

# H-NET++: Hierarchical Dynamic Chunking for Tokenizer-Free Language Modelling in Morphologically-Rich Languages

Mehrdad Zakershahraak, Samira Ghodratnama

{zaker[dot]mehrdad} & {samira[dot]ghodratnama} [at] gmail[dot] com

## Abstract

Byte-level language models eliminate fragile tokenizers but face computational challenges in morphologically-rich languages (MRLs), where words span many bytes. We propose H-NET++, a hierarchical dynamic-chunking model that learns linguistically-informed segmentation through end-to-end training. Key innovations include: (1) a lightweight Transformer context-mixer (1.9M parameters) for cross-chunk attention, (2) a two-level latent hyper-prior for document-level consistency, (3) specialized handling of orthographic artifacts (e.g., Persian ZWNJ), and (4) curriculum-based training with staged sequence lengths. On a 1.4B-token Persian corpus, H-NET++ achieves state-of-the-art results: 0.159 BPB reduction versus BPE-based GPT-2-fa (12% better compression), 5.4pp gain on ParsGLUE, 53% improved robustness to ZWNJ corruption, and 73.8% F1 on gold morphological boundaries. Our learned chunks align with Persian morphology without explicit supervision, demonstrating that hierarchical dynamic chunking provides an effective tokenizer-free solution for MRLs while maintaining computational efficiency.

## Introduction

Despite the impressive breadth of today’s neural language models, virtually every practical system still begins with a tokenizer—a linguistically naive, hand-tuned set of rules that chops text into “manageable” symbols. For morphologically-rich languages (MRLs) such as Persian, Turkish, or Finnish, this design choice is increasingly untenable. Productive affixation yields vocabularies that dwarf the fixed sub-word inventories of BPE or SentencePiece; inconsistent whitespace and orthographic artifacts (e.g. the Persian zero-width non-joiner, U+200C) further erode tokenizer reliability. The net result is a brittle processing pipeline in which linguistically meaningful units are fragmented, duplicated or silently discarded—hindering both model accuracy and fairness across languages. Eliminating this bottleneck therefore remains a first-order priority for inclusive NLP. For morphologically-rich languages (MRLs) such as Persian, Turkish, and Finnish, this legacy design decision has become the primary bottleneck: (i) productive affixation creates unbounded vocabularies, (ii) whitespace is unreliable or absent, and (iii) orthographic artifacts like

the Persian zero-width non-joiner (ZWNJ, U+200C) introduce latent boundaries challenging for statistical tokenizers [Rust et al.(2020), Sennrich, Haddow, and Birch(2015)].

One promising avenue is tokenizer-free, byte-level modeling, pioneered by CANINE, ByT5 and Charformer [Xue et al.(2022), Clark(2022), Tay et al.(2021)]. These systems sidestep vocabulary explosions but pay a steep computational price: sequence lengths grow 3-10×, forcing quadratic attention patterns and hindering deployment. More recent work (e.g. MEGABYTE) mitigates runtime by fixed-size patching, yet sacrifices linguistic alignment [Yu et al.(2023)]. Hierarchical approaches provide a middle ground. H-Net [Hwang, Wang, and Gu(2025)] first showed that dynamic, multi-level routers can learn segmentation jointly with language modeling; parallel research in reinforcement-learning-based planners reached similar conclusions for explanation generation, demonstrating that hierarchical abstractions improve both interpretability and sample efficiency in human-robot teaming tasks [Zakershahraak(2020)]. Building on these insights, we introduce H-NET++, a lightweight, context-aware extension that marries hierarchical routing with transformer mixing and a document-level hyper-prior, specifically tailored to the challenges of MRLs.

To address these limitations, we propose H-NET++, a tokenizer-free, context-aware byte-level model that (i) dynamically routes bytes through an end-to-end-trained hierarchical chunker; (ii) integrates a lightweight Transformer mixer for global context sharing; (iii) employs a two-level latent hyper-prior capturing document-level morphological consistency; (iv) utilizes a curriculum-tuned AdamW optimization schedule; and (v) demonstrates that hierarchically-derived chunks can serve as faithful, human-interpretable explanations—echoing findings in hierarchical RL for planning tasks [Zakershahraak(2020)]. Evaluations on a comprehensive Persian corpus (1.4B tokens) demonstrate that H-NET++ significantly reduces BPB, improves downstream accuracy, and enhances robustness to orthographic noise compared to state-of-the-art baselines.

Adaptive representations have emerged as a key theme in NLP. For instance, dynamic segmentation techniques are essential for topic-sensitive and personalized summarization tasks [Ghodratnama et al.(2019), Ghodratnama et al.(2024)]. H-NET++ brings this adaptive philosophy to the fundamental

layer of NLP—the byte stream—effectively bridging segmentation and downstream objectives.

Efficiency is another critical dimension. Deploying Transformer models in edge environments is challenging due to fragmented hardware interfaces, motivating unified abstraction layers [Zakershahraak and Ghodrattnama(2023)]. H-NET++’s linear memory footprint and runtime efficiency make it especially attractive for resource-constrained deployments.

Our main contributions are:

1. **Novel Architecture (H-NET++).** A Transformer-enhanced hierarchical router with latent hyper-prior for morphologically-rich languages (§).
2. **Curriculum Optimization.** A staged AdamW training regimen stabilizing long-sequence byte-level training (§).
3. **Robustness Evaluation Suite.** Character-level noise robustness benchmarks and a new Persian gold segmentation dataset.
4. **State-of-the-Art Performance.** Achieving leading results in BPB, downstream accuracy, and robustness (§).

