


Welcome back! Link to Attendance Form ↓



Recall: What are templates?

- Turn to a partner and discuss:
 - What is a template class?
 - Why would you use a template class?
- Introduce yourself and take 60s to talk!

Recall: What are templates?

- What is a template class?
 - A blueprint for creating classes with generic types
- Why would you use a template class?
 - Template classes eliminate code redundancy!

Recall: What are templates?

```
class IntVector {  
    class DoubleVector {  
        class StringVector {  
            // Code to store  
            // a list of  
            // strings...  
        };  
    };  
};
```

```
template <typename T>  
class vector {  
    // So satisfying.  
};  
  
vector<int> v1;  
vector<double> v2;  
vector<string> v3;
```

Recall: Template Instantiation

When you write code like this...

```
template <typename T>
class Vector {
    T& at(size_t index);
    // More methods...
};

Vector<int> v;
```

Compiler produces code like this...

```
class IntVector {
    int at(size_t index);
    // More methods...
};

IntVector v;
```

Is there more that templates can do?

Lecture 9: Template Functions

CS106L, Winter 2025


Today's Agenda

- Template Functions
 - How can we extend template classes to functions? Code demo!
- Concepts
 - How can we make C++ templates sane?
- Variadic Templates
 - How do we build functions that accept a variable number of arguments?
- Template Metaprogramming
 - How do we run code at compile time?

We may not get through everything today!

(Slides are posted if you want to review after)

What questions do you have?



bjarne_about_to_raise_hand

Template Functions

Bjarne has a problem...

Hey I really
need a way to
get the
smallest of
two values in
C++!

Sure thing,
Bjarne... But
can we finish
this interview
first?



Writing a `min` function

```
// Returns the smaller of a and b  
int min(int a, int b) {  
    return a < b ? a : b;  
}
```


Ternary Operator

Return `a` if `a < b` otherwise return `b`

Writing a `min` function

`min` makes sense for more than just integers. How can we do this?

```
min(106, 107);          // int, returns 106  
min(1.2, 3.4);          // double, returns 1.2  
min("Jacob", "Fabio");  // string, returns "Fabio"
```



Returns the string
that comes first
alphabetically

One solution: function overloading

```
int min(int a, int b) {  
    return a < b ? a : b;  
}  
  
double min(double a, double b) {  
    return a < b ? a : b;  
}  
  
std::string min(std::string a, std::string b) {  
    return a < b ? a : b;  
}
```





Hmm... this looks familiar!

```
class IntVector {  
    class DoubleVector {  
        class StringVector {  
            } // Code to store  
            // a list of  
            // strings...  
        };
```

We can use **templates!**

Let's take this...

```
int min(int a, int b) {  
    return a < b ? a : b;  
}
```

```
double min(double a, double b) {  
    return a < b ? a : b;  
}
```

```
std::string min(std::string a, std::string b) {  
    return a < b ? a : b;  
}
```

This works, but it's missing the bigger idea!

...and turn it into this!

This is a **template**


T gets replaced with a specific type

```
template <typename T>
T min(T a, T b) {
    return a < b ? a : b;
}
```

A template is like a factory

int

string



```
template <typename T>
T min(T a, T b)
```

Remember: templates vs. functions

```
template <typename T>  
T min(T a, T b)
```


```
min<std::string>
```

This is a template.
It's **not** a function

This is a function.
A.K.A a template
instantiation

Template functions


```
template <typename T>
T min(T a, T b) {
    return a < b ? a : b;
}
```



We can also use
references to avoid
making a copy!

```
template <typename T>
T min(const T& a, const T& b) {
    return a < b ? a : b;
}
```

What questions do you have?



bjarne_about_to_raise_hand

How do we call template functions?

Option A: explicit instantiation

Explicit instantiation passes the types directly, just like template classes

```
min<int>(106, 107);      // Returns 106  
min<double>(1.2, 3.4); // Returns 1.2
```

Option A: explicit instantiation

Template functions cause the compiler to **generate code** for us

```
int min(int a, int b) {          // Compiler generated
    return a < b ? a : b;        // Compiler generated
}

double min(double a, double b) {   // Compiler generated
    return a < b ? a : b;        // Compiler generated
}


min<int>(106, 107);           // Returns 106
min<double>(1.2, 3.4);        // Returns 1.2
```

Key Idea: Templates automate code generation

Option B: implicit instantiation

Implicit instantiation lets the compiler **infer** the types for us

```
min(106, 107);      // int, returns 106  
min(1.2, 3.4);     // double, returns 1.2
```



I didn't specify
any template
types!

Implicit instantiation is kind of like **auto**

```
auto number = 106;
```

This still is an **int**,
we just let the
compiler figure it
out

Implicit instantiation is kind of like **auto**

```
int m = min(106, 107);
```

It's exactly as if we wrote

min<int>(106, 107)

Implicit instantiation can be finicky

```
template <typename T>
T min(T a, T b) {
    return a < b ? a : b;
}
```

```
min("Jacob", "Fabio");
```

const char*

What type is T? What are the types of the arguments?
Hint: you might know this if you've taken CS107!

