

Chapter 11 Confirmation, Falsification, Underdetermination

Epistemological problems of Hypothesis Testing

the relationship between evidence/data/experiments and hypothesis is complex

problems of scientific general hypothesis:

- uncomplete confirmation for the limitation of experience
- the evidence can support other hypothesis
- irrelative facts can be regarded as postive evidence

falsification

falsification is a character for science

strict falsifiability is impossible

auxiliary hypotheses/assumptions

the new riddle of induction and projectable predicts

how to distinguish projectable predicts and unprojectable one?

logical relations is not the nature of science

the paradox of confirmation

irrelative facts are evidence for hypothesis, but unsophisticated attitude

skepticism

Bayesian approach

the new riddle of induction

Induction as a Pseudo-Problem: Poper's Gambit

Poper: Hume's problem of induction is a pseudo-problem

challenge of problrm of induction

positive instances can not confirm the hypothesis

we have no idea of what is postive instance

Poper's resopnse: the science is not a process of confirmation, but falsifying a theory and replace it with a better one

conjection and refutation

problem for falsification remian. the auxiliary hypotheses

comment: falsifiability is a description of attitude to hypotheses

history has showed the problems of falsifiability

Poper's ideas is not attractive for scientists and instrumentalists.

corroboration

quantitative property of hypotheses.

Underdtermination

problem of empirically equivalent but logically incompatible

begging question

retrospective problem

other criteria besides observation

simplicity

economy

explanatory unification

precision in prediction

consistency with other theories

theory choice: why should we choose a specific theory?

rationalist

begging question

empiricism

circular argument

a priori approach

It is hard to know the false is the evidence or the assumptions.