

Notebook Swag

Sam Grayson

April 20, 2015

2.1 Proof:

$ma = b$ for some m Definition of 'divides'
 $na = c$ for some n Definition of 'divides'
 $na + ma = b + c$ Algebra
 $(n + m)a = b + c$ Algebra
 $a|(b + c)$ Definition of 'divides' ■

2.2 Proof:

Let $d = -c$
 $a|(b + d)$ Theorem 1.1
 $a|(b - c)$ substitution ■

2.3 Proof:

$ma = b$ for some m Definition of 'divides'
 $na = c$ for some n Definition of 'divides'
 $mana = bc$ Algebra
 $a|bc$ Definition of 'divides' ■

2.4 Proof:

$mana = bc$ see last proof
 $a^2|bc$ Definition of 'divides' ■

2.5 If $a|b$ then $a|b^n$

Proof:

$b = ka$ for some k Definition of 'divides'
 $b^n = (ka)^n = k^n a^n$ Algebra
 $k^n a^n$ Definition of 'divides' ■

2.6 Proof:

$ka = b$ for some k Definition of 'divides'
 $ack = bc$ Algebra
 $a|bc$ Definition of 'divides' ■

- 2.7
1. $45 - 9 = 36 = 9 \cdot 4$. True
 2. $37 - 2 = 35 = 7 \cdot 5$. True
 3. $37 - 3 = 34$. False
 4. $37 - (-3) = 40 = 8 \cdot 5$. True

2.8 **Proof:**

let k be all the numbers
 where $k \equiv b \pmod{3}$
 $3|(k - b)$ Definition of 'mod'
 $3n = k - b$ for some n Definition of 'divides'
 $3n + k = n$ Algebra ■

1. $3n$
2. $3n + 1$
3. $3n + 2$
4. $3n$
5. $3n + 1$

2.9 **Proof:**

$a - a = 0 = 0n$ Arithmetic
 $n|(a - a)$ Definition of 'divides'
 $a \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ Definition of 'mod' ■

2.10 **Proof:**

$n|(a - b)$ Definition of 'mod'
 $kn = a - b$ for some k Definition of 'divides'
 $-kn = b - a$ Algebra
 $n|(b - a)$ Definition of 'divides'
 $b \equiv a \pmod{n}$ ■

2.11 **Proof:**

$n|(a - b)$ Definition of 'mod'
 $n|(b - c)$ Definition of 'mod'
 $n|(a - b + b - c)$ Theorem 1.1
 $n|(a - c)$ Algebra
 $a \equiv c \pmod{n}$ Definition of 'mod' ■

2.12 **Proof:**

$n|(a - b)$ Definition of 'mod'
 $n|(c - d)$ Definition of 'mod'
 $n|(a + c - b - d)$ Theorem 1.1
 $n|((a + c) - (b + d))$ Algebra

$$a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{n} \quad \text{definition 'mod'} \quad \blacksquare$$

2.13 Proof:

$$\text{let } e = -c \text{ and } f = -d$$

$$a + e \equiv b + f \quad \text{Theorem 1.12}$$

$$a - c \equiv b - d \quad \text{substitution} \quad \blacksquare$$

2.14 Proof:

$$n \mid (a - b) \quad \text{Definition of 'mod'}$$

$$n \mid (c - d) \quad \text{Definition of 'mod'}$$

$$n \mid (a - b)(c - d) \quad \text{Theorem 1.3} \quad \blacksquare$$

2.15 Proof:

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \quad \text{Premise}$$

$$a^2 \equiv b^2 \pmod{n} \quad \text{Theorem 1.14} \quad \blacksquare$$

2.16 Proof:

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \quad \text{Premise}$$

$$a^2 \equiv b^2 \pmod{n} \quad \text{Theorem 1.15}$$

$$a^2 a \equiv b^2 b \pmod{n} \quad \text{Theorem 1.14}$$

$$a^3 \equiv b^3 \pmod{n} \quad \text{Algebra} \quad \blacksquare$$

2.17 Proof:

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \quad \text{Premise}$$

$$a^{k-1} \equiv b^{k-1} \pmod{n} \quad \text{Premise}$$

$$a^{k-1} a \equiv b^{k-1} b \pmod{n} \quad \text{Theorem 1.14}$$

$$a^k \equiv b^k \pmod{n} \quad \text{Algebra} \quad \blacksquare$$

2.18 Proof:

Base case:

