Stochastic gradient descent

Giulio Galvan

4 gennaio 2016

Consider the stochastic optimization problem

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{F}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi})], \tag{1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a random vector. Suppose $f(\cdot)$ is continuous, strongly convex (with constant c) and there exists a compact level set of $f(\cdot)$, hence (1) has a unique optimal solution \boldsymbol{x}_* . We make the following two assumptions:

- It is possible to generate independent identically distributed samples of $\boldsymbol{\xi}$
- There exists an oracle which, for a given point (x, ξ) returns a stochastic direction $D(x, \xi)$ such that $d(x) \triangleq \mathbb{E}[D(x, \xi)]$ satisfies:

$$-(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_*)^T (f' - g(\boldsymbol{x})) \ge -\mu \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_*\|_2^2 \quad \text{for some } f' \in \partial f(\boldsymbol{x}), \quad (2)$$

for some $\mu \in (0, c)$.

Consider the algorithm defined by

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{i+1} = \boldsymbol{x}_i - \gamma_i D(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\xi}_i). \tag{3}$$

Each iterate x_j of such random process is a function of the history $\xi_{[j-1]}=(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_{j-1})$

Let $A_j \triangleq \|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_*\|_2^2$ and $a_j \triangleq \mathbb{E}[A_j]$. From (3) we get

$$A_{j+1} = \frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{x}_j - \gamma_j D(\boldsymbol{x}_j, \boldsymbol{\xi}_j) - \boldsymbol{x}_* \|_2^2$$

$$= A_j + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_j^2 \| D(\boldsymbol{x}_j, \boldsymbol{\xi}_j) \|_2^2 - \gamma_j (\boldsymbol{x}_j - \boldsymbol{x}_*)^T D(\boldsymbol{x}_j, \boldsymbol{\xi}_j).$$

$$(4)$$

Since $x_j = x_j(\xi_{[j-1]})$ is independent of ξ_j we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[j]}}[(\boldsymbol{x}_{j} - \boldsymbol{x}_{*})^{T}D(\boldsymbol{x}_{j}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j})] = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[j-1]}}[\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[j]}}[(\boldsymbol{x}_{j} - \boldsymbol{x}_{*})^{T}D(\boldsymbol{x}_{j}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j})]|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[j-1]}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[j-1]}}[(\boldsymbol{x}_{j} - \boldsymbol{x}_{*})^{T}\mathbb{E}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[j]}[D(\boldsymbol{x}_{j}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j})]|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[j-1]}] \qquad (5)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[j-1]}}[(\boldsymbol{x}_{j} - \boldsymbol{x}_{*})^{T}d(\boldsymbol{x}_{j})]$$

Let now assume that there exists M > 0 such that

$$\mathbb{E}[\|D(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\xi})\|_{2}^{2}] \le M^{2} \quad \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}.$$
(6)

Using (5) and (6) we obtain, taking expectation of both sides of (4)

$$a_{j+1} \le a_j - \gamma_j \mathbb{E}_{\xi_{[j-1]}}[(\boldsymbol{x}_j - \boldsymbol{x}_*)^T d(\boldsymbol{x}_j)] + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_j^2 M^2$$
 (7)

Since $f(\cdot)$ is strongly convex there exists c > 0 such that

$$(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y})^T (f' - g') \ge c \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2, \quad \forall f' \in \partial f(\boldsymbol{x}), g' \in \partial f(\boldsymbol{y})$$
 (8)

By optimality of x_* we have

$$(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_*)^T f' \ge 0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall f' \in \partial f(\boldsymbol{x}_*).$$
 (9)

Inequalities (9) and (8) implies

$$(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_*)^T f' \ge c \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_*\|_2^2 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall f' \in \partial f(\boldsymbol{x}). \tag{10}$$

Adding and subtracting the oracle direction g(x) we get

$$(x - x_*)^T (f' - g(x) + g(x)) \ge c ||x - x_*||_2^2,$$
 (11)

which can be rewritten as

$$(x - x_*)^T g(x) \ge c \|x - x_*\|_2^2 - (x - x_*)^T (f' - g(x))$$
 (12)

From assumption (2), taking expectations of both side of (12) we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[(\boldsymbol{x}_{j} - \boldsymbol{x}_{*})^{T} g(\boldsymbol{x}_{j})] \ge c \mathbb{E}[\|\boldsymbol{x}_{j} - \boldsymbol{x}_{*}\|_{2}^{2})] - \mathbb{E}[(\boldsymbol{x}_{j} - \boldsymbol{x}_{*})^{T} (f'_{j} - g(\boldsymbol{x}_{j}))]$$
(13)

$$\geq c(1 - \frac{\mu}{c})\mathbb{E}[\|\boldsymbol{x}_j - \boldsymbol{x}_*\|_2^2)] \tag{14}$$

$$=2\bar{c}a_j,\tag{15}$$

with $\bar{c} = c(1 - \frac{\mu}{c})$ and $f'_j \in \partial f(\boldsymbol{x}_j)$. Hence from (7) follows

$$a_{j+1} \le (1 - 2\bar{c}\gamma_j)a_j + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_j^2 M^2.$$
 (16)

Choosing the stepsizes as $\gamma_j = \frac{\beta}{j}$ for some constant $\beta > \frac{1}{2\bar{c}}$ we get

$$a_{j+1} \le (1 - 2\bar{c}\gamma_j)a_j + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\beta^2 M^2}{j^2}.$$
 (17)

It follows by induction that

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\boldsymbol{x}_j - \boldsymbol{x}_*\|_2^2] = 2a_j \le \frac{Q(\beta)}{j},\tag{18}$$

where

$$Q(\beta) = \max \left\{ \frac{\beta^2 M^2}{2\bar{c} - 1}, \|\boldsymbol{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{x}_*\|_2^2 \right\}.$$
 (19)

When ∇f is Lipschitz continuous we also have

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) \le f(\boldsymbol{x}_*) + \frac{1}{2}L \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_*\|_2^2, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$
 (20)

hence we can get a bound also on the function value:

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x}_j) - f(\mathbf{x}_*)] \le \frac{1}{2} L \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_*\|_2^2] \le \frac{1}{2} L Q(\beta)$$
 (21)

Sufficient stochastic direction condition Assumption 2 can be further elaborated. Let θ be the angle between $f' \in \partial f(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $g(\boldsymbol{x})$. Write $||g(\boldsymbol{x}_j)|| = \alpha ||\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}_j)||$ for some $\alpha > 0$, then

$$||f' - g(\mathbf{x}_i)||^2 = ||f'||^2 + ||g(\mathbf{x}_i)||^2 - 2||f'|| ||g(\mathbf{x}_i)|| \cos \theta_i$$
 (22)

$$= \|f'\|^2 (1 + \alpha_j^2 - 2\alpha_j \cos \theta_j). \tag{23}$$

Hence

$$(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_*)^T (\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - g(\boldsymbol{x})) \le \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_*\| \|\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - g(\boldsymbol{x})\|$$
(24)

$$= \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_*\| \|f'\| (1 + \alpha_j^2 - 2\alpha_j \cos \theta_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (25)

A sufficient condition is thus

$$||f'|| (1 + \alpha_j^2 - 2\alpha_j \cos \theta_j)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \mu ||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_*||$$
 (26)

In the smooth case, since $\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}_*) = 0$, using Lipschitz continuity of ∇f (with constant L) we get

$$\|\nabla f(x_i) - g(x)\| \le L \|x - x_*\| (1 + \alpha^2 - 2\alpha \cos \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (27)

Hence a sufficient condition for assumption 2 to hold is

$$1 + \alpha^2 - 2\alpha \cos \theta_j \le (\frac{\mu}{L})^2 \tag{28}$$