# **Elder Community Readiness Assessment**

Framework Implementation Planning Tool

**Version**: 1.0 (2025-06-04)

Purpose: Enable elder communities to assess their readiness for Aging Population Support

Framework implementation

**Completion Time**: 60-90 minutes for core leadership team

Format: Elder-led self-assessment with scoring and recommendations

# Section 1: Elder Leadership and Community Engagement

## 1.1 Elder Leadership Capacity

**Question 1.1.1**: How many committed elder leaders are available to dedicate significant time to framework implementation?

- A) 10+ leaders ready to commit 10+ hours/week (5 points)
- B) 5-9 leaders ready to commit 10+ hours/week (4 points)
- **C) 3-4 leaders** ready to commit 10+ hours/week (3 points)
- **D) 1-2 leaders** ready to commit 10+ hours/week (2 points)
- E) Uncertain about leader availability (1 point)

Question 1.1.2: Do your elder leaders have experience in:

- Community organizing or political advocacy (1 point each, max 3)
- Non-profit or cooperative management (1 point each, max 2)
- Business development or innovation (1 point each, max 2)
- Healthcare or social services (1 point each, max 2)
- Technology use and comfort (1 point each, max 1)

Question 1.1.3: How diverse is your potential elder leadership team?

- A) Very diverse across culture, economics, abilities, and perspectives (5 points)
- B) Moderately diverse in most areas (4 points)
- **C) Some diversity** but missing key perspectives (3 points)
- **D) Limited diversity** with similar backgrounds (2 points)
- E) Little diversity among leaders (1 point)

Question 1.1.4: What is the decision-making style of your elder leadership?

- A) Collaborative and democratic with consensus-building (5 points)
- B) Mostly collaborative with some hierarchical elements (4 points)
- **C) Mixed approach** depending on the issue (3 points)
- **D) Somewhat hierarchical** but open to input (2 points)
- E) Top-down decision-making (1 point)

## **1.2 Community Elder Engagement**

**Question 1.2.1**: What percentage of local elders are aware of and interested in framework concepts?

- A) 50%+ are aware and interested (5 points)
- B) 25-49% are aware and interested (4 points)
- C) 10-24% are aware and interested (3 points)

- D) 5-9% are aware and interested (2 points)
- E) Less than 5% are aware (1 point)

Question 1.2.2: How well do elders in your community work together across differences?

- A) Strong collaboration across cultural, economic, and social differences (5 points)
- **B) Good collaboration** with occasional tensions (4 points)
- C) Moderate collaboration with some segregation (3 points)
- **D) Limited collaboration** with significant divisions (2 points)
- E) Poor collaboration with major conflicts (1 point)

Question 1.2.3: Do local elders have experience with democratic participation?

- A) Strong tradition of democratic elder participation (5 points)
- B) Some experience with elder democratic processes (4 points)
- **C)** Limited experience but willingness to learn (3 points)
- D) Little experience and some resistance (2 points)
- E) No experience with democratic elder participation (1 point)

Question 1.2.4: How do local elders typically handle conflicts and disagreements?

- A) Constructive dialogue and mediation (5 points)
- B) Generally respectful discussion (4 points)
- C) Mixed approaches with some tension (3 points)
- D) Often contentious but resolvable (2 points)
- E) Frequent unresolved conflicts (1 point)

Section 1 Subtotal: \_\_\_/40 points

# **Section 2: Community Assets and Resources**

## 2.1 Physical Infrastructure and Resources

Question 2.1.1: Do you have access to suitable meeting spaces for elder community gatherings?

- A) Multiple accessible spaces available regularly (5 points)
- B) One reliable accessible space (4 points)
- C) Accessible space available occasionally (3 points)
- D) Some space but accessibility challenges (2 points)
- E) No suitable meeting space (1 point)

Question 2.1.2: What technology resources are available to your elder community?

- A) Community computer center with elder support (3 points)
- B) Some shared technology access (2 points)
- C) Individual elder technology ownership (2 points)
- D) Limited technology access (1 point)
- E) Minimal technology resources (0 points)

Question 2.1.3: Are there potential spaces for elder innovation or workshop activities?

