

# Notebook Swag

Sam Grayson

April 19, 2015

**3.11 Theorem:** Let  $f$  be an  $n$ -degree polynomial such that  $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$  and  $a_n > 0$ .  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}(\forall x > k(f(x) > 0))$ .

**Proof:**  $x > |a_{n-1}|$  is sufficient for  $x^n > a_{n-1} x^{n-1}$ . That is because multiplying both sides of the condition by  $x^{n-1}$  (valid operation since  $x^{n-1} > 0$ , since  $x > 0$ ) gives  $xx^{n-1} > a_{n-1} x^{n-1}$ , equivalently  $x^n > a_{n-1} x^{n-1}$ . That simply arises from the initial condition. After this point, the  $n$ th term dominates the  $(n-1)$ th term.

If the first term dominates the zeroth term at some point  $k_1$ , and the second term dominates the first term at some point  $k_2$ , then at some point greater than  $k_1$  and greater than  $k_2$ , the third term dominates the second term and the second term dominates the first term ( $|a_2 x^2| > |a_1 x| > |a_0|$ ). Therefore the third term dominates the first term ( $|a_2 x^2| > |a_0|$ ).

Continuing in this way, there is some point  $k_n$  the  $n$ th term dominates the  $(n-1)$ th term. The  $(n-1)$ th term dominates the  $(n-2)$ th term after  $k_{n-1}$ . Therefore for  $x > k$  where  $k = \max(k_n, k_{n-1}, \dots, k_1)$ , the  $n$ th term dominates.  $a_n > 0$  by the premise. Therefore  $|a_n x^n| > |a_{n-1} x^{n-1}| > \cdots > |a_0|$ . Therefore  $n|a_n x^n| > |a_{n-1} x^{n-1}| + \cdots + |a_0|$ . Since  $n$  is a positive constant multiplier, we can absorb it into  $a_n$ . If it dominates the first term, and the first term is positive, then whether or not the later terms are positive or negative the polynomial will be positive. Therefore, after the point  $k_n$  the first term dominates every other term by more than a factor of  $n$ .  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}(\forall x > k(f(x) > 0))$  ■

**3.14 Theorem:**  $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}(\forall j \in \mathbb{N}(\exists! r \in \mathbb{N}(i \equiv r \pmod{j} \wedge 0 \leq r < j)))$

**Proof:**

Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$	(for universal generalization)
Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$	(for universal generalization)
If $i > 0$	
Conclude: $\exists! q, r \in \mathbb{N}(i = qj + r \wedge 0 \leq r < j)$	Division algorithm
Otherwise $i < 0$	
$\exists! p, r \in \mathbb{N}(-i = pj + t \wedge 0 \leq t < j)$	Division algorithm
$-i = pj + t \wedge 0 \leq t < j$	Existential generalization
$i = -pj - t$	Existential generalization
$i = -pj - j + j - t$	Algebra
$i = -(p+1)j + j - t$	Algebra
$0 \leq t < j$	Simplification
$-j < -t \leq 0$	Property of inequalities
$0 < j - t \leq j$	Property of inequalities
If $j - t < j$	

Let $q = -(p + 1)$ Let $r = j - t$	
$0 < r < j$	Property of inequalities
$0 \leq r < j$	Property of inequalities
Conclude: $\exists!q, r \in \mathbb{N}(i = qj + r \wedge 0 \leq r < j)$	Existential generalization
Otherwise $j - t \geq j$	
$j - t \leq j \wedge j - t \geq j$	Conjunction
$j - t = j$	Property of inequalities
$t = 0$	Identity property
$i = pj$ Let $r = 0$	
Conclude: $\exists!q, r \in \mathbb{N}(i = qj + r \wedge 0 \leq r < j)$	Existential generalization
$\exists!q, r \in \mathbb{N}(i = qj + r \wedge 0 \leq r < j)$	Constructive dilemma
Conclude: $\exists!q, r \in \mathbb{N}(i = qj + r \wedge 0 \leq r < j)$	Constructive dilemma
$\forall i \in \mathbb{N}(\forall j \in \mathbb{N}(\exists!r \in \mathbb{N}(i \equiv r \pmod{j} \wedge 0 \leq r < j)))$	Universal generalization (used twice) ■

- 3.15 1.  $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$   
 2.  $\{-4, -3, -2, -1\}$   
 3.  $\{0, 5, 10, 15\}$

Let  $A \in \text{CRS}(n)$  stand for  $A$  is a possible Complete Residue System (CRS) for mod  $n$ .

