On consensum

Giulio Galvan

30 settembre 2015

In a *consesum* inspired way, we can rewrite the RNNs training problem as:

$$\min_{W,W_i} f(W_1, W_2, \dots, W_T)
\text{subject to } W_i = W, \quad i = 1, \dots, T$$
(1)

considering only W^{rec} for easiness. The Lagrangian can then be written as:

$$\mathcal{L}(W, W_1, \dots, W_T, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_T) = f(W_1, W_2, \dots, W_T) + \sum_{i=1}^T \lambda_i (W - W_i).$$
 (2)

So problem 1 is equivalent to:

$$\min_{W,W_i,\lambda_i} \mathcal{L}(W,W_1,\dots,W_T,\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_T). \tag{3}$$

Algorithm 1 shows a Gauss-Seidel like decomposition method which optimizes a function $f(\cdot)$ w.r.t a block of variables i in a iteratively fashion. It can be shown that the algorithm converges if \mathcal{L}_0 is compact.

We could apply algorithm 1 to problem 3, using as blocks of variables $W^i, i = 1, ..., T$ where T is the length of the sequence (together with the lambdas), and the master variable W. However we cannot have T of such matrix in memory so we have to devise a modification of the algorithm like I tried to do in Algorithm 2. Note that, because of the peculiar structure of the network, we can compute $\nabla_i f(z)$ even without storing z which is what we cannot do, if we loop in a bottom-up style and the upper matrices share the same value. (it is easy to see, I will write something about it). So the only real problem remain line 10: essentially we must ensure that at the end of one inner loop (the i one) all the matrices share the same value as the master variable.

Now, of course, we have to specify a meaningful way to update the master variable, one simple example could be averaging, somehow similarly to what done in ADMM, over W_i :

$$W = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} W_i, \tag{4}$$

or, maybe, using lambdas as weights (because higher lambdas mean...):

$$W = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{T} \lambda_i}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} W_i \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_i}.$$
 (5)

Algorithm 1: Gauss-Seidel like decomposition method

```
Data:
    x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^m: candidate solution
 1 k \leftarrow 0
 2 while stop criterion do
          z \leftarrow x^k
 3
          for i = 1, ..., m do
               d_i^k \leftarrow -\nabla_i f(z) (j components are fixed)
 5
              compute \alpha_i^k with line search
 6
              x_i^{k+1} \leftarrow x_i^k + \alpha_i^k d_i^kz_i \leftarrow x_i^{k+1}
 7
          end
 9
          x^{k+1} \leftarrow z
10
          k \leftarrow k+1
11
12 end
```

Algorithm 2: RNN consensum-decomposition method

```
Data:
     W=W^0 candidate solution
 1 k \leftarrow 0
 2 while stop criterion do
          z \leftarrow (W^k, W_1^k, W_2^k, \dots W_T^k) (virtual assignment)
          l \leftarrow (\lambda_1^k, \lambda_2^k, \dots, \lambda_T^k)
 4
          for i = 1, ..., T do
 5
               d_i^k \leftarrow -\nabla_i \mathcal{L}(z, l)
 6
               compute \alpha_i^k with line search W_i^{k+1}, \lambda_i^{k+1} = W_i^k, \lambda_i^k + \alpha_i^k d_i^k
 7
               z_i = W_i^{k+1} (virtual assignment)
 9
10
               update W, "reset" l, and store information used to compute
11
               \nabla_{i+1}\mathcal{L}(z,l)
          end
12
          k \leftarrow k+1
13
14 end
```