Notebook Swag

Sam Grayson

April 19, 2015

3.1
$$2^5 \equiv -9 \pmod{41}$$
 $2^5 = 32 = 41 - 9$ By theorem 1.18 $2^{20} \equiv 81^2 \pmod{41}$ $(2^5)^4 \equiv (-9)^4 \pmod{41}$ $(2^5)^4 \equiv (-9)^4 \equiv ((-9)^2)^2 \pmod{41}$ $2^{20} \equiv (-1)^2 \pmod{41}$ $(2^5)^4 \equiv (81)^2 \equiv (2 \cdot 41 - 1)^2 \pmod{41}$ $2^{20} = (-1)^2 \equiv 1$ and theorem 1.13 $2^{20} \equiv (-1)^2 \equiv 1$ and theorem 1.13

$$3.2 \ 37^{453} \equiv 1^{453} \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$$

$$3.3 \ 2^{50} \equiv (2^3)^{16} \cdot 2^2 \equiv 1^{16} \cdot 4 \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$$

3.4
$$9^{453} \equiv (9^2)^{(453-1)/2} \cdot 9 \equiv 9^{226} \cdot 9 \pmod{12}$$

 $9^{226} \equiv (9^2)^{226/2} \equiv 9^{113} \pmod{12}$
 $9^{113} \equiv (9^2)^{(113-1)/2} \cdot 9 \equiv 9^{56} \cdot 9 \pmod{12}$
 $9^{56} \equiv (9^2)^{56/2} \equiv 9^{28} \pmod{12}$
 $9^{28} \equiv (9^2)^{28/2} \equiv 9^{14} \pmod{12}$
 $9^{14} \equiv (9^2)^{14/2} \equiv 9^7 \pmod{12}$
 $9^7 \equiv (9^2)^{(7-1)/2} \cdot 9 \equiv 9^3 \cdot 9 \pmod{12}$
 $9^3 \equiv (9^2)^{(3-1)/2} \cdot 9 \equiv 9^1 \cdot 9 \pmod{12}$
 $9 \cdot 9 \equiv 9 \pmod{12}$

3.5
$$17^{48} \equiv (17^2)^{48/2} \equiv 16^{24} \pmod{39}$$

 $16^{24} \equiv (16^2)^{24/2} \equiv 22^{12} \pmod{39}$
 $22^{12} \equiv (22^2)^{12/2} \equiv 16^6 \pmod{39}$
 $16^6 \equiv (16^2)^{6/2} \equiv 22^3 \pmod{39}$
 $22^3 \equiv (22^2)^{(3-1)/2} \cdot 22 \equiv 16^1 \cdot 22 \pmod{39}$
 $16 \cdot 22 \equiv 1 \pmod{39}$
 $5^{24} \equiv (5^2)^{24/2} \equiv 25^{12} \pmod{39}$
 $25^{12} \equiv (25^2)^{12/2} \equiv 1^6 \pmod{39}$
 $1^6 \equiv 1 \pmod{39}$

3.6 Algorithm:

- 1. Reduce a to its remainder mod r. Let a = nq + t and $0 < t \le n$ from the Division Algorithm. nq = (a t), therefore $n \mid (a t)$ by definition of divides, therefore $a \equiv t \pmod{n}$ by definition of divides, therefore $a^r \equiv t^r \pmod{n}$ by theorem 1.18.
- 2. If r=1, return a

- 3. Calculate a^2
- 4. If r is even, return the solution to $(a^2)^{r/2} \equiv k \pmod{n}$ (calculation can be done recursively with this same algorithm).
- 5. If r is odd, return ak where k is the solution to $(a^2)^{(r-1)/2} \equiv k \pmod{n}$.

This uses at most $2\log_2 j$ multiplications where n is upper bounded like so $n<2^j$.

3.11 **Theorem:** Let f be an n-degree polynomial such that $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$ and $a_n > 0$. $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}(\forall x > k(f(x) > 0))$.

Proof: $x > |a_{n-1}|$ is sufficient for $x^n > a_{n-1}x^{n-1}$. That is because multiplying both sides of the condition by x^{n-1} (valid operation since $x^{n-1} > 0$, since x > 0) gives $xx^{n-1} > a_{n-1}x^{n-1}$, equivalently $x^n > a_{n-1}x^{n-1}$. That simply arises from the initial condition. After this point, the *n*th term dominates the (n-1)th term.

If the first term dominates the zeroth term at some point k_1 , and the second term dominates the first term at some point k_2 , then at some point greater than k_1 and greater than k_2 , the third term dominates the second term and the second term dominates the first term $(|a_2x^2| > |a_1x| > |a_0|)$. Therefore the third term dominates the first term $(|a_2x^2| > a_0)$.

