## **Employee Evaluation Form**

Name of en	nployee:
Position:	

What are their main duties and responsibilities:

Period of evaluation: From...... To.....

Evaluation by (Head of division):

# **Quality of labour**

- Work is almost always accurate and reliable; doesn't require almost any supervision
- Work is most accurate and reliable; requires minimal supervision
- Makes only average number of mistakes; requires usual supervision
- Repeats the same mistakes, sometimes requires close supervision
- Often makes mistakes; requires continuous supervision

### **Quantity of labour**

- Productivity is high and above average
- Very productive; works more than required
- Volume of work is satisfactory
- Does just enough to get by
- Does not meet minimal requirement

#### Job knowledge

- Completely masters all skills, procedures and technologies necessary for his/her duties
- Mostly manages all skills, procedures and technologies necessary for his/her duties
- Understands all required skills, procedures & tech necessary for his/her duties
- Lacks knowledge of some required skills, procedures and technologies
- Poorly informed about required skills, procedures and technologies

#### **Attendance**

- •Almost always on time to work; reports adequately on where he/she is or work on; almost always meets deadlines
- Usually on time to work; reports on where he/she is or work on; meets deadlines
- Mostly on time to work; reports on where he/she is or work on; meets deadlines
- Is lax in attendance and/or adequate and timely reporting on where he/she is and what he/she is working on; sometimes has problems to meet deadlines
- Is often absent from the workplace without adequately reporting where he/she is and what he/she is working on; often does not meet deadlines

<sup>\*</sup>Please bold (in red) the most appropriate option at each indicator of job performance

<sup>\*</sup>Be specific in comments: Give facts, not impressions

<sup>\*</sup>Evaluate job performance, not a person.

<sup>\*</sup>Evaluate results. not activities

#### **Initiative**

- Suggests realistic solutions and seeks ways to help other colleagues; at his/her personal professional growth to achieve goals
- Tries to help others and aims to achieve his/her personal professional growth
- Recognises problems and tries to solve them
- Sometimes works without instructions
- Sometimes works without instructions
- Must be told exactly what to do

## **Cooperation and Communication**

- Cooperates very well with colleagues at all management levels both in the newsroom and on the publishing side; effectively communicates with them; has the ability to listen in order to understand other points of view
- Cooperates well within a narrow team; adequately communicates with colleagues
- Usually cooperates and communicates
- Sometimes cooperates
- Is uncooperative

## **Stability**

- Thrives under pressure; enjoys solving crises
- Mostly tolerates pressure
- Has average tolerance for pressure
- Occasionally cannot tolerate pressure
- Has difficulty tolerating pressure

#### Drive

- Strives incessantly to reach high goals
- Strive hard to achieve goals
- Usually puts forth average effort
- Puts forth little effort to achieve goals
- Puts forth practically no effort

## **Flexibility**

- Immediately and constructively accommodates to changes, learns new procedures and applies them in a new situation
- Readily accepts changes, learns new procedures and applies them in a practice
- Adopts changes along with the rest of the team
- Falls behind the most of the team members at accommodating to changes
- Is inflexible

## Scale of evaluating job performance indicators

- Outstanding; continuously exceeds position standards
- Good; exceeds position standards
- Average; meets position standards
- Weak; below position standard
- Unacceptable

# **For Evaluators**

1• General comments to job performance
2• Areas for improving job performance in the following year;
a:
b:
C:
3• Overall evaluation:
4• Employee's comments on accuracy and fairness of evaluation (should be signed)
5• Opinion of evaluator's direct supervisor
6• Opinion of the editor in chief