I'm trying to prove Lemma 2 from the previous problems with non-termination.

We are going to be working on a system with at least the following rule

$$\frac{e_1:A\to B,\ e_2:A}{e_1\,e_2:B}$$

Assume a consistent system, i.e. one in which there is no $x : \bot$.

theorem

$$(f:P \to \bot) \to (P \text{ is uninhabited})$$

proof by contradiction:

assume P is inhabited. this means that there is at least one p:P

we can now construct

$$rac{f:P
ightarrowoldsymbol{\perp},\;p:P}{f\;p:oldsymbol{\perp}}$$

we now have an element of \perp thus the system is inconsistent.

this is a contradiction with the assumption that we are working in a consistent system.

as we have reached a contradiction with the assumption that P is inhabiated,

p must be uninhabited \square