- A) Dedicated maker space or workshop facility (5 points)
- **B) Adaptable community space** for workshops (4 points)
- C) Occasional access to workshop space (3 points)
- **D) Limited space** requiring significant adaptation (2 points)
- E) No suitable workshop space (1 point)

Question 2.1.4: How accessible is your community for elders with mobility challenges?

- A) Fully accessible public spaces and transportation (5 points)
- B) Mostly accessible with minor barriers (4 points)
- C) Partially accessible with some significant barriers (3 points)
- D) Limited accessibility with major barriers (2 points)
- E) Poor accessibility throughout community (1 point)

## 2.2 Economic Resources and Opportunities

Question 2.2.1: What is the general economic situation of elders in your community?

- A) Most elders are economically secure (5 points)
- B) Many elders have adequate income (4 points)
- C) Mixed economic situations (3 points)
- D) Many elders face economic challenges (2 points)
- E) Most elders are economically insecure (1 point)

**Question 2.2.2**: Are there local businesses or organizations interested in elder economic participation?

- A) Strong business interest in elder engagement (5 points)
- B) Some business interest and openness (4 points)
- C) Mixed business attitudes toward elders (3 points)
- D) Limited business interest in elder participation (2 points)
- E) Business community ignores or discriminates against elders (1 point)

Question 2.2.3: Do elders in your community have diverse skills and expertise?

- A) Wide range of professional and life skills (5 points)
- B) Good diversity of skills and experience (4 points)
- C) Moderate skill diversity (3 points)
- **D) Limited skill diversity** (2 points)
- E) Narrow range of skills and experience (1 point)

Question 2.2.4: Is there potential for elder entrepreneurship or innovation in your community?

- A) Strong interest and multiple potential innovators (5 points)
- **B) Some interest** and several potential innovators (4 points)
- **C) Moderate interest** with a few innovators (3 points)
- **D) Limited interest** in elder innovation (2 points)
- E) Little to no interest in elder entrepreneurship (1 point)

#### 2.3 Social and Cultural Assets

Question 2.3.1: Do elders in your community have strong family and social networks?

- A) Strong extended networks with intergenerational connections (5 points)
- B) Good social networks with some family connections (4 points)
- C) Moderate networks with some isolation (3 points)
- **D) Weak networks** with significant isolation (2 points)
- E) Very limited networks and widespread isolation (1 point)

Question 2.3.2: How does your community view aging and elder contributions?

- A) Highly values elder wisdom and contributions (5 points)
- B) Generally respects elder knowledge and experience (4 points)

- C) Mixed attitudes toward elder value (3 points)
- **D) Somewhat dismissive** of elder contributions (2 points)
- E) Negative attitudes toward aging and elders (1 point)

Question 2.3.3: Are there existing intergenerational programs or relationships in your community?

- A) Multiple strong intergenerational programs (5 points)
- B) Some successful intergenerational activities (4 points)
- **C) Occasional** intergenerational programming (3 points)
- **D) Limited** intergenerational connection (2 points)
- E) Age-segregated community with little intergenerational contact (1 point)

Question 2.3.4: Do local elders have experience with environmental or climate knowledge?

- A) Strong environmental knowledge and climate awareness (5 points)
- B) Good environmental experience among many elders (4 points)
- C) Some elders have environmental knowledge (3 points)
- **D) Limited environmental experience** (2 points)
- E) Little environmental knowledge among elders (1 point)

Section 2 Subtotal: \_\_\_/50 points

# **Section 3: Political Environment and Support**

## 3.1 Local Political Landscape

Question 3.1.1: How supportive is your local government toward elder needs and interests?

- A) Very supportive with active elder advocacy (5 points)
- B) Generally supportive of elder issues (4 points)
- C) Neutral or mixed support (3 points)
- **D) Somewhat unsupportive** of elder priorities (2 points)
- E) Actively hostile to elder interests (1 point)

Question 3.1.2: Are there elected officials who might support framework implementation?

