Multi-Class $\hat{p}_k = e^{\hat{f}_k(x)} / \sum_{i=1}^K e^{\hat{f}_j(x)}$ The optimal solution is given by $z_i = w^{\top} x_i$. It holds that $\mathbb{E}_D[\hat{R}_D(\hat{f})] \leq R(\hat{f})$. We call $R(\hat{f})$ approximate any arbitrary smooth target func-**Linear Classifiers** Substituting gives us: tion, with 1+ layer with sufficient width. the generalization error. $f(x) = w^{\top}x$, the decision boundary f(x) = 0. $\hat{w} = \operatorname{argmax}_{||w||_2=1} w^{\top} \Sigma w$ **Forward Propagation** Bias Variance Tradeoff: If data is lin. sep., grad. desc. converges to Pred. error = $Bias^2$ + Variance + NoiseInput: $v^{(0)} = [x; 1]$ Output: $f = W^{(L)}v^{(L-1)}$ Where $\Sigma = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i x_i^{\top}$ is the empirical covari-**Maximum-Margin Solution:** $\mathbb{E}_D[R(f)] = \mathbb{E}_x[f^*(x) - \mathbb{E}_D[\hat{f}_D(x)]]^2$ Hidden: $z^{(l)} = W^{(l)}v^{(l-1)}, v^{(l)} = [\varphi(z^{(l)}); 1]$ ance. Closed form solution given by the princi $w_{\text{MM}} = \operatorname{argmax} \operatorname{margin}(w) \text{ with } ||w||_2 = 1$ $+\mathbb{E}_{x}[\mathbb{E}_{D}[(\hat{f}_{D}(x)-\mathbb{E}_{D}[\hat{f}_{D}(x)])^{2}]]+\sigma$ pal eigenvector of Σ , i.e. $w = v_1$ for $\lambda_1 \ge \cdots \ge$ Backpropagation Where margin(w) = min_i $y_i w^{\top} x_i$. **Bias**: how close \hat{f} can get to f^* $\lambda_d \geq 0$: $\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i v_i v_i^{\top}$ Non-convex optimization problem: **Support Vector Machines** $\left(\nabla_{W^{(L)}} \ell\right)^{T} = \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial W^{(L)}} = \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial f} \frac{\partial f}{\partial W^{(L)}}$ **Variance**: how much \hat{f} changes with DFor k > 1 we have to change the normalization Hard SVM Regression to $W^{\top}W = I$ then we just take the first k princi- $\hat{w} = \min_{w} ||w||_2$ s.t. $\forall i \ y_i w^{\top} x_i \ge 1$ $\left(\nabla_{W^{(L-1)}} \ell\right)^{T} = \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial W^{(L-1)}} = \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial f} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^{(L-1)}} \frac{\partial z^{(L-1)}}{\partial W^{(L-1)}}$ **Squared loss** (convex) pal eigenvectors so that $W = [v_1, \dots, v_k]$. **Soft SVM** allow "slack" in the constraints $\frac{1}{n}\sum (y_i - f(x_i))^2 = \frac{1}{n}||y - Xw||_2^2$ $\hat{w} = \min_{i=1}^{1} ||w||_{2}^{2} + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} \max(0, 1 - y_{i}w^{T}x_{i})$ $\left(\nabla_{W^{(L-2)}}\ell\right)^{T} = \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial W^{(L-2)}} = \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial f} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^{(L-1)}} \frac{\partial z^{(L-1)}}{\partial z^{(L-2)}} \frac{\partial z^{(L-2)}}{\partial W^{(L-2)}}$ PCA through SVD $\nabla_w L(w) = 2X^{\top}(Xw - y)$ • The first k col of V where $X = USV^{\top}$. linear dimension reduction method Solution: $\hat{w} = (X^{\top}X)^{-1}X^{\top}y$ hinge loss Only compute the gradient. Rand. init. · first principal component eigenvector of Choose +1 as the more important class. Regularization weights by distr. assumption for φ . ($2/n_{in}$ for data covariance matrix with largest eigen $error_1/FPR : \frac{FP}{TN + FP}$ True Class **Lasso Regression** (sparse) ReLu and $1/n_{in}$ or $1/(n_{in} + n_{out})$ for Tanh) $\operatorname{argmin} ||y - \Phi w||_2^2 + \lambda ||w||_1$ error₂/FNR: Overfitting covariance matrix is symmetric → all Regularization; Early Stopping; Dropout: principal components are mutually or-Precision Ridge Regression ignore hidden units with prob. p, after trainthogonal TPR / Recall : $\frac{1 \text{ F}}{\text{TP} + \text{FN}}$ ing use all units and scale weights by p; Batch Kernel PCA $\operatorname{argmin}||y - \Phi w||_2^2 + \lambda ||w||_2^2$ **Normalization**: normalize the input data (mean $\Sigma = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i x_i^{\top} = X^{\top} X \Rightarrow \text{ kernel trick:}$ **AUROC**: Plot TPR vs. FPR and compare different ROC's with area under the curve. **F1-Score**: $\frac{2TP}{2TP + FP + FN}$, Accuracy : $\frac{TP + TN}{P + N}$ 0, variance 1) in each layer $\nabla_w L(w) = 2X^{\top}(Xw - y) + 2\lambda w$ $\hat{\alpha} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\alpha} \frac{\alpha^{\top} K^{\top} K \alpha}{\alpha^{\top} K \alpha}$ **CNN** $\varphi(W * v^{(l)})$ Solution: $\hat{w} = (X^{\top}X + \lambda I)^{-1}X^{\top}y$ Closed form solution: For each channel there is a separate filter. Goal: large recall and small FPR. large $\lambda \Rightarrow$ larger bias but smaller variance $\alpha^{(i)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} v_i \quad K = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i v_i^\top, \lambda_1 \ge \cdots \ge 0$ Convolution **Cross-Validation Kernels** C = channel F = filterSize inputSize = I• For all folds i = 1, ..., k: Parameterize: $w = \Phi^{\top} \alpha$, $K = \Phi \Phi^{\top}$ A point x is projected as: $z_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^{(i)} k(x_i, x)$ padding = P stride = S- Train \hat{f}_i on $D' - D'_i$ A kernel is **valid** if *K* is sym.: k(x,z) = k(z,x)**Autoencoders** Output size $1 = \frac{I + 2P - K}{S} + 1$ - Val. error $R_i = \frac{1}{|D'|} \sum \ell(\hat{f}_i(x), y)$ and psd: $z^{\top}Kz \ge 0$ We want to minimize $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}||x_i-\hat{x}_i||_2^2$.