Continuing in this way, there is some point k_n the *n*th term dominates the (n-1)th term. The (n-1)th term dominates the (n-2)th term after k_{n-1} . Therefore for x > k where $k = \max(k_n, k_{n-1}, \ldots, k_1)$, the *n*th term dominates. $a_n > 0$ by the premise. Therefore $|a_n x^n| > |a_{n-1} x^{n-1}| > \cdots > |a_0|$. Therefore $n|a_n x^n| > |a_{n-1} x^{n-1}| + \cdots + |a_0|$. Since *n* is a positive constant multiplier, we can absorb it into a_n . If it dominates the first term, and the first term is positive, then whether or not the later terms are positive or negative the polynomial will be positive. Therefore, after the point k_n the first term dominates every other term by more than a factor of n. $\exists k \in \mathbb{N} (\forall x > k(f(x) > 0))$

3.12 **Theorem:** Let $f(x) = a_n x^n a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$. $\forall y \in \mathbb{N} (\exists k \in \mathbb{N} (x > k \to f(x) > y))$

Proof: Construct the polynomial $g(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 + y$. By the previous theorem $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}(x > k \to g(x) > 0)$ which is tantamount to saying $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}(x > k \to f(x) > y)$, since f(x) + y = g(x).

3.14 **Theorem:** $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}(\forall j \in \mathbb{N}(\exists! r \in \mathbb{N}(i \equiv r \pmod{j}) \land 0 \leq r < j)))$

Proof:

Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$ If i > 0Conclude: $\exists !q, r \in \mathbb{N} (i = qj + r \land 0 \le r < j)$ Otherwise i < 0 $\exists !p, r \in \mathbb{N} (-i = pj + t \land 0 \le t < j)$ $-i = pj + t \land 0 \le t < j$ i = -pj - ti = -pj - j + j - t (for universal generalization) (for universal generalization)

Division algorithm

Division algorithm Existential generalization Existential generalization Algebra

```
i = -(p+1)j + j - t
                                                                      Algebra
0 \le t < j
                                                                      Simplification
-j < -t \le 0
                                                                      Property of inequalities
0 < j - t \le j
                                                                      Property of inequalities
If j - t < j
Let q = -(p+1) Let r = j - t
0 < r < j
                                                                      Property of inequalities
0 \le r < j
                                                                      Property of inequalities
Conclude: \exists !q, r \in \mathbb{N} (i = qj + r \land 0 \le r < j)
                                                                     Existential generalization
Otherwise j - t \ge j
j - t \le j \land j - t \ge j
                                                                     Conjunction
                                                                     Property of inequalities
j - t = j
t = 0
                                                                     Identity property
i = pj Let r = 0
Conclude: \exists !q, r \in \mathbb{N} (i = qj + r \land 0 \le r < j)
                                                                      Existential generalization
\exists ! q, r \in \mathbb{N} (i = qj + r \land 0 \le r < j)
                                                                      Constructive dilemma
Conclude: \exists !q, r \in \mathbb{N} (i = qj + r \land 0 \le r < j)
                                                                      Constructive dilemma
\forall i \in \mathbb{N} (\forall j \in \mathbb{N} (\exists! r \in \mathbb{N} (i \equiv r \pmod{j}) \land 0 \le r < j)))
                                                                      Universal generalization
                                                                      (used twice) ■
```

3.15 1.
$$\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$$

2. $\{-4, -3, -2, -1\}$
3. $\{0, 5, 10, 15\}$

Let $A \in CRS(n)$ stand for A is a possible Complete Residue System (CRS) for mod n.

Let $A \in CCRS(n)$ stand for A is the Canonical Complete Residue System (CCRS) for mod n.

3.16 **Theorem:** $B \in CRS(n) \rightarrow |B| = n$

Proof:

Let $A \in \operatorname{CCRS}(n)$ For conditional Let $B \in \operatorname{CRS}(n)$ For conditional Let $f: A \to B$ where $a \mapsto b$ if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ Definition of CRS $\forall a \in \operatorname{A}(\exists!b \in B(x \equiv b \pmod{n}))$ Substitution Thus f is a bijective map |A| = n By inspection Thus |A| = |B| = n Bijection $B \in \operatorname{CRS}(n) \to |B| = n$ Conditional proof

3.17 **Theorem:** $\neg \exists a \in S (\exists b \in S (a \equiv b \pmod{n}) \land a \neq b)) \rightarrow S \in CRS(n)$

