k values	l values	Clustering			Random(average of seed=2,3,4)			Bottom-up		
		MD	LM	Time	MD	LM	Time	MD	LM	Time
4	1	25906	630.30	50 s	37568	1901.16	53 ms	179982	9367.74	33 s
8	1	37045	1087.89	49 s	21071	1106.42	51 ms	179982	9367.74	32 s
16	1	47788	1678.82	50 s	11145	584.93	52 ms	179982	9367.74	31 s
32	1	59032	2382.27	50 s	5795	302.67	51 ms	179982	9367.74	30 s
64	1	70973	3182.47	52 s	2962	155.23	52 ms	179982	9367.74	31 s
128	1	81296	3905.24	55 s	1500	78.84	51 ms	179982	9367.74	32 s
256	1	88456	4437.52	56 s	760	40	52 ms	179982	9367.74	33 s

k values	l values	Bottom-up		
		MD	LM	Time
16	1	179982	9367.74	30 s
16	2	179982	9367.74	30 s
16	3	179982	9367.74	30 s
16	4	179982	9367.74	30 s
16	5	179982	9367.74	31 s
16	6	179982	9367.74	30 s
16	7	179982	9367.74	32 s
16	8	179982	9367.74	32 s

When we compare it in terms of speed: **Random > Bottom_up > Clustering**, the result was frankly what I expected because random_anonymizer uses a simpler algorithm. For high k values, random anonymizer worked at very low cost, which frankly surprised me. I think bottom_up is very expensive in terms of cost. If I were to choose one personally, I would choose random because of its speed and cost efficiency. I would like to mention the performance of clustering at low k values. At low k values, that is, k = 4, 8, it was the one that gave the least cost. But if I care about l-diversity, we should definitely choose bottom_up because, as we learned in the course, there are situations that k-anonymity cannot handle, such as homogeneity attacks and attacks made with background knowledge. L-diversity is offered as a solution to these kind of situations.

Gülnisa Yıldırım

76401