 $\alpha = 1 \Rightarrow$ laplacian kernel $\alpha = 2 \Rightarrow$ gaussian kernel **Kernel composition rules** $k = k_1 + k_2$, $k = k_1 \cdot k_2$ $\forall c > 0$. $k = c \cdot k_1$, k-Means Clustering $\forall f \text{ convex. } k = f(k_1), \text{ holds for polynoms with Optimization Goal (non-convex):}$

Mercers Theorem: Valid kernels can be de-

composed into a lin. comb. of inner products.

Pick k and distance metric d

lin.: $k(x,z) = x^{T}z$, **poly.**: $k(x,z) = (x^{T}z + 1)^{m}$

rbf: $k(x,z) = \exp(-\frac{||x-z||_{\alpha}}{\tau})$

 $w^{t+1} = w^t - \eta_t \cdot \nabla \ell(w^t)$ For linear regression: $||w^t - w^*||_2 \le ||I - \eta X^\top X||_{op}^t ||w^0 - w^*||_2$

• Compute CV error $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} R_i$

Converges only for convex case.

Gradient Descent

 $\sum_t \eta_t = \infty$ and $\sum_t \eta_t^2 < \infty$

Logistic loss $\log(1 + e^{-y\hat{f}(x)})$

Classification

• Pick model with lowest CV error

 $\ell_{0-1}(\hat{f}(x), y) = \mathbb{I}_{y \neq \operatorname{sgn}\hat{f}(x)}$

 $\nabla \ell(\hat{f}(x), y) = \frac{-y_i x_i}{1 + e^{y_i \hat{f}(x)}}$

 $ho = ||I - \eta X^{\top} X||_{op}^{t}$ conv. speed for const. η . Opt. fixed $\eta = rac{2}{\lambda_{\min} + \lambda_{\max}}$ and max. $\eta \leq rac{2}{\lambda_{\max}}$. **Momentum**: $w^{t+1} = w^t + \gamma \Delta w^{t-1} - \eta_t \overline{\nabla \ell}(w^t)$

Learning rate η_t guarantees convergence if

Kern. Ridge Reg. $\frac{1}{n}||y-K\alpha||_2^2 + \lambda \alpha^\top K\alpha$ **KNN Classification**

• For given x, find among $x_1, ..., x_n \in D$ the **Zero-One loss** not convex or continuous k closest to $x \to x_{i_1}, ..., x_{i_k}$ **Neural Networks**

pos. coefficients or exp function.

 $\forall f. k(x,y) = f(x)k_1(x,y)f(y)$

Hinge loss $\max(0, 1 - y\hat{f}(x))$

Softmax $p(1|x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-\hat{f}(x)}}, p(-1|x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{\hat{f}(x)}}$

• Output the majority vote of labels w are the weights and $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a nonlinear

activation function: $\phi(x, w) = \phi(w^{\top}x)$

• Add seq μ_2, \dots, μ_k rand., with prob: given $\mu_{1:i}$ pick $\mu_{i+1} = x_i$ where p(i) = $\frac{1}{7}\min_{l\in\{1,...,j\}}||x_i-\mu_l||_2^2$

Output dimension = $l \times l \times m$

Unsupervised Learning

Converges in exponential time.

Initialize with **k-Means++**:

Trainable parameters = F * F * C * # filters

 $\hat{R}(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \min_{i \in \{1, \dots, k\}} ||x_i - \mu_j||_2^2$

• Update μ_i as mean of assigned points

Lloyd's heuristics: Init.cluster centers $\mu^{(0)}$:

Assign points to closest center

• Random data point $\mu_1 = x_i$

Inputs = W * H * D * C * N

ReLU: max(0,z), **Tanh:** $\frac{\exp(z) - \exp(-z)}{\exp(z) + \exp(-z)}$

Universal Approximation Theorem: We can

Sigmoid: $\frac{1}{1+\exp(-z)}$

mators. However, it can overfit. Ex. Conditional Linear Gaussian Converges expectation $\mathcal{O}(\log k) * \text{opt.solution}$. Assume Gaussian noise $y = f(x) + \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon \sim$ Find *k* by negligible loss decrease or reg. $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ and $f(x) = w^\top x$:

 $f^*(x) = \mathbb{E}[y \mid X = x] = \int y \cdot p(y \mid x) dy$ Estimate $\hat{p}(y \mid x)$ with MLE:

f minimizing the population risk:

Opt. Predictor for the Squared Loss

Assume that data is generated iid. by some

p(x,y). We want to find $f: X \mapsto Y$ that minimizes the **population risk**.

Statistical Perspective

 $\theta^* = \operatorname{argmax} \, \hat{p}(y_1, ..., y_n \mid x_1, ..., x_n, \theta)$

 $= \operatorname{argmin} - \sum \log p(y_i \mid x, \theta)$

The MLE for linear regression is unbiased and

has minimum variance among all unbiased esti-

Principal Component Analysis

Optimization goal: argmin $\sum_{i=1}^{n} ||x_i - z_i w||_2^2$ $||w||_2 = 1,z$

 $\hat{x} = f_{dec}(f_{enc}(x, \theta_{enc}); \theta_{dec})$ Lin.activation func. & square loss => PCA

Model Error

Empirical Risk $\hat{R}_D(f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum \ell(y, f(x))$

