#### Seminar Report

# Penrose Incompleteness Theorem

# Prabha Shankar

Masters Student, Mathematical Physics (Department of Physics, Leipzig University)

Under the supervision of

Prof. Dr. Stephan Czimek

(Department of Mathematics, Leipzig University)

### Introduction

This is the placeholder for introduction.

# 1 Double Null Hypersurfaces and Foliations

This is the first chapter

## 2 Null Structure Equations

This is the second chapter

### 3 Condition for Regularity of Null Hypersurfaces

This is the third chapter

## 4 Causality for Spacetimes with Trapped Surfaces

Recall the geometric construction in the previous sections. If S is a closed 2-dimensional surface in a globally hyperbolic time-orientable spacetime  $(\mathcal{M}, g)$  and C and  $\underline{C}$  be future outgoing and incoming null geodesic congruence normal to S respectively. Then:

$$\partial \mathcal{J}^+(S) \subset C \cup \underline{C}. \tag{1}$$

We always have:

$$C \cup \underline{C} \subset \mathcal{J}^+(S). \tag{2}$$

However, it is not always the case that:

$$C \cup \underline{C} \subset \partial \mathcal{J}^+(S). \tag{3}$$

The reason for this is in case  $C \cup \underline{C}$  lies in the interior of the future of S, i.e.,  $\mathscr{J}^+(S)$  implies  $\exists$  timelike curves connecting points on  $C \cup \underline{C}$  to S and also to a neighborhood of S which is interior of  $\mathscr{J}^+(S)$ .

#### Insert connecting text here

**Proposition 4.1.** Let S be a closed 2-dimensional manifold surface in a globally hyperbolic time oriented spacetime  $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ . Let C and  $\underline{C}$  denote the (future) outgoing and incoming null geodesic congruence normal to S. Let  $C^*$  and  $\underline{C}^*$  be parts of C and  $\underline{C}$  that do not contain any focal point. Then,  $\partial \mathcal{J}^+(S) \subset C^* \cup \underline{C}^*$ .

#### 4.1 Trapped Surface

Assume  $\Omega = 1$  on  $C \cup \underline{C}$ . Consider L and  $\underline{L}$  to be the geodesic vector field of C and  $\underline{C}$  with  $\tau$  and  $\underline{\tau}$  the respective affine parameters such that  $S \equiv \{\tau = 0\} = \{\underline{\tau} = 0\} \equiv S_0$ .

#### Relationship between the area of sections $S_{\tau}$ and the second fundamental forms $\chi$ and $\underline{\chi}$ :

The area relates, as we shall see later, the det g to tr $\chi$  and helps deduce the regularity of the null hypersurfaces. We present the solutions only corresponding to the hypersurface C. The computations are same for  $\underline{C}$ .

We assume the canonical coordinates  $(\tau, \theta^1, \theta^2)$  on C, where  $(\theta^1, \theta^2) \in \mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and g is the induced metric on the sections  $S_{\tau}$  of C.

In the following, we use the first variational formula and the Jacobi formula for the derivative of a matrix determinant:

$$\nabla_{L}(\sqrt{\det g}) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\det g}} \nabla_{L}(\det g) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\det g}} (\det g) \operatorname{tr}((g^{-1})^{AB} \nabla_{L} g_{AB})$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{\det g}}{2} \operatorname{tr}((g^{-1})^{AB} \mathcal{L}_{L} g_{AB}) = \frac{\sqrt{\det g}}{2} \operatorname{tr}((g^{-1})^{AB} 2 \chi_{AB})$$

$$= \sqrt{\det g} \operatorname{tr}(\chi)$$

We know,

Area
$$(S_{\tau}) = \int_{\mathscr{U}} \sqrt{\det g(\tau)} d\theta^1 d\theta^2$$

Thus,

$$\nabla_L(\operatorname{Area}(S_{\tau})) = \int_{\mathscr{U}} \operatorname{tr} \chi \, d\mu_{\not g}.$$

More generally,

$$\nabla_{fL}(\operatorname{Area}(S_{\tau})) = \int_{\mathscr{U}} f \cdot \operatorname{tr} \chi \, d\mu_{g}, \quad \forall f \ge 0 \text{ and } f \in C^{\infty}(S_{\tau}). \tag{4}$$

**Interpretation:** The equations above represent the rate of change of the second fundamental form  $\chi$  and the rate of change of the area of  $S_{\tau}$  under infinitesimal displacement along the null generators. Therefore,  $\operatorname{tr}\chi$  is also called expansion of  $S_{\tau}$ .

**Definition 4.1 (Trapped Surfaces).** A 2-dimensional surface S in  $(\mathcal{M}, g)$  for which the area decreases under infinitesimal (arbitrary) displacements along the null generators of both null geodesics congruences  $C \cup C$  normal to S.

If  $(\mathcal{M}, g)$  is globally hyperbolic with a trapped surface, implies that  $C \cup C$  bounds the future of S, i.e.,  $\partial \mathcal{J}^+(S) \subset C \cup \underline{C}$ . Hence, it cannot expand in its future. Thus the term *trapped*. It is for this reason (3) does not always hold true.

In view of this definition and (4), for a trapped surface, the following statements holds:

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} f \cdot \operatorname{tr} \chi \ d\mu_{\not g} < 0 \,, \quad \int_{\mathcal{U}} f \cdot \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \ d\mu_{\not g} < 0 \quad \forall f \ge 0 \text{ and } f \in C^{\infty}(S_{\tau})$$

Equivalent definition for Trapped Surfaces: A trapped surface is a closed 2-dimensional surface S in a Lorentzian manifold  $(\mathcal{M}, g)$  such that:

$$tr\chi < 0$$
,  $tr\underline{\chi} < 0$ 

#### Trapped surfaces and focal points:

A null generators on an incoming null hypersurface  $\underline{C}$  contain focal points. The null expansion of an incoming null hypersurface, in our case  $\underline{C}$  is negative. The following proposition shows that these two properties are related:

**Proposition 4.2.** Assume S to be a closed two-dimensional surface (not necessarily trapped) in a Lorentzian manifold  $(\mathcal{M}, g)$  which satisfies the Einstein equation Ric(g) = 0. If  $\text{tr}\chi < 0$  at some point  $x \in S$ , then  $\exists$  a focal point on the null generator  $G_x$  of C emanating from point x. A similar result holds for C.

Proof to Proposition 4.2. Using Raychaudhari equation,

$$\overline{\chi}_4(\operatorname{tr}\chi) = -|\chi|^2 + \omega \operatorname{tr}\chi$$

and the other equation:

$$|\chi|^2 = \frac{1}{2} (\text{tr}\chi)^2 + |\hat{\chi}|^2$$

we get, the following Riccati-type equation<sup>§</sup>:

$$\nabla_L(\operatorname{tr}\chi) = -\frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{tr}\chi)^2 - |\hat{\chi}|^2 \le 0$$
 (5)

If  $T = \operatorname{tr} \chi_x = \operatorname{tr} \chi(0) < 0$ , then  $\operatorname{tr} \chi(\tau) < 0$ ,  $\forall \tau \ge 0$ .

Ignoring the term  $|\hat{\chi}|$ , yields:

$$\nabla_L \left( -\frac{1}{\operatorname{tr} \chi} \right) \leq -\frac{1}{2}$$

Thus,

$$\Rightarrow \nabla_L \left( -\frac{1}{\operatorname{tr} \chi} \right) \leq -\frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{1}{\operatorname{tr} \chi} \leq -\frac{1}{T} - \frac{\tau}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \tau_* = \frac{2}{-T} = \frac{2}{-\operatorname{tr} \chi_x}$$

We obtain  $\operatorname{tr} \chi(\tau_*) = -\infty$  and hence  $G_{x}(\tau_*)$  is the first focal point on  $G_{x}$ .

Remarks: The equality in equation (5) holds for  $Ric(L, L) = tr \alpha = 0$ . From second variational formula insert equation no., we see that Riccati-type equation similar to equation5 can be obtained for a more relaxed condition,  $tr\alpha = Ric(L, L) \ge 0$ . This is also called as the *positive null energy condition*, which is weaker than the Einstein equations. Hence, the proposition 4.2 also holds for the positive null energy condition.

