# Notebook Swag

## Sam Grayson

April 20, 2015

3.1 
$$2^5 \equiv -9 \pmod{41}$$
  $2^5 = 32 = 41 - 9$   $(2^5)^4 \equiv (-9)^4 \pmod{41}$  By theorem 1.18  $2^{20} \equiv 81^2 \pmod{41}$   $(2^5)^4 \equiv (-9)^4 \equiv ((-9)^2)^2 \pmod{41}$   $2^{20} \equiv (-1)^2 \pmod{41}$   $(2^5)^4 \equiv (81)^2 \equiv (2 \cdot 41 - 1)^2 \pmod{41}$   $2^{20} - 1 \equiv 0$   $2^{20} \equiv (-1)^2 \equiv 1$  and theorem 1.13  $41 \mid (2^{20} - 1 - 0) \text{ iff } 41 \mid (2^{20})$ 

3.2  $37^{453} \equiv 1^{453} \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ 

3.3  $2^{50} \equiv (2^3)^{16} \cdot 2^2 \equiv 1^{16} \cdot 4 \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$ 

3.4  $9^{453} \equiv (9^2)^{(453-1)/2} \cdot 9 \equiv 9^{226} \cdot 9 \pmod{12}$   $9^{226} \equiv (9^2)^{226/2} \equiv 9^{113} \pmod{12}$   $9^{113} \equiv (9^2)^{(113-1)/2} \cdot 9 \equiv 9^{56} \cdot 9 \pmod{12}$   $9^{56} \equiv (9^2)^{56/2} \equiv 9^{28} \pmod{12}$   $9^{28} \equiv (9^2)^{28/2} \equiv 9^{14} \pmod{12}$ 

3.5 
$$17^{48} \equiv (17^2)^{48/2} \equiv 16^{24} \pmod{39}$$
  
 $16^{24} \equiv (16^2)^{24/2} \equiv 22^{12} \pmod{39}$   
 $22^{12} \equiv (22^2)^{12/2} \equiv 16^6 \pmod{39}$   
 $16^6 \equiv (16^2)^{6/2} \equiv 22^3 \pmod{39}$   
 $22^3 \equiv (22^2)^{(3-1)/2} \cdot 22 \equiv 16^1 \cdot 22 \pmod{39}$   
 $16 \cdot 22 \equiv 1 \pmod{39}$   
 $5^{24} \equiv (5^2)^{24/2} \equiv 25^{12} \pmod{39}$   
 $25^{12} \equiv (25^2)^{12/2} \equiv 1^6 \pmod{39}$   
 $1^6 \equiv 1 \pmod{39}$ 

 $9^{14} \equiv (9^2)^{14/2} \equiv 9^7 \pmod{12}$ 

 $9 \cdot 9 \equiv 9 \pmod{12}$ 

 $9^7 \equiv (9^2)^{(7-1)/2} \cdot 9 \equiv 9^3 \cdot 9 \pmod{12}$  $9^3 \equiv (9^2)^{(3-1)/2} \cdot 9 \equiv 9^1 \cdot 9 \pmod{12}$ 

#### 3.6 Algorithm:

1. Reduce a to its remainder mod r. Let a = nq + t and  $0 < t \le n$  from the Division Algorithm. nq = (a - t), therefore  $n \mid (a - t)$  by definition of divides, therefore  $a \equiv t \pmod{n}$  by definition of divides, therefore  $a^r \equiv t^r \pmod{n}$  by theorem 1.18.

- 2. If r=1, return a
- 3. Calculate  $a^2$
- 4. If r is even, return the solution to  $(a^2)^{r/2} \equiv k \pmod{n}$  (calculation can be done recursively with this same algorithm).
- 5. If r is odd, return ak where k is the solution to  $(a^2)^{(r-1)/2} \equiv k \pmod{n}$ .

This uses at most  $2\log_2 j$  multiplications where n is upper-bounded like so  $n < 2^j$ .

3.7 **Exercise:** When  $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$ , does  $f(98) \equiv f(-100) \pmod{99}$ ?