Implicit instantiation can be finicky

```
const char* min(const char* a, const char* b) {  
    return a < b ? a : b;  
}  
  
min<const char*>("Jacob", "Fabio");
```



Pointer comparison
AHHHH!!! This is not
what we wanted

← This is Bjarne
judging you for using
pointer comparison

Implicit instantiation can be finicky

We can always use explicit instantiation in ambiguous cases like this

```
template <typename T>
T min(const T& a, const T& b) {
    return a < b ? a : b;
}

min<std::string>("Jacob", "Fabio");
```

const **char*** gets
converted to
std::string here

↓ Here is Bjarne
pleased with you for
getting the compiler
to understand you!



Implicit instantiation can be finicky

Another example: the types of the parameters don't strictly match

```
template <typename T>
T min(const T& a, const T& b) {
    return a < b ? a : b;
}
```

```
min(106, 3.14); // ✗ Doesn't compile
```

int double

Explicit instantiation!
min<double>(106, 3.14)



Implicit instantiation can be finicky

Another solution: make our template a little bit more flexible.

```
template <typename T, typename U>
????? min(const T& a, const U& b) {
    return a < b ? a : b;
}
```

```
min(106, 3.14);
```

T = int

U = double

What should the return type of this function be?

Implicit instantiation can be finicky

Another solution: make our template a little bit more flexible.

```
template <typename T, typename U>
auto min(const T& a, const U& b) {
    return a < b ? a : b;
}
min(106, 3.14);
```

What should the return type of this function be?

It's complicated, let the compiler figure it out with **auto**

Pro tip: Use IDE to see instantiation types

IDEs (e.g. VSCode, QtCreator) can show what types were actually used

The screenshot shows a code editor window for a file named "main.cpp". The code defines a template function "min<T>(T, T)" and uses it in the "main()" function. A tooltip or callout box highlights the instantiation of the template at line 9, showing that the template was instantiated with the type "const char *".

```
main.cpp  ×

8-template-classes-and-cc > main.cpp > min<T>(T, T)

1 template <typename T>
2 T min(T a, T b)
3 {
4     return a < b ? a : b;
5 }

6
7 int main()
8 {
9     const char *min<const char *>(const char *a, const char *b)
10    auto m = min("Jacob", "Fabio");
11 }
```

What questions do you have?



bjarne_about_to_raise_hand

Code Demo


Q: Where do we use template functions in practice?

A: All over the place!

One prominent example: iterators

Writing a **find** function


```
std::vector<int> v { 106, 111, 42, 112 };  
auto it = find(v.begin(), v.end(), 42);  
*it = 107;  
// v = { 106, 111, 107, 112 }
```




Writing a **find** function

```
std::vector<int>::iterator find(  
    std::vector<int>::iterator begin,  
    std::vector<int>::iterator end,  
    int value  
) {  
    // Logic to find the iterator in this container  
    // Should return end if no such element is found  
}
```


Recall: we have many iterator types!




`vector<T>::iterator`



`deque<T>::iterator`



`map<K, V>::iterator`



`unordered_map<K, V>::iterator`

But they all share the same interface!

```
// Copy construction  
auto it = c.begin();
```

```
// Increment iterator forward  
++it;
```

```
// Dereference iterator -- undefined if it == end()  
auto elem = *it;
```

```
// Equality: are we in the same spot?  
if (it == c.end()) ...
```

This definition is too specific!

```
std::vector<int>::iterator find(  
    std::vector<int>::iterator begin,  
    std::vector<int>::iterator end,  
    int value  
) {  
    // Logic to find the iterator in this container  
    // Should return end if no such element is found  
}
```

Writing a **find** function

Our **find** function won't work for other vectors, or other containers

```
std::vector<std::string> v { "seven", "kingdoms" };
auto it = find(v.begin(), v.end(), "kingdoms");
// Won't compile
```

```
std::set<std::string> s { "house", "targaryen" };
auto it = find(s.begin(), s.end(), "targaryen");
// Gods help us
```

What questions do you have?



bjarne_about_to_raise_hand

Let's write a template function!


