

**MA2261 Examination — Draft Questions and Solutions**

This copy generated 10<sup>th</sup> May 2022.

Title of paper	MA2261 — Linear Statistical Models
Version	1
Candidates	All candidates
Department	School of Computing and Mathematical Science
Examination Session	<b>June Examinations 2022</b>
Time allowed	2 hours
Instructions	Answer all questions.
Calculators	Approved calculators may be used.
Books/statutes	Statistical tables are provided
Own Books/statutes/notes	No
Additional Stationery	No
Number of questions	4

**Question 1.**

A continuous random variable  $X$  has a probability density function given by

$$f(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{xe^{-(\frac{x}{\lambda})}}{\lambda^2}, & x > 0 \\ 0, & x \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\lambda$  is a parameter and  $\lambda > 0$ .

In a factory of electronic components, the life time  $X$  is assumed to follow the above distribution. Twenty components were randomly selected, tested and their following life time observations  $x_1, \dots, x_{20}$  of  $X$  (in thousand hours) were recorded.

16	6	1.2	4.2	3.2	7.5	11	16	7.5	21
6.5	2	18	14	9.5	13.2	13.9	6.2	12.2	31

In your answers you can use, without proof, the following calculus facts:

$$\frac{d}{dz} (-e^{-z}(z+1)) = ze^{-z},$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{20} x_i = 220.1$$

**a) [5 marks]**

Find the cumulative distribution function of  $X$ .

**b) [5 marks]**

Show that the log-likelihood function  $\ell(\lambda)$  for the given dataset is

$$\ell(\lambda) = \ln \mathcal{L}(\lambda) = -2n \ln \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{\lambda} + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i$$

**c) [5 marks]**

Apply the method of maximum likelihood to find the maximum likelihood estimate of the parameter  $\lambda$  for the given dataset. You may assume any critical point of the log-likelihood function is a maximum.

**Total 15 marks**

**Answer — total marks for this question: 15**

Parts a), b) and c) are standard questions, but the function considered is different from coursework and workbook questions.

**a) [5 marks]**

To calculate the CDF we need to integrate the  $f(x, \lambda)$ :

$$F(x, \lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{te^{-\frac{t}{\lambda}}}{\lambda^2} dt = \int_0^x \frac{te^{-\frac{t}{\lambda}}}{\lambda^2} dt$$

Let  $z = \frac{t}{\lambda}$ . Then  $dt = \lambda dz$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^x \frac{te^{-\frac{t}{\lambda}}}{\lambda^2} dt &= \int_0^{\frac{x}{\lambda}} \frac{1}{\lambda} z e^{-z} \lambda dz = \int_0^{\frac{x}{\lambda}} z e^{-z} dz = \\ &= -e^{-z}(z+1) \Big|_0^{\frac{x}{\lambda}} = -e^{-\frac{x}{\lambda}} \left( \frac{x}{\lambda} + 1 \right) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

**b) [5 marks]**

The likelihood function is

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\lambda, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2n}} \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i e^{-\frac{x_i}{\lambda}}), & x_i \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\ell(\lambda) = \ln \mathcal{L}(\lambda) = -2n \ln \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{\lambda} + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i$$

**c) [5 marks]**

After deriving with respect to  $\lambda$  and equating to zero we get:

$$\frac{\partial \ell(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = -\frac{2n}{\lambda} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{\lambda^2} = 0 \iff -2n\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0.$$

Therefore, we can calculate the estimate  $\hat{\lambda}$ :

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{2n} \text{ with } n = 20.$$

We use  $\sum_{i=1}^{20} x_i = 220.1$ , hence

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{220.1}{40} = 5.5025.$$

**Question 2.**

A team of scientists from the University of Oxford is on a mission in Antarctica to study the dust concentration ( $Y$ ) in the ice of different geological eras. To this end, they drill the ice and obtain samples at different depths (between 300 and 1600 m) corresponding to periods ( $x$ ) in the past between 3000 and 280,000 years ago. The collected data and statistical analysis, using simple linear regression, were sent back to Oxford. kYr means 1000 years.