Population Risk $R(f) = \mathbb{E}_{x,y \sim p}[\ell(y, f(x))]$

$$\hat{p}(y \mid x, \theta) = \mathcal{N}(y; w^{\top}x, \sigma^2)$$

The optimal \hat{w} can be found using MLE: $\hat{w} = \operatorname{argmax} p(y|x, \theta) = \operatorname{argmin} \sum (y_i - w^{\top} x_i)^2$

Maximum a Posteriori Estimate

Introduce bias to reduce variance. The small weight assumption is a Gaussian prior $w_i \sim$ $\mathcal{N}(0,\beta^2)$. The posterior distribution of w is given by: $p(w | x, y) = \frac{p(w) \cdot p(y | x, w)}{p(y | x)}$

Now we want to find the MAP for w:

$$\hat{w} = \operatorname{argmax}_{w} p(w \mid \bar{x}, \bar{y})$$

 $= \operatorname{argmin}_{w} - \log \frac{p(w) \cdot p(y \mid x, w)}{p(y \mid \bar{x})}$

 $= \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmin}_{w}} - \underset{\beta^{2}}{\operatorname{log}} ||w||_{2}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - w^{\top} x_{i})^{2}$ Regularization can be understood as MAP in- $\mathcal{N}(x; \mu_{v}, \Sigma_{v})$: ference, with different priors (= regularizers)

and likelihoods (= loss functions). Statistical Models for Classification f minimizing the population risk:

 $f^*(x) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\hat{v}} p(\hat{y} \mid x)$ This is called the Bayes' optimal predictor for $p(y) = \frac{1}{2}$, Outlier detection: $p(x) \le \tau$. the 0-1 loss. Assuming iid. Bernoulli noise, the Avoiding Overfitting

conditional probability is: $p(y \mid x, w) \sim \text{Ber}(y; \sigma(w^{\top}x))$ Where $\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-z)}$ is the sigmoid function.

Using MLE we get:

$$\hat{w} = \operatorname{argmin} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(1 + \exp(-y_i w^{\top} x_i))$$

Which is the logistic loss. Instead of MLE we can estimate MAP, e.g. with a Gaussian prior: $\hat{w} = \operatorname{argmin} \lambda ||w||_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + e^{-y_i w^{\top} x_i})$

Bayesian Decision Theory Given $p(y \mid x)$, a set of actions A and a cost $C: Y \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, pick the action with the max-

imum expected utility. $a^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{a \in A} \mathbb{E}_{y}[C(y, a) \mid x]$

Can be used for asymetric costs or abstention.

Generative Modeling

Aim to estimate p(x,y) for complex situations

using Bayes' rule: $p(x,y) = p(x|y) \cdot p(y)$ **Naive Bayes Model** GM for classification tasks. Assuming for a

helps estimating $p(x \mid y) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} p(x_i \mid y_i)$. Gaussian Naive Bayes Classifier

Naive Bayes Model with Gaussian's features. data point: Estimate the parameters via MLE:

MLE for class prior: $p(y) = \hat{p}_v = \frac{\text{Count}(Y=y)}{x}$ MLE for feature distribution:

$$P(x_i|y) = \frac{Count(X_i = x_i, Y = y)}{Count(Y = y)}$$
ons are made by:

Predictions are made by: $y = \operatorname{argmax} p(\hat{y} \mid x) = \operatorname{argmax} p(\hat{y}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{d} p(x_i \mid \hat{y})$ Equivalent to decision rule for bin. class.:

 $y = \operatorname{sgn}\left(\log \frac{p(Y=+1\mid x)}{p(Y=-1\mid x)}\right)$

Where f(x) is called the discriminant function. If the conditional independence assumption is violated, the classifier can be overconfident. **Gaussian Bayes Classifier**

No independence assumption, model the features with a multivariant Gaussian

 $\mu_{y} = \frac{1}{\text{Count}(Y=y)} \sum_{j \mid y_{j}=y} x_{j}$ $\Sigma_{y} = \frac{1}{\text{Count}(Y=y)} \sum_{j \mid y_{j}=y} (x_{j} - \hat{\mu}_{y}) (x_{j} - \hat{\mu}_{y})^{\top}$

This is also called the **quadratic discriminant** ical init. or empirical covariate **analysis** (QDA). LDA:
$$\Sigma_+ = \Sigma_-$$
, Fisher LDA: Select k using cross-validation.