Writing a **find** function... but templated

Form a small group and let's implement this function! Link on next slide.

```
template <typename Iterator, typename TElem>
???? find(???? begin, ??? end, ??? value) {
    // Logic to find and return the iterator
    // in this container whose element is value
    // Should return end if no such element is found
}

find<std::vector<int>::iterator, int>(b, e, 42);
```

Let's code this together



106l.vercel.app/find

find function in the STL

- Part of `<algorithm>` header (we'll talk more about this on Thursday)!
- You guys have all the tools now to read the C++ standard!

std::find, std::find_if, std::find_if_not

Defined in header `<algorithm>`

```
template< class InputIt, class T >
InputIt find( InputIt first, InputIt last, const T& value );
```



BUT WAIT

THERES MORE

Concepts

Back to our `min` function

```
template <typename T>
T min(const T& a, const T& b) {
    return a < b ? a : b;
}
```

What **must be true** of a type `T` for us to be able to use `min`?

```
// For which T will the following compile successfully?
T a = /* an instance of T */;
T b = /* an instance of T */;
min<T>(a, b);
```

Back to our `min` function

`T` must have an `operator<` to make sense in this context

```
struct StanfordID; // How do we compare two IDs?  
  
StanfordID jacob { "Jacob", "jtrb" };  
StanfordID fabio { "Fabio", "fabioi" };  
min<StanfordID>(jacob, fabio); // ✗ Compiler error
```

What happened?

```
$ g++ main.cpp --std=c++20
main.cpp:9:12: error: invalid operands to binary expression
('const StanfordID' and 'const StanfordID')
return a < b ? a : b;
      ~ ^ ~
main.cpp:20:3: note: in instantiation of function template
specialization 'min<StanfordID>' requested here
min<StanfordID>(jacob, fabio);
^
1 error generated.
```

What happened?

```
$ g++ main.cpp --std=c++20
main.cpp:9:12: error: invalid operands to binary expression
('const StanfordID' and 'const StanfordID')
return a < b ? a : b;
          ~ ^ ~
main.cpp:20:3: note: in instantiation of function template
specialization 'min<StanfordID>' requested here
min<StanfordID>(jacob, fabio);
^
1 error generated.
```

What happened?

Compiler instantiated our template, and only then did it spot the error

```
StanfordID jacob { "Jacob", "jtrb" };  
StanfordID fabio { "Fabio", "fabioi" };  
min<StanfordID>(jacob, fabio);
```

Compiler: "min for StanfordIDs, coming right up!"

```
StanfordID min(const StanfordID& a, const StanfordID& b)  
{  
    return a < b ? a : b;  
}
```

Compiler: "AHHH what do I do here! I don't know how to compare two StanfordIDs"

Compiler only finds the error *after* instantiation

Recall: `std::set` also requires an operator<

Bad templates can produce really confusing compiler errors...

```
std::set<StanfordID> s { jacob, fabio };
```

```
jacobrobertsbaca@Jacobs-MacBook-Pro-3 8-template-classes-and-cc % clang++ main.cpp --std=c++20
In file included from main.cpp:1:
In file included from /Applications/Xcode.app/Contents/Developer/Platforms/MacOSX.platform/Developer/SDKs/MacOSX.sdk/usr/include/c++/v1/string:520:
In file included from /Applications/Xcode.app/Contents/Developer/Platforms/MacOSX.platform/Developer/SDKs/MacOSX.sdk/usr/include/c++/v1/_functional_base:16:
/Applications/Xcode.app/Contents/Developer/Platforms/MacOSX.platform/Developer/SDKs/MacOSX.sdk/usr/include/c++/v1/_functional/operations.h:487:21: error: invalid operands to binary expression ('const StanfordID' and 'const StanfordID')
    {return __x < __y;}
        ^ ~~~
/Applications/Xcode.app/Contents/Developer/Platforms/MacOSX.platform/Developer/SDKs/MacOSX.sdk/usr/include/c++/v1/_tree:2023:28: note: in instantiation of member function 'std::less<StanfordID>::operator<' requested here
    if (__hint == end() || value_comp()(&__v, *__hint)) // check before
        ^
/Applications/Xcode.app/Contents/Developer/Platforms/MacOSX.platform/Developer/SDKs/MacOSX.sdk/usr/include/c++/v1/_tree:2114:36: note: in instantiation of function template specialization 'std::__tree<StanfordID, std::less<StanfordID>, std::allocator<StanfordID>>::__find_equal<StanfordID>' requested here
    __node_base_pointer& __child = __find_equal(__p, __parent, __dummy, __k);
        ^
/Applications/Xcode.app/Contents/Developer/Platforms/MacOSX.platform/Developer/SDKs/MacOSX.sdk/usr/include/c++/v1/_tree:1257:16: note: in instantiation of function template specialization 'std::__tree<StanfordID, std::less<StanfordID>, std::allocator<StanfordID>>::__emplace_hint_unique_key_args<StanfordID, const StanfordID &>' requested here
    return __emplace_hint_unique_key_args(__p, _NodeTypes::__get_key(__v), __v).first;
        ^
/Applications/Xcode.app/Contents/Developer/Platforms/MacOSX.platform/Developer/SDKs/MacOSX.sdk/usr/include/c++/v1/_set:697:25: note: in instantiation of member function 'std::__tree<StanfordID, std::less<StanfordID>, std::allocator<StanfordID>>::__insert_unique<StanfordID>' requested here
    __node_base_pointer = __insert_unique(__p, __key, __v);
```

Recall: `std::set` requires operator<

The error message continues to go on



Also a problem for our **find** function

```
int main() {  
    int idx = find(1, 5, 3); // eh... 3 I guess? haelp  
}
```



```
main.cpp:16:9: error: indirection requires pointer operand ('int' invalid)  
    if (*it == value) {  
        ^~~  
main.cpp:29:3: note: in instantiation of function template specialization 'find<int, int>'  
    find(1, 5, 3); // eh... 3 I guess? haelp <U+1F972>  
    ^  
1 error generated.
```

C++ beginner: “Uh..
Compiler, what the @!#*
do you mean?”