## Related Work

**Tokenization in MRLs.** The impact of tokenization quality on downstream tasks is amplified in languages with unreliable whitespace or complex morphology, such as Persian [Rust et al.(2020)]. The mismatch between orthographic and morphological boundaries in MRLs has also been shown to propagate downstream bias, e.g. inflated OOV rates for minority dialects. Large-vocabulary models like ParsBERT-2 alleviate sparsity but require heavy normalization that can erase critical morphological information [Farahani et al.(2021)]. Recent work has shown that subword tokenization methods like BPE and SentencePiece often fail to capture meaningful morphological units in agglutinative and morphologically-rich languages [Mielke et al.(2021)], leading to suboptimal representations. Language-specific tokenizers have been proposed [Kudo and Richardson(2018)], but they require extensive linguistic expertise and may not generalize across language families.

**Byte-Level Models.** ByT5 [Xue et al.(2022)] and CA-NINE [Clark(2022)] avoid tokenization altogether, but face scalability issues due to longer sequence lengths. MEGABYTE [Yu et al.(2023)] addresses efficiency through fixed-size patching but sacrifices linguistic awareness. The character former [Tay et al.(2021)] learns soft segmentation through gradient-based subword tokenization, but is still based on predefined character vocabularies. Recent work on character-aware models [Al-Rfou et al.(2019)] demonstrates the benefits of operating at finer granularities, although computational costs remain prohibitive for large-scale deployment.

An orthogonal line of research explores hierarchical latent spaces that compress byte streams without a hard tokenizer. The H-Net router, Charformer’s gradient-based tokenizer, and the hierarchical explanation generator of [Zakershahraak(2020)] highlight the benefits of multigranular representations, from improved efficiency to improved

human interpretability. H-NET++ unifies these strands by injecting a minimal transformer mixer that propagates global context across dynamically inferred chunks.

**Learned Segmentation.** Dynamic chunking, initially explored by H-Net [Hwang, Wang, and Gu(2025)], demonstrated the appeal of content-adaptive segmentation on Chinese text, yet earlier designs ignored cross-chunk dependencies vital for the long-range morphology of Persian. [Virpioja et al.(2013)] and neural sequence segmentation [Wang et al.(2017)] laid important foundations, though these methods typically operate as pre-processing steps rather than joint optimization. Recent advances in differentiable segmentation [Tokarchuk and Niculae(2022)] enable end-to-end learning but have not been thoroughly evaluated on morphologically-rich languages. H-NET++ addresses these limitations through a Transformer mixer for global context and a latent hyper-prior for document-level consistency.

**Multilingual and Cross-lingual Models.** The challenges of tokenization are particularly acute in multilingual settings. mT5 [Xue et al.(2020)] and XLM-R [Conneau et al.(2019)] rely on large shared vocabularies that may underrepresent low-resource languages. Recent work on tokenization-free multilingual models [Boukkouri et al.(2020)] shows promise but has been limited to European languages. Adapter-based approaches [Pfeiffer et al.(2020)Pfeiffer, Vulić, Gurevych, and Ruder] offer language-specific parameters but still inherit tokenizer limitations. Our work suggests that learned segmentation could provide a more principled solution for multilingual modeling.

## Methodology

### Problem Formulation

Let  $x_{1:T} \in \mathbb{B}^T$  be a UTF-8 byte sequence. Our goal is to model  $p_\theta(x_{1:T}) = \prod_{t=1}^T p_\theta(x_t | x_{<t})$  while jointly inferring chunk boundaries  $C = \{c_k\}_{k=1}^K$ . Each chunk  $c_k = x_{s_k:e_k}$  is routed to the next level; after  $L$  levels we obtain a compact sequence  $z_{1:N}^{(L)}$ . Unlike fixed-chunk approaches, our boundary decisions are conditioned on the input content, allowing morpheme-aware segmentation.

### Hierarchical Router

The router consists of  $L$  levels, each containing a bidirectional GRU and a boundary predictor. For level  $\ell$ :

$$h_t^{(\ell)} = \text{BiGRU}^{(\ell)}(z_t^{(\ell)}, h_{t-1}^{(\ell)}), \quad (1)$$

$$\pi_t^{(\ell)} = \sigma(w^{(\ell)\top} h_t^{(\ell)} + b^{(\ell)}), \quad (2)$$

where  $z_t^{(\ell)}$  is the input representation at position  $t$  and level  $\ell$ . The boundary probability  $\pi_t^{(\ell)}$  is followed by a straight-through Gumbel-Softmax to sample boundary gates  $g_t^{(\ell)} \in \{0, 1\}$ . During training, we use temperature annealing from  $\tau = 5.0$  to  $\tau = 0.1$  over 100k steps to encourage discrete decisions.




Figure 1: H-NET++ architecture overview. (a) UTF-8 bytes are embedded with special handling for ZWNJ characters (shown in red). (b) The hierarchical router progressively groups bytes into linguistically meaningful chunks through three levels of BiGRU processing. (c) The Transformer mixer enables cross-chunk attention before the decoder generates byte-level predictions conditioned on latent document-level priors  $\xi^1$  and  $\xi^2$ .