Let $rem(x \pmod{n})$ (read "remainder of x modulo n")denote the number in the Complete Canonical Residue System congruent to $x \pmod{n}$.

```
Lemma: a = b \rightarrow a \equiv b \pmod{n} Proof: a - b = 0 Algebra 0n = 0 Zero-property of multiplication n \mid (a - b) Definition of divides a \equiv b \pmod{n} Definition of modulo
```

Proof:

```
Assume \neg \exists a \in S (\exists b \in S (a \equiv b \pmod{n} \land a \neq b)) (for conditional)

Assume \exists a \in S (\exists b \in S (\operatorname{rem}(a \pmod{n})) = \operatorname{rem}(b \pmod{n}))) (for contradiction)

a \equiv \operatorname{rem}(a \pmod{n}) Definition of remainder

b \equiv \operatorname{rem}(a \pmod{n}) Definition of remainder

a \equiv \operatorname{rem}(a \pmod{n}) \equiv b Lemma and transitivity

\exists a \in S (\exists b \in S (\operatorname{rem}(a \pmod{n})) = \operatorname{rem}(b \pmod{n}))) Existential generalization

\neg \exists a \in S (\exists b \in S (\operatorname{rem}(a \pmod{n})) = \operatorname{rem}(b \pmod{n}))) Contradiction
```

- 3.18 1. $x \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$
 - 2. $x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$
 - 3. No solution.
 - 4. $x \equiv 14 + 71n \pmod{213}$ for $n \in \{0, 1, 2\}$
- 3.19 **Theorem:** $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(ax \equiv b \pmod{n}) \leftrightarrow \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}(ax ny = b)$

Proof:

```
\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(ax \equiv b \pmod{n}) \leftrightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(b \equiv ax \pmod{n}) \qquad \text{Theorem 1.10}
\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(b \equiv ax \pmod{n}) \leftrightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(n \mid (b - ax)) \qquad \text{Definition of modulo}
\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(n \mid (b - ax)) \leftrightarrow \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}(ny = b - ax) \qquad \text{Definition of divides}
\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}(ny = b - ax) \leftrightarrow \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}(ax + ny = b) \qquad \text{Algebra}
\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(ax \equiv b \pmod{n}) \leftrightarrow \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}(ax - ny = b) \qquad \text{Transitivity} \quad \blacksquare
```

3.20 **Theorem:** $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(ax \equiv b \pmod{n}) \leftrightarrow \gcd(a,n) \mid b$

Proof:

```
\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(ax \equiv b \pmod{n}) \leftrightarrow \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}(ax - ny = b) \quad \text{Theorem 3.19}
\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}(ax - ny = b) \leftrightarrow \gcd(a, n) \mid b \quad 1.48
\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(ax \equiv b \pmod{n}) \leftrightarrow \gcd(a, n) \mid b \quad \text{Transitivity} \quad \blacksquare
```

3.21 It has a solution.

```
3.22 \quad 213 - 8 \cdot 24 = 21
24 - 1 \cdot 21 = 3
24 - 1 \cdot (213 - 8 \cdot 24) = 3
9 \cdot 24 - 213 = 3
41 \cdot (9 \cdot 24 - 213) = 41 \cdot 3 = 123
369 \cdot 24 - 41 \cdot 213 = 123
(369 + n \cdot 71) \cdot 24 - (41 + n \cdot 8) \cdot 213 = 123
213 \mid ((369 + n \cdot 71) \cdot 24 - 213)
x = 369 + n \cdot 71
```

3.23 **Algorithm:** Find all solutions of $ax = b \pmod{n}$ for $0 \le x < n$

I wrote this algorithm in Python so that it would be more precise. I spent a lot of time making it accessible to non-programmers. Please spend as much time trying to understand it as I spent trying to make it understandable

First, there are four things you must understand about Python code:

- Lines that begin with a # are comments for the reader. They are ignored by the computer. They show up in gray.
- Single equals-sign means assignment of the right-hand value to the left-hand variable. x = 2 says "Make x equal to 2." Double equals-sign tests for equivalence. x == 2 asks the question "Is x equal to 2?". Ordered-pairs (called n-tuples) are allowed in any assignment or equality tests.
- Any statement that ends in a colon is a control-flow statement. It controls when the statements immediately following it are executed. Those statements are indented to show that they are dependent on the control-flow statement. For example, in the following code, lines 2 and 3 run only if x is 2 (from line 1) otherwise lines 5 and 6 run. Line 7 is not indented, so it is not controlled by the if-else from line 1. Line 9 runs once for every element in the set [1, 2, 3, 4, 5], where each iteration a takes on one value from that list.

```
i if x == 2:
    a = 3
    b = 5
    else:
    a = 6
    b = 10
    c = 4
    for a in [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]:
    n = n + a
```