Let  $A \in \text{CCRS}(n)$  stand for  $A$  is the Canonical Complete Residue System (CCRS) for mod  $n$ .

3.16 **Theorem:**  $B \in \text{CRS}(n) \rightarrow |B| = n$

**Proof:**

Let $A \in \text{CCRS}(n)$	
Let $B \in \text{CRS}(n)$	For conditional
Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ where $a \mapsto b$ if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$	
$\forall a \in A(\exists!b \in B(x \equiv b \pmod{n}))$	Definition of CRS
$\forall a \in \text{cod}(f)(\exists!b \in \text{dom}(f)(f(a) = b))$	Substitution
Thus $f$ is a bijective map	
$ A  = n$	By inspection
Thus $ A  =  B  = n$	Bijection
$B \in \text{CRS}(n) \rightarrow  B  = n$	Conditional proof ■

3.17 **Theorem:**  $\neg \exists a \in S(\exists b \in S(a \equiv b \pmod{n} \wedge a \neq b)) \rightarrow S \in \text{CRS}(n)$

Let  $\text{rem}(x \pmod{n})$  (read “remainder of x modulo n”) denote the number in the Complete Canonical Residue System congruent to  $x \pmod{n}$ .

**Lemma:**  $a = b \rightarrow a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  **Proof:**

$a - b = 0$	Algebra
$0n = 0$	Zero-property of multiplication

$n \mid (a - b)$       Definition of divides  
 $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$       Definition of modulo    ■

**Proof:**

Assume  $\neg \exists a \in S(\exists b \in S(a \equiv b \pmod{n} \wedge a \neq b))$       (for conditional)  
 Assume  $\exists a \in S(\exists b \in S(\text{rem}(a \pmod{n}) = \text{rem}(b \pmod{n})))$       (for contradiction)  
 $a \equiv \text{rem}(a \pmod{n})$       Definition of remainder  
 $b \equiv \text{rem}(b \pmod{n})$       Definition of remainder  
 $a \equiv \text{rem}(a \pmod{n}) \equiv b$       Lemma and transitivity  
 $\exists a \in S(\exists b \in S(a \equiv b \pmod{n} \wedge a \neq b))$       Existential generalization  
 $\neg \exists a \in S(\exists b \in S(\text{rem}(a \pmod{n}) = \text{rem}(b \pmod{n})))$       Contradiction  
 ■

- 3.18
1.  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$
  2.  $x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$
  3. No solution.
  4.  $x \equiv 14 + 71n \pmod{213}$  for  $n \in \{0, 1, 2\}$

3.19 **Theorem:**  $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(ax \equiv b \pmod{n}) \leftrightarrow \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}(ax - ny = b)$

**Proof:**

$\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(ax \equiv b \pmod{n}) \leftrightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(b \equiv ax \pmod{n})$       Theorem 1.10  
 $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(b \equiv ax \pmod{n}) \leftrightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(n \mid (b - ax))$       Definition of modulo  
 $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(n \mid (b - ax)) \leftrightarrow \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}(ny = b - ax)$       Definition of divides  
 $\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}(ny = b - ax) \leftrightarrow \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}(ax + ny = b)$       Algebra  
 $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(ax \equiv b \pmod{n}) \leftrightarrow \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}(ax - ny = b)$       Transitivity    ■

3.20 **Theorem:**  $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(ax \equiv b \pmod{n}) \leftrightarrow \gcd(a, n) \mid b$

**Proof:**

$\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(ax \equiv b \pmod{n}) \leftrightarrow \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}(ax - ny = b)$       Theorem 3.19  
 $\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}(ax - ny = b) \leftrightarrow \gcd(a, n) \mid b$       1.48  
 $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}(ax \equiv b \pmod{n}) \leftrightarrow \gcd(a, n) \mid b$       Transitivity    ■

3.21 It has a solution.

3.22  $213 - 8 \cdot 24 = 21$   
 $24 - 1 \cdot 21 = 3$   
 $24 - 1 \cdot (213 - 8 \cdot 24) = 3$   
 $9 \cdot 24 - 213 = 3$   
 $41 \cdot (9 \cdot 24 - 213) = 41 \cdot 3 = 123$   
 $369 \cdot 24 - 41 \cdot 213 = 123$   
 $(369 + n \cdot 71) \cdot 24 - (41 + n \cdot 8) \cdot 213 = 123$   
 $213 \mid ((369 + n \cdot 71) \cdot 24 - 213)$   
 $x = 369 + n \cdot 71$

3.23 **Algorithm:** Find all solutions of  $ax = b \pmod{n}$  for  $0 \leq x < n$