MLE is prone to overfitting. Avoid this by restricting model class (fewer parameters, e.g. GNB) or using priors (restrict param. values).

Discriminative models: p(y|x), can't detect outliers, more robust

Generative vs. Discriminative

Generative models: p(x,y), can be more powerful (dectect outliers, Giving highly complex decision boundaries: missing values) if assumptions are met, are typically less robust against outliers

Gaussian Mixture Model Assume that data is generated from a convex-

combination of Gaussian distributions: $p(x|\theta) = p(x|\mu, \Sigma, w) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} w_j \mathcal{N}(x; \mu_j, \Sigma_j)$ We don't have labels and want to cluster this data. The problem is to estimate the param. for

the Gaussian distributions.

$\operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \sum_{j=1}^{k} w_{j} \cdot \mathcal{N}(x_{i} \mid \mu_{j}, \Sigma_{j})$ This is a non-convex objective. Similar to training a GBC without labels. Start with guess for

our parameters, predict the unknown labels and then impute the missing data. Now we can get class label, each feature is independent. This a closed form update. **Hard-EM Algorithm**

E-Step: predict the most likely class for each M-Step: Compute MLE / Maximize:

$$z_i^{(t)} = \underset{z}{\operatorname{argmax}} \ p(z \mid x_i, \theta^{(t-1)})$$

$$= \underset{z}{\operatorname{argmax}} \ p(z \mid \theta^{(t-1)}) \cdot p(x_i \mid z, \theta^{(t-1)})$$
I Stan: compute MIF of $\theta^{(t)}$ as for GRC

M-Step: compute MLE of $\theta^{(t)}$ as for GBC.

Problems: labels if the model is uncertain, tries **GANs** to extract too much inf. Works poorly if clus- Learn f: "simple" distr. \mapsto non linear distr. ters are overlapping. With uniform weights and Computing likelihood of the data becomes hard, spherical covariances is equivalent to k-Means therefore we need a different loss.

with Lloyd's heuristics. Soft-EM Algorithm

E-Step: calculate the cluster membership

weights for each point $(w_i = \pi_i = p(Z = j))$: $\gamma_j^{(t)}(x_i) = p(Z = j \mid D) = \frac{w_j \cdot p(x_i; \theta_j^{(t-1)})}{\sum_k w_k \cdot p(x_i; \theta_k^{(t-1)})}$

$$\gamma_j^{(l)}(x_i) = p(Z = j \mid D) = \frac{w_j \cdot p(x_i, o_j)}{\sum_k w_k \cdot p(x_i; \theta_k^{(l-1)})}$$
M-Step: compute MLE with closed form:

$$w_j^{(t)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_j^{(t)}(x_i) \qquad \mu_j^{(t)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot \gamma_j^{(t)}(x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_j^{(t)}(x_i)}$$
$$\Sigma_j^{(t)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_j^{(t)}(x_i)(x_i - \mu_j^{(t)})(x_i - \mu_j^{(t)})^\top}{\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_j^{(t)}(x_i)}$$

Init. the weights as uniformly distributed, rand. or with k-Means++ and for variances use spher-This is also called the quadratic discriminant ical init. or empirical covariance of the data.