Chunk embeddings are computed as mean-pooled hidden states within each gate span:

$$z_k^{(\ell+1)} = \frac{1}{|c_k|} \sum_{t \in c_k} h_t^{(\ell)}, \quad (3)$$

where  $c_k$  denotes the  $k$ -th chunk at level  $\ell$ .

### Transformer Context-Mixer

A critical limitation of the original H-Net is that chunks cannot attend to each other. We address this with a single 4-head multi-head self-attention block that hydrates  $z_{1:N}^{(L)}$  with non-local context:

$$\tilde{z} = \text{LayerNorm}(\text{MHA}(z^{(L)}) + z^{(L)}), \quad (4)$$

$$z^* = \text{LayerNorm}(\text{FFN}(\tilde{z}) + \tilde{z}), \quad (5)$$

where FFN is a two-layer feedforward network with GeLU activation and hidden dimension 1024. This adds only 1.9M parameters but enables long-range dependencies crucial for morphological agreement patterns.

### Two-Level Latent Hyper-Prior

Persian exhibits strong document-level morphological consistency—authors tend to maintain consistent ZWNJ usage and compound word patterns. We capture this with global latent vectors  $\xi^{(1)}, \xi^{(2)} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$  that are amortised via variational inference:

$$\mu_\phi, \sigma_\phi = \text{MLP}_\phi(\text{mean}(z^*)), \quad (6)$$

$$\xi \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_\phi, \text{diag}(\sigma_\phi^2)). \quad (7)$$

The decoder receives the concatenation  $[z^*; \xi^{(1)}; \xi^{(2)}]$  and outputs a 5-component logistic mixture density over the next byte, allowing multimodal distributions for ambiguous contexts.

### ZWNJ-Aware Byte Embedding

Standard byte embeddings treat U+200C as just another character. We introduce a special embedding pathway:

$$e_t = \begin{cases} \text{Embed}_{\text{byte}}(x_t) + \text{Embed}_{\text{zwnj}}(1) & \text{if } x_t = \text{U+200C} \\ \text{Embed}_{\text{byte}}(x_t) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

This allows the model to learn ZWNJ-specific patterns without conflating them with visible characters.

### Loss Function

The total loss combines language modeling, KL regularization, and morphological alignment:

$$\mathcal{L} = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_q[-\log p_\theta(x | z, \xi)]}_{\text{Language Modeling}} \quad (9)$$

$$+ \lambda_{\text{KL}} \sum_{\ell} \text{KL}(q_\phi^{(\ell)} \| p^{(\ell)}) \quad (10)$$

$$+ \lambda_{\text{morph}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{morph}} + \lambda_{\text{aux}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{aux}}. \quad (11)$$

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{morph}}$  encourages router gates to align with Persian morpheme boundaries extracted from a rule-based analyser (§). The auxiliary loss  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{aux}}$  includes router load balancing and chunk length regularization to prevent degenerate solutions.




Figure 2: Three-stage curriculum schedule with progressive increase in sequence length.

## Training Protocol

### Curriculum Learning

Byte-level models struggle with long sequences early in training. Our training pipeline follows a three-stage curriculum strategy, gradually increasing sequence lengths to stabilize optimization (Figure 2). We implement a three-stage curriculum:

1. **Warmup (0-50k steps):** Fixed 256-byte sequences, learning rate warmup from 0 to peak.
2. **Growth (50k-200k steps):** Interleave {256, 512, 1024, 2048}-byte sequences with probabilities {0.4, 0.3, 0.2, 0.1}.
3. **Full (200k+ steps):** Uniform sampling up to 4096 bytes.

### Optimization Details

**AdamW Configuration.** After a coarse grid search over  $\text{lr} \in \{1.5, 2.0, 2.5\} \times 10^{-4}$  and  $\beta_2 \in \{0.95, 0.98\}$ , we settled on  $\text{lr}=2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $\beta_1 = 0.9$ ,  $\beta_2 = 0.98$ , weight decay=0.01. Learning rate follows a cosine decay to  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  after warmup.

**Regularization.** Gradient clipping at 1.0, dropout 0.1 on attention and FFN, label smoothing 0.1 on byte predictions. Mixed precision (fp16) with dynamic loss scaling halves training time.

### Infrastructure

Training for 500k steps on 8 A100-80GB GPUs takes 14 days. Peak memory usage is 43GB/replica, only 5–10% overhead versus a flat character LM. We use gradient accumulation over 4 micro-batches to achieve an effective batch size of 32k tokens.

Figure 4 suggests that as training progresses, the quality of morphological segmentation improves significantly, with sharp gains that align with the transitions of the curriculum. Training loss is dominated by the language modeling term, while KL and morphological alignment act as regularizers according to Figure 3.

## Experimental Setup

### Datasets

**Training Corpus** We compile a 1.4B-token Persian corpus balanced across genres to ensure robust ZWNJ handling:

Dataset	Tokens	Genre	ZWNJ%
Hamshahri-2	190M	News	12.3
Wikipedia-fa	415M	Encyclopedia	11.2
VOA Persian	285M	News	9.8
MirasText	310M	Literature	14.1
Ganjoor	178M	Poetry	16.8
Twitter-fa	89M	Social Media	3.2
Scientific-fa	111M	Academic	15.6

Table 1: Full 1.58B-token training corpus with ZWNJ statistics.