• A function is defined by a line beginning with "def", the name of the function, a temporary name given to the function parameters, and then a colon (this is a kind of control-flow statement). The function ends with a line that says 'return' and then a value. def f(x): and then a line that says return 2 * x. If later you see f(10), it evaluates to 20.

```
def linear_diophantine(a, b, c):
        # Returns (x_0, y_0), (r_x, r_y) where ax + by = c
        # when x = x_0 + nr_x and y = y_0 + nr_y
        g = gcd(a, b)
        if c == g:
            for x in count():
                 # Loop over this with x = \{0, 1, 2, 3 ...\}
                 if mod(a * x, g, b):
                     # execute this block iff a \cdot x \equiv g \pmod{b}
9
                     y = (g - a*x) / b
10
                     # at this point ax + by = g
                     # therefore x and y are solutions
12
                     # theorem 1.53 states solutions for x are spaced b / g apart
13
                     # and solutions for y are spaced -a / g apart
14
                     return (x, y), (b / g, -a / g)
15
        else:
16
            # solve a simpler diophantine equation first
17
            (u_0, v_0), (r_u, r_v) = linear_diophantine(a, b, g)
18
            # at this point ua + vb = g
19
            # multiplying both sides by \frac{c}{a} gives
            # u_0 \frac{c}{a} a + v_0 \frac{c}{a} b = g \frac{c}{a} = c
21
            (x_0, y_0) = (u_0 * c / g, v_0 * c / g)
            # the spacing between solutions doesn't change
23
            (r_x, r_y) = (r_u, r_v)
            return(x_0, y_0), (r_x, r_y)
25
26
   def linear_congruence(a, b, n):
27
        # Returns x_0, n where ax \equiv b \pmod{n} when x \equiv x_0 \pmod{n}
28
        # this function relies on the linear_diophantine function,
29
        # because why reinvent the wheel?
        (x_0, y_0), (x_i, y_i) = linear_diophantine(a, -n, b)
        return x_0, x_i
32
   This code relies on auxiliary functions. They are listed below.
   # this is a funciton from the standard library
   \# count() \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}
   from itertools import count
   def cmod(a, n):
        # Returns c such that a \equiv c \pmod{n} and 0 \le c \le n WLOG n > 0
        # this c is the remainder in the division algorithm
        # and c is in the canonical complete residue system
        n = abs(n)
```

if a > 0:

Additio Distribu Identity

```
while a \ge n:
11
                 a = a - n
12
            return a
13
        else:
14
            while a < 0:
                 a = a + n
16
            return a
18
   def divides(d, a):
19
        # Returns true if d|a
20
        # (equivalent to if the remainder upon division is zero, return true)
21
        return cmod(a, d) == 0
23
   def mod(a, b, n):
24
        # Returns true if a \equiv b \pmod{n}
25
        # (equivalent to n|(b-a))
26
        return divides(n, b - a)
27
28
   def gcd(a1, b1):
29
        # Returns the greatest common multiple
30
        # WLOG a > b > 0
31
        a = max(abs(a1), abs(b1))
32
        b = min(abs(a1), abs(b1))
33
        r = cmod(a, b)
        if r == 0:
35
            return b
        else:
37
            return gcd(b, r)
```

Theorem: There are $\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)}$ solutions to the linear congruence.

Proof:

$$\begin{array}{l} 0 \leq x_0 < \frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} \\ 0 + (\gcd(a,n)-1)\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} \leq x_0 + (\gcd(a,n)-1)\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} < \frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} + (\gcd(a,n)-1)\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} \\ 0 + (\gcd(a,n)-1)\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} \leq x_0 + (\gcd(a,n)-1)\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} < \frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} + \gcd(a,n)\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} - \frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} \\ (\gcd(a,n)-1)\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} \leq x_0 + (\gcd(a,n)-1)\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} < \gcd(a,n)\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} \\ (\gcd(a,n)-1)\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} \leq x_0 + (\gcd(a,n)-1)\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} < \gcd(a,n)\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} \\ \text{For all } 0 \leq m \leq \gcd(a,n) - 1, \text{ there are solutions at } x_0 + m\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} \text{ in the CCRS} \\ \text{There are } \gcd(a,n) \text{ solutions} \quad \blacksquare \end{array}$$