- A) Multiple officials already supportive (5 points)
- B) Some officials likely to be supportive (4 points)
- C) Uncertain but potentially persuadable officials (3 points)
- **D) Few officials** likely to support (2 points)
- E) No supportive officials identified (1 point)

Question 3.1.3: What is the level of elder political participation in your community?

- A) High elder voter turnout and political engagement (5 points)
- B) Good elder political participation (4 points)
- C) Moderate elder political involvement (3 points)
- D) Low elder political participation (2 points)
- E) Very low elder political engagement (1 point)

Question 3.1.4: Are there upcoming elections where elder issues could be influential?

- A) Major elections in next 12 months where elders could be decisive (5 points)
- B) Local elections in next 12 months with elder influence potential (4 points)
- C) Elections in next 24 months with opportunities (3 points)
- **D) Distant elections** with limited immediate opportunities (2 points)

• E) No clear electoral opportunities (1 point)

## 3.2 Community Support and Opposition

**Question 3.2.1:** How would younger generations in your community likely respond to elder-led initiatives?

- A) Very supportive of elder leadership and innovation (5 points)
- B) Generally supportive of elder community initiatives (4 points)
- **C) Mixed reactions** with some support and resistance (3 points)
- **D) Skeptical** but potentially persuadable (2 points)
- E) Resistant to elder-led community change (1 point)

**Question 3.2.2**: What about local business community attitudes toward elder economic participation?

- A) Enthusiastic support for elder economic inclusion (5 points)
- B) Positive attitudes toward elder economic participation (4 points)
- C) Neutral or mixed business attitudes (3 points)
- **D) Skeptical** business community (2 points)
- E) Hostile business attitudes toward elder economic inclusion (1 point)

Question 3.2.3: Are there potential opponents to framework implementation in your community?

- A) No significant opposition expected (5 points)
- B) Minor opposition that can be addressed (4 points)
- C) Moderate opposition requiring strategy (3 points)
- D) Significant opposition needing careful management (2 points)
- E) Strong opposition from multiple sources (1 point)

Question 3.2.4: Do you have potential allies in other community organizations?

- A) Multiple strong allies across different organizations (5 points)
- B) Several potential allies in key organizations (4 points)
- C) Some allies in community organizations (3 points)
- **D)** Few potential allies identified (2 points)
- E) No clear allies in other organizations (1 point)

#### 3.3 Legal and Regulatory Environment

**Question 3.3.1**: Are there local laws or regulations that would help or hinder framework implementation?

- A) Supportive legal environment with helpful regulations (5 points)
- B) Generally supportive legal framework (4 points)
- C) Neutral legal environment (3 points)
- **D) Some legal barriers** but manageable (2 points)
- E) Significant legal obstacles (1 point)

Question 3.3.2: How strong are elder rights protections in your area?

- A) Strong elder rights protections and enforcement (5 points)
- B) Good elder rights protections (4 points)
- C) Basic elder rights protections (3 points)
- D) Weak elder rights protections (2 points)
- E) Minimal elder rights protections (1 point)

**Question 3.3.3**: Are there funding or resource opportunities available for elder community initiatives?

- A) Multiple funding sources available (5 points)
- B) Some funding opportunities identified (4 points)
- C) Limited funding possibilities (3 points)
- **D)** Few funding opportunities (2 points)
- E) No funding sources identified (1 point)

Section 3 Subtotal: \_\_\_/35 points

# **Section 4: Implementation Capacity**

## 4.1 Organizational Development

**Question 4.1.1**: Does your community have experience managing community projects or initiatives?

- A) Extensive experience with successful project management (5 points)
- B) Good experience with most projects successful (4 points)
- **C) Some experience** with mixed success (3 points)
- **D) Limited experience** with project management (2 points)
- E) No experience managing community initiatives (1 point)

Question 4.1.2: How skilled is your community at fundraising and resource mobilization?

- A) Strong fundraising experience and success (5 points)
- B) Good fundraising abilities (4 points)
- C) Some fundraising experience (3 points)
- **D) Limited fundraising** skills (2 points)
- E) No fundraising experience (1 point)

Question 4.1.3: Do you have people with legal, financial, or administrative expertise?