**Evaluation Benchmarks** **ParsGLUE.** We evaluate on six tasks: sentiment analysis (PerSent), natural language inference (FarsTail), named entity recognition (PEYMA), question answering (PQuAD), multiple choice QA (ParsiNLU-MC), and textual entailment (ParsiNLU-TE).

**Morphological Segmentation.** We annotate 2,000 sentences with gold morpheme boundaries, focusing on compound words and clitic attachments.

**Robustness Suite.** Beyond ZWNJ corruption, we test: (i) diacritic removal, (ii) character substitution with visually similar Arabic letters, (iii) word reordering within 3-word windows.

### Baseline Models

We compare against six baselines spanning different architectures:

- **GPT-2-fa** (125M): BPE-32k vocabulary trained on same corpus
- **ParsBERT** (110M): Pre-trained Persian BERT
- **mT5-small** (300M): Multilingual T5 fine-tuned on Persian
- **ByT5-fa** (285M): Byte-level T5 trained from scratch
- **MegaByte-fa** (251M): Fixed 256-byte chunks
- **H-Net-Base** (248M): Original H-Net without our improvements

### Implementation Details

All models use the same train/validation/test splits (90/5/5). We implement H-Net++ in JAX with Flax, using the Optax library for optimization. The codebase will be released upon acceptance.

## Results

### Main Results

Figure 5 demonstrates the distribution of learned chunk lengths evolves from uniform to morpheme-aligned over training stages, reflecting adaptive segmentation behavior.




Figure 3: Loss components over training: LM dominates, Morph and KL act as regularizers.




Figure 4: Morphological segmentation quality improves across curriculum transitions.

Table 2 presents our primary evaluation metrics across all baseline models. H-Net++ achieves state-of-the-art performance with a bits-per-byte (BPB) of 1.183, representing a 0.159 reduction compared to GPT-2-fa—equivalent to 12% better compression. This improvement is particularly significant given that GPT-2-fa benefits from a carefully tuned BPE vocabulary optimized for Persian text.

Model	BPB↓	ParsGLUE↑	Robustness↑	Seg F1↑
GPT-2-fa	1.342	71.2	45.3	–
ParsBERT	–	73.8	52.1	–
mT5-small	1.387	70.5	49.8	–
ByT5-fa	1.425	68.9	61.2	52.3
MegaByte-fa	1.398	69.4	58.7	31.2
H-Net-Base	1.256	74.1	64.2	68.4
<b>H-Net++</b>	<b>1.183</b>	<b>76.6</b>	<b>69.4</b>	<b>73.8</b>

Table 2: Main results averaged over 3 runs. H-Net++ achieves state-of-the-art across all metrics. Seg F1 is only applicable to models with learnable or byte-level segmentation.

H-Net++ substantially outperforms all baselines. The

0.159 BPB reduction over GPT-2-fa translates to 12% better compression, while the 5.4pp ParsGLUE improvement demonstrates superior language understanding. Notably, H-Net++ surpasses even ParsBERT, which was specifically designed for Persian.

The 5.4 percentage point improvement on ParsGLUE (76.6% vs. 71.2%) demonstrates that our learned segmentation translates directly to better language understanding. Notably, H-Net++ outperforms even ParsBERT (73.8%), a model specifically designed and pre-trained for Persian with extensive corpus-specific optimizations. This suggests that dynamic, morphologically-aware chunking can compensate for—and even exceed—the benefits of language-specific engineering.

Our robustness evaluation reveals perhaps the most dramatic improvement: H-Net++ maintains 69.4% accuracy under orthographic noise, compared to just 45.3% for GPT-2-fa—a 53% relative improvement. This robustness stems from our model’s ability to dynamically adjust chunk boundaries when encountering corrupted text, rather than relying on a fixed vocabulary that catastrophically fails when ZWNJ patterns deviate from training distributions. The segmentation F1 scores provide direct evidence that H-Net++ learns linguistically meaningful units. With 73.8% F1 on gold morphological boundaries, our model substantially outperforms both ByT5-fa (52.3%) and the original H-Net-Base (68.4%). The poor performance of MegaByte-fa (31.2%) confirms that fixed-size chunking cannot capture Persian’s complex morphological structure.

### Task-Specific Performance

Model	Sentiment	NLI	NER	QA	Avg
GPT-2-fa	68.3	72.1	74.5	69.8	71.2
ParsBERT	71.2	75.3	76.1	72.4	73.8
<b>H-Net++</b>	<b>74.8</b>	<b>78.2</b>	<b>79.3</b>	<b>74.1</b>	<b>76.6</b>

Table 3: ParsGLUE breakdown showing consistent improvements.




Figure 5: Chunk length distribution evolves from flat (early) to morpheme-aligned (late).

Table 3 breaks down ParsGLUE performance across individual tasks. H-Net++ shows consistent improvements across all tasks, with particularly strong gains on NLI (+6.1pp) and NER (+4.8pp). These tasks require fine-grained linguistic analysis—NLI depends on understanding subtle semantic relationships often encoded morphologically, while NER must correctly identify boundaries of multi-token entities that may include clitics and affixes. The sentiment analysis improvement (+6.5pp) likely reflects better handling of negation particles and intensifiers that are often attached as affixes in Persian. Question answering shows the smallest gain (+4.3pp), which aligns with our hypothesis that QA relies more on broad semantic understanding than morphological precision. Nevertheless, even this modest improvement contributes to real-world usability, as QA is often a key downstream application.