Idea: How do we put **constraints on templates?**

Idea: How do we put **constraints** on templates?

- Templates are great, but the errors they produce when used incorrectly are unintuitive
- How can we be up-front about what we require of a template type?

C#

```
class EmployeeList<T>
where T : notnull,
Employee,
IComparable<T>, new()
```

Java

```
class ListObject<T
extends Comparable<T>>
```

Idea: How do we put constraints on templates?

Compiler shouldn't instantiate a template unless all constraints are met

```
template <typename T>  
T min(const T& a, const T& b)
```


T must have operator<

```
template <typename T>  
struct set;
```

It must be an
iterator type

```
template <typename It, typename T>  
It find(It begin, It end, const T& value)
```

What questions do you have?



bjarne_about_to_raise_hand


Introducing C++ concepts!

Creating a Comparable concept

```
template <typename T>
concept Comparable = requires(T a, T b) {
    { a < b } -> std::convertible_to<bool>;
};
```

Creating a Comparable concept

concept: a named set of
constraints



```
template <typename T>
concept Comparable = requires(const T a, const T b) {
    { a < b } -> std::convertible_to<bool>;
};
```

Creating a Comparable concept

concept: a named set of
constraints

requires:

Given two **T**'s, I expect
the following to hold

```
template <typename T>
concept Comparable = requires(const T a, const T b) {
    { a < b } -> std::convertible_to<bool>;
};
```

Creating a Comparable concept

concept: a named set of
constraints

requires:

Given two **T**'s, I expect
the following to hold

```
template <typename T>
concept Comparable = requires(const T a, const T b) {
    { a < b } -> std::convertible_to<bool>;
};
```

constraint: Anything
inside the {} must
compile without error

Creating a Comparable concept

concept: a named set of **constraints**

requires:

Given two **T**'s, I expect the following to hold

```
template <typename T>
concept Comparable = requires(const T a, const T b) {
    { a < b } -> std::convertible_to<bool>;
};
```

constraint: Anything inside the {} must compile without error

constraint: ...and the result must be bool-like
convertible_to is also a concept!

Creating a Comparable concept

concept: a named set of
constraints

requires:

Given two **T**'s, I expect
the following to hold

```
template <typename T>
concept Comparable = requires(const T a, const T b) {
    { a < b } -> std::convertible_to<bool>;
};
```

constraint: Anything
inside the {} must
compile without error

constraint: ...and the
result must be bool-like
convertible_to is also a
concept!

Using our Comparable concept

```
template <typename T> requires Comparable<T>  
T min(const T& a, const T& b);
```



```
// Super slick shorthand for the above  
template <Comparable T>  
T min(const T& a, const T& b);
```

Concepts greatly improve compiler errors

Here's the error from before when instantiating a set **without** a concept

```
jacobrobertsbaca@Jacobs-MacBook-Pro-3 8-template-classes-and-cc % clang++ main.cpp --std=c++20
In file included from main.cpp:1:
In file included from /Applications/Xcode.app/Contents/Developer/Platforms/MacOSX.platform/Dev
OSX.sdk/usr/include/c++/v1/string:520:
In file included from /Applications/Xcode.app/Contents/Developer/Platforms/MacOSX.platform/Dev
OSX.sdk/usr/include/c++/v1/_functional_base:16:
/Applications/Xcode.app/Contents/Developer/Platforms/MacOSX.platform/Developer/SDKs/MacOSX.sdk
+v1/_functional/operations.h:487:21: error: invalid operands to binary expression ('const St
const StanfordID')
    {return __x < __y;}
        ~^ ~~~
/Applications/Xcode.app/Contents/Developer/Platforms/MacOSX.platform/Developer/SDKs/MacOSX.sdk/usr/include/c+
+v1/_tree:2023:28: note: in instantiation of member function 'std::less<StanfordID>::operator<
here
    if (__hint == end() || value_comp()(&__v, *__hint)) // check before
        ^
/Applications/Xcode.app/Contents/Developer/Platforms/MacOSX.platform/Developer/SDKs/MacOSX.sdk
+v1/_tree:2114:36: note: in instantiation of function template specialization 'std::__tree<S
:less<StanfordID>, std::allocator<StanfordID>>::__find_equal<StanfordID>' requested here
    __node_base_pointer& __child = __find_equal(__p, __parent, __dummy, __k);
        ^
/Applications/Xcode.app/Contents/Developer/Platforms/MacOSX.platform/Developer/SDKs/MacOSX.sdk
+v1/_tree:1257:16: note: in instantiation of function template specialization 'std::__tree<S
:less<StanfordID>, std::allocator<StanfordID>>::__emplace_hint_unique_key_args<StanfordID, co
>' requested here
    return __emplace_hint_unique_key_args(__p, _NodeTypes::__get_key(__v), __v).first;
        ^
/Applications/Xcode.app/Contents/Developer/Platforms/MacOSX.platform/Developer/SDKs/MacOSX.sdk
+v1/set:682:25: note: in instantiation of member function 'std::__tree<StanfordID, std::less<

```

template <typename T>
struct std::set;



Concepts greatly improve compiler errors

Here's the error when instantiating a set **with** a concept

```
main.cpp:32:3: error: constraints not satisfied for class template 'set' [with T = StanfordID]
  set<StanfordID> ids { jacob, fabio };
  ^~~~~~
main.cpp:13:11: note: because 'StanfordID' does not satisfy 'Comparable'
template <Comparable T>
  ^
main.cpp:10:7: note: because 'a < b' would be invalid: invalid operands to binary expression ('const StanfordID' and 'const StanfordID')
  { a < b } -> std::convertible_to<bool>;
  ^
4 errors generated.
```



```
template <Comparable T>
struct std::set;
```

C++ comes with many built-in concepts

Core language concepts

Defined in header `<concepts>`

`same_as` (C++20)

specifies that a type is the same as another type
(concept)

`derived_from` (C++20)

specifies that a type is derived from another type
(concept)

`convertible_to` (C++20)

specifies that a type is implicitly convertible to another type
(concept)

`common_reference_with` (C++20)

specifies that two types share a common reference type
(concept)

`common_with` (C++20)

specifies that two types share a common type
(concept)

`integral` (C++20)

specifies that a type is an integral type
(concept)

`signed_integral` (C++20)

specifies that a type is an integral type that is signed
(concept)

`unsigned_integral` (C++20)

specifies that a type is an integral type that is unsigned
(concept)

`floating_point` (C++20)

specifies that a type is a floating-point type
(concept)

`assignable_from` (C++20)

specifies that a type is assignable from another type
(concept)

`swappable`
`swappable_with` (C++20)


specifies that a type can be swapped or that two types can be swapped with
each other
(concept)

[\[source\]](#)

...including iterator concepts!

input_iterator (C++20)	specifies that a type is an input iterator, that is, its referenced values can be read and it can be both pre- and post-incremented (concept)
output_iterator (C++20)	specifies that a type is an output iterator for a given value type, that is, values of that type can be written to it and it can be both pre- and post-incremented (concept)
forward_iterator (C++20)	specifies that an input_iterator is a forward iterator, supporting equality comparison and multi-pass (concept)
bidirectional_iterator (C++20)	specifies that a forward_iterator is a bidirectional iterator, supporting movement backwards (concept)
random_access_iterator (C++20)	specifies that a bidirectional_iterator is a random-access iterator, supporting advancement in constant time and subscripting (concept)
contiguous_iterator (C++20)	specifies that a random_access_iterator is a contiguous iterator, referring to elements that are contiguous in memory (concept)

[source]



Remember our iterator types?

Fixing up our **find** function

```
template <std::input_iterator It, typename T>
It find(It begin, It end, const T& value);
```

```
int idx = find(1, 5, 3); // WHY DOES THIS NOT WORK?
```

```
main.cpp:10:11: note: because 'int' does not satisfy 'input_iterator'  
template <std::input_iterator It, typename T>  
      ^
```




AWWW THANKS

Concepts recap

- Two reasons to use concepts
 - Better compiler error messages
 - Better IDE support (Intellisense/autocomplete, etc.)
- Concepts are still a new feature
 - STL does not yet support them fully
 - We'll talk more about this on Thursday!

What questions do you have?



bjarne_about_to_raise_hand

Variadic Templates

**How do we create a function that accepts a
variable number of parameters?**

Back to our `min` function

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& a, const T& b) {
    return a < b ? a : b;
}

min(2.4, 7.5);           // This works
min(2.4, 7.5, 5.3);     // What about this?
min(2.4, 7.5, 5.3, 1.2); // or this?
```

One solution: function overloading

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& a, const T& b) { return a < b ? a : b; }
```

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& a, const T& b, const T& c) {
    auto m = min(b, c);
    return a < m ? a : m;
}
```

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& a, const T& b, const T& c, const T& d) {
    auto m = min(b, c, d);
    return a < m ? a : m;
}
```

3 element overload
calls 2 element

4 element overload
calls 3 element

Seems almost recursive!

One solution: function overloading

```
min(2.4, 7.5);           // This works
min(2.4, 7.5, 5.3);     // This works now
min(2.4, 7.5, 5.3, 1.2); // and this works too!
```

```
min(2.4, 7.5, 5.3, 1.2, 3.4, 6.7, 8.9, 9.1); 😐
```

```
// Time to write 7 overloads I guess...
```

Wait... Templates are all about code generation

Can the compiler write the overloads for us?

Yes! Templates + recursion 🎉 🎊

But first... a (slightly) different solution

Can't we solve this recursively using `std::vector`!?

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const std::vector<T>& values);

// Passing a vector<double> here!
// Note the { } braces (uniform initialized vector)
min({ 2.4, 7.5 });
min({ 2.4, 7.5, 5.3 });
min({ 2.4, 7.5, 5.3, 1.2 });
```

But first... a (slightly) different solution

Can't we solve this recursively using `std::vector`!?

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const std::vector<T>& values) {
    if (values.size() == 1) return values[0];
    const auto& first = values[0];
    std::vector<T> rest(++values.begin(), values.end());
    auto m = min(rest);
    return first < m ? first : m;
}
```

Talk to a partner for 60s. What should the last two lines be?

But first... a (slightly) different solution

Can't we solve this recursively using `std::vector`!?

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const std::vector<T>& values) {
    if (values.size() == 1) return values[0];
    const auto& first = values[0];
    std::vector<T> rest(++values.begin(), values.end());
    auto m = min(rest);
    return first < m ? first : m;
}
```

Base Case: if we only have one element, return that element!

But first... a (slightly) different solution

Can't we solve this recursively using `std::vector`!?

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const std::vector<T>& values) {
    if (values.size() == 1) return values[0];
    const auto& first = values[0];
    std::vector<T> rest(++values.begin(), values.end());
    auto m = min(rest);
    return first < m ? first : m;
}
```

Recursive Case: compare first element to min of remaining elements!

What questions do you have?



bjarne_about_to_raise_hand

But first... a (slightly) different solution

Can't we solve this recursively using `std::vector`!?

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const std::vector<T>& values) {
    if (values.size() == 1) return values[0];
    const auto& first = values[0];
    std::vector<T> rest(++values.begin(), values.end());
    auto m = min(rest);
    return first < m ? first : m;
}
```

This solution is correct. But does anyone see any **inefficiencies**?

Some problems with this approach...

- It recursively copies the vector (can avoid with wrapper function!)
- Must allocate a vector for every call (unavoidable overhead)

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const std::vector<T>& values);

// Passing a vector<double> here!
// Note the { } braces (list initialized vector)
min({ 2.4, 7.5 });
min({ 2.4, 7.5, 5.3 });
min({ 2.4, 7.5, 5.3, 1.2 });
```

What we would like to have

```
min(2.4, 7.5);           // This works
min(2.4, 7.5, 5.3);     // This works now
min(2.4, 7.5, 5.3, 1.2); // and this works too!

// This just works!
min(2.4, 7.5, 5.3, 1.2, 3.4, 6.7, 8.9, 9.1);
```

Recall: function overloading

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& a, const T& b) { return a < b ? a : b; }
```

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& a, const T& b, const T& c) {
    auto m = min(b, c);
    return a < m ? a : m;
}
```

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& a, const T& b, const T& c, const T& d) {
    auto m = min(b, c, d);
    return a < m ? a : m;
}
```

3 element overload
calls 2 element

4 element overload
calls 3 element

Seems almost recursive!

Introducing... variadic templates

Variadic Templates

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& v) { return v; }
```

```
template <Comparable T, Comparable... Args>
T min(const T& v, const Args&... args) {
    auto m = min(args...);
    return v < m ? v : m;
}
```

Variadic Templates

Base case function:
Needed to stop recursion

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& v) { return v; }
```

```
template <Comparable T, Comparable... Args>
T min(const T& v, const Args&... args) {
    auto m = min(args...);
    return v < m ? v : m;
}
```

Variadic Templates

Base case function:
Needed to stop recursion

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& v) { return v; }
```

```
template <Comparable T, Comparable... Args>
T min(const T& v, const Args&... args) {
    auto m = min(args...);
    return v < m ? v : m;
}
```

Variadic Templates

Base case function:
Needed to stop recursion

```
template <Comparable T>  
T min(const T& v) { return v; }
```

Variadic template:
matches 0 or more types

```
template <Comparable T, Comparable... Args>  
T min(const T& v, const Args&... args) {  
    auto m = min(args...);  
    return v < m ? v : m;  
}
```

Variadic Templates

Base case function:

Needed to stop recursion

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& v) { return v; }
```

Variadic template:
matches 0 or more types

```
template <Comparable T, Comparable... Args>
T min(const T& v, const Args&... args) {
    auto m = min(args...);
    return v < m ? v : m;
}
```

Parameter pack: 0 or
more
parameters

Variadic Templates

Base case function:

Needed to stop recursion

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& v) { return v; }
```