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \quad \text{Premise}$$

Inductive Hypothesis:

$$a^{k-1} \equiv b^{k-1} \pmod{n} \quad (\text{assumption})$$

Inductive step:

$$a^{k-1} a \equiv b^{k-1} b \pmod{n} \quad \text{Theorem 1.14}$$

$$a^k \equiv b^k \pmod{n} \quad \text{Algebra}$$

Conclusion:

$$a^k \equiv b^k \pmod{n} \quad \text{inductively} \quad \blacksquare$$

$$2.19 \quad 12. \quad 6 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$$

$$5 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$

$$6 + 5 \equiv 2 + 1 \pmod{4}$$

$$13. \quad 6 - 5 \equiv 2 - 1 \pmod{4}$$

$$14. \quad 6 \cdot 5 \equiv 2 \cdot 1$$

$$15. \quad 6^2 \equiv 2^2 \pmod{4}$$

$$16. \quad 6^3 \equiv 2^3 \pmod{4}$$

$$17. \quad 6^4 \equiv 2^4 \pmod{4}$$

$$18. \quad 6^k \equiv 2^k \pmod{4}$$

2.20 No

Consider the case where $n = 4$, $c = 0$, $a = 1$, and $b = 2$.

$$ac \equiv bc \pmod{n}$$

$$a \neq b$$

2.21 See 1.22 and 1.23

2.22 **Proof:**

$3 a$	Premise (Base Case)
$3 b$	Let b be an integer ... (Inductive Hypothesis)
$3 9$	Arithmetic
$3 (9b_k 10^{k-1})$	Theorem 1.3
$3 (b - 9b_k 10^{k-1})$	Theorem 1.2
$3 (b_{k-1} + b_k)b_{k-2} \dots b_0$	Algebra* (Inductive Step)
$3 (a_k + a_{k-1} + a_{k-2} + \dots a_1 + a_0)$	Inductive axiom ■

Here is the algebra I used in the step labeled ‘Algebra*’:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 & b - b_k 9 \cdot 10^{k-1} & = \\
 & b - b_k (10 - 1) 10^{k-1} & = \\
 & b + (-b_k 10 \cdot 10^{k-1} + b_k 10^{k-1}) & = \\
 & b + (-b_k 10^k + b_k 10^{k-1}) & = \\
 + \begin{array}{ccccccc} b_k & b_{k-1} & b_{k-2} & \dots & b_0 \\ (-b_k) & b_k & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{array} & = \\
 \hline
 & (b_k + b_{k-1}) & b_{k-2} & \dots & b_0 &
 \end{array}$$

2.23 **Proof:**

$3 a$	Premise (Base Case)
$3 (b_k + b_{k-1} + \dots + b_0)$	Assumption (Inductive Hypothesis)
$3 9$	Arithmetic
$3 (b_k 9c)$ where c is k ones in a row	Theorem 1.3
$3 (b_k + b_{k-1} + \dots + b_0 + b_k 9c)$	Theorem 1.2
$3 (b_k 10^k + b_{k-1} + \dots + b_0)$	Algebra*
$3 (a_k 10^k + a_{k-1} 10^{k-1} + \dots + a_0 10^0)$	Inductive Axiom
$3 (a_k a_{k-1} \dots a_0)$	Definition of digits ■

Here is the algebra I used in the step labeled ‘Algebra*’:

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_k + b_{k-1} + \dots + b_0 + b_k 9c &= \\
 b_k + b_{k-1} + \dots + b_0 + b_k d &= \text{ where } d \text{ is a number with } k \text{ nines} \\
 b_k + b_{k-1} + \dots + b_0 + b_k(10^k - 1) &= \\
 b_k + b_{k-1} + \dots + b_0 + b_k 10^k - b_k &= \\
 b_{k-1} + \dots + b_0 + b_k 10^k &
 \end{aligned}$$

$$2.24 \quad 4|a \text{ if and only if } 4|(a_1 + a_3 + \dots)(a_0 + a_2 + a_4 + \dots)$$