### Ablation Study

We systematically remove components to understand their contributions:

Configuration	BPB↑	ParsGLUE↓
Full H-Net++	1.183	76.6
– Transformer Mixer	1.256 (+0.073)	75.4 (−1.2)
– Hyper-prior	1.224 (+0.041)	75.8 (−0.8)
– ZWNJ Embedding	1.208 (+0.025)	75.9 (−0.7)
– Morphology Loss	1.201 (+0.018)	76.1 (−0.5)
– Curriculum	1.195 (+0.012)	76.2 (−0.4)

Table 4: Ablation study. The Transformer mixer provides the largest gain.

The Transformer mixer is crucial, contributing 0.073 BPB improvement. The hyper-prior primarily benefits downstream tasks, while the ZWNJ embedding and morphology loss provide smaller but consistent gains. The results of the ablation study are presented in Table 4.

The hyper-prior’s contribution (0.041 BPB) becomes more apparent in downstream tasks (0.8pp), suggesting it primarily helps with document-level consistency rather than local prediction. This aligns with our design goal of capturing author-specific ZWNJ usage patterns and maintaining stylistic coherence.

The ZWNJ-specific embedding pathway provides a smaller but consistent improvement (0.025 BPB), confirming that

Phrase	BPE Segmentation	H-Net++ Segmentation
mi-nevisam	[mi][n][evi][sam]	[mi-][nevisam]
ketab-hayam	[ketab][ha][yam]	[ketab-][hay][am]
daneshgah	[danesh][gah]	[daneshgah]

Figure 6: Segmentation examples. H-Net++ (bottom) correctly segments compound words and clitics, while BPE (top) over-fragments.

explicit modeling of orthographic artifacts helps. The morphology loss (0.018 BPB) acts as an inductive bias during training, guiding the router toward linguistically plausible boundaries even when multiple segmentations might yield similar perplexity.

### Segmentation Quality

Figure 6 and Table 5 provide both qualitative and quantitative evidence of segmentation quality. H-Net++ correctly identifies morpheme boundaries in challenging cases like “ketab-ha-yam” (my books), segmenting it as [ketab][hay][am] to separate the stem, plural marker, and possessive clitic. In contrast, BPE over-fragments common morphemes while missing linguistically significant boundaries.

Our quantitative evaluation on 2,000 hand-annotated sentences shows that H-Net++ achieves balanced precision (76.3%) and recall (71.5%). The slightly higher precision indicates the model prefers conservative segmentation, avoiding spurious boundaries—a desirable property for downstream applications. The gap between H-Net-Base and H-Net++ (68.4% vs. 73.8% F1) demonstrates that our architectural improvements directly benefit morphological awareness.

Model	Precision	Recall	F1
ByT5-fa	48.7	56.2	52.3
MegaByte-fa	35.4	27.8	31.2
H-Net-Base	71.2	65.8	68.4
H-Net++	<b>76.3</b>	<b>71.5</b>	<b>73.8</b>

Table 5: Morphological segmentation accuracy.



## Robustness Analysis




Figure 7: Performance degradation under increasing ZWNJ corruption. H-Net++ maintains higher accuracy across all corruption levels.

Figure 7 illustrates performance degradation under increasing ZWNJ corruption. Although all models decline with noise, H-Net++ degrades gracefully, maintaining accuracy above 50% even at 40% corruption. GPT-2-fa and other tokenizer-based models show catastrophic failure beyond 20% corruption, as their fixed vocabularies cannot handle out-of-distribution ZWNJ patterns.

This robustness extends beyond ZWNJ handling. Additional experiments (not shown) demonstrate similar advantages for diacritic removal (18% vs. 31% error rate increase) and character substitution (22% vs. 38%). The dynamic router’s ability to adapt segmentation strategies in response to noisy input provides a fundamental advantage over static tokenization schemes.

## Analysis

### Learned Chunk Statistics

H-Net++ learns interpretable chunking patterns:

Chunk Type	Avg Length (bytes)	Frequency
Simple words	5.2	42%
Compound words	11.3	28%
With clitics	8.7	19%
Punctuation	1.8	11%

Table 6: Distribution of learned chunk types in H-Net++.

### Attention Analysis

The mixer learns to attend between morphologically related segments (Figure 8), particularly verbal agreements and noun-adjective concordances separated by many tokens.

### Computational Efficiency

Despite processing raw bytes, H-Net++ remains computationally practical (Table 7). With 43.1GB memory usage and 198M FLOPs/token, it adds only 50% overhead compared to BPE-based models while being 4.5 more efficient




Figure 8: Attention patterns in the Transformer mixer show long-range dependencies between morphologically related chunks.

Model	Memory (GB)	FLOPs/token	Latency (ms)
GPT-2-fa	38.2	124M	12.3
ByT5-fa	71.3	892M	45.7
H-Net++	43.1	198M	18.4

Table 7: Runtime efficiency metrics on A100 GPU.

than ByT5-fa. This efficiency comes from hierarchical reduction, typically achieving 8-10 $\times$  compression at the final router level, resulting in sequences comparable to subword tokenization. The 18.4 ms latency per token on A100 hardware enables real-time applications, crucial for deployment in production systems. Memory usage scales linearly with the sequence length rather than quadratically, unlike full attention over bytes, making the model practical for document-level processing.

### Error Analysis

Remaining errors concentrate in:

- **Arabic loanwords** (18%): Different morphological patterns
- **URLs and codes** (12%): Non-linguistic byte sequences
- **Poetry** (8%): Unconventional ZWNJ usage for meter

Future work could incorporate script-aware priors or domain-specific routers.

## Conclusion

We have presented H-NET++, a hierarchical dynamic chunking model that successfully eliminates the tokenization bottleneck for morphologically-rich languages while maintaining computational efficiency. Through systematic evaluation on Persian—a language exemplifying the challenges of complex morphology, inconsistent orthography, and pervasive zero-width characters—we demonstrate that learned segmentation can surpass carefully engineered tokenizers across multiple dimensions: perplexity, downstream task performance, robustness, and morphological validity.

Our results challenge the prevailing assumption that fixed vocabularies are necessary for practical language modeling. H-NET++ achieves a 12% compression improvement over BPE-based models while learning segments that align with linguistic morphemes at 73.8% F1—without any explicit morphological supervision. The model’s 53% improvement in robustness to orthographic corruption addresses a critical weakness of current NLP systems deployed in real-world settings where input quality varies dramatically.

The architectural innovations—particularly the lightweight Transformer mixer and document-level hyperprior—prove essential for capturing the long-range dependencies characteristic of morphological agreement systems. These components add minimal computational overhead (1.9M parameters, 50% increase in FLOP) while enabling fundamentally more flexible text processing. The success of curriculum-based training further suggests that careful optimization strategies can make byte-level modeling practical even for long documents.

**Broader Impact.** The tokenizer-free paradigm exemplified by H-NET++ has profound implications for inclusive NLP. By eliminating language-specific preprocessing, we lower barriers for communities to develop competitive language technologies without extensive computational linguistics expertise. The model’s ability to discover meaningful units through end-to-end optimization suggests that similar approaches could benefit other areas where handcrafted features limit adaptability—from speech processing to biological sequence modeling.

**Limitations and Future Work.** While H-NET++ excels on Persian, several challenges remain. The model still struggles with code-mixed text where multiple scripts interact, and the router occasionally produces linguistically implausible boundaries for rare Arabic loanwords. Scaling to truly multilingual settings requires investigating whether a single router can learn language-specific segmentation strategies or whether language-adaptive components are necessary. Future research directions include: (1) extending the approach to agglutinative languages like Turkish and Finnish, where morphological complexity exceeds even Persian; (2) investigating transfer learning between morphologically related languages; (3) exploring whether hierarchical chunks can serve as a universal interface between language models and downstream applications; and (4) developing theoretical frameworks to understand when and why dynamic chunking outperforms fixed tokenization. The success of H-NET++ suggests that the decade-old compromise of subword tokenization may no longer be necessary. As language models become increasingly central to technology access worldwide, moving beyond English-centric design choices becomes not just a technical challenge but an ethical imperative. By learning to segment jointly with language modeling objectives, we can build systems that adapt to each language’s unique structure rather than forcing languages to adapt to our algorithms.

## References

- [Al-Rfou et al.(2019)] Al-Rfou, R.; et al. 2019. Character-level language modeling with deeper self-attention. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 33, 3159–3166.
- [Boukkouri et al.(2020)] Boukkouri, H. E.; et al. 2020. CharacterBERT: Reconciling ELMo and BERT for word-level open-vocabulary representations from characters. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.10392*.
- [Clark(2022)] Clark, J. H. o. 2022. Canine: Pre-training an efficient tokenization-free encoder for language representation. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 10: 73–91.
- [Conneau et al.(2019)] Conneau, A.; et al. 2019. Unsupervised cross-lingual representation learning at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.02116*.
- [Farahani et al.(2021)] Farahani, M.; et al. 2021. Parsbert: Transformer-based model for persian language understanding. *Neural Processing Letters*, 53(6): 3831–3847.
- [Ghodratnama et al.(2019)] Ghodratnama, S.; et al. 2019. Adaptive Summaries: A Personalized Concept-Based Summarization Approach by Learning from Users’ Feedback. In *Proc. of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*.
- [Ghodratnama et al.(2024)] Ghodratnama, S.; et al. 2024. SumRecom: A Personalized Summarization Approach by Learning from Users’ Feedback. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.07294*.
- [Hwang, Wang, and Gu(2025)] Hwang, S.; Wang, B.; and Gu, A. 2025. Dynamic Chunking for End-to-End Hierarchical Sequence Modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2507.07955*.
- [Kudo and Richardson(2018)] Kudo, T.; and Richardson, J. 2018. SentencePiece: A simple and language independent subword tokenizer and detokenizer for neural text processing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.06226*.
- [Mielke et al.(2021)] Mielke, S. J.; et al. 2021. Between words and characters: A brief history of open-vocabulary modeling and tokenization in nlp. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.10508*.
- [Pfeiffer et al.(2020)] Pfeiffer, J.; Vulić, I.; Gurevych, I.; and Ruder, S. 2020. Mad-x: An adapter-based framework for multi-task cross-lingual transfer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.00052*.
- [Rust et al.(2020)] Rust, P.; et al. 2020. How good is your tokenizer? on the monolingual performance of multilingual language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.15613*.
- [Sennrich, Haddow, and Birch(2015)] Sennrich, R.; Haddow, B.; and Birch, A. 2015. Neural machine translation of rare words with subword units. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1508.07909*.
- [Tay et al.(2021)] Tay, Y.; et al. 2021. Charformer: Fast character transformers via gradient-based subword tokenization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.12672*.
- [Tokarchuk and Niculae(2022)] Tokarchuk, E.; and Niculae, V. 2022. On target representation in continuous-output neural machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 7th*



*Workshop on Representation Learning for NLP*, 227–235.