$\bar{x} = 92.4$ ,  $\bar{y} = 86.805$ ,  $S_{xx} = 85,114.4$ ,  $S_{yy} = 19,179.1$ ,  $S_{xy} = 33,123.77$ ,  
 $RSS = 6,288.401$

x (kYr)	Y Dust concen- tration (ppm)
3	39.36
6	24.25
15	36.91
25	97.32
46	60.5
66	114.91
102	81.5
161	121.3
220	164.8
280	127.2

The Oxford team has a deadline to submit their findings to a Government agency and they need to produce, without delay, a statistical analysis using the information they have.

a) **[3 marks]**

Obtain the estimated simple linear regression line.

b) **[10 marks]**

Decide by conducting a statistical test with significance level  $\alpha = 5\%$  if the period variable  $x$  has a statistically significant effect on the mean dust concentration.

c) **[2 marks]** Calculate a point estimate for the mean increase in dust concentration corresponding to an increase in one unit (that is 1000 years) in  $x$ .

**Total: 15 marks**

**Answer — total marks for this question: 15**

Part a) and b) are entirely standard, but the dataset and context are different from the ones of coursework and workbook questions. Part c) is a new type of question.

a) **[3 marks]**

$$\hat{b} = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}} = \frac{33,123.77}{85,114.4} \approx 0.389 ,$$

$$\hat{a} = \bar{y} - \hat{b}\bar{x} \approx 50.846 .$$

b) **[10 marks]**

We now want to test the hypothesis  $H_0 : b = 0$ . If  $H_0$  is true, then we need to check if the  $t$  value:

$$t = \frac{\hat{b}}{\hat{\sigma}/\sqrt{S_{xx}}}$$

is less or equal to the critical value  $t_{0.0975, n-2} = t_{0.0975, 8} = 2.306$  (assuming a confidence level of 95%).

To calculate  $\hat{\sigma}$  we use

$$\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{RSS}{(n-2)}} = \sqrt{\frac{6288.401}{(10-2)}} \approx 28.037$$

Therefore

$$t = \frac{0.389}{28.037/\sqrt{85114.4}} \approx 4.048$$

Since  $t = 4.048$  is greater than the critical value 2.306,  $H_0$  is rejected and  $x$  is statistically significant.

c) **[2 marks]**

$$\hat{y}(x+1) = \hat{a} + \hat{b}(x+1), \quad \hat{y}(x) = \hat{a} + \hat{b}(x) .$$

Hence

$$\hat{y}(x+1) - \hat{y}(x) = \hat{b} \approx 0.389 .$$

**Question 3.**

Hooke's law states that the elongation  $L$  of a spring subjected to a weight force  $W$  is given by  $W = kL$ , where  $W$  is measured in  $kg$ ,  $L$  is measured in  $mm$ ,  $k$  is called the elastic constant of the spring and is expressed in  $kg/mm$ . A group of Physics students wants to measure the elastic constant of a spring. Not having very precise instruments they perform an experiment by applying to the spring weights of increasing intensity, from 10 to 50  $kg$ , for five times. The measurements are influenced by the approximation of the reading of the lengths and by the fact that the spring does not behave like a perfect spring and the same weight applied several times does not give the same elongation. The measurements of elongation, in millimeters, for each test done are shown below.

Weight (kg)	Measured elongation L (mm)				
W	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5
10	48.6	47.6	48.8	51.5	49.8
15	78.4	77.5	71.6	77.5	73.6
20	95.7	98.6	100.4	102.4	97.3
25	123.5	131.1	118.9	130.6	128.3
30	150.6	154.5	148.3	146.0	153.3
35	175.5	176.3	173.2	181.8	181.8
40	209.4	199.8	197.8	195.9	203.5
45	230.9	233.2	230.5	218.7	222.6
50	245.2	249.2	257.0	256.7	244.3

a) **[4 marks]**

Write the equation of a simple linear regression model with repeated observations for this dataset.

b) **[8 marks]**

Perform a statistical test with significance level  $\alpha = 5\%$  to establish if a linear regression model with repeated observations is a good fit for these data. You can use the following values:

$$SST = 192093, SSM = 191345 \text{ and } SSB = 191387.$$

c) **[3 marks]**

Write the general formula of the test statistic and its distribution you can use to decide if Hooke's law can be assumed to be valid for this dataset.

**Total: 15 marks**

**Answer — total marks for this question: 15**

Similar to previous years' exam paper.

a) **[4 marks]** Familiar definition.