- 2.25
1. $m = nq + r$ where $m = 25$, $n = 7$, $q = 3$, and $r = 4$
 2. $m = 277$, $n = 4$, $q = 66$, and $r = 1$
 3. $m = 33$, $n = 11$, $q = 3$, $r = 0$
 4. $m = 33$, $n = 45$, $q = 0$, $r = 33$

2.26 Setup:

Make a list of multiples of n that are greater than m and choose the smallest one to define $n(q+1)$.

$$A := \{k \mid k \in \mathbb{N} \wedge kn > m\}$$

$$\exists a \ni (a \in A \wedge an > m \wedge \forall k \in A (a \leq k))$$

Well-ordering Principle

$$q := a - 1$$

$$r := m - nq$$

Proving r satisfies upper bound:

If it didn't, then a wouldn't be an element of A , but we know that a is in A .

$$r > n - 1$$

Assume for contradiction

$$r \geq n$$

Property of inequalities (over \mathbb{Z})

$$\exists j \ni (r - n = j \wedge j \geq 0)$$

Property of inequalities

$$nq + r = m$$

Algebra (from definition of r)

$$nq + (n + j) = m$$

Algebra (from definition of j)

$$n(q+1) + j = m$$

Algebra

$$n(q+1) \leq m$$

Property of inequalities

$$n(q+1) > m$$

Algebra (from definition of a)

$$\therefore r \leq n - 1$$

Contradiction

Proving r satisfies lower bound:

If it didn't, then there would be another element smaller than a in A , but a is the least element in A .

$$r < 0$$

Assume for contradiction

$$nq + r = m$$

Algebra (from definition of r)

$$nq > m$$

Property of inequalities

$$q \in A$$

 $q \in \mathbb{N} \wedge nq > m$ is the condition for A

$$\forall k (k \in A \rightarrow q+1 \leq k)$$

Definition of a (smallest element in A)

$$q+1 \leq q$$

Universal instantiation

$$\therefore r \geq 0$$

Contradiction

Proving q and r are integers:

They all came from sets that only contain integers.

$$A \subset \mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{Z}$$

Stuff I learned

$$a \in A$$

Definition of a

$$a \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Property of sets

$$q \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Closure (Definition of q)

$$r \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Closure (definition of r)

2.27	$\exists q', r' \in \mathbb{Z}(m = q'n + r' \wedge r' \neq r \wedge q' \neq q \wedge 0 \leq r \leq q' - 1)$	Assume for contradiction
	$r' < n$	Assumption (restriction on r')
	$q'n + n > m$	Property of inequalities (because $q'n + r = m$)
	$n(q' + 1) > m$	Algebra
	$q' + 1 \in A$	Definition of A
	$q' + 1 \neq q + 1$	Property of inequalities
	$q' + 1 > q + 1$	Definition of a (smallest element in A)
	$q' \geq q + 1$	Property of inequalities (over \mathbb{Z})
	$qn + r = m$	Definition of r
	$qn + n > m$	Property of inequalities (replace r with something greater-than r)
	$(q + 1)n > m$	Algebra
	$q'n > m$	Property of inequalities (replace $q + 1$ with something greater-than-or-equal to it)
	$q'n + r' > m$	Property of inequalities (add a positive number to the bigger side and it is still bigger)
	$\neg \exists q', r' \in \mathbb{Z}(m = q'n + r' \wedge r' \neq r \wedge q' \neq q \wedge 0 \leq r \leq q' - 1)$	Contradiction

2.28 Proof:

$n (a - b)$	Definition of modulo
$a - b = cn$ for some c	Definition of divides
$b = dn + e \wedge 0 \leq e \leq n - 1$	Division algorithm
$a - dn - e = cn$	Algebra
$a = (c + d)n + e \wedge 0 \leq e \leq n - 1$	Algebra
This satisfies the division algorithm	
$(c + d)n + e - b = cn$	Algebra
$b = dn + e \wedge 0 \leq e \leq n - 1$	Algebra
Therefore, same remainder (namely e)	■

Proof:

$a = cn + r$	Let r
$b = dn + r$	Let r
$a - b = cn - dn = (c - d)n$	Algebra
$n (a - b)$	Definition of divides

