## **CAPSTONE PROJECT - MOCK DEFENSE**

Group Members: <u>Cota, Acmad Sodais., Fransico, Noren Nel., Jumao-As, Carelle O., Mendez, Mori Antonia., Millete, Andrea., Santiago, John Roger., Villaluz, Prince Youli</u>

**Project Title:** An Automated Rain-Responsive Clothes Protection

## **GROUP GRADE**

Performance Indicator	PERFORMANCE RATING: 4-Exceptional; 3-Proficient; 2-Satisfactory; 1-Unsatisfactory	Encircle Score	
A Content	The research statement/questions are clear and appropriate.  Focused, informative and comprehensively developed and argued.	4	
(Information and Comprehension)	Research statement is <b>relevant</b> and <b>adequately developed</b> , <u>but has</u> some unsupported arguments.	3	
	Research statement <b>is present</b> , <u>but</u> <b>is underdeveloped</b> , lacking focus with inconsistent argument.	2	
	Research statement is <b>flawed</b> , <i>vaguely</i> developed <i>showing poor understanding of the topic</i> .	1	
<b>B</b> Presentation	<b>Grammar and spelling are correct.</b> Variety of proper sentence structures developed using appropriate terminology.	4	
(Grammar, Spelling, and Vocabulary)	Grammar and spelling are correct, <u>but has</u> some inconsistent sentence structure.	3	
	A few grammar and spelling errors, <u>but has</u> some inconsistent sentence structure.	2	
	Many grammatical and/or spelling errors. (Fragmented/mechanically incorrect sentences)	1	
C Organization of Material (Clarity, transition, and flow)	Logical progression and development of ideas from introduction to methodology. Ideas expressed <u>clearly</u> , with <u>effective transitions</u> between ideas.	4	
	Organization of intro to methodology, <i>has some ineffective transitions</i> between ideas.	3	
	Organization of intro to methodology, has incoherent ideas and ineffective transitions.	2	
	<b>Incoherent organization.</b> Lacks interpretation with unclear and/or vague ideas.	1	
<b>D</b> Supporting Evidence	<b>Documentation is <u>complete</u> and <u>correct</u>. A variety of relevant, updated/peer reviewed references supporting the argument is present.</b>	4	
Variety, credibility of references;	Document is <b>flawed</b> , <i>but some</i> references lack accuracy or relevance to the research.	3	
Appropriate resources and formatting	Document is <b>highly flawed</b> , <i>dominated</i> by unreviewed or outdated internet sources.	2	
	Documentation is lacking. Very few references lacking variety and updatedness are used.	1	
E	Research is an original contribution to the body of knowledge.	4	
Contribution to Research	Manuscript has minor corrections.	3	
	Manuscript is flawed.	2	
	Manuscript is highly flawed.	1	

F Oral Presentation	Presents mastery of the project effective use of visual presentation tool, use of allotted time effectively, facilitating the question and answer is very effective.	4
	Presents mastery of the project needed. <i>Some lapses</i> in the use of visual presentation tool, use of allotted time effectively, facilitating the question and answer is very effective.	3
	Some information are not explained clearly.	2
	A lot of information are not explained clearly.	1

## II. INDIVIDUAL GRADE

NAME OF STUDENT	Ability to answer questions correctly (40%)	Good communication skills (30%)	Preparedness (20%)	Poise and Confidence (10%)	Total Grade
Cota, Acmad Sodais					
Francisco, Noren Nel					
Jumao-as, Carelle O.					
Mendez, Mori Antonia					
Millete, Andrea V.					
Santiago, John Roger					
Villaluz, Prince Youli					

## **COTA, ACMAD SODAIS**

FRANCISCO, NOREN NEL

JUMAO-AS, CARELLE O.

MENDEZ, MORI ANTONIA

MILLETE, ANDREA

SANTIAGO, JOHN ROGER

VILLALUZ, PRINCE YOULI