The model has equation  $L_{ij} = a + bw_i + \varepsilon_{ij}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 9$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 5$ , where the  $\varepsilon_{ij}$  are independent random variables with normal distribution  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ , and  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $\sigma^2$  are unknown parameters,  $\sigma^2$  does not depend on  $x$ .

b) **[8 marks]** Unseen example, familiar techniques.

$$SSE = SST - SSM = 192093 - 191345 = 748$$

$$SSW = SST - SSB = 192093 - 191387 = 706$$

$$SSL = SSE - SSW = 748 - 706 = 42$$

Since  $k = 9$ ,  $N = 45$  we obtain

$$\frac{SSL/7}{SSW/36} = 0.306 < 2.28.$$

Because 2.28 is the critical value for  $\alpha = 5\%$  of a  $F_{7,36}$ -distribution, the  $p$ -value  $> 5\%$ . Therefore the hypothesis that the linear regression model is a good fit is accepted.

c) **[3 marks]** Newer kind of question.

We test the null hypothesis  $a = 0$  with the following test statistic  $t$ :

$$t = \frac{\hat{a}}{\hat{\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}^2}{S_{xx}}}} \sim t_{n-2} = t_{43} \quad (\text{t-distribution with 43 degrees of freedom})$$

**Question 4.**

In this question,  $X$  and  $Y$  are jointly distributed discrete random variables. The probability  $P(X = i, Y = j)$  is given by the entry in column  $i$ , row  $j$  of the following table with  $p, q \in [0, 1]$ :

		$X$		
		0	1	2
$Y$	0	0	$pq$	0
	1	$\frac{p(1-q)}{2}$	$1-p$	$\frac{p(1-q)}{2}$

a) **[5 marks]**

Calculate  $P(Y = 0)$  and  $P(Y = 1)$ .

b) **[8 marks]**

For which values of  $p$  and  $q$  are the **events**  $\{X = 0\}$  and  $\{Y = 1\}$  independent?

c) **[2 marks]**

Give a formal definition of what it means for two random variables to be independent.

**Total: 15 marks**



**Answer — total marks for this question: 15**

a) **[5 marks]** Unseen example, standard techniques.

The events  $\{X = 0\}$ ,  $\{X = 1\}$  and  $\{X = 2\}$  partition the sample space, so

$$P(Y = 0) = P(X = 0, Y = 0) + P(X = 1, Y = 0) + P(X = 2, Y = 0) = 0 + pq + 0 = pq,$$

$$P(Y = 1) = P(X = 0, Y = 1) + P(X = 1, Y = 1) + P(X = 2, Y = 1)$$

$$= \frac{p(1-q)}{2} + 1-p + \frac{p(1-q)}{2} = 1-pq$$

,

b) **[8 marks]** Unseen example, standard techniques.

$$P(X = 0) = \frac{p(1-q)}{2},$$

$$P(Y = 1) = 1 - pq,$$

$$P(X = 0, Y = 1) = \frac{p(1-q)}{2}.$$

Thus,

$$P(X = 0, Y = 1) = P(X = 0)P(Y = 1)$$

$$\iff \frac{p(1-q)}{2} = \frac{p(1-q)}{2}(1-pq)$$

$$\iff p(1-q) = p(1-q)(1-pq)$$

$$\iff p = 0 \vee q = 1 \vee (p \neq 0 \wedge q \neq 1 \wedge 1 = 1 - pq)$$

$$\iff p = 0 \vee q = 1 \vee (p \neq 0 \wedge q \neq 1 \wedge pq = 0)$$

$$\iff p = 0 \vee q = 1 \vee (p \neq 0 \wedge q \neq 1 \wedge (p = 0 \vee q = 0))$$

$$\iff p = 0 \vee (p \neq 0 \wedge q = 0) \vee q = 1$$

$$\iff p = 0 \vee q = 0 \vee q = 1.$$

$\{X = 0\}$  and  $\{Y = 1\}$  are independent iff  $p = 0 \vee q = 0 \vee q = 1$ .

c) **[2 marks]** Familiar definition.

Random variables  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent if for all  $x, y$  we have:

$$P(X \leq x, Y \leq y) = P(X \leq x)P(Y \leq y).$$

It's ok to give a definition here that only works in the discrete case, i.e. for all  $x, y$ :

$$P(X = x, Y = y) = P(X = x)P(Y = y).$$