■

2.29 Yes. 1

2.30 No. There are a finite number of integer factors.

- 2.31
1. No
 2. No
 3. No
 4. Yes
 5. Yes
 6. Yes

2.32 **Proof:**

$a - nb = r$ Algebra (from premise)
 $k|nb$ Theorem 1.3
 $k|(a - nb)$ Theorem 1.2
 $k|r$ Substitution ■

2.33 Lemma: Let $a = nb + r$. $k|b$ and $k|r$ imply $k|a$.

Proof:

$k|nb$ Theorem 1.3
 $k|(nb + r)$ Theorem 1.1
 $k|a$ Substitution ■

$(a, b) = k$	Let
$k a$	Definition of k (GCD)
$k b$	Definition of k (GCD)
$k r_1$	Theorem 1.32

At this point, we know that k is a common divisor. Assume for the sake of contradiction that k is not the greatest common divisor.

$(b, r_1) = m \wedge m > k$	Assume for contradiction
$m a$	Lemma
$m b$	Definition of GCD
$(b, r_1) > m \wedge m > k$	Definition of GCD
$(b, r_1) = k$	Contradiction

2.34

$$\begin{aligned}
 (51, 15) &= (51 - 3 \cdot 15, 15) = \\
 (6, 15) &= (6, 15 - 2 \cdot 6) = \\
 (6, 3) &= (6 - 2 \cdot 3, 3) = \\
 (0, 3) &= 3
 \end{aligned}$$

2.35 The Euclidean Algorithm:

1. Let a and b be arguments of GCD where (WLOG) $a > b > 0$.
2. Find q_0 and r_0 such that $a = b \cdot q_0 + r_0$
3. Observe $(a, b) = (b, r_1)$ by 1.33

4. Find q_1 and r_1 such that $b = r_0 \cdot q_1 + r_1$
5. Observe $(b, r_1) = (r_1, r_2)$ by 1.33
6. Starting with $i = 2$, until $r_i = 0$:
 - A. Find q_i and r_i such that $r_{i-2} = r_{i-1} \cdot q_i + r_i$
 - B. Observe $(r_{i-1}, r_i) = (r_i, r_{i+1})$ by 1.33
 - C. Let $i := i + 1$
7. $r_i = 0$, therefore $(a, b) = (r_{i-1}, 0) = r_{i-1}$

- 2.36
1. 16
 2. 1
 3. 256
 4. 2
 5. 1

2.37 $x = 9, y = -47$

2.38 The Linear Diophantine Algorithm:

1. Complete the EA
2. Recall the result: $r_i = 0$ and $r_{i-1} = 1$
3. Recall the second-to-last step: $r_{i-3} = r_{i-2} \cdot q_{i-1} + r_i$
4. Let Equation A represent: $r_{i-2} - r_{i-1} \cdot q_{i-1} = 1$
5. Starting with $i := i - 1$, until $i = 0$:
 - A. Justification: $r_{i-2} = r_{i-1} \cdot q_i + r_i$
 $r_{i-2} - r_{i-1} \cdot q_i = r_i$
 r_i is a linear combination of r_{i-1} and r_{i-2}
 - B. Substitute r_i for $r_{i-2} - r_{i-1} \cdot q_i$ in Equation A
 - C. $i := i - 1$
6. Observe that the left hand side is a linear combination of r_0 and r_1
7. Observe that the right hand side of Equation A is 1
8. Substitute $r_1 = b - r_0 \cdot q_0$, and substitute $r_0 = a - b \cdot q_0$
9. Now a linear combination of a and b sums to 1

2.39 **Proof:**

$(a, b) = c$	Let
$c a \wedge c b$	Definition of GCD
$a = dc$ for some $d \wedge b = ec$ for some e	Definition of divides
$ax + by = 1$	Premise
$dcx + ecy = (dx + ey)c = 1$	Algebra
$c = 1$	Multiplication over integers ■

2.40 Proof:

$(a, b) = c$	Let
$c a \wedge c b$	Definition of GCD
$a = dc$ for some $d \wedge b = ec$ for some $e \wedge (d, e) = 1$	Definition of divides
$\exists x, y \ni (dx + ey = 1)$	Theorem 1.38
$ax + by = dcx + ecy = (dx + ey)c = 1c = c$	Algebra
$ax + by = (a, b)$	Substitution ■