To understand this code

- Single equals-sign means assignment of the right-hand value to the left-hand variable. `x = 2` says “Make x equal to 2”
- Double equals-sign tests for equivalence. `x == 2` asks the question “Is x equal to 2?”
- Ordered-pairs can appear in assignment operations and in equivalence tests.
- Lines that begin with a `#` are code comments. They are ignored by the computer. They show up in gray.
- A function is defined by a line beginning with “def”, the name of the function, and a temporary name given to the function arguments. The function ends with a line that says ‘return’ and then a value. `def f(x):` and then a line that says `return 2 * x`. If later you see `f(10)`, it evaluates to 20.
- Lines beginning with `assert` mean that the line *should* be true, solely for the benefit of the reader. They are (mostly) ignored by the computer. `assert x == 2` tells the reader x should be 2 at this point.

```
def linear_diophantine(a, b, c):
    # Returns (x0, y0), (xi, yi) where ax + by = c
    # when x = x0 + nx_i and y = y0 + ny_i
    g = gcd(a, b)
    if c == g:
        for x in count():
            # Try x = {0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ...}
            if mod(a * x, g, b):
                # the above line means a · x ≡ g (mod b)
                y = (g - a*x) / b
                assert a*x + b*y == g
                return (x, y), (b / g, -a / g)
    else:
        # solve a simpler diophantine equation first
        (u_0, v_0), (u_i, v_i) = linear_diophantine(a, b, g)
        assert u_0 * a + v_0 * b == g
        (x_0, y_0) = (u_0 * c / g, v_0 * c / g)
```

```

    (x_i, y_i) = (u_i, v_i)
    return(x_0, y_0), (x_i, y_i)

def linear_congruence(a, b, n):
    # Returns x_0, n where  $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$  when  $x \equiv x_0 \pmod{n}$ 
    # this function relies on the linear_diophantine function,
    # because why reinvent the wheel?
    (x_0, y_0), (x_i, y_i) = linear_diophantine(a, -n, b)
    return x_0, x_i

```

This code relies on auxiliary functions. They are listed below.

**Theorem:** There are  $\frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)}$  solutions to the linear congruence.

**Proof:**

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq x_0 < \frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} \\
 0 + (\gcd(a,n) - 1) \frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} &\leq x_0 + (\gcd(a,n) - 1) \frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} < \frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} + (\gcd(a,n) - 1) \frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} && \text{Addition} \\
 0 + (\gcd(a,n) - 1) \frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} &\leq x_0 + (\gcd(a,n) - 1) \frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} < \frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} + \gcd(a,n) \frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} - \frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} && \text{Distributive} \\
 (\gcd(a,n) - 1) \frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} &\leq x_0 + (\gcd(a,n) - 1) \frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} < \gcd(a,n) \frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} && \text{Identity} \\
 \text{For all } 0 \leq m \leq \gcd(a,n) - 1, &\text{ there are solutions at } x_0 + m \frac{n}{\gcd(a,n)} \text{ in the CCRS} \\
 \text{There are } \gcd(a,n) &\text{ solutions} \quad \blacksquare
 \end{aligned}$$

3.24 3.20, 3.23a, and 3.23b taken together prove this theorem. The big idea is that a linear congruence is a special kind of linear diophantine equation.

3.25 **Exercise:** Solve for  $x$  in

$$\begin{aligned}
 x &\equiv 3 \pmod{17} \\
 x &\equiv 10 \pmod{16} \\
 x &\equiv 0 \pmod{15}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 x &\text{ satisfies } x \equiv 3 \pmod{17} \text{ when } x = 3 + j \cdot 17 \\
 x &= \{3, 20, 37, 54, 71, 88, 105, \textcolor{green}{122}, 139, 156, 173, 190, 207, 224, 241, 258, 275, 292, 309, 326, 343, \\
 &360, 377, \textcolor{green}{394}, \dots\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 x &\text{ satisfies } x \equiv 10 \pmod{16} \text{ and all previous equations when } x = 122 + j \cdot 272 \\
 x &= \{\textcolor{green}{122}, \textcolor{green}{394}, 666, 938, 1210, 1482, 1754, 2026, 2298, 2570, 2842, 3114, 3386, 3658, \textcolor{red}{3930}, 4202, \\
 &4474, 4746, 5018, 5290, 5562, 5834, 6106, 6378, 6650, 6922, 7194, 7466, 7738, \textcolor{red}{8010}, 8282, 8554, \\
 &8826, 9098, 9370, 9642, 9914, 10186, 10458, 10730, 11002, 11274, 11546, 11818, \textcolor{green}{12090}, \dots\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 x &\text{ satisfies } x \equiv 0 \pmod{15} \text{ and all previous equations when } x = 3930 + j \cdot 4080 \\
 x &= \{\textcolor{red}{3930}, \textcolor{red}{8010}, \textcolor{red}{12090}, \dots\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the next solution-set is all of the previous solutions that satisfy the next equation. The solution-set at each step is a subset of the solution-set above it. I have marked which numbers are ‘carried over’ from the previous solution-set to the next solution-set with color, underlines, and overlines.