- 3.24 3.20, 3.23a, and 3.23b taken together prove this theorem. The big idea is that a linear congruence is a special kind of linear diophantine equation.
- 3.25 **Exercise:** Solve for x in

```
x\equiv 3\pmod{17} x\equiv 10\pmod{16} x\equiv 0\pmod{15} x = 0\pmod{15} x = 3\pmod{17} when x = 3+j\cdot 17 x = \{3, 20, 37, 54, 71, 88, 105, 122, 139, 156, 173, 190, 207, 224, 241, 258, 275, 292, 309, 326, 343, 360, 377, 394, ...\} x \text{ satisfies } x\equiv 10\pmod{16} \text{ and all previous equations when } x = 122+j\cdot 272 x = \{122, 394, 666, 938, 1210, 1482, 1754, 2026, 2298, 2570, 2842, 3114, 3386, 3658, 3930, 4202, 4474, 4746, 5018, 5290, 5562, 5834, 6106, 6378, 6650, 6922, 7194, 7466, 7738, 8010, 8282, 8554, 8826, 9098, 9370, 9642, 9914, 10186, 10458, 10730, 11002, 11274, 11546, 11818, 12090, ... \} x \text{ satisfies } x\equiv 0\pmod{15} \text{ and all previous equations when } x = 3930+j\cdot 4080 x = \{3930, 8010, 12090, \ldots\}
```

Notice that the next solution-set is all of the previous solutions that satisfy the next equation. The solution-set at each step is a subset of the solution-set above it. I have marked which numbers are 'carried over' from the previous solution-set to the next solution-set with color, underlines, and overlines.

3.26 **Exercise:** Solve for x in

```
x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}
x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}
x \equiv 3 \pmod{4}
x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}
x \equiv 5 \pmod{6}
x \equiv 0 \pmod{7}
x satisfies x \equiv 1 \pmod{2} when x = 1 + i \cdot 2
x = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23...\}
x satisfies x \equiv 2 \pmod{3} and all previous equations when x = 5 + i \cdot 6
x = \{5, \underline{11}, 17, \underline{23}, 29, 35, 41, \underline{47}...\}
x satisfies x \equiv 3 \pmod{4} and all previous equations when x = 11 + j \cdot 12
x = \{11, 23, 35, 47, 59, 71, 83, 95, 107, 119, 131, 143, 155, 167, 179, \dots\}
x satisfies x \equiv 4 \pmod{5} and all previous equations when x = 59 + j \cdot 60
x = \{59, 119, 179, \dots\}
x satisfies x \equiv 5 \pmod{6} and all previous equations when x = 59 + j \cdot 60
x = \{59, 119, 179, \dots\}
```

This equation was redundant, since $x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. This says that x is an odd number one less than a multiple of three. 5 is the only odd number one less than a multiple of three in the complete canonical residue system of 6, therefore this equation is equivalent to the two previous ones.

```
x satisfies x \equiv 0 \pmod{7} and all previous equations when x = 119 + j \cdot 420 x = \{\overline{119}, 539, 959, 1379, 1799, 2219, \dots\}
```

3.27 **Theorem:** Let $a, b, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ where m > 0 and n > 0. The system $x \equiv a \pmod{n}$ and $x \equiv b \pmod{m}$ has solutions for x if and only if $\gcd(n, m) \mid (a - b)$

Proof: $x \equiv a \pmod{m}$, or equivalently $m \mid (x-a)$, or equivalently, cm = x - a, and by the same logic dn = x - b. Adding the system of equations together, cm - dn = x - a - (x - b), or equivalently cm - dn = a - b. By Theorem 1.48, this has solutions if and only if $gcd(m, n) \mid (a - b)$.

3.28 **Theorem:** Let $a, b, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ where m > 0, n > 0, and gcd(m, n) = 1

Proof: Repeat the previous proof up to cm - dn = a - b. c has solutions every $\frac{n}{\gcd(m,n)} = n$ and d has solutions every $\frac{m}{\gcd(m,n)} = m$. a + cm = x and b + dn = x. $x = a + m(c_0 + in) = a + mc_0 + inm$ and $x = b + n(d_0 + im) = b + nd_0 + inm$. Solving for x in terms of c and solving for c in terms of d both indicate solutions every n. Therefore they are equivalent to the same thing (mod mn).

- $4.1 2^0 \pmod{7} 1$
 - $2^1 \pmod{7}$ 2
 - $2^2 \pmod{7} 4$
 - $2^3 \pmod{7}$ 1
 - $2^4 \pmod{7}$ 2
 - $2^5 \pmod{7}$ 4
 - $2^6 \pmod{7}$ 1
- 4.2 Theorem: