Application for a Dagstuhl Seminar Math-in-the-Middle: An API for Mathematics

Jacques Carette and Wolfram Decker and Viviane Pons and Florian Rabe

April 11, 2017

Abstract

EdN:1

EdN:2

¹ ² In the last decades an ecosystem of open source mathematical and scientific software has emerged. This software is developed by overlapping international communities of researchers in both computer science and mathematics, by teachers, engineers, and amateurs. Current systems range from specialized libraries (e.g. MPIR, LINBOX) to thematic systems (e.g. GAP in group theory, PARI in number theory, SINGULAR in algebraic geometry, xcas) to general purpose systems like Mathemagix or SAGE.

Moreover, there is a wealth of databases of mathematical objects like the Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, the L-functions and Modular forms Database, the Small Groups Library, the Online Atlas of Finite Simple Groups, and many more.

Interactive computing environments JUPYTER and knowledge management systems MATH-HUB can serve as friendly interfaces for these systems.

It remains very difficult to connect domain specific, optimised systems into higher-level systems and avoid the expensive duplicate development of libraries.

We propose that an appropriate basis for a solution is found in abstract logic and knowledge representation; Projects to design knowledge representation languages, and frameworks for system interoperability have been initiated in the past: OpenMath, SCIENCE, and OpenDreamKit, to name a few.

None of them has reached a critical mass of supported systems.

In this seminar, we bring together agents from relevant communities in order to develop tools, best practices, and open standards, for sharing algorithms and data across computational mathematics systems, in order to reach that critical mass.

	3 4 5		EdN:3 EdN:4
Contents			EdN:5
1	Basic Information about the Seminar	2	
2	Description of the Seminar	3	
3	Introduction	3	
4	The Math-In-The-Middle Approach	4	
5	Questions, Issues, Objectives, Results	4	
	¹ EdNote: @all revise		
	$^2\mathrm{EdNote}$: about 250 words		
	³ Ednote: deadline is April 15th for the Initial Submission, April 22nd for the final (Markus check again!)		
	$^4\mathrm{EdNote}$: See $\mathrm{http://www.dagstuhl.de/programm/dagstuhl-seminare/antrag/}$ for details about how	to	
wr	ite proposal		
	⁵ EDNOTE: Should Proof assistants/proofs/verification be a thing here?		

6	List of Potential Participants	6
7	Information on the Organizers	7

6 7

EdN:6 EdN:7

1 Basic Information about the Seminar

1.1 Title

The title *Math-in-the-Middle: An API for Mathematics* means to emphasize the vision of a universal environment for scientific and mathematical computation. We hope this will provide a joint goal for the currently disparate communities. ⁸

EdN:8

EdN:10

1.2 Organizers

 9 EdN:9

• PD Dr. Florian Rabe

Jacobs University Bremen - Computer Science

P.O. Box 750 561 28725 Bremen Germany

Phone: +494212003051

Email: f.rabe@jacobs-university.de

Homepage: http://kwarc.info/people/frabe/

• PD Dr. Viviane Pons

Université Paris-Sud - Laboratoire de Recherche en Informatique

Bat 650 Ada Lovelace 91405 Orsay Cedex France

Email: viviane.pons@lri.fr

Homepage: https://www.lri.fr/~pons/

1.3 Type of event, duration, and size

We propose a 5-day Dagstuhl Seminar with 45 participants.

1.4 Topics

10

• databases / information retrieval

- semantics / formal methods
- sw-engineering

⁶Ednote: Comment by JC: I don't think it is necessary to re-focus, but rather to make sure that there are clear "new" topics to discuss, with a clear hope that the discussion can lead to real progress. So if the workshop is to be about interoperability of (some) systems that focus on 'computation', it seems that a good starting point would be a list, articulated by some of the principal stakeholders of said systems, of what kinds of computations they would like to borrow from another system AND what currently prevents them from just doing it.

I, of course, entirely agree with the basic idea "that systems should share knowledge better". But you and I are 'solution providers' in this area, where the solutions are implemented in systems which otherwise do not "do" enough to be attractive at large. So we need to work with the system builders to understand what their actual problems are, and bridge the gap. Or so I think. It seems we need equal involvement (in the workshop definition) from some of the principal system builders involved in OpenDreamKit to get a balanced proposal.

⁷EdNote:

 $^{^8\}mathrm{EdNote}$: markus: I find this title a bit bland. I am a fan of catchy titles though.

 $^{^9\}mathrm{EdNote}\colon$ @all: add yourself

¹⁰EDNOTE: @all: we have to select 1-3 from a list of topics; strangely none fits very well markus: I commented out the ones that fit least, cutting it down to 3 that seem to fit reasonably well

1.5 Keywords

11 EdN:11

- computer algebra
- computation
- reusability
- interoperability

1.6 Proposed Seminar Dates

EdN:12

Block-out Dates:

•

Preferred Dates:

•

2 Description of the Seminar

3 Introduction

From their earliest days, computers have successfully been used in mathematics to perform complicated or tedious calculations more reliably, or at all, to make tables, to prove theorems (famously the four colour theorem), to explore new theories.

With computers and open source software becoming widely, and cheaply, available to everyone, the last decades have seen the emergence of open-source software in research communities; the spectrum ranges from special purpose Excel worksheets, to more sophisticated libraries such as MPIR (for high performance integer arithmetic) or LinBox (linear algebra), to topical systems such as PARI in number theory, Singular in algebraic geometry, or GAP in group theory. Some packages are written and embedded in popular general purpose programming languages, wheras other (mostly older) systems feature their own programming language.

Addionally, there is a wealth of databases of objects, the online Atlas of Finite Simple Groups, the Small Groups Library, the L-function and Modular Forms Database, FindStat, Regular Graphs library, the Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequence, and the list goes on. 13

EdN:13

Contemporary research and teaching in computational mathematics often needs access to multiple specialist libraries. (example; groups, number theory, linear algebra...)

One major problem posed by the multitude of systems with different communities, developers, and focuses, is that they do not compose well. To have any hope of using a mathematics software package inside one's own system one has to understand the conventions and internals of the library sufficiently to write a bespoke interface, translate data and representations of objects semantically correctly.

As an example, the group-centric computer algebra system GAP includes custom interfaces to Singular, the Graph Isomorphism Solvers Nauty and Bliss, separately with different representations of graphs, the advanced coset enumerator ACE, Holt's automatic groups programs KBMAG, and more.

SAGE, a free general purpose open-source mathematics software with a mission to provide an alternative to MAGMA, Maple, Mathematica, and Matlab, includes GAP as a subsystem,

 $^{^{11}\}mathrm{EdNote}$: @all: revise/extend

 $^{^{12}}$ EDNOTE: @all: Dagstuhl will pick the date, typically early 2018, but it could be be several months earlier or later; please add your preferences

¹³EDNOTE: markus: I might be focusing this too much on pure maths/combinatorics?

and interfaces it through hand-written translation routines, which for example have to interface SAGE's integers with GAP's integers, and SAGE's permutations with GAP's.

This method of interfacing systems is tedious, time consuming, and error-prone.

In an ideal world there would be a single, well-defined, standard that is used to efficiently communicate data between systems. Such a standard would have to be universal enough to cover enough fields, easy to use to not impose an implementation burden on developers, and efficient enough to not slow down computations significantly.

One cannot expect every developer of mathematics software to change it to the needs of this standard, we need to work towards composability.¹⁴

EdN:14

we propose to establish the Math-in-the-Middle approach as described in ¹⁵ based on MMT as the base for exchanging mathematical objects in a semantics-preserving way; a universal API for mathematics.

EdN:15

4 The Math-In-The-Middle Approach

Many researchers build software by tacking it together with duct tape and patches, or duplicate effort developing specialist solutions for their current problems, which comes at cost of fragility, complexity, and doubtful correctness.

But a general framework that connects exists: formal logic.

Rabe/Kohlhase et al developed a very general framework (MMT) that can serve as a translation layer between different logical frameworks. (need a description of the mmt approach, i.e. systems describe their formats/descriptions can be extracted -; theory morphisms?)

The vision is to establish this Math-in-the-middle approach as the API for as many computer mathematics software packages as possible, without forcing these packages to change their approach. 16

EdN:16

Working on WP6 of OpenDreamKit we realised more and more that it is necessary to communicate and work together in one place

get representatives from fields to compose

The seminar will consist of few talks that introduce and showcase our initial successes working on WP6 of ODK involving Sage, GAP, LMFDB, the core concepts of MMT,

Lower the entry barrier for using MitM

5 Questions, Issues, Objectives, Results

Conference, seminars, etc. often focus on the exchange of ideas. It is of course one of the goals of our proposed semainar, in particular brushing a precise state-of-the-art of the above topics. However our goals go beyond that: we wold like to develop a common objective of universality that can unify the communities. In the long run, this requires designing uniform conceptualizations, interchange formats, and interoperability layers. ¹⁷

EdN:17

Therefore, the goal of this seminar is to systematically identify the current obstacles to universality, to collect requirements for universality, and to sketch out future solutions. ¹⁸

EdN:18

The goal of the seminar is to

• Bring together developers and users of (open source) mathematics software, logicians, and knowledge representation experts.

 $^{^{14}\}mathrm{EdNote}$: Markus: This needs more substance

¹⁵EDNOTE: CICM paper?

 $^{^{16}\}mathrm{EdNote}$: markus: No idea what "approach" even means here

 $^{^{17}\}mathrm{EdNote}$: Markus: This sounds too much like waffle to me right now.

 $^{^{18}\}mathrm{EdNote}$: markus: I think this should be mentioned much earlier, in the introduction or abstract, also what is the definition of "universality"

- Get an overview of the current trends and developments in open source mathematics software.
- Promote MMT/Math-in-the-Middle
- Train mathematicians in the art of MMT, and get an idea of how MMT will be used by developers of domain specific software.
- Share perspectives and best practices, build a joint vision, and seek venues for tighter collaboration.
- Encourage participants to get involved in the standardisation process and to provide MMT interfaces to their software.

Some of the upcoming major challenges are:

- Lower the entry barrier, in particular via **unified user interfaces**, and **Virtual Research Environments** that groups of users can setup to collaborate on data, software, computations, or knowledge;
- Enable computations involving multiple systems, as transparently as possible;
- Keep the development efforts manageable as the size and complexity of software systems increase;
- Train a new generation of users and developers.

A key step is to strengthen collaborations between the various communities, in order to:

- Seek for opportunities for collaboration or outsourcing of components to save on development efforts;
- Share expertise and best practices;
- Improve cross-systems development workflows.

Research Questions Participants will be asked to give short talks that specifically address the following research questions from the perspective of their field:

- Why are current systems not more interoperable? What design changes are necessary to increase interoperability in the future?
- What are the current approaches towards interoperability? How successful or promising are they?
- How can correctness be guaranteed in a distributed setting? Should there be a single universal checker (which would be hard to agree on) or many decentral ones (which may preclude interoperability)?
- How can we design interchange languages that naturally subsume existing (and future!) formal systems?
- Should a logical framework permit the definition of any logical system? Or do the logics currently implemented have points in common that could be hard wired into the framework itself?

- How reasonnable is it to propose a single universal proof format? Or do we need different formats for different families of proof systems and a partial interoperability between the formats? How should a proof format be evaluated (generality, conciseness, efficiency of proof-checking, ...)?
- How should universal proof library be exchanged? Is Web technology sufficient or do we need specific tools to organize data bases of proofs?
- How can we practically and reliably relate individual systems with their representation in an interchange format or a logical framework? How can two systems agree on the meaning of an exchanged theorem and thus trust each other?

Impact on the Research Community By challenging participants to address research questions concerning universality, we do not only raise awareness of the importance of these issues. We also help identify the key steps towards *proving in the large* and *universality* of proofs. This will allow the development of a common objective and framework for interoperable and reusable proof development that is crucial for realizing the full potential of formal mechanizations.

This seminar with the associated Dagstuhl proceedings will provide an overview of the problem, the state of the art of current solutions and the active researchers pursuing them, and the most promising ideas for future solutions. It will collect and strengthen the small, often-disparate communities that currently work towards universality, e.g., in the very different PxTP (Proof eXchange for Theorem Proving) and LFMTP (dedicated to logical frameworks and metalanguages) workshops.

The seminar will not only allow for cross-fertilization between

- research on logical frameworks, proof formats, logics, proof engineering, mathematics formalization, and program verification,
- foundational research on these topics and application or system-oriented approaches.

It will also structure and streamline future collaboration, e.g., by kicking off new workshops or large international grant proposals.

5.1 Relation to Previous Dagstuhl Seminars

6 List of Potential Participants

We have identified ¹⁹ relevant researchers with a particular focus on

EdN:19

EdN:20

- including leading experts from all involved communities,
- bringing together researchers with particular interest in universality and interoperability.

We carefully selected participants to cover the following areas:

- computation systems
 - symbolic computation
 - exact computation
 - numerical computation
- related systems
 - user interfaces
 - databases
 - knowledge bases
- applications
 - mathematical databases

 $^{^{19}\}mathrm{EdNote}$: add number

 $^{^{20}\}mathrm{EdNote}$: Qall: revise areas and subareas depending on what people we want; the topics listed here will be referenced in the spreadsheet containing the suggested participants

- scientific computation
- industrial applications
- system integration
 - integration frameworks
 - individual system connections

Moreover, the participants include²¹

EdN:21

- XXX from Germany, XXX from France, XXX from UK, XXX from the rest of Europe, XXX from North America
- XXX junior, XXX female (one of them co-organizer), and XXX industrial researchers.

7 Information on the Organizers

EdN:22

7.1 Brief presentation of the organizers

Florian Rabe has developed the MMT framework and the LF module system and is the main contributor of the LATIN atlas. He has extensive expertise in individual deduction systems including major case studies regarding Mizar, HOL Light, PVS, and TPTP.

Viviane Pons is a researcher in Combinatorics, leader of the dissemination work package of the OpenDreamKit H2020 project. She has been involved in the development of SageMath. She has been organizing Sage Days and given many Sage interventions and tutorial.

 $^{^{21}\}mathrm{EdNote}$ add numbers at the end

 $^{^{22}}$ EDNote: add a 0.5-1 page research CV of yourself: overview of an organizer's academic career, especially points out community services and recognitions, list the five most relevant papers

7.2 Florian Rabe - CV

Name Dr. habil. Florian Rabe, born 1979-09-28

Diploma Computer science 2004, Universitity of Karlsruhe (distinction) **PhD** Computer science 2008, Jacobs University Bremen (distinction)

Habilitation Computer science 2014, Jacobs U.

Employment 2008–2014, post-doctoral fellow, Jacobs U.

2014- DFG-Eigene Stelle, Jacobs U.

Awards and Scholarships

2005 Best diploma thesis, Computer science faculty

2006 PhD scholarship (1 year), DAAD 2006 Winner Modal Logic \$100 Challenge

2007–2008 PhD scholarship, German Merit Foundation

2010 Best Paper Award, MKM conference

2015 Contest Winner "The Future of Logic", UniLog Congress

Membership in Academic Self-governance Committees

2008 – 2010 Staff Council (Jacobs U.)

2010 - 2012 Provost search committee (Jacobs U.)
2011 - 2012 Constitution committee (Jacobs U.)
2010 - 2013 Board of trustees of MKM interest group
2012 - Steering committee of CICM conference

Student Advising 14 BSc., 6 MSc., 3 PhD. (some in progress)

Organization 2 conferences, 4 workshops

PC Membership 8 conferences (2 as track chair), 11 workshops (4 as chair)

Third Party Funding

2009–2012 LATIN (DFG), de-facto PI 2014–2017 OAF (DFG), lead PI

2015–2019 OpenDreamKit (EU Horizon 2020), PI

5 Important Publications

- M. Kohlhase and F. Rabe. QED Reloaded: Towards a Pluralistic Formal Library of Mathematical Knowledge. *Journal of Formalized Reasoning*, 2015. accepted pending minor revisions; see http://kwarc.info/frabe/Research/KR_qed_14.pdf.
- F. Rabe. The Future of Logic: Foundation-Independence. *Logica Universalis*, 2015. Winner of the Contest "The Future of Logic" at the World Congress on Universal Logic; to appear; see http://kwarc.info/frabe/Research/rabe_future_15.pdf.
- F. Rabe. How to Identify, Translate, and Combine Logics? *Journal of Logic and Computation*, 2014. doi:10.1093/logcom/exu079.
- F. Rabe and M. Kohlhase. A Scalable Module System. *Information and Computation*, 230(1):1–54, 2013.
- F. Horozal and F. Rabe. Representing Model Theory in a Type-Theoretical Logical Framework. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 412(37):4919–4945, 2011.

7.3 Viviane Pons - CV

Name Dr. Viviane Pons, born 1985-02-05

Bachelor Degree Computer science and Mathematics 2006, Univ. Paris-Est (distinction)

Master Degree Computer science 2010, Univ. Paris-Est (distinction)

PhD Computer science 2013, Univ. Paris-Est

Employment 2013–2014, post-doctoral researcher, Univ. of Vienna (Austria)

2014-, Maïtre de conférences en Informatique, Univ. Paris-Sud

Projects

2015 – 2019 OpenDreamKit (EU Horizon 2020)

Site leader for Paris-Sud

Community building / Dissemination Work package leader (WP2)

Organization 4 workshops

Invited speaker / lecturer

2015 Combinatorics and Sage at EAUMP Summer school on experimental

mathematics (Uganda)

2016 Combinatorics Research School at ENS Lyon (France)

2016 ECCO 2016 – Sage Tutorial (Colombia)

2016 Codima School 2016 – Sage and SageMathCloud Tutorial (Scotland)

Other outreaching activities

2014 – 2015 Experimental mathematics using Sage: talks at SciPv2015 Pv-

ConFr2014 and PyCon2015

2016 – Co-organizer of the PyLadies Paris Chapter

PC Membership FPSAC 2017 (conference)

Important Publications

• G. Châtel and V. Pons. Counting smaller elements in the tamari and m-tamari lattices. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, 2015. doi:10.1016/j.jcta.2015.03.004.

• V. Pons. Interval structure of the Pieri formula for Grothendieck polynomials. *International Journal of Algebra and Computation*, 2013. doi:10.1142/S0218196713500045.

• V. Pons. Multivariate polynomials in Sage Sminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire, 2011.