<sup>¶</sup> For our case, the null lapse function,  $\Omega = 1$ , hence  $\omega = 0$ .

<sup>§</sup> Riccati-type equations have a finite-time blow-up.

### 5 Penrose Incompleteness Theorem

#### 5.1 Motivation

**Theorem 5.1 (Penrose Incompleteness Theorem).** Let  $(\mathcal{M},g)$  be a globally hyperbolic time-orientable (Hausdorff) spacetime with a non-compact Cauchy Hypersurface  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\mathcal{M}$  contains a trapped surface S. If, in addition,  $(\mathcal{M},g)$  satisfies  $Ric(L,L) \geq 0 \ \forall$  null vector fields L, then  $\mathcal{M}$  is future geodesically incomplete. In fact,  $\exists$  a null generator of  $C \cup \underline{C}$ , the future null geodesic congruences normal to S, that cannot be extended  $\forall \tau \geq 0$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Corollary 5.1.1. There are no trapped surfaces in Minkowski spacetime as it is geodesic complete.

**Definition 5.1 (Geodesic Complete).** A geodesic complete manifold implies that all its causal geodesics can be extended to arbitrary values of their affine parameters. Formally, a manifold  $(\mathcal{M}, g)$  is geodesically complete if every timelike (or null) geodesic  $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \supset (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to \mathcal{M}$ , such that  $\gamma(0) = p, \forall p$  can be extended to  $\widetilde{\gamma} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{M}$ . That is, the timelike or null geodesics can be extended from a real interval to the entire real line.

Proof to Corollary 5.1.1. In the cartesian coordinate frame for the spatial coordinates, the Minkowski metric,  $\eta$ , takes the form,  $\eta = -dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$ . The Christoffel symbols,  $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\sigma}$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\sigma = \{0,1,2,3\}$ , for this coordinate choice are all identically zero. The geodesic equation for an affinely parameterized curve,  $\gamma(\tau)$ , with  $\tau$  as the affine parameter, takes the form:

$$\frac{d^2x^{\sigma}}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma^{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} \frac{dx^{\alpha}}{d\tau} \frac{dx^{\beta}}{d\tau} = 0$$

, which reduces to  $\frac{d^2x^{\sigma}}{d\tau^2}=0$ . The general solution of such a differential equation is given by  $x^{\mu}(\tau)=A^{\mu}\tau+B^{\mu}$ , where the constants  $A^{\mu}$  and  $B^{\mu}$  can be determined based on the initial data. We see that  $x^{\mu}(\tau)$  is linear in the affine parameter and can be extended to any arbitrary value of  $\tau$ . Since this is true for any arbitrary curve in Minkowski spacetime, this completes our proof.  $\Box$ 

The proof of the Penrose Incompleteness theorem relies on two important theorems/results from differential topology. These are presented below and proof to only the second one is provided:

**Ingredient theorem (i)** A bijective continuous map from compact spaces to a Hausdorff space is a homeomorphism.

Ingredient theorem (ii) Let  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$  be an (injective) immersed topological submanifold with  $\dim(\mathcal{N}) = \dim(\mathcal{M}) = n$  such that  $\mathcal{N}$  is compact and  $\mathcal{M}$  is a Hausdorff connected non-compact topological manifold. Then  $\partial_{\min} \mathcal{N} \neq \emptyset$ , where  $\partial_{\min} \mathcal{N}$  denotes the boundary of  $\mathcal{N}$  in the sense of (topological) manifolds.

Proof to Ingredient theorem (ii) (By contradiction). Assume  $\partial_{\text{mani}} \mathcal{N} = \emptyset$   $\implies \forall x \in \mathcal{N}, \exists \delta > 0$ , such that  $B_{\delta}(x)$  homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Since,  $\dim(\mathcal{M}) = \dim(\mathcal{N})$ ,  $B_{\delta}(x)$  is also open in  $\mathcal{M}$ . By compactness, we can say that  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$  is open. However,  $\mathcal{N}$  is compact and is a subset of a Hausdorff space, hence,  $\mathcal{N}$  is closed. By connectedness,  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}$ , which is a contradiction.

We move on to the proof of the Penrose Incompleteness theorem.

Proof to the Penrose Incompleteness Theorem 5.1. From the results of Section - look into the previous section, we know that if  $\operatorname{tr} \chi_x = -k_x < 0$ ,  $x \in S = \{\tau = 0\}$ , then the first focal point of the generator  $G_x \subset C$  appears at time  $\tau = \frac{2}{k_x}$ . In view of compactness of  $S^{\dagger}$ , we have:

$$\sup_{S} \operatorname{tr} \chi = k_{C} < 0, \qquad \sup_{S} \operatorname{tr} \chi = k_{\underline{C}} < 0, \qquad \sup\{k_{C}, k_{\underline{C}}\} = k < 0 \tag{6}$$

We assume  $(\mathcal{M}, g)$  to be future null geodesically complete. We define  $\mathcal{V}$  to be the union of all the null generators of  $C \cup \underline{C}$  for which  $0 \le \tau \le 2/k$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{\substack{\tau \in \left[0, \frac{2}{k}\right], \\ x \in S}} \left(G_x(\tau), \ \underline{G}_x(\tau)\right) \tag{7}$$

(Check this bit) Based on this construction,  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{M}$ . The null generators, G and G, can be viewed as the following continuous maps:

$$\begin{split} G: S \times \left[0, \frac{2}{k}\right] &\to C \;, \qquad (x, \tau) \mapsto G_x(\tau) \\ \underline{G}: S \times \left[0, \frac{2}{k}\right] &\to \underline{C} \;, \qquad (x, \tau) \mapsto \underline{G}_x(\tau) \end{split}$$

Continuity implies that the null generators are mappings between compact sets. This implies that V is itself compact.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>A continuous function on a compact set is bounded and attains its maximum

The trace condition implies every null generator in V contains at least one focal point. Therefore, from the previous section on causality, we can say that:

$$\partial \mathcal{J}^+(S) \subseteq \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{M}. \tag{8}$$

The topological boundary  $\partial \mathcal{J}^+(S)$  is closed by definition<sup>‡</sup>. Since  $\mathcal{V}$  is compact, by 8, we conclude  $\partial \mathcal{J}^+(S)$  is compact\*\*.

We now show that global topological argument leads to a contradiction, and hence  $(\mathcal{M}, g)$  cannot be future null geodesically complete.

Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is time-orientable,  $\exists$  global timelike vector field T whose integral curves are timelike foliate of  $\mathcal{M}$  and intersect the Cauchy Hypersurface exactly once. Futhermore, the integral curves intersect  $\partial \mathcal{J}^+(S)$  exactly once, since  $\mathcal{J}^+(S)$  is future set and the topological boundary of a future set is a closed achronal three-dimensional Lipschitz submanifold without boundary where  $\partial_{\text{mani}}$  denotes the boundary in the sense of (topological) manifolds. Projection of  $\partial \mathcal{J}^+(S)$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  via the integral curve T is a continuous injective mapping from  $\partial \mathcal{J}^+(S)$  onto a subset of  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{H}$ .

From Ingredient theorem (i), we can say that  $\partial \mathcal{J}^+(S)$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathfrak{T} \subset \mathcal{H}$ . This implies that  $\mathfrak{T}$  is a Lipschitz three-dimensional compact submanifold without a boundary, i.e.,  $\partial_{\text{mani}} \mathfrak{T} \neq \emptyset$ , in the compact three-dimensional manifold  $\mathcal{H}$ . However, from Ingredient theorem (ii),  $\mathfrak{T}$  must have a non-empty boundary. This is a contradiction. Thus, our assumption that  $(\mathcal{M}, g)$  is future null geodesically complete is false.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Topological boundary of subset A of a topological space X,  $\partial A$ , is given by  $\partial A = \overline{A} \cap \overline{X \setminus A}$ .

<sup>\*\*</sup>Closed subset of a compact set is itself compact.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡‡</sup>**Proposition:** Let  $\mathscr{F}$  be a future set in a Lorentzian manifold  $\mathscr{M}$ . Then the topological boundary  $\partial \mathscr{F}$  is closed achronal three-dimensional locally Lipschitz submanifold of  $\mathscr{M}$  such that  $\partial_{\text{mani}} \partial \mathscr{F} \neq \varnothing$