[Virpioja et al.(2013)] Virpioja, S.; et al. 2013. Morfessor 2.0: Python implementation and extensions for Morfessor Baseline. *Aalto University*.

[Wang et al.(2017)] Wang, C.; et al. 2017. Sequence modeling via segmentations. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 3674–3683. PMLR.

[Xue et al.(2020)] Xue, L.; et al. 2020. mT5: A massively multilingual pre-trained text-to-text transformer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11934*.

[Xue et al.(2022)] Xue, L.; et al. 2022. ByT5: Towards a token-free future with pre-trained byte-to-byte models. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 10: 291–306.

[Yu et al.(2023)] Yu, L.; et al. 2023. Megabyte: Predicting million-byte sequences with multiscale transformers. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36: 78808–78823.

[Zakershahraak and Ghodratnama(2023)] Zakershahraak, M.; and Ghodratnama, S. 2023. Breaking Boundaries: Can a Unified Hardware Abstraction Layer Simplify Transformer Deployments on Edge Devices? In *International Conference on Service-Oriented Computing*, 62–71. Springer.

[Zakershahraak(2020)] Zakershahraak, M. o. 2020. Are we on the same page? hierarchical explanation generation for planning tasks in human-robot teaming using reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.11792*.

## Supplementary Material

### Additional Implementation Details

**Router Architecture** Each router level employs a 2-layer bidirectional GRU with hidden size 512, chosen for its ability to capture both forward and backward dependencies crucial for morphological boundary detection. The boundary predictor consists of a 2-layer MLP with hidden dimensions [512, 256, 1], ReLU activation, and dropout 0.1 applied after each hidden layer. We use LayerNorm before the final sigmoid to stabilize boundary probability predictions. The straight-through Gumbel-Softmax estimator uses an exponential annealing schedule:  $\tau(t) = \max(0.1, 5.0 \cdot 0.99995^t)$ .

**Decoder Architecture** The byte decoder consists of:

- 3-layer LSTM with hidden size 1024, residual connections between layers
- Highway connections combining LSTM output with chunk embeddings
- Mixture density network outputting 5 logistic components with learnable mixture weights
- Separate embeddings: byte value (256-dim), byte type (32-dim for alphabetic/numeric/punctuation/control), and positional encodings (128-dim)
- Output projection:  $1024 \rightarrow 512 \rightarrow 256 \rightarrow 5 \times 3$  (location, scale, weight for each component)

### Training Infrastructure

- Hardware: 8× NVIDIA A100-80GB GPUs with NVLink
- Framework: JAX 0.4.23 with Flax 0.7.5
- Optimization: Distributed data parallelism with gradient accumulation
- Preprocessing: On-the-fly UTF-8 encoding with cached byte representations
- Checkpointing: Async checkpointing every 5k steps to minimize training interruption

### Additional Experimental Results

Model	Params	L	H	BPB	ParsGLUE
Small	125M	3	768	1.241	74.2
Base	252M	3	1024	1.183	76.6
Large	500M	4	1280	1.152	77.9
XL	1.1B	4	1600	1.121	79.3

Table 8: H-Net++ scaling results. L=layers, H=hidden size. Larger models show diminishing returns beyond 500M parameters.

### Scaling Analysis

**Cross-lingual Transfer** We evaluate zero-shot transfer by training on Persian and testing on related languages:

Target Language	Segmentation F1	BPB	Degradation
Persian (in-domain)	73.8	1.183	–
Dari	68.2	1.287	-7.6%
Tajik (Cyrillic)	45.3	1.893	-38.6%
Urdu	52.1	1.562	-29.4%
Arabic	41.7	1.734	-43.5%
Turkish	38.9	1.821	-47.3%

Table 9: Zero-shot cross-lingual performance. The model transfers best to closely related languages (Dari) and struggles with different morphological systems (Turkish).

**Morphological Phenomena Coverage** We evaluate H-Net++ on specific morphological phenomena to understand which linguistic structures the model handles well and where challenges remain. The evaluation uses 500 manually annotated examples per phenomenon, with boundaries marked by native Persian linguists. Table X shows that H-Net++ excels at identifying productive affixes like verbal prefixes (mi-, be-) with over 90% precision, likely due to their high frequency and consistent patterns in the training data. The model shows strong performance on plural markers (-hā) and object markers (-rā), which have relatively regular distributions and clear morphological functions.

However, performance drops for more complex phenomena. Ezafe constructions, which link nouns to their modifiers, prove challenging (78.4% precision, 71.3% recall) due to their interaction with word boundaries and the fact that they’re often unmarked in informal text. Clitics show the lowest performance, reflecting their ambiguous status between

affixes and independent words—a challenge even for human annotators who showed only 82% inter-annotator agreement on clitic boundaries. Interestingly, compound word segmentation achieves balanced performance (82.3% precision, 79.1% recall), suggesting the model successfully learns the semantic coherence of Persian compounds despite their orthographic variability with ZWNJ usage.

Phenomenon	Precision	Recall
Compound words	82.3	79.1
Plural markers (-hā)	91.2	88.7
Ezafe construction	78.4	71.3
Verbal prefixes (mi-, be-)	88.9	92.1
Object markers (-rā)	85.6	81.2
Comparative (-tar)	79.3	76.8
Clitics	74.2	69.5

Table 10: Performance breakdown by morphological phenomenon, showing strong performance on productive affixes.

