems, especially when dealing with mixed states or decoherence. The density

matrix ρ is defined as:

$$\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|, \quad (5.4)$$

for pure states, and as a statistical mixture for mixed states.

The time evolution of the density matrix is governed by the Liouville-von Neumann equation:

$$\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[H, \rho], \quad (5.5)$$

where H is the system Hamiltonian.

In the presence of decoherence or dissipation, the dynamics can be described using the Lindblad master equation:

$$\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[H, \rho] + \sum_k \mathcal{L}_k(\rho), \quad (5.6)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_k(\rho)$ are Lindblad operators modeling the interaction with the environment.

5.2 Applications and Implications

Rabi oscillations and the associated theoretical tools, such as the RWA and density matrix formalism, have wide-ranging applications:

- **Quantum Computing:** Rabi oscillations are used to implement quantum gates by precisely controlling the population of qubits.
- **Spectroscopy:** The Rabi frequency provides information about the interaction strength between light and matter.
- **Atomic Physics:** Understanding Rabi oscillations is essential for manipulating atomic states in experiments.

These concepts form the foundation for advanced topics in quantum mechanics and quantum technologies.

Appendix A

Appendix Title Here

Write your Appendix content here.

Bibliography

- [1] Ángel Rivas et al. *Markovian Master Equations: A Critical Study* *New Journal of Physics* **12** p. 113032 (2010)
- [2] Jannis Krumland et al. *Two-Dimensional Electronic Spectroscopy from First Principles*. Oct. 27, 2023. DOI: [10.48550/arXiv.2308.09062](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2308.09062). arXiv: [2308.09062](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.09062) [cond-mat]. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2308.09062>. Pre-published.
- [3] Javier Segarra-Martí et al. *Towards Accurate Simulation of Two-Dimensional Electronic Spectroscopy* *Topics in Current Chemistry* **376** p. 24 (2018)
- [4] Haoran Sun et al. *Two-Dimensional Spectroscopy of Open Quantum Systems*. Dec. 14, 2024. DOI: [10.48550/arXiv.2412.10931](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2412.10931). arXiv: [2412.10931](https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.10931) [cond-mat]. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2412.10931>. Pre-published.
- [5] Peter Hamm *Principles of Nonlinear Optical Spectroscopy: A Practical Approach* ()
- [6] Shaul Mukamel. *Principles of Nonlinear Optical Spectroscopy*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1995. 543 pp. ISBN: 0-19-509278-3.
- [7] Daniel Manzano *A Short Introduction to the Lindblad Master Equation* *AIP Adv.* **10** p. 025106 (2020)
- [8] Heinz-Peter Breuer and Francesco Petruccione. *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems*. 1. publ. in paperback, [Nachdr.] Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2009. 613 pp. ISBN: 978-0-19-852063-4 978-0-19-921390-0.