Stochastic gradient descent

Giulio Galvan

26 marzo 2016

Consider the stochastic optimization problem

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{F}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi})], \tag{1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a random vector. Suppose $f(\cdot)$ is continuous, strongly convex (with constant c) and there exists a compact level set of $f(\cdot)$, hence (1) has a unique optimal solution \boldsymbol{x}_* . We make the following two assumptions:

- It is possible to generate independent identically distributed samples of ξ .
- There exists an oracle which, for a given point (x, ξ) returns a stochastic direction $D(x, \xi)$ such that $d(x) \triangleq \mathbb{E}[D(x, \xi)]$ satisfies:

$$-(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_*)^T (f' - d(\boldsymbol{x})) \ge -\mu L \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_*\|_2^2 \quad \text{for some } f' \in \partial f(\boldsymbol{x}), \quad (2)$$

for some $\mu \in (0, \frac{c}{L}), L$ is some chosen positive constant. We assume further that there exists M>0 such that

$$\|d(\boldsymbol{x})\|_2^2 \le M^2 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
 (3)

Consider an algorithm defined by

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{i+1} = \boldsymbol{x}_i - \gamma_i D(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\xi}_i). \tag{4}$$

Each iterate x_j of such a random process is a function of the history $\xi_{[j-1]} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{j-1})$.

Let $A_j \triangleq \|\boldsymbol{x}_j - \boldsymbol{x}_*\|_2^2$ and $a_j \triangleq \mathbb{E}[A_j]$. From (4) we get

$$A_{j+1} = \frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{x}_j - \gamma_j D(\boldsymbol{x}_j, \boldsymbol{\xi}_j) - \boldsymbol{x}_* \|_2^2$$

$$= A_j + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_j^2 \| D(\boldsymbol{x}_j, \boldsymbol{\xi}_j) \|_2^2 - \gamma_j (\boldsymbol{x}_j - \boldsymbol{x}_*)^T D(\boldsymbol{x}_j, \boldsymbol{\xi}_j).$$
(5)

We can write:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[j]}}[(\boldsymbol{x}_{j} - \boldsymbol{x}_{*})^{T}D(\boldsymbol{x}_{j}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j})] = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[j-1]}}[\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[j]}}[(\boldsymbol{x}_{j} - \boldsymbol{x}_{*})^{T}D(\boldsymbol{x}_{j}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j})]|\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[j-1]}]$$
(6)

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[j-1]}}[(\boldsymbol{x}_j - \boldsymbol{x}_*)^T \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[j]}}[D(\boldsymbol{x}_j, \boldsymbol{\xi}_j)] | \boldsymbol{\xi}_{[j-1]}]$$
 (7)

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[j-1]}}[(\boldsymbol{x}_j - \boldsymbol{x}_*)^T d(\boldsymbol{x}_j)]. \tag{8}$$

Equation (6) is given by the law of total expectation, (7) holds because $x_j = x_j(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{[j-1]})$ is not function of $\boldsymbol{\xi}_j$, hence independent. Using (3) and (8) we obtain, taking expectation of both sides of (5)

$$a_{j+1} \le a_j - \gamma_j \mathbb{E}_{\xi_{[j-1]}}[(\boldsymbol{x}_j - \boldsymbol{x}_*)^T d(\boldsymbol{x}_j)] + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_j^2 M^2$$
 (9)

Since $f(\cdot)$ is strongly convex with constant c > 0,

$$(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y})^T (f' - g')) \ge c \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2, \quad \forall f' \in \partial f(\boldsymbol{x}), g' \in \partial f(\boldsymbol{y}).$$
 (10)

By optimality of x_* we have

$$(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_*)^T f' \ge 0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall f' \in \partial f(\boldsymbol{x}_*).$$
 (11)

Inequalities (10) and (11) imply

$$(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_*)^T f' \ge c \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_*\|_2^2 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall f' \in \partial f(\boldsymbol{x}). \tag{12}$$

Adding and subtracting the oracle direction d(x) we get

$$(x - x_*)^T (f' - d(x) + d(x)) \ge c ||x - x_*||_2^2,$$
 (13)

which can be rewritten as

$$(x - x_*)^T d(x) \ge c \|x - x_*\|_2^2 - (x - x_*)^T (f' - d(x)).$$
 (14)

From Assumption (2), and by taking expectations on both side of (14), we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[(x_j - x_*)^T g(x_j)] \ge c \mathbb{E}[\|x_j - x_*\|_2^2] - \mathbb{E}[(x_j - x_*)^T (f_j' - d(x_j))]$$
(15)

$$\geq c(1 - \frac{\mu L}{c}) \mathbb{E}[\|\boldsymbol{x}_j - \boldsymbol{x}_*\|_2^2] \tag{16}$$

$$=2\bar{c}a_{i},\tag{17}$$

with $\bar{c} = c(1 - \frac{\mu L}{c})$ and $f'_j \in \partial f(\boldsymbol{x}_j)$. Hence from (9) it follows

$$a_{j+1} \le (1 - 2\bar{c}\gamma_j)a_j + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_j^2 M^2.$$
 (18)

Choosing the stepsizes as $\gamma_j = \frac{\beta}{j}$ for some constant $\beta > \frac{1}{2\bar{c}}$ we get

$$a_{j+1} \le (1 - 2\bar{c}\gamma_j)a_j + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\beta^2 M^2}{j^2}.$$
 (19)

It follows by induction that

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\boldsymbol{x}_{j} - \boldsymbol{x}_{*}\|_{2}^{2}] = 2a_{j} \le \frac{Q(\beta)}{j},$$
(20)

where

$$Q(\beta) = \max \left\{ \frac{\beta^2 M^2}{2\bar{c} - 1}, \|\boldsymbol{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{x}_*\|_2^2 \right\}. \tag{21}$$

When ∇f is Lipschitz continuous we also have

$$f(x) \le f(x_*) + \frac{1}{2}L \|x - x_*\|_2^2, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$
 (22)

hence we can get a bound also on the function value:

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\boldsymbol{x}_j) - f(\boldsymbol{x}_*)] \le \frac{1}{2} L \mathbb{E}[\|\boldsymbol{x}_j - \boldsymbol{x}_*\|_2^2] \le \frac{1}{2} L Q(\beta).$$
 (23)

Assumption 2 can be further elaborated. Let θ be the angle between $f' \in \partial f(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $g(\boldsymbol{x})$. Write $||g(\boldsymbol{x}_j)|| = \alpha ||\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}_j)||$ for some $\alpha > 0$, then

$$\|f' - g(\boldsymbol{x}_i)\|^2 = \|f'\|^2 + \|g(\boldsymbol{x}_i)\|^2 - 2\|f'\| \|g(\boldsymbol{x}_i)\| \cos \theta_i$$
 (24)

$$= \|f'\|^2 (1 + \alpha_i^2 - 2\alpha_i \cos \theta_i). \tag{25}$$

Hence

$$(x - x_*)^T (f' - g(x)) \le ||x - x_*|| ||f' - g(x)||$$
 (26)

$$= \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_*\| \|f'\| (1 + \alpha_j^2 - 2\alpha_j \cos \theta_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (27)

Assume

$$||f'||_2 \le L ||x - x_*||_2.$$
 (28)

Note that equation (28) resolves simply to Lipschitz continuity in the differentiable case. A sufficient condition is thus

$$1 + \alpha^2 - 2\alpha \cos \theta_j \le \left(\frac{\mu}{L}\right)^2. \tag{29}$$