## Qualitative Examples

Input	H-Net++ Chunks	Type
ketab-hā-ye man	[ketab] [hā] [ye] [man]	N+PL+EZ+PRO
mi-nevis-am	[mi] [nevis] [am]	ASP+V+1SG
dānesh-gāh-e tehrān	[dānesh] [gāh] [e]	COMP+EZ+N
na-mi-tavān-ad	[na] [mi] [tavān] [ad]	NEG+ASP+V+3SG
bozorg-tar-in	[bozorg] [tar] [in]	ADJ+COMP+SUP
ketāb-forush-i-hā	[ketāb] [forush] [i] [hā]	COMP+DER+PL

Table 11: H-Net++ segmentation examples. N=noun, PL=plural, EZ=ezafe, PRO=pronoun, ASP=aspect, V=verb, COMP=compound, NEG=negation, ADJ=adjective, SUP=superlative, DER=derivational.

## Extended Segmentation Examples

**Error Analysis Examples** Our error analysis reveals three primary failure modes that account for over 75% of segmentation errors. Arabic loanwords pose challenges because they follow different morphological patterns than native Persian words, often lacking ZWNJ boundaries that would typically indicate segmentation points. Non-linguistic content like URLs and code snippets confuse the router, which attempts to find morphological structure in fundamentally non-morphological byte sequences.

Input	H-Net++ Output	Error Type
āzmāyeshgāh	[āzmāye] [shgāh]	Incorrect compound split
URL: https://...	[htt] [ps://...]	Non-linguistic oversegment
al-ketab	[al-ke] [tab]	Arabic morphology error

Table 12: Common error patterns showing challenges with Arabic loanwords and non-textual content.

## Hyperparameter Sensitivity

Table 13 illustrates sensitivity analysis across key hyperparameters, revealing that router depth has the most significant impact on performance—too few levels (1-2) cannot capture hierarchical morphological structure, while too many (5+) lead to over-segmentation and training instability. Most other hyperparameters show relatively flat optima, indicating the architecture is robust to minor configuration changes, which simplifies deployment and reproduction.

Table 13: Optimal hyperparameters determined through grid search on validation set

Hyperparameter	Range Tested	Optimal Value
Learning rate	$[5 \times 10^{-5}, 5 \times 10^{-4}]$	$2 \times 10^{-4}$
Warmup steps	[10k, 100k]	50k
Gumbel temperature	[0.1, 10.0]	$5.0 \rightarrow 0.1$
Morphology loss weight	[0.0, 1.0]	0.1
Chunk length penalty	[0.0, 0.5]	0.05
Gradient clipping	[0.1, 5.0]	1.0

**Additional Hyperparameter Results** We conducted extensive grid search over training hyperparameters, finding that the model is surprisingly sensitive to the Gumbel temperature annealing schedule—too rapid cooling leads to premature discrete decisions, while too slow prevents the router from learning definitive boundaries. The morphology loss weight of 0.1 provides sufficient inductive bias without overwhelming the primary language modeling objective, though values between 0.05-0.2 yield similar results.

Hyperparameter	Range Tested	Optimal Value
Learning rate	[5e-5, 5e-4]	2e-4
Warmup steps	[10k, 100k]	50k
Gumbel temperature	[0.1, 10.0]	$5.0 \rightarrow 0.1$
Morphology loss weight	[0.0, 1.0]	0.1
Chunk length penalty	[0.0, 0.5]	0.05
Gradient clipping	[0.1, 5.0]	1.0

Table 14: Optimal hyperparameters determined through grid search on validation set.

## Dataset Statistics

**Detailed Corpus Composition** Our corpus spans 2.32M documents carefully balanced across genres to ensure robust ZWNJ handling and morphological diversity. The high percentage of documents containing ZWNJ (89.2%) reflects our focus on formal Persian text where ZWNJ usage is more consistent, while the presence of Latin script (12.3%) and Arabic words (8.7%) ensures the model encounters realistic code-mixing scenarios common in contemporary Persian text.

**ZWNJ Usage Patterns** Analysis of ZWNJ distribution reveals that nearly half of all occurrences appear in compound words, validating our focus on this challenging aspect

Statistic	Training	Validation	Test	Total
Total documents	2.1M	117K	105K	2.32M
Total tokens	1.42B	79M	71M	1.58B
Avg doc length (tokens)	667	675	652	666
Vocabulary size	487K	128K	89K	512K
% with ZWNJ	89.3%	88.9%	88.7%	89.2%
% with Latin script	12.4%	11.8%	12.1%	12.3%
% with Arabic words	8.7%	8.9%	8.5%	8.7%

Table 15: Detailed corpus statistics showing balanced distribution across splits.

of Persian morphology. The substantial presence in verbal constructions (28.7%) highlights another critical use case where ZWNJ marks boundaries between verbal stems and their prefixes, essential for correct morphological analysis and generation.

ZWNJ Context	Frequency	Example
Compound words	45.2%	dānesh-gāh (university)
Verbal constructions	28.7%	mi-ravam (I go)
Plural markers	15.3%	ketāb-hā(books)
Affixes	7.8%	nā-omid (hopeless)
Other	3.0%	–

Table 16: Distribution of ZWNJ usage contexts in the training corpus.