

# Theoretical Quantum Optics

## Problem Sheet

---

**Lecturer:** Prof. Dr. Igor Lesanovsky

**Semester:** Winter 23/24

---

**Sheet:** 6

**Hand-out:** 16.11.23

**Hand-in:** 23.11.23

---

### Problem 14. Ordering of operators

A monomial of creation- and annihilation operators  $a^\dagger, a$  of a single mode is said to be in “normal order” if all the  $a^\dagger$  are on the left, and in “anti-normal order” if all the  $a^\dagger$  are on the right. One can always use the commutation relation  $[a, a^\dagger] = 1$  in order to bring a given polynomial into a form where all monomials are in normal or anti-normal order, and this is the procedure to follow when one wants to calculate expectation values of a polynomial  $a^\dagger, a$  in a state represented by the  $P$ -function or the  $Q$ -function.

However, for a given function of  $f(a^\dagger, a)$ , its normally ordered form  $\{f(a^\dagger, a)\}_N$  is in the literature simply defined by expanding the function into monomials without using the commutation relation, and then shifting all the  $a^\dagger$ s to the left in each monomial, as if they commuted (see e.g. Gardiner/Zoller, “Quantum Noise”, p.102f). Sometimes, this is also denoted as  $:f(a^\dagger, a): \equiv \{f(a^\dagger, a)\}_N$ . Similarly, the anti-normal order  $\{f(a^\dagger, a)\}_A$  is defined by expanding the function into monomials without using the commutation relation, and then shifting all the  $a^\dagger$ s to the right in each monomial, as if they commuted. In addition, one defines the symmetric order, where one averages over all distinguishable orders with equal weight.

*Example:*  $f(a^\dagger, a) = aa^\dagger a$

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow \{f(a^\dagger, a)\}_N &= :f(a^\dagger, a): = a^\dagger a^2 \\ \{f(a^\dagger, a)\}_A &= a^2 a^\dagger \\ \{f(a^\dagger, a)\}_S &= \frac{1}{3}(a^\dagger a^2 + aa^\dagger a + a^2 a^\dagger).\end{aligned}$$

- a. Determine the differences between the three operator orderings and  $f(a^\dagger, a)$  for:
    1.  $f(a^\dagger, a) = (a^\dagger + a)^2$
    2.  $f(a^\dagger, a) = (a^\dagger)^2 a^2$
  - b. Show that while it can be uniquely determined whether or not a polynomial is in a specific order, different equivalent forms of a function  $f(a^\dagger, a)$  can lead to different (anti-)normally or symmetrically ordered forms of it.
  - c. How many terms are there in  $\{((a^\dagger)^m a^n)\}_S$  for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ?
-

## Problem 15. Correspondence between operator and phase space formalism

- a. Show that for the Wigner function  $W_\rho(x, p) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi e^{-i\xi p} \langle x + \frac{\xi}{2} | \rho | x - \frac{\xi}{2} \rangle$  of a state  $\rho$  with  $(\hbar = 1)$ , one has the operator correspondence

- i. “ $\hat{x}\rho \leftrightarrow (x + \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p})W(x, p)$ ”, i.e.,  $W_{\hat{x}\rho}(x, p) = (x + \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p})W_\rho(x, p)$ ,
- ii. “ $\rho\hat{x} \leftrightarrow (x - \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p})W(x, p)$ ”, i.e.,  $W_{\rho\hat{x}}(x, p) = (x - \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p})W_\rho(x, p)$ ,
- iii. “ $\hat{p}\rho \leftrightarrow (p - \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x})W(x, p)$ ”, i.e.,  $W_{\hat{p}\rho}(x, p) = (p - \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x})W_\rho(x, p)$ ,
- iv. “ $\rho\hat{p} \leftrightarrow (p + \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x})W(x, p)$ ”, i.e.,  $W_{\rho\hat{p}}(x, p) = (p + \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x})W_\rho(x, p)$ .

Here hats are used to distinguish between operators and arguments of the Wigner function. In the following, this will once again be omitted whenever it is not necessary.

- b. Using  $\hat{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{x} + i\hat{p})$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x + ip)$  and the correspondence stated in the previous part, show that:

- i. “ $a\rho \leftrightarrow (\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha^*})W(\alpha, \alpha^*)$ ”, i.e.,  $W_{a\rho}(\alpha, \alpha^*) = (\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha^*})W_\rho(\alpha, \alpha^*)$ ,
  - ii. “ $\rho a \leftrightarrow (\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha^*})W(\alpha, \alpha^*)$ ”, i.e.,  $W_{\rho a}(\alpha, \alpha^*) = (\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha^*})W_\rho(\alpha, \alpha^*)$ ,
  - iii. “ $a^\dagger \rho \leftrightarrow (\alpha^* - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha})W(\alpha, \alpha^*)$ ”, i.e.,  $W_{a^\dagger \rho}(\alpha, \alpha^*) = (\alpha^* - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha})W_\rho(\alpha, \alpha^*)$ ,
  - iv. “ $\rho a^\dagger \leftrightarrow (\alpha^* + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha})W(\alpha, \alpha^*)$ ”, i.e.,  $W_{\rho a^\dagger}(\alpha, \alpha^*) = (\alpha^* + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha})W_\rho(\alpha, \alpha^*)$ .
-