Since 98 - (-100) = 198 and  $2 \cdot 99 = 198$ , then  $98 \equiv -100$ .  $98^i \equiv (-100)^i$  by theorem 1.18, and  $a_i 98^i \equiv a_i (-100)^i$  by theorem 1.14, and finally  $a_i 98^i + c \equiv a_i (-100)^i + c$  by thorem 1.12. For the first term c can be  $a_0$  and i can be 1. For the ith term (assuming the polynomial is equal up to the (i-1)th term), c can be the previous part of the polynomial (truncated right before i). Therefore by induction f(98) = f(-100).

3.8 **Theorem:**  $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$ . If  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ , then  $f(a) \equiv f(b) \pmod{m}$ 

**Proof:** For all integer i,  $a^i \equiv b^i$  by theorem 1.18,  $a_i a^i \equiv a_i b^i$  by theorem 1.14, and  $a_i a^i + c \equiv a_i b^i + c$  for all integer c by theorem 1.12. Starting with  $a_1 a^i + a_0 \equiv a_i b^i + a_0$ , we can build up the rest of the polynomial congruences through induction. Assuming the two polynomials are congruent up to i-1, then let  $a_{i-1}a^{i-1} + \cdots + a_0$  be c in  $a_i a^i + c \equiv a_i b^i + c$ . This just stacked one more term on. Therefore by induction  $a_n a^n + a_{n-1} a^{n-1} \cdots + a_0 \equiv a_n b^n + a_{n-1} b^{n-1} \ldots a_0$ .

In fact, for any operator  $\simeq$  (read "bumpy equals"),

```
a = b \land b = c \rightarrow a = c Transitivity a = b \land c = d \rightarrow a + c = b + d Equality over equal additions
```

is enough to garuntee that for any polynomial  $a = b \to f(a) = f(b)$ . Since multiplication is repeated addition  $a = b \to \underbrace{a + \cdots + a}_{n \text{ times}} = \underbrace{b + \cdots + b}_{n \text{ times}}$ , therefore na = nb. Since exponentation

is repeated multiplication, by similar logic,  $a^i = b^i$ . Then using equality of equal additions to add the finishing tuch  $a^i + c = b^i + d$  where c = d. The proof above holds for c = c this as well. Notice that I cannot say  $a^i + c = c$  because I don't even have reflexivity.

3.9 **Theorem:** Let n be a natural number. Let m be the sum of digits of n.  $9 \mid n \leftrightarrow 9 \mid m$ . Let the digits of n be  $n = a_k 10^k + a_{k-1} 10^{k-1} \dots a_0 10^0$ .

Let  $f_a(x) = a_k x^k + a_{k-1} x^{k-1} + \dots + a_0$ .  $10 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ , therefore  $f(10) \equiv f(1) \pmod{9}$ , therefore  $a_k 10^k + a_{k-1} 10^{k-1} \dots a_0 10^0 \equiv a_k 1^k + a_{k-1} 1^{k-1} + \dots + a_0 1 \pmod{9}$ , therefore  $m \equiv n \pmod{9}$ . If  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$ , then  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$  and vice versa. Therefore  $m \mid 9$  exactly when  $n \mid 9$ 

3.10 Let n be a natural number. Let m be the sum of digits of n.  $3 \mid n \leftrightarrow 3 \mid m$ .

By the same reasoning above, three works too.  $\blacksquare$  In fact any number n where  $1 \equiv 10 \pmod{n}$ 

works. We did some of this in lesson one, but it was markedly more painful. This method is easier to apply, but it is less flexible.

For example, in  $n = a_k a_{k-1} \dots a_2 a_1 a_0$  is divisible by 4 if and only if  $a_2$  is even and  $a_1 a_0$  is divisible by 4 or  $a_2$  is odd and  $(a_1 a_0) - 2$  is divisible by 4. This cannot be proved the same way 3.9 and 3.10 were. It has to be proved the way 1.21, 1.22, and 1.23 were.

3.11 **Theorem:** Let f be an n-degree polynomial such that  $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$  and  $a_n > 0$ .  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}(\forall x > k(f(x) > 0))$ .