Variadic template:

matches 0 or more types

```
template <Comparable T, Comparable... Args>
T min(const T& v, const Args&... args) {
    auto m = min(args...);
    return v < m ? v : m;
}
```

Parameter pack: 0 or
more
parameters

Pack expansion:
replaces ...args
with actual
parameters

Variadic Templates

Base case function:

Needed to stop recursion

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& v) { return v; }
```

Variadic template:

matches 0 or more types

```
template <Comparable T, Comparable... Args>
T min(const T& v, const Args&... args) {
    auto m = min(args...);
    return v < m ? v : m;
}
```

Parameter pack: 0 or
more
parameters

Pack expansion:
replaces ...args
with actual
parameters

Phew... this is a lot to unpack

(no pun intended)

What's going on?

Recursive
Case:

```
template <Comparable T, Comparable... Args>
T min(const T& v, const Args&... args)
```

Base Case:

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& v) { return v; }
```

```
min(2, 7, 5, 1)
```

**Implicit
instantiation!**

What happens when
the compiler sees
a function call
like this?

What's going on?

```
template <Comparable T, Comparable... Args>
T min(const T& v, const Args&... args)
```

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& v) { return v; }
```

```
min<int, int, int, int>(2, 7, 5, 1)
```

T = int
Args = [int, int, int]

```
template <Comparable T, Comparable... Args>
T min(const T& v, const Args&... args) {
    auto m = min(args...);
    return v < m ? v : m;
}
```

What's going on?

```
template <Comparable T, Comparable... Args>
T min(const T& v, const Args&... args)
```

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& v) { return v; }
```

```
min<int, int, int, int>(2, 7, 5, 1)
```

T = int
Args = [int, int, int]

```
template <Comparable T, Comparable... Args>
T min(const T& v, const Args&... args) {
    auto m = min(args...);
    return v < m ? v : m;
}
```

What's going on?

```
template <Comparable T, Comparable... Args>
T min(const T& v, const Args&... args)
```

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& v) { return v; }
```

```
min<int, int, int, int>(2, 7, 5, 1)
```

T = int
Args = [int, int, int]

```
template <Comparable... Args>
int min(const int& v, const Args&... args) {
    auto m = min(args...);
    return v < m ? v : m;
}
```

What's going on?

```
template <Comparable T, Comparable... Args>
T min(const T& v, const Args&... args)
```

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& v) { return v; }
```

```
min<int, int, int, int>(2, 7, 5, 1)
```

T = int
Args = [int, int, int]

```
template <Comparable... Args>
int min(const int& v, const Args&... args) {
    auto m = min(args...);
    return v < m ? v : m;
}
```

Pack expansion: Args
is expanded

What's going on?

```
template <Comparable T, Comparable... Args>
T min(const T& v, const Args&... args)
```

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& v) { return v; }
```

```
min<int, int, int, int>(2, 7, 5, 1)
```

T = int
Args = [int, int, int]

```
template <Comparable... Args>
int min(const int& v, const int& a0, const int& a1, const int& a2) {
    auto m = min(args...);
    return v < m ? v : m;
}
```

What's going on?

```
template <Comparable T, Comparable... Args>
T min(const T& v, const Args&... args)
```

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& v) { return v; }
```

```
min<int, int, int, int>(2, 7, 5, 1)
```

T = int
Args = [int, int, int]

```
template <Comparable... Args>
int min(const int& v, const int& a0, const int& a1, const int& a2) {
    auto m = min(args...);
    return v < m ? v : m;
}
```

Pack expansion: args
is expanded

What's going on?

```
template <Comparable T, Comparable... Args>
T min(const T& v, const Args&... args)
```

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& v) { return v; }
```

```
min<int, int, int, int>(2, 7, 5, 1)
```

T = int
Args = [int, int, int]

```
int min(const int& v, const int& a0, const int& a1, const int& a2) {
    auto m = min(a0, a1, a2);
    return v < m ? v : m;
}
```

What's going on?

```
template <Comparable T, Comparable... Args>
T min(const T& v, const Args&... args)
```

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& v) { return v; }
```

```
min<int, int, int, int>(2, 7, 5, 1)
```

T = int
Args = [int, int, int]

```
int min(const int& v, const int& a0, const int& a1, const int& a2) {
    auto m = min(a0, a1, a2);
    return v < m ? v : m;
}
```

What did we just generate?

What's going on?

```
template <Comparable T, Comparable... Args>
T min(const T& v, const Args&... args)
```

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& v) { return v; }
```

```
min<int, int, int, int>(2, 7, 5, 1)
```

T = int
Args = [int, int, int]

```
int min(const int& v, const int& a0, const int& a1, const int& a2) {
    auto m = min(a0, a1, a2);
    return v < m ? v : m;
}
```

Voila! The compiler
generated an overload for
us!!!

What's going on?

