## Exercise 1:

Shortly answer the following questions:

- (a) What is the difference between inner and outer loss?
- (b) Which model is more likely to overfit the training data:
  - k-NN with 1 or with 10 neighbours?
  - Logistic regression with 10 or 20 features?
  - LDA or QDA?
- (c) Which of the following methods yield an unbiased generalization error estimate? Performance estimation ...
  - on training data
  - on test data
  - on training and test data combined
  - using cross validation
  - using subsampling
- (d) Which problem does resampling of training and test data solve?
- (e) Which problem does nested resampling solve?

## Exercise 2:

The Satellite dataset consists of pixels in 3x3 neighbourhoods in a satellite image, where each pixel is described by 4 spectral values, and the classification label of the central pixel. (for further information see ?Satellite) We fit a k-NN model to predict the class of the middle pixel. The performance is evaluated with the mmce. Look at the following R code and output: The performance is estimated in different ways: using training data, test data and then with cross validation. How do the estimates differ and why? Which one should be used?

```
# Training data performance estimate
knn_learner$train(task = satellite_task, row_ids = train_indices)
pred <-
  knn_learner$predict(task = satellite_task, row_ids = train_indices)
pred$score()
## classif.ce
##
# Test data performance estimate
pred <-
  knn_learner$predict(task = satellite_task, row_ids = test_indices)
pred$score()
## classif.ce
## 0.09246309
# CV performance estimate
rdesc <- rsmp("cv", folds = 10)</pre>
res <- resample(satellite_task, knn_learner, rdesc)</pre>
## INFO [16:37:20.990] [mlr3] Applying learner 'classif.kknn' on task 'satellite_task' (iter 1/10)
## INFO [16:37:21.175] [mlr3] Applying learner 'classif.kknn' on task 'satellite_task' (iter 4/10)
                               Applying learner 'classif.kknn' on task 'satellite_task' (iter 7/10)
## INFO [16:37:21.314] [mlr3]
## INFO
        [16:37:21.437] [mlr3]
                               Applying learner 'classif.kknn' on task 'satellite_task' (iter 5/10)
## INFO [16:37:21.568] [mlr3]
                               Applying learner 'classif.kknn' on task 'satellite_task' (iter 3/10)
## INFO [16:37:21.692] [mlr3]
                               Applying learner 'classif.kknn' on task 'satellite_task' (iter 9/10)
                               Applying learner 'classif.kknn' on task 'satellite_task' (iter 6/10)
## INFO [16:37:21.920] [mlr3]
## INFO
        [16:37:22.039] [mlr3]
                               Applying learner 'classif.kknn' on task 'satellite_task' (iter 10/10)
                               Applying learner 'classif.kknn' on task 'satellite_task' (iter 2/10)
## INFO [16:37:22.161] [mlr3]
## INFO [16:37:22.285] [mlr3]
                               Applying learner 'classif.kknn' on task 'satellite_task' (iter 8/10)
res$score()
##
                                task_id
                                                         learner
                    task
                                                                  learner id
##
  1: <TaskClassif[46]> satellite_task <LearnerClassifKKNN[32]> classif.kknn
## 2: <TaskClassif[46] > satellite_task <LearnerClassifKKNN[32] > classif.kknn
## 3: <TaskClassif[46] > satellite_task <LearnerClassifKKNN[32] > classif.kknn
## 4: <TaskClassif[46] > satellite_task <LearnerClassifKKNN[32] > classif.kknn
## 5: <TaskClassif[46] > satellite_task <LearnerClassifKKNN[32] > classif.kknn
##
   6: <TaskClassif[46] > satellite_task <LearnerClassifKKNN[32] > classif.kknn
   7: <TaskClassif[46] > satellite_task <LearnerClassifKKNN[32] > classif.kknn
   8: <TaskClassif[46]> satellite_task <LearnerClassifKKNN[32]> classif.kknn
## 9: <TaskClassif[46]> satellite_task <LearnerClassifKKNN[32]> classif.kknn
## 10: <TaskClassif[46]> satellite_task <LearnerClassifKKNN[32]> classif.kknn
              resampling resampling_id iteration
                                                               prediction
                                                1 <PredictionClassif[19]>
##
  1: <ResamplingCV[19]>
                                     CV
## 2: <ResamplingCV[19]>
                                                2 < PredictionClassif[19]>
                                     CV
## 3: <ResamplingCV[19]>
                                                3 < PredictionClassif[19]>
                                     CV
## 4: <ResamplingCV[19]>
                                     CV
                                                4 <PredictionClassif[19]>
## 5: <ResamplingCV[19]>
                                                5 < PredictionClassif[19]>
                                     CV
## 6: <ResamplingCV[19]>
                                     CV
                                               6 <PredictionClassif[19]>
## 7: <ResamplingCV[19]>
                                               7 < Prediction Classif [19] >
                                     CV
## 8: <ResamplingCV[19]>
                                               8 < PredictionClassif [19] >
                                     CV
## 9: <ResamplingCV[19]>
                                               9 < Prediction Classif [19] >
                                     CV
## 10: <ResamplingCV[19]>
                                     CV
                                               10 <PredictionClassif[19]>
  classif.ce
##
```

```
1: 0.09627329
##
##
    2: 0.07919255
##
   3: 0.08540373
##
   4: 0.09472050
##
   5: 0.10559006
## 6: 0.08553655
## 7: 0.08864697
## 8: 0.10108865
## 9: 0.08864697
## 10: 0.10419907
res$aggregate()
## classif.ce
## 0.09292983
```

## Exercise 3:

In preparing this course you already learned about mlr3. If you need to refresh your knowledge you can find help at https://mlr3book.mlr-org.com/ under 'Basics'.

- a) How many performance measures do you already know? Try to explain some of them. How can you see which of them are available in mlr3?
- b) Use the boston\_housing regression task from mlr3 and split the data into 50% training data and 50% test data while training and predicting (i.e., use the row\_ids argument of the train and predict function). Fit a prediction model (e.g. k-NN) to the training set and make predictions for the test set.
- c) Compare the performance on training and test data. Use the score function.
- d) Now use different observations (but still 50% of them) for the training set. How does this affect the predictions and the error estimates of the test data?
- e) Use 10 fold cross-validation to estimate the performance. Hint: Use the mlr functions rsmp and resample.