Degeneracy of GMMs

GMMs can overfit with limited data. Avoid this by add v^2I to variance, so it does not collapse (equiv. to a Wishart prior on the covariance matrix). Choose v by cross-validation. Gaussian-Mixture Bayes Classifiers

Assume that
$$p(x \mid y)$$
 for each class can be modelled by a GMM. $p(y \mid x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_y} w_i^{(y)} \mathcal{N}(x; \mu_i^{(y)}, \Sigma_i^{(y)})$ Normal Distribution

 $p(y \mid x) = \frac{1}{7}p(y)\sum_{i=1}^{k_y} w_i^{(y)} \mathcal{N}(x; \mu_i^{(y)}, \Sigma_i^{(y)})$

GMMs for Density Estimation

Can be used for anomaly detection or data imputation. Detect outliers, by comparing the estimated density against τ . Allows to control the FP rate. Use ROC curve as evaluation criterion

and optimize using CV to find τ . **General EM Algorithm E-Step**: Take the expected value over latent $p(z|x,\theta) = \frac{p(x,z|\theta)}{p(x|\theta)}$ variables z to generate likelihood function Q:

 $Q(\theta; \theta^{(t-1)}) = \mathbb{E}_Z[\log p(X, Z \mid \theta) \mid X, \theta^{(t-1)}]$ $= \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \sum_{z_i=1}^{\kappa} \gamma_{z_i}(x_i) \log p(x_i, z_i \mid \theta)$

with $\gamma_z(x) = p(z \mid x, \theta^{(t-1)})$

 $\theta^{(t)} = \operatorname{argmax} O(\theta; \theta^{(t-1)})$ We have monotonic convergence, each EM-

iteration increases the data likelihood.

 $\min \max \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\text{data}}}[\log D(x, w_D)]$ $+\mathbb{E}_{z\sim p_z}[\log(1-D(G(z,w_G),w_D))]$ Training requires finding a saddle point, always converges to saddle point with if G, D have

converges to saddle point with if G, D have enough capacity. For a fixed G, the optimal discriminator is:
$$D_G(x) = \frac{p_{\text{data}}(x)}{p_{\text{data}}(x) + p_{\text{data}}(x)}$$

tion of finite data. Other issues are oscilla-

$$p_{\text{data}}(x) + p_G(x)$$

The prob. of being fake is $1 - D_G$. Too powerful discriminator could lead to memoriza-

tions/divergence or mode collapse. One possible performance metric:

 $DG = \max_{w'_D} M(w_G, w'_D) - \min_{w'_G} M(w'_G, w_D)$

Where $M(w_G, w_D)$ is the training objective. **Various**

Derivatives:

 $\nabla_x x^{\top} A = A$ $\nabla_x a^{\top} x = \nabla_x x^{\top} a = a$ $\nabla_x b^{\top} A x = A^{\top} b$ $\nabla_x x_x^{\top} x = 2x$ $\nabla_x x^{\top} A x = 2Ax$ $|\nabla_{w}||y - Xw||_{2}^{2} = 2X^{\top}(Xw - y)$

$$p(y \mid x) = \frac{1}{p(x)} \underbrace{p(y) \cdot p(x \mid y)}_{}$$

Normal Distribution:
$$p(x,y)$$

 $\mathcal{N}(x;\mu,\Sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^d \det(\Sigma)}} \exp(-\frac{(x-\mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)}{2})$

Other Facts $\operatorname{Tr}(AB) = \operatorname{Tr}(BA), \operatorname{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2, X \in$

 $\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}: X^{-1} \to \mathscr{O}(d^3) X^{\top} X \to \mathscr{O}(nd^2), \binom{n}{k} =$ $\frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!}, ||w^{\top}w||_2 = \sqrt{w^{\top}w}$

 $\operatorname{Cov}[X] = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^{\top}] =$ $E[XX^{\top}] - E[X]E[X]^{\top}$

 $E[s \cdot s^{\top}] = \mu \cdot \mu^{\top} + \Sigma = \Sigma$ where s follows a multivariate normal distribution with mean μ and

covariance matrix Σ Convexity 0: $L(\lambda w + (1 - \lambda)v) < \lambda L(w) + (1 - \lambda)L(v)$

1: $L(w) + \nabla L(w)^{\top} (v - w) < L(v)$ 2: Hessian $\nabla^2 L(w) \geq 0$ (psd)

• $\alpha f + \beta g$, $\alpha, \beta \ge 0$, convex if f, g convex • $f \circ g$, convex if f convex and g affine or

f non-decresing and g convex • $\max(f,g)$, convex if f,g convex