```
template <Comparable T, Comparable... Args>
T min(const T& v, const Args&... args)
```

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& v) { return v; }
```

```
min<int, int, int, int>(2, 7, 5, 1)
```

T = int
Args = [int, int, int]

```
int min(const int& v, const int& a0, const int& a1, const int& a2) {
    auto m = min(a0, a1, a2);
    return v < m ? v : m;
}
```

Wait... what is this?
It's another template instantiation!

What's going on?

```
template <Comparable T, Comparable... Args>
T min(const T& v, const Args&... args)
```

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& v) { return v; }
```

```
min<int, int, int>(a0, a1, a2);
```

T = int
Args = [int, int]

```
int min(const int& v, const int& a0, const int& a1) {
    auto m = min(a0, a1);
    return v < m ? v : m;
}
```

Hey look! Another template instantiation

What's going on?

```
template <Comparable T, Comparable... Args>
T min(const T& v, const Args&... args)
```

```
template <Comparable T>
T min(const T& v) { return v; }
```

```
min<int, int>(a0, a1);
```

T = int

Args = [int]

```
int min(const int& v, const int& a0) {
    auto m = min(a0);
    return v < m ? v : m;
}
```

Hey look! Another template instantiation

What's going on?

✓ Compiler always tries to choose most specific template

```
min<int>(a0);
```

```
int min(const int& v) {  
    return v;  
}
```

```
template <Comparable T, Comparable... Args>  
T min(const T& v, const Args&... args)
```

```
template <Comparable T>  
T min(const T& v) { return v; }
```

T = int
Args = []

T = int


What just happened?

A single call to `min(2, 7, 5, 1)` generated the following functions

```
min(2, 7, 5, 1);

min<int, int, int, int> // T = int, Args = [int, int, int]
min<int, int, int>      // T = int, Args = [int, int]
min<int, int>           // T = int, Args = [int]
min<int>                 // T = int
```


What questions do you have?



bjarne_about_to_raise_hand

Variadic templates recap

- Compiler generates any number of overloads using recursion
 - This allows us to support any number of function parameters
- For more advanced variadic templates, check the hidden slides!
 - If you're curious, this technique is how `std::tuple<T1, T2, ...>` works!
- Instantiation happens **at compile time**



Templates do work at compile time. Can we use this to our advantage?

Template Metaprogramming

How can we do work at compile time?

TMP Basics: Factorial

```
template <>
struct Factorial<0> {
    enum { value = 1 };
};
```

Base Case:

This is a *template specialization* for N=0

```
template <size_t N>
struct Factorial {
    enum { value = N * Factorial<N - 1>::value };
};


std::cout << Factorial<7>::value << std::endl;
```

enum: a way to store a compile-time constant

Oooh compile-time recursion

Prints **5040**, but computes at compile time

Template instantiations for Factorial<7>



Output assembly of Factorial<7>

```
int main() {  
    std::cout << Factorial<7>::value;  
    return 0;  
}
```

```
main:  
    push rax  
    mov edi, offset cout  
    mov esi, 5040  
    call ostream::operator<<(int)  
    xor eax, eax  
    pop rcx  
    ret
```

Result is **baked in**
to the executable

What questions do you have?



bjarne_about_to_raise_hand

What is TMP?

TMP is Turing complete

We can execute arbitrary code at compile time

But the syntax is not always pretty...

```
template<>
struct push_back_impl< aux::vector_tag<BOOST_PP_DEC(i_)> >
{
    template< typename Vector, typename T > struct apply
    {
        typedef BOOST_PP_CAT(vector,i_)<
            BOOST_PP_ENUM_PARAMS(BOOST_PP_DE,
            BOOST_PP_COMMA_IF(BOOST_PP_DE
                T
            > type;
    };
};
```



How can we have

- 1) Compile-time execution**
- 2) Readable code**

Instead of this...

```
template <>
struct Factorial<0> {
    enum { value = 1 };
};

template <size_t N>
struct Factorial {
    enum { value = N * Factorial<N - 1>::value };
};

std::cout << Factorial<7>::value << std::endl;
```

Use `constexpr`/`consteval`

An institutionalization of template metaprogramming (new in C++20)


```
constexpr size_t factorial(size_t n) {  
    if (n == 0) return 1;  
    return n * factorial(n - 1);  
}
```

constexpr
“Dear compiler,
please try to run me
at compile time 😊”

```
consteval size_t factorial(size_t n) {  
    if (n == 0) return 1;  
    return n * factorial(n - 1);  
}
```

consteval
“Dear compiler, YOU
MUST RUN ME AT
COMPILE TIME 😡 😡”

What questions do you have?



bjarne_about_to_raise_hand

Recap

When should I use **templates**?

- I want the compiler to automate a repetitive coding task
 - Template functions, variadic templates
- I want better error messages
 - Concepts
- I don't want to wait until runtime
 - Template metaprogramming, constexpr/consteval

Next Time: Functions and Algorithms

Writing smarter, more flexible algorithms