## Exercise 1: Risk Minimization and Gradient Descent

This exercise builds upon the exercise in the previous exercise sheet.

(a) Write a function implementing a gradient descent routine for the optimization of the linear model defined in the previous exercise sheet. Start with:

```
#' @param step_size the step_size in each iteration
#' @param X the feature input matrix X
#' @param y the outcome vector y
#' @param beta a starting value for the coefficients
#' @param eps a small constant measuring the changes in each update step.
#' Stop the algorithm if the estimated model parameters do not change
#' more than \code{eps}.

#' @return a set of optimal coefficients beta
gradient_descent <- function(step_size, X, y, beta, eps = 1e-8){

# >>> do something <<</pre>
return(beta)
}
```

- (b) Run a small simulation study by creating 20 data sets as indicated below and test different step sizes  $\alpha$  (fixed across iterations) against each other and against the state-of-the-art routine for linear models (in R, using the function lm, in Python, e.g., sklearn.linear\_model.LinearRegression).
  - Compare the difference in estimated coefficients  $\beta_j, j = 1, \ldots, p$  using the mean squared error, i.e.

$$p^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{p} (\beta_j^{truth} - \hat{\beta}_j)^2$$

and summarize the difference over all 100 simulation repetitions.

• Compare the run times of your implementation and the one given by the state-of-the-art method by wrapping the function calls into a timer (e.g., system.time() in R).

```
# settings
n <- 10000
p <- 100
nr_sims <- 20

# create data (only once)
X <- matrix(rnorm(n*p), ncol=p)
beta_truth <- runif(p, -2, 2)
f_truth <- X%*%beta_truth

# create result object
result_list <- vector("list", nr_sims)</pre>
for(sim_nr in nr_sims)
```

```
{
    # create response
    y <- f_truth + rnorm(n, sd = 2)

# >>> do something <<</pre>
# save results in list (performance, time)
result_list[[sim_nr]] <- add_something_meaningful_here
}
```

- (c) Why is gradient descent maybe not the best option for optimization of a linear model with L2 loss? What other options exist? Name at least one and describe how you would apply this for the above problem.
- (d) Can we say something about the algorithm's consistency w.r.t.  $\mathbb{P}_{xy}$ , if  $f^* \notin \mathcal{H}$ ?