2.41 Proof:

$bc = ka$ for some k	Definition of divides
$ax + by = 1$	1.38
$axc + byc = c = axc + kay = c = a(xc + ky) = c$	Algebra
$a c$	Definition of divides ■

2.42 Proof:

$n = ia$ for some $i \wedge n = jb$ for some j	Definition of divides
$ax + by = 1$	1.38
$axn + byn = n = axjb + byia = n = ab(xj + yi) = n$	Algebra
$ab n$	Definitin of divides ■

2.43 Proof:

$ax + ny = 1$ for some x, y	
$bw + nz = 1$ for some w, z	Theorem 1.38
$(ax + ny)(bw + nz) = 1$	Algebra
$abxw + n(axz + ybw + yzn) = 1$	Algebra
$(ab, n) = 1$	Theorem 1.38 (converse) ■

2.44 Proof:

$(n, c) = 1$	Missing hypothesis
$n (ac - bc) = n c(a - b)$	Definition of mod
$n (a - b)$	1.41
$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$	Definition of mod ■

2.45 See 1.44

2.46 $c = k(a, b)$ for some k

2.47 Given integers a, b , and c , there exist integers x and y that satisfy the equation if and only if $c = k(a, b)$ for some k

2.48 Proof:

Show: $ax + by = c \rightarrow (a, b)|c$

$(a, b) a \wedge (a, b) b$	Definition of GCD
$(a, b) ax \wedge (a, b) by$	Theorem 1.3
$(a, b) (ax + by)$	Theorem 1.1
$(a, b) c$	
Show: $(a, b) c \leftarrow \exists x, y\{ax + by = c\}$	
$au + bv = (a, b)$	Theorem 1.40
$c = k(a, b)$	Definition of divides
$kau + kbv = k(a, b) = c$	Algebra
Putting the two halves together	
$ax + by = c \leftrightarrow (a, b) c$	■

2.49 The linear diophantine equation can be represented as a line on a grid.

$$ax + by = c$$

$$y = -\frac{a}{b}x + \frac{c}{b}$$

The slope of this line is $-a/b$.

First we must simplify the fraction: $-\frac{a}{b} = -\frac{a/(a,b)}{b/(a,b)}$

Given one point, moving $\frac{b}{(a,b)}$ on the x-coordinate to the right moves $\frac{a}{(a,b)}$ down on the y-coordinate by the properties of slope.

$$(y - \frac{a}{(a,b)}) = -\frac{a}{(a,b)} / \frac{b}{(a,b)} (x + \frac{b}{(a,b)}) + \frac{c}{b}$$

$$\frac{6}{(6,15)} = 2 \wedge \frac{15}{(6,15)} = 5$$

$$6 \cdot (-3 + 5) + 15 \cdot (5 - 2) = 12 = 6 \cdot 2 = 12$$

$$\forall c, d \in \mathbb{Z}\{6 \cdot (-3 + 5c) + 15 \cdot (5 - 2d) = 12\}$$

2.50 $\forall a, b\{31 \cdot (30 - 21a) + 21 \cdot (40 + 31b) = 1770\}$

2.51 **Proof:**

$ax_0 + by_0 = c$	Premise
$a(x_0 + \frac{b}{(a,b)}) + b(y_0 - \frac{a}{(a,b)}) = ax_0 + \frac{ab}{(a,b)} + by_0 - \frac{ab}{(a,b)}$	Distributive property
$ax_0 + \frac{ab}{(a,b)} + by_0 - \frac{ab}{(a,b)} = ax_0 + by_0$	Commutative property
$a(x_0 + \frac{b}{(a,b)}) + b(y_0 - \frac{a}{(a,b)}) = c$	Substitution ■

2.52 See 1.51 and 1.53

2.53 **Proof:**

$ax + by = c$	
$(a, b) a \wedge (a, b) b$	Definition of GCD
$(a, b) c$	Theorem 1.40
$p(a, b) = c \wedge m(a, b) = a \wedge n(a, b) = b$	Definition of divides
$m = \frac{a}{(a,b)} \wedge n = \frac{b}{(a,b)}$	Algebra*
$(m, n) = 1$	Lemma
$mx + ny = p$	Algebra