- A) Multiple experts in different areas (5 points)
- B) Several people with relevant expertise (4 points)
- C) Some expertise available (3 points)
- **D) Limited expertise** in the community (2 points)
- E) No relevant expertise identified (1 point)

Question 4.1.4: How well does your community handle publicity and communication?

- A) Excellent communication skills and media relationships (5 points)
- B) Good communication abilities (4 points)
- C) Adequate communication skills (3 points)
- **D) Limited communication** experience (2 points)
- E) Poor communication abilities (1 point)

## 4.2 Cultural and Adaptation Capacity

Question 4.2.1: How adaptable is your elder community to new ideas and approaches?

- A) Very adaptable and open to innovation (5 points)
- B) Generally adaptable with some openness (4 points)
- C) Moderately adaptable (3 points)
- D) Somewhat resistant to change (2 points)

• E) Very resistant to new approaches (1 point)

**Question 4.2.2**: Are there cultural traditions or practices that would support framework implementation?

- A) Strong cultural traditions supporting elder leadership and cooperation (5 points)
- B) Good cultural foundation for framework principles (4 points)
- C) Some cultural support for framework approach (3 points)
- D) Limited cultural alignment (2 points)
- E) Cultural barriers to framework implementation (1 point)

Question 4.2.3: How well does your community integrate people from different backgrounds?

- A) Excellent integration across all differences (5 points)
- B) Good integration with minor challenges (4 points)
- C) Moderate integration (3 points)
- **D)** Limited integration with some segregation (2 points)
- E) Poor integration and significant divisions (1 point)

Question 4.2.4: Is your community willing to experiment with new approaches to aging support?

- A) Very willing to try innovative approaches (5 points)
- B) Generally willing to experiment (4 points)
- **C) Somewhat willing** with encouragement (3 points)
- **D) Reluctant** but potentially persuadable (2 points)
- E) Unwilling to try new approaches (1 point)

# 4.3 Learning and Development Capacity

Question 4.3.1: How interested are community elders in learning new skills?

- A) High interest in learning and development (5 points)
- B) Good interest in skill development (4 points)
- C) Moderate interest in learning (3 points)
- **D) Limited interest** in new skill development (2 points)
- E) Resistance to learning new skills (1 point)

Question 4.3.2: Are there existing educational or training resources in your community?

- A) Excellent educational resources and institutions (5 points)
- B) Good educational opportunities available (4 points)
- C) Some educational resources (3 points)
- **D) Limited educational** opportunities (2 points)
- E) Poor educational infrastructure (1 point)

Question 4.3.3: How well do elders in your community teach and share knowledge with others?

- A) Strong teaching and mentorship traditions (5 points)
- B) Good knowledge sharing among elders (4 points)
- C) Some knowledge sharing (3 points)
- **D) Limited knowledge sharing** (2 points)
- E) Poor knowledge sharing or competition (1 point)

Section 4 Subtotal: \_\_\_/35 points

# **Assessment Scoring and Recommendations**

#### **Calculate Your Total Score**

Section 1 (Elder Leadership): \_\_\_/40 points Section 2 (Community Assets): \_\_\_/50 points Section 3 (Political Environment): \_\_\_/35 points Section 4 (Implementation Capacity): \_\_\_/35 points

TOTAL SCORE: \_\_\_/160 points

#### **Readiness Level Assessment**

#### **HIGHLY READY (130-160 points)**

Your community demonstrates strong readiness for comprehensive framework implementation.

**Strengths**: Strong elder leadership, good community assets, supportive political environment, and solid implementation capacity.

#### **Recommended Next Steps:**

- 1. Begin pilot planning using the AUBI Pilot Design Template
- 2. Form implementation committee with diverse elder leadership
- 3. Develop community charter outlining goals and democratic processes
- 4. Identify funding sources and begin resource mobilization
- 5. Connect with Elder Ambassador program for training and support
- 6. Schedule community forum to build broader elder participation

Timeline: 6-12 months to pilot launch

#### **MODERATELY READY (100-129 points)**

Your community has good potential but needs capacity building in some areas.