**3.26 Exercise:** Solve for  $x$  in

$$x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$$

$$x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$$

$$x \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$$

$$x \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$$

$x$  satisfies  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  when  $x = 1 + j \cdot 2$   
 $x = \{1, 3, \textcolor{blue}{5}, 7, 9, \textcolor{blue}{11}, 13, 15, \textcolor{blue}{17}, 19, 21, \textcolor{blue}{23}, \dots\}$

$x$  satisfies  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  and all previous equations when  $x = 5 + j \cdot 6$   
 $x = \{\textcolor{blue}{5}, \textcolor{blue}{11}, \textcolor{blue}{17}, \textcolor{blue}{23}, 29, 35, 41, \textcolor{blue}{47}, \dots\}$

$x$  satisfies  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and all previous equations when  $x = 11 + j \cdot 12$   
 $x = \{\textcolor{blue}{11}, \textcolor{blue}{23}, \textcolor{blue}{35}, \textcolor{blue}{47}, \textcolor{red}{59}, 71, 83, 95, 107, \textcolor{red}{119}, 131, 143, 155, 167, \textcolor{red}{179}, \dots\}$

$x$  satisfies  $x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$  and all previous equations when  $x = 59 + j \cdot 60$   
 $x = \{\textcolor{red}{59}, \textcolor{red}{119}, \textcolor{red}{179}, \dots\}$

$x$  satisfies  $x \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$  and all previous equations when  $x = 59 + j \cdot 60$   
 $x = \{\textcolor{red}{59}, \textcolor{red}{119}, \textcolor{red}{179}, \dots\}$

This equation was redundant, since  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  and  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ . This says that  $x$  is an odd number one less than a multiple of three. 5 is the only odd number one less than a multiple of three in the complete canonical residue system of 6, therefore this equation is equivalent to the two previous ones.

$x$  satisfies  $x \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$  and all previous equations when  $x = 119 + j \cdot 420$   
 $x = \{\textcolor{blue}{119}, 539, 959, 1379, 1799, 2219, \dots\}$

**3.27 Theorem:** Let  $a, b, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  where  $m > 0$  and  $n > 0$ . The system  $x \equiv a \pmod{n}$  and  $x \equiv b \pmod{m}$  has solutions for  $x$  if and only if  $\gcd(n, m) \mid (a - b)$

**Proof:**  $x \equiv a \pmod{m}$ , or equivalently  $m \mid (x - a)$ , or equivalently,  $cm = x - a$ , and by the same logic  $dn = x - b$ . Adding the system of equations together,  $cm - dn = x - a - (x - b)$ , or equivalently  $cm - dn = a - b$ . By Theorem 1.48, this has solutions if and only if  $\gcd(m, n) \mid (a - b)$ .

3.28 **Theorem:** Let  $a, b, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  where  $m > 0$ ,  $n > 0$ , and  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$

**Proof:** Repeat the previous proof up to  $cm - dn = a - b$ .  $c$  has solutions every  $\frac{n}{\gcd(m, n)} = n$  and  $d$  has solutions every  $\frac{m}{\gcd(m, n)} = m$ .  $a + cm = x$  and  $b + dn = x$ .  $x = a + m(c_0 + in) = a + mc_0 + inm$  and  $x = b + n(d_0 + im) = b + nd_0 + inm$ . Solving for  $x$  in terms of  $c$  and solving for  $x$  in terms of  $d$  both indicate solutions every  $nm$ . Therefore they are equivalent to the same thing  $(\text{mod } mn)$ .

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 4.1 & 2^0 \pmod{7} \quad 1 \\
 & 2^1 \pmod{7} \quad 2 \\
 & 2^2 \pmod{7} \quad 4 \\
 & 2^3 \pmod{7} \quad 1 \\
 & 2^4 \pmod{7} \quad 2 \\
 & 2^5 \pmod{7} \quad 4 \\
 & 2^6 \pmod{7} \quad 1
 \end{array}$$

4.2 **Theorem:**