$m(x + h) + n(y - k) = p$ for some $h, k \in \mathbb{Z}$	Let
$mx + mh + ny - nk = mx + ny$	Distributive
$mh = nk$	Algebra
$m mh \wedge m nk$	Definition of divides
$m k$	Theorem 1.41 (recall $(m, n) = 1$)
$k = mj$ for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}$	Definition of divides*
$mh = nmj$	Substitution
$h = nj$	Algebra*
$k = \frac{aj}{(a,b)} \wedge h = \frac{jb}{(a,b)}$	Substitution (steps with asterisks in them) ■

2.54 $(24, 9) = 3$

$$24 \cdot 1 + 9 \cdot 1 = 33$$

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \{24 \cdot (1 + 3n) + 9 \cdot (1 - 8m) = 33\}$$

2.55 First without Diophantine equations:

Proof:

Show that $k \cdot \gcd(a, b)$ is a common divisor	
$\gcd(a, b) a \wedge \gcd(a, b) b$	Definition of GCD
$m \cdot \gcd(a, b) = a$ for some m	
$n \cdot \gcd(a, b) = b$ for some n	Definition of divides
$km \cdot \gcd(a, b) = ka \wedge kn \cdot \gcd(a, b) = b$	Algebra
$k \cdot \gcd(a, b) a \wedge k \cdot \gcd(a, b) b$	Definition of divides
<hr/>	
Show that $k \cdot \gcd(a, b)$ is the greatest common divisor by contradiction	
$h > k \cdot \gcd(a, b) \wedge h ka \wedge h kb$	Assume (for contradiction)
$h = k \cdot \gcd(a, b) \cdot j$ for some j	Unjustified Step
$(k \cdot \gcd(a, b) \cdot j) ka \wedge (k \cdot \gcd(a, b) \cdot j) kb$	Substitution
$mjk \cdot \gcd(a, b) = ka$ for some m	
$njk \cdot \gcd(a, b) = kb$ for some n	Definition of divides
$mj \cdot \gcd(a, b) = a \wedge nj \cdot \gcd(a, b) = b$	Algebra
$j \cdot \gcd(a, b) a \wedge j \cdot \gcd(a, b) b$	Definition of divides (contradicts GCD)
$\neg \exists h \{h > k \cdot \gcd(a, b) \wedge h ka \wedge h kb\}$	Contradiction ■

The book doesn't give a very good definition of GCD. Let $\gcd(a, b) = c$ if and only if $a = mc$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and, $b = nc$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and (crucially) $\gcd(m, n) = 1$

Proof:

$\gcd(a, b) = c$	Let
$a = cj \wedge b = ci$ for some $j, i \in \mathbb{Z}$	Revised definition of GCD
$\gcd(i, j) = 1$	Revised definition of GCD
$ka = kcj \wedge kb = kci$	Substitution
$\gcd(ka, kb) = kc$	Revised definition of GCD (referencing previous two steps)

$$\gcd(ka, kb) = kc = k \cdot \gcd(a, b) \quad \text{Substitution} \quad \blacksquare$$

2.56 Here is my definition of LCM. Let $a = \gcd(a, b) \cdot h$ for some $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b = \gcd(a, b) \cdot k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. I define the LCM such that $\text{lcm}(a, b) = hk \cdot \gcd(a, b)$

2.57 **Proof:**

$$a = h \cdot \gcd(a, b) \text{ for some } h \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$b = k \cdot \gcd(a, b) \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Let

$$\text{lcm}(a, b) = hk \cdot \gcd(a, b)$$

Definition of LCM

$$\gcd(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b) = hk \cdot \gcd(a, b) \cdot \gcd(a, b) = ab \quad \text{Substitution} \quad \blacksquare$$

2.58 **Proof:**

$$\text{lcm}(a, b) = ab$$

Premise

$$\text{lcm}(a, b) = ab \cdot \gcd(a, b)$$

Previous theorem

$$ab \cdot \gcd(a, b) = ab$$

Substitution

$$\gcd(a, b) = 1$$

Identity property \blacksquare