**Strengths**: Solid foundation in most areas with particular strengths in [identify based on highest-scoring sections].

**Areas for Development**: [Identify based on lowest-scoring sections]

#### **Recommended Next Steps:**

- 1. Capacity building in identified weak areas
- 2. Leadership development through Elder Ambassador training
- 3. **Community education** about framework principles and benefits
- 4. **Relationship building** with potential allies and supporters
- 5. **Pilot project preparation** while building capacity
- 6. Political organizing to build supportive environment

Timeline: 12-18 months to pilot launch with capacity building

#### **EMERGING READINESS (70-99 points)**

Your community has potential but needs significant development before pilot implementation.

**Strengths**: [Identify areas of greatest strength]

Priority Development Areas: [Identify 2-3 most critical areas needing improvement]

#### **Recommended Next Steps:**

- 1. Leadership development as top priority
- 2. Community organizing to build elder engagement
- 3. Education campaign about framework concepts
- 4. **Asset mapping** to identify all available resources

- 5. Relationship building with potential supporters
- 6. Skill building in identified weak areas

Timeline: 18-24 months of development before pilot launch

#### **EARLY DEVELOPMENT (40-69 points)**

Your community needs substantial development before framework implementation.

Focus Areas: Build fundamental capacity before considering pilot implementation.

#### **Recommended Next Steps:**

- 1. Leadership identification and development
- 2. Community education about elder rights and potential
- 3. Democratic participation skill building
- 4. Relationship building within elder community
- 5. Political awareness and engagement development
- 6. External support seeking from experienced communities

Timeline: 24+ months of development before pilot consideration

#### **FOUNDATION BUILDING (Below 40 points)**

Your community needs fundamental organizing before framework implementation.

**Priority**: Focus on basic community organizing and elder empowerment.

## **Recommended Next Steps:**

- 1. Basic community organizing around immediate elder needs
- 2. **Leadership identification** and democratic participation
- 3. Education about elder rights and dignity
- 4. Relationship building and trust development
- 5. External mentor community identification
- 6. Long-term vision development

**Timeline**: Multiple years of organizing before pilot consideration

# **Section Analysis and Development Planning**

#### **Leadership Development Recommendations**

#### If Section 1 score is below 25 points:

#### **Priority Actions:**

- Identify potential leaders through community mapping
- Provide Elder Ambassador training for emerging leaders
- Develop democratic decision-making skills
- Build diverse and inclusive leadership team
- Create leadership development program

#### **Resources Needed:**

- Elder Ambassador training program
- · Meeting facilitation training
- Democratic participation skill building
- Diversity and inclusion education

# **Asset Building Recommendations**

## If Section 2 score is below 30 points:

#### **Priority Actions:**

- Complete comprehensive community asset mapping
- Identify accessible meeting and activity spaces
- Develop technology access and support systems
- Build connections with local businesses and organizations
- · Document elder skills and expertise

#### **Resources Needed:**

- · Community organizing support
- Technology infrastructure development
- Space accessibility improvements
- · Business community engagement

#### **Political Environment Recommendations**

## If Section 3 score is below 20 points:

# **Priority Actions:**

- Build elder political awareness and engagement
- · Identify and cultivate political allies
- · Develop issue advocacy capabilities
- · Build coalitions with other community organizations
- · Plan electoral engagement strategy

#### **Resources Needed:**

- · Political organizing training
- Issue research and policy development
- Coalition building skills
- · Media and communication development

## **Implementation Capacity Recommendations**

#### If Section 4 score is below 20 points:

## **Priority Actions:**

- Develop project management skills
- Build fundraising and resource mobilization capacity
- Identify and develop expertise in key areas
- Create learning and adaptation culture
- · Develop communication and outreach abilities

#### **Resources Needed:**

- Project management training
- Fundraising skill development
- Professional development opportunities
- · Communication and media training

