1 Issue 5

It is not clear whether it is valid to have a *local nickname* in a package shadowing its own name or nickname.

Now: SBCL signals an error, but that doesn't make much sense, especially if a *local nickname* shadows the *global nickname* of a package and not its name. And it is possible to achieve a *local nickname* shadowing a *global nickname* or the *package name* by renaming the package.

1.1 Example

```
(defpackage #:a (:use) (:local-nicknames (#:a #:cl)))
; => error  (sbcl, ccl, abcl)
; => ok  (ecl, acl, clasp)
```

1.2 Proposal

It should be allowed, but a warning might be signaled.

1.3 Currently

sbcl, ccl, abcl: not allowed.
ecl, acl, clasp: allowed.