**Proof:**  $x > |a_{n-1}|$  is sufficient for  $x^n > a_{n-1}x^{n-1}$ . That is because multiplying both sides of the condition by  $x^{n-1}$  (valid operation since  $x^{n-1} > 0$ , since x > 0) gives  $xx^{n-1} > a_{n-1}x^{n-1}$ , equivalently  $x^n > a_{n-1}x^{n-1}$ . That simply arises from the initial condition. After this point, the *n*th term dominates the (n-1)th term.

If the first term dominates the zeroth term at some point  $k_1$ , and the second term dominates the first term at some point  $k_2$ , then at some point greater than  $k_1$  and greater than  $k_2$ , the third term dominates the second term and the second term dominates the first term  $(|a_2x^2| > |a_1x| > |a_0|)$ . Therefore the third term dominates the first term  $(|a_2x^2| > a_0)$ .

Continuing in this way, there is some point  $k_n$  the *n*th term dominates the (n-1)th term. The (n-1)th term dominates the (n-2)th term after  $k_{n-1}$ . Therefore for x>k where  $k=\max(k_n,k_{n-1},\ldots,k_1)$ , the *n*th term dominates.  $a_n>0$  by the premise. Therefore  $|a_nx^n|>|a_{n-1}x^{n-1}|>\cdots>|a_0|$ . Therefore  $n|a_nx^n|>|a_{n-1}x^{n-1}|+\cdots+|a_0|$ . Since *n* is a positive constant multiplier, we can absorb it into  $a_n$ . If it dominates the first term, and the first term is positive, then whether or not the later terms are positive or negative the polynomial will be positive. Therefore, after the point  $k_n$  the first term dominates every other term by more than a factor of n.  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N} (\forall x > k(f(x) > 0))$ 

3.12 **Theorem:** Let  $f(x) = a_n x^n a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$ .  $\forall y \in \mathbb{N} (\exists k \in \mathbb{N} (x > k \to f(x) > y))$ 

**Proof:** Construct the polynomial  $g(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 + y$ . By the previous theorem  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}(x > k \to g(x) > 0)$  which is tantamount to saying  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}(x > k \to f(x) > y)$ , since f(x) + y = g(x).

3.13 **Theorem:** Any integer-coefficient polynomial produces composite numbers for an infinite number of inputs.

Let f be an n-degree polynomial such that  $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$  and  $a_n > 0$ .

**Proof:** Pick a composite number  $x_1$ . Find the number k where  $\forall x \in \mathbb{N}(x > k \to f(x) > f(c))$  whose existence is garunteed by Theorem 3.12. Find a number  $x_2$  where  $x_2 > k$  and  $x_1 \equiv x_2 \pmod{n}$ ,  $f(d) \equiv f(c) \pmod{n}$  by theorem 3.8, f(d) > f(c) by theorem 3.12, therefore  $f(d) \equiv f(c) \pmod{n}$ .

3.14 **Theorem:**  $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}(\forall j \in \mathbb{N}(\exists! r \in \mathbb{N}(i \equiv r \pmod{j}) \land 0 \leq r < j)))$ 

**Proof:** 

```
Let i \in \mathbb{N}
Let j \in \mathbb{N}
If i > 0
Conclude: \exists !q, r \in \mathbb{N} (i = qj + r \land 0 \le r < j)
                                                                       Division algorithm
Otherwise i < 0
\exists! p, r \in \mathbb{N}(-i = pj + t \land 0 \le t < j)
                                                                       Division algorithm
i = -pj - j + j - t
                                                                        Algebra
                                                                        Algebra
i = -(p+1)j + j - t
-j < -t \le 0
                                                                        Property of inequalities
0 < j - t \le j
                                                                       Property of inequalities
If j - t < j
Let q = -(p+1) Let r = j - t
0 < r < j
                                                                        Property of inequalities
0 \le r < j
                                                                       Property of inequalities
Conclude: \exists !q, r \in \mathbb{N} (i = qj + r \land 0 \le r < j)
Otherwise j - t \ge j
j - t = j
                                                                       Property of inequalities
t = 0
                                                                       Identity property
i = pj Let r = 0
Conclude: \exists !q, r \in \mathbb{N} (i = qj + r \land 0 \le r < j)
\forall i \in \mathbb{N} (\forall j \in \mathbb{N} (\exists! r \in \mathbb{N} (i \equiv r \pmod{j}) \land 0 \le r < j)))
                                                                       Either way
```

3.15 1. 
$$\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$$
  
2.  $\{-4, -3, -2, -1\}$   
3.  $\{0, 5, 10, 15\}$ 

Let  $A \in CRS(n)$  stand for A is a possible Complete Residue System (CRS) for mod n.

Let  $A \in CCRS(n)$  stand for A is the Canonical Complete Residue System (CCRS) for mod n.

### 3.16 **Theorem:** $B \in CRS(n) \rightarrow |B| = n$

#### **Proof:**

Let  $A \in \operatorname{CCRS}(n)$  For conditional

Let  $B \in \operatorname{CRS}(n)$  For conditional

Let  $f: A \to B$  where  $a \mapsto b$  if  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$   $\forall a \in A(\exists!b \in B(x \equiv b \pmod{n}))$  Definition of CRS  $\forall a \in \operatorname{cod}(f)(\exists!b \in \operatorname{dom}(f)(f(a) = b))$  Substitution

Thus f is a bijective map |A| = n By inspection

Thus |A| = |B| = n Bijection  $B \in \operatorname{CRS}(n) \to |B| = n$  Conditional proof

```
3.17 Theorem: \neg \exists a \in S (\exists b \in S (a \equiv b \pmod{n}) \land a \neq b)) \rightarrow S \in CRS(n)
```

Let  $rem(x \pmod{n})$  (read "remainder of x modulo n")denote the number in the Complete Canonical Residue System congruent to  $x \pmod{n}$ .

```
Lemma: a = b \rightarrow a \equiv b \pmod{n} Proof: a - b = 0 Algebra 0n = 0 Zero-property of multiplication n \mid (a - b) Definition of divides a \equiv b \pmod{n} Definition of modulo
```

#### **Proof:**

```
Assume \neg \exists a \in S(\exists b \in S(a \equiv b \pmod{n} \land a \neq b))
                                                                                             (for conditional)
Assume \exists a \in S(\exists b \in S(\text{rem}(a \pmod{n})) = \text{rem}(b \pmod{n})))
                                                                                             (for contradiction)
a \equiv \operatorname{rem}(a \pmod{n})
                                                                                             Definition of remainder
b \equiv \operatorname{rem}(b \pmod{n})
                                                                                             Definition of remainder
a \equiv \operatorname{rem}(a \pmod{n}) \equiv b
                                                                                             Lemma and transitivity
\exists a \in S (\exists b \in S (a \equiv b \pmod{n} \land a \neq b))
                                                                                             Existential generalization
\neg \exists a \in S(\exists b \in S(\text{rem}(a \pmod{n})) = \text{rem}(b \pmod{n})))
                                                                                             Contradiction
\neg \exists a \in S (\exists b \in S (a \equiv b \pmod{n}) \land a \neq b)) \rightarrow S \in CRS(n)
                                                                                             Conditional •
```

- 3.18 1.  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ 
  - 2.  $x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$
  - 3. No solution.
  - 4.  $x \equiv 14 + 71n \pmod{213}$  for  $n \in \{0, 1, 2\}$
- 3.19 **Theorem:**  $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(ax \equiv b \pmod{n}) \leftrightarrow \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}(ax ny = b)$

#### **Proof:**

```
\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(ax \equiv b \pmod{n}) \leftrightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(b \equiv ax \pmod{n})  Theorem 1.10 \exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(b \equiv ax \pmod{n}) \leftrightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(n \mid (b - ax))  Definition of modulo \exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(n \mid (b - ax)) \leftrightarrow \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}(ny = b - ax)  Definition of divides \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}(ny = b - ax) \leftrightarrow \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}(ax + ny = b)  Algebra \exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(ax \equiv b \pmod{n}) \leftrightarrow \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}(ax - ny = b)  Transitivity \blacksquare
```

3.20 **Theorem:**  $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(ax \equiv b \pmod{n}) \leftrightarrow \gcd(a,n) \mid b$ 

#### **Proof:**

$$\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(ax \equiv b \pmod{n}) \leftrightarrow \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}(ax - ny = b) \quad \text{Theorem 3.19}$$
  
$$\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}(ax - ny = b) \leftrightarrow \gcd(a, n) \mid b \quad 1.48$$
  
$$\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(ax \equiv b \pmod{n}) \leftrightarrow \gcd(a, n) \mid b \quad \text{Transitivity} \quad \blacksquare$$

3.21 It has a solution.

```
3.22 \quad 213 - 8 \cdot 24 = 21
24 - 1 \cdot 21 = 3
24 - 1 \cdot (213 - 8 \cdot 24) = 3
9 \cdot 24 - 213 = 3
41 \cdot (9 \cdot 24 - 213) = 41 \cdot 3 = 123
369 \cdot 24 - 41 \cdot 213 = 123
(369 + n \cdot 71) \cdot 24 - (41 + n \cdot 8) \cdot 213 = 123
213 \mid ((369 + n \cdot 71) \cdot 24 - 213)
x = 369 + n \cdot 71
```

3.23 **Algorithm:** Find all solutions of  $ax = b \pmod{n}$  for  $0 \le x < n$ 

I wrote this algorithm in Python so that it would be more precise. I spent a lot of time making it accessible to non-programmers. Please spend as much time trying to understand it as I spent trying to make it understandable

First, there are four things you must understand about Python code:

- Lines that begin with a # are comments for the reader. They are ignored by the computer. They show up in gray.
- Single equals-sign means assignment of the right-hand value to the left-hand variable. x = 2 says "Make x equal to 2." Double equals-sign tests for equivalence. x == 2 asks the question "Is x equal to 2?". Ordered-pairs (called n-tuples) are allowed in any assignment or equality tests.
- Any statement that ends in a colon is a control-flow statement. It controls when the statements immediately following it are executed. Those statements are indented to show that they are dependent on the control-flow statement. For example, in the following code, lines 2 and 3 run only if x is 2 (from line 1) otherwise lines 5 and 6 run. Line 7 is not indented, so it is not controlled by the if-else from line 1. Line 9 runs once for every element in the set [1, 2, 3, 4, 5], where each iteration a takes on one value from that list.

```
if x == 2:
    a = 3
    b = 5
    else:
    a = 6
    b = 10
    c = 4
    for a in [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]:
    n = n + a
```

• A function is defined by a line beginning with "def", the name of the function, a temporary name given to the function parameters, and then a colon (this is a kind of control-flow statement). The function ends with a line that says 'return' and then a value. def f(x): and then a line that says return 2 \* x. If later you see f(10), it evaluates to 20.

```
def linear_diophantine(a, b, c):
        # Returns (x_0, y_0), (r_x, r_y) where ax + by = c
        # when x = x_0 + nr_x and y = y_0 + nr_y
        g = gcd(a, b)
        if c == g:
            for x in count():
                 # Loop over this with x = \{0, 1, 2, 3 ...\}
                 if mod(a * x, g, b):
                     # execute this block iff a \cdot x \equiv g \pmod{b}
9
                     y = (g - a*x) / b
10
                     # at this point ax + by = g
                     # therefore x and y are solutions
12
                     # theorem 1.53 states solutions for x are spaced b / g apart
13
                     # and solutions for y are spaced -a / g apart
14
                     return (x, y), (b / g, -a / g)
15
        else:
16
            # solve a simpler diophantine equation first
17
            (u_0, v_0), (r_u, r_v) = linear_diophantine(a, b, g)
18
            # at this point ua + vb = g
19
            # multiplying both sides by \frac{c}{a} gives
            # u_0 \frac{c}{a} a + v_0 \frac{c}{a} b = g \frac{c}{a} = c
21
            (x_0, y_0) = (u_0 * c / g, v_0 * c / g)
            # the spacing between solutions doesn't change
23
            (r_x, r_y) = (r_u, r_v)
            return(x_0, y_0), (r_x, r_y)
25
26
   def linear_congruence(a, b, n):
27
        # Returns x_0, n where ax \equiv b \pmod{n} when x \equiv x_0 \pmod{n}
28
        # this function relies on the linear_diophantine function,
29
        # because why reinvent the wheel?
        (x_0, y_0), (x_i, y_i) = linear_diophantine(a, -n, b)
        return x_0, x_i
32
   This code relies on auxiliary functions. They are listed below.
   # this is a funciton from the standard library
   \# count() \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}
   from itertools import count
   def cmod(a, n):
        # Returns c such that a \equiv c \pmod{n} and 0 \le c \le n WLOG n > 0
        # this c is the remainder in the division algorithm
        # and c is in the canonical complete residue system
        n = abs(n)
        if a > 0:
```

```
while a \ge n:
11
                 a = a - n
12
            return a
13
        else:
14
            while a < 0:
                 a = a + n
16
            return a
18
   def divides(d, a):
19
        # Returns true if d|a
20
        # (equivalent to if the remainder upon division is zero, return true)
21
        return cmod(a, d) == 0
23
   def mod(a, b, n):
24
        # Returns true if a \equiv b \pmod{n}
25
        # (equivalent to n|(b-a))
26
        return divides(n, b - a)
27
28
   def gcd(a1, b1):
29
        # Returns the greatest common multiple
30
        # WLOG a > b > 0
31
        a = max(abs(a1), abs(b1))
32
        b = min(abs(a1), abs(b1))
33
        r = cmod(a, b)
        if r == 0:
35
            return b
        else:
37
            return gcd(b, r)
```

**Theorem:** There are  $\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)}$  solutions to the linear congruence.

#### **Proof:**

$$\begin{array}{l} 0 \leq x_0 < \frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} \\ 0 + (\gcd(a,n)-1)\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} \leq x_0 + (\gcd(a,n)-1)\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} < \frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} + (\gcd(a,n)-1)\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} \\ 0 + (\gcd(a,n)-1)\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} \leq x_0 + (\gcd(a,n)-1)\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} < \frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} + \gcd(a,n)\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} - \frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} \\ (\gcd(a,n)-1)\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} \leq x_0 + (\gcd(a,n)-1)\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} < \gcd(a,n)\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} \\ \text{For all } 0 \leq m \leq \gcd(a,n) - 1, \text{ there are solutions at } x_0 + m\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} \text{ in the CCRS} \\ \text{There are } \gcd(a,n) \text{ solutions} \quad \blacksquare \end{array}$$

- 3.24 3.20, 3.23a, and 3.23b taken together prove this theorem. The big idea is that a linear congruence is a special kind of linear diophantine equation.
- 3.25 **Exercise:** Solve for x in

```
x\equiv 3\pmod{17} x\equiv 10\pmod{16} x\equiv 0\pmod{15} x \text{ satisfies } x\equiv 3\pmod{17} \text{ when } x=3+j\cdot 17 x=\left\{3,\,20,\,37,\,54,\,71,\,88,\,105,\,122,\,139,\,156,\,173,\,190,\,207,\,224,\,241,\,258,\,275,\,292,\,309,\,326,\,343,\,360,\,377,\,394,\,\ldots\right\} x \text{ satisfies } x\equiv 10\pmod{16} \text{ and all previous equations when } x=122+j\cdot 272 x=\left\{122,\,394,\,666,\,938,\,1210,\,1482,\,1754,\,2026,\,2298,\,2570,\,2842,\,3114,\,3386,\,3658,\,3930,\,4202,\,4474,\,4746,\,5018,\,5290,\,5562,\,5834,\,6106,\,6378,\,6650,\,6922,\,7194,\,7466,\,7738,\,8010,\,8282,\,8554,\,8826,\,9098,\,9370,\,9642,\,9914,\,10186,\,10458,\,10730,\,11002,\,11274,\,11546,\,11818,\,12090,\,\ldots\right\} x \text{ satisfies } x\equiv 0\pmod{15} \text{ and all previous equations when } x=3930+j\cdot 4080 x=\left\{3930,\,8010,\,12090,\,\ldots\right\}
```

Notice that the next solution-set is all of the previous solutions that satisfy the next equation. The solution-set at each step is a subset of the solution-set above it. I have marked which numbers are 'carried over' from the previous solution-set to the next solution-set with color, underlines, and overlines.

#### 3.26 **Exercise:** Solve for x in

```
x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}
x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}
x \equiv 3 \pmod{4}
x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}
x \equiv 5 \pmod{6}
x \equiv 0 \pmod{7}
x satisfies x \equiv 1 \pmod{2} when x = 1 + i \cdot 2
x = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23...\}
x satisfies x \equiv 2 \pmod{3} and all previous equations when x = 5 + i \cdot 6
x = \{5, \underline{11}, 17, \underline{23}, 29, 35, 41, \underline{47}...\}
x satisfies x \equiv 3 \pmod{4} and all previous equations when x = 11 + j \cdot 12
x = \{11, 23, 35, 47, 59, 71, 83, 95, 107, 119, 131, 143, 155, 167, 179, \dots\}
x satisfies x \equiv 4 \pmod{5} and all previous equations when x = 59 + j \cdot 60
x = \{59, 119, 179, \dots\}
x satisfies x \equiv 5 \pmod{6} and all previous equations when x = 59 + j \cdot 60
x = \{59, 119, 179, \dots\}
```

This equation was redundant, since  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  and  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ . This says that x is an odd number one less than a multiple of three. 5 is the only odd number one less than a multiple of three in the complete canonical residue system of 6, therefore this equation is equivalent to the two previous ones.

```
x satisfies x \equiv 0 \pmod{7} and all previous equations when x = 119 + j \cdot 420 x = \{\overline{119}, 539, 959, 1379, 1799, 2219, \dots\}
```

3.27 **Theorem:** Let  $a, b, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  where m > 0 and n > 0. The system  $x \equiv a \pmod{n}$  and  $x \equiv b \pmod{m}$  has solutions for x if and only if  $\gcd(n, m) \mid (a - b)$ 

**Proof:**  $x \equiv a \pmod{m}$ , or equivalently  $m \mid (x-a)$ , or equivalently, cm = x-a, and by the same logic dn = x-b. Adding the system of equations together, cm - dn = x - a - (x-b), or equivalently cm - dn = a - b. By Theorem 1.48, this has solutions if and only if  $gcd(m,n) \mid (a-b)$ .

3.28 **Theorem:** Let  $a, b, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  where m > 0, n > 0, and gcd(m, n) = 1

**Proof:** Repeat the previous proof up to cm - dn = a - b. c has solutions every  $\frac{n}{\gcd(m,n)} = n$  and d has solutions every  $\frac{m}{\gcd(m,n)} = m$ . a + cm = x and b + dn = x.  $x = a + m(c_0 + in) = a + mc_0 + inm$  and  $x = b + n(d_0 + im) = b + nd_0 + inm$ . Solving for x in terms of c and solving for c in terms of d both indicate solutions every n. Therefore they are equivalent to the same thing (mod mn).

3.29 **Theorem:** Given L linear congruences with coprime modulos, there exists a unique solution in the canonical residue system of the product of the modulos.

$$i \neq j \to \gcd(n_i, n_j) = 1$$

$$x \equiv a_1 \pmod{n_1}$$
  
 $x \equiv a_2 \pmod{n_2}$   
 $\vdots$   
 $x \equiv a_L \pmod{n_L}$ 

**Proof:** For L=2, there is a unique solution mod  $n_1n_2$  by theorem 3.28. Assume that for L-1 linear congruences, there is a unique solution mod  $n_1n_2...n_{L-1}$ , called  $k_{L-1}$ . Then to satisfy all of the previous L-1 equations  $x \equiv k_{L-1} \pmod{n_1n_2...n_{L-1}}$ . Add on to this that  $x \equiv a_L \pmod{n_L}$ . These two equations have a unique solution mod  $n_1n_2...n_{L-1}n_L$  by theorem 3.28. By induction, the L equations have solutions every  $n_1n_2...n_{L-1}n_L$ .