## **Next Steps Planning Worksheet**

# **Immediate Actions (Next 30 days)**

1. Leadership Development:		
	0	Schedule leadership team formation meeting
	0	Identify Elder Ambassador training opportunities
	0	Plan leadership diversity and inclusion
2.	Co	ommunity Engagement:
	0	Plan community education forum
	0	Develop framework information materials
	0	Schedule one-on-one conversations with key elders
3. <b>Re</b> :		esource Development:
	0	Complete community asset mapping
	0	Identify potential funding sources
	0	Connect with other implementing communities
4. Polit		olitical Engagement:
	0	Research upcoming electoral opportunities
	0	Identify potential political allies
	0	Plan policy research and development
Sł	or	rt-term Goals (Next 6 months)
1. Capacity Building:		
	0	Complete Elder Ambassador training
	0	Develop democratic governance processes
	0	Build implementation skills and expertise
2. Community Development:		ommunity Development:
	0	Establish elder cooperative structure
	0	Develop community charter and agreements
	0	Build broader elder community engagement
3. Planning and Preparation:		anning and Preparation:
	0	Develop pilot implementation plan
	0	Secure necessary resources and support
	0	Build political and community support
M	ed	ium-term Goals (Next 12-18 months)
1. Implementation Preparation:		
	0	Complete pilot design and planning
	0	Secure funding and resources
	0	■ Build implementation partnerships
2. <b>Co</b>		ommunity Organization:
	0	Establish functioning elder democratic governance
	0	Develop strong community participation
	0	Build external support and alliances

# **Assessment Follow-up and Support**

## **Connecting with the Framework Network**

**Elder Ambassador Program**: Contact agingframework.org/ambassadors for leadership training opportunities

**Community Support Network**: Connect with other implementing communities through agingframework.org/connect

Resource Library: Access all implementation tools at agingframework.org/resources

Technical Assistance: Request consultation support through agingframework.org/support

# **Reassessment and Progress Tracking**

**Quarterly Review**: Retake abbreviated assessment every 3 months to track progress **Annual Full Assessment**: Complete full assessment annually to evaluate development

Peer Assessment: Exchange assessment visits with other elder communities

External Evaluation: Seek independent assessment from experienced communities

## **Documentation and Learning**

**Progress Documentation**: Keep records of capacity building activities and outcomes

Lesson Sharing: Share experiences and innovations with framework network

**Best Practice Development**: Document successful approaches for other communities **Continuous Improvement**: Regular evaluation and adaptation of development strategies

# **Cultural Adaptation Guidelines**

## **Adapting Assessment for Diverse Communities**

#### **Indigenous Communities:**

- Integrate traditional elder leadership and governance structures
- Respect cultural protocols for decision-making and community assessment
- Include traditional knowledge and environmental wisdom in asset evaluation
- Adapt democratic participation measures to cultural governance practices

#### **Immigrant and Refugee Communities:**

- Consider language barriers and translation needs
- Account for different civic participation experiences
- · Include cultural assets and transnational networks
- Adapt political engagement measures to community circumstances

#### **Rural Communities:**

- Consider geographic challenges and resource limitations
- Include agricultural and environmental knowledge assets
- Adapt technology and infrastructure assessments
- Account for different political and economic structures

#### **Urban Communities:**

- Consider diversity and demographic complexity
- Include urban resources and institutional assets
- Account for economic inequality and gentrification

Adapt community cohesion measures to urban context

# **Language and Communication Adaptations**

Multilingual Assessment: Translate assessment into community languages

Oral Assessment Options: Provide verbal assessment for communities preferring oral communication

Cultural Communication Styles: Adapt questioning approaches to cultural communication preferences

Visual Assessment Tools: Develop graphic and visual versions for diverse literacy levels

Assessment Completion: Save assessment results and action plan for future reference and progress tracking.

Next Steps: Use this assessment to guide your community's framework implementation journey, focusing on capacity building in identified areas while building on existing strengths.

Remember: Framework implementation is a long-term community development process. Use this assessment as a planning tool, not a judgment of your community's worth or potential.

The future of aging is elder-led, and every community has the potential to create regenerative aging support systems that serve elder dignity and community thriving.