

INTRODUCTORY STATISTICS

Tatiana Tyukina

tt51@leicester.ac.uk

Topic 2 - Estimators

2.2 - Properties of Estimators

Sufficiency and Consistency



- **Topic 0: Introduction**
- **Topic 1: Descriptive Statistics**
- **Topic 2: Estimators: Point estimation, Interval estimation**
 - 2.1 Point estimation,
 - 2.2 Properties of estimators,
 - 2.3 Interval estimation,
 - 2.4 Inference based on Normal Distribution
- Topic 3: Hypothesis Testing: Test for single population mean, test for two population mean
- Topic 4: Bayesian Estimation
- Topic 5: Goodness of Fit: The χ^2 test.



Desired properties of point estimator

- unbiasedness,



Desired properties of point estimator

- unbiasedness,
- efficiency (minimal variance),



Desired properties of point estimator

- unbiasedness,
- efficiency (minimal variance),
- sufficiency,



Desired properties of point estimator

- unbiasedness,
- efficiency (minimal variance),
- sufficiency,
- consistency



DEFINITION

Suppose that we learn that an event B has occurred and that we wish to compute the probability of another event A taking into account that we know that B has occurred. The new probability of A is called the **conditional probability** of the event A given that the event B has occurred and is denoted $P(A|B)$. If $P(B) > 0$, we compute this probability as

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$$

The conditional probability $P(A|B)$ is not defined if $P(B) = 0$.



DEFINITION

Suppose that we learn that an event B has occurred and that we wish to compute the probability of another event A taking into account that we know that B has occurred. The new probability of A is called the **conditional probability** of the event A given that the event B has occurred and is denoted $P(A|B)$. If $P(B) > 0$, we compute this probability as

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$$

The conditional probability $P(A|B)$ is not defined if $P(B) = 0$.

THEOREM (MULTIPLICATION RULE FOR CONDITIONAL PROBABILITIES)

Let A and B be events.

If $P(B) > 0$, then

$$P(A \cap B) = P(B)P(A|B).$$

If $P(A) > 0$, then

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B|A).$$



DEFINITION

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a probability distribution with unknown parameter θ . Then, the statistic $U = u(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is said to be **sufficient** for θ if the conditional pdf $f_X(X_1, \dots, X_n | U = u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n))$ (or pmf $p_X(X_1, \dots, X_n | U = u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n))$) does not depend on θ for any value of $u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$.

An estimator $\hat{\theta}$ that is a function of a sufficient statistic for θ is said to be a **sufficient estimator** of θ .

$$f_X(x_1, \dots, x_n | u = u) = \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, u)}{f_u(u)}$$

EXAMPLE

Let X_1, \dots, X_5 be a random sample of size 5 drawn from the Bernoulli pmf:
 $p_X(x, p) = p^x(1 - p)^{1-x}$, where $x = 0, 1$ and p is unknown parameter.

The maximum likelihood estimator for p is $\hat{p} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$

(then the MLE for p is $\hat{p}_l = \frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=1}^5 x_i$) 11001

Show that \hat{p} is a sufficient estimator for p .

EXAMPLE

Let X_1, \dots, X_5 be a random sample of size 5 drawn from the Bernoulli pmf:

$p_X(x, p) = p^x(1 - p)^{1-x}$, where $x = 0, 1$ and p is unknown parameter.

The maximum likelihood estimator for p is $\hat{p} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$

(then the MLE for p is $\hat{p}_l = \frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=1}^5 x_i$)

Show that \hat{p} is a sufficient estimator for p .

Solution.

$$P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_5 = x_5 | \hat{p} = \hat{p}_l) = \frac{P(X_1=x_1, \dots, X_5=x_5 \cap \hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)}{P(\hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)} = \frac{P(X_1=x_1, \dots, X_5=x_5)}{P(\hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)}$$

EXAMPLE

Let X_1, \dots, X_5 be a random sample of size 5 drawn from the Bernoulli pmf:

$p_X(x, p) = p^x(1 - p)^{1-x}$, where $x = 0, 1$ and p is unknown parameter.

The maximum likelihood estimator for p is $\hat{p} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$

(then the MLE for p is $\hat{p}_l = \frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=1}^5 x_i$)

Show that \hat{p} is a sufficient estimator for p .

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_5 = x_5 | \hat{p} = \hat{p}_l) &= \frac{P(X_1=x_1, \dots, X_5=x_5 \cap \hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)}{P(\hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)} = \frac{P(X_1=x_1, \dots, X_5=x_5)}{P(\hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)} \\ &= \frac{p^{x_1}(1-p)^{1-x_1} \dots p^{x_5}(1-p)^{1-x_5}}{P(\hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)} = \frac{p^{\sum_{i=1}^5 x_i} (1-p)^{5-\sum_{i=1}^5 x_i}}{P(\hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)} = \frac{p^{5\hat{p}_l} (1-p)^{5-5\hat{p}_l}}{P(\hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)} \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE

Let X_1, \dots, X_5 be a random sample of size 5 drawn from the Bernoulli pmf:

$p_X(x, p) = p^x(1 - p)^{1-x}$, where $x = 0, 1$ and p is unknown parameter.

The maximum likelihood estimator for p is $\hat{p} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$

(then the MLE for p is $\hat{p}_l = \frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=1}^5 x_i$)

Show that \hat{p} is a sufficient estimator for p .

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_5 = x_5 | \hat{p} = \hat{p}_l) &= \frac{P(X_1=x_1, \dots, X_5=x_5 \cap \hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)}{P(\hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)} = \frac{P(X_1=x_1, \dots, X_5=x_5)}{P(\hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)} \\ &= \frac{p^{x_1}(1-p)^{1-x_1} \dots p^{x_5}(1-p)^{1-x_5}}{P(\hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)} = \frac{p^{\sum_{i=1}^5 x_i} (1-p)^{5-\sum_{i=1}^5 x_i}}{P(\hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)} = \frac{p^{5\hat{p}_l} (1-p)^{5-5\hat{p}_l}}{P(\hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)} \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE

Let X_1, \dots, X_5 be a random sample of size 5 drawn from the Bernoulli pmf:

$p_X(x, p) = p^x(1-p)^{1-x}$, where $x = 0, 1$ and p is unknown parameter.

The maximum likelihood estimator for p is $\hat{p} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$

(then the MLE for p is $\hat{p}_l = \frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=1}^5 x_i$)

Show that \hat{p} is a sufficient estimator for p .

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_5 = x_5 | \hat{p} = \hat{p}_l) &= \frac{P(X_1=x_1, \dots, X_5=x_5 \cap \hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)}{P(\hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)} = \frac{P(X_1=x_1, \dots, X_5=x_5)}{P(\hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)} \\ &= \frac{p^{x_1}(1-p)^{1-x_1} \dots p^{x_5}(1-p)^{1-x_5}}{P(\hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)} = \frac{p^{\sum_{i=1}^5 x_i} (1-p)^{5-\sum_{i=1}^5 x_i}}{P(\hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)} = \frac{p^{5\hat{p}_l} (1-p)^{5-5\hat{p}_l}}{P(\hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)} \end{aligned}$$

$$P(\hat{p} = \hat{p}_l) = P\left(\frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=1}^5 X_i = \hat{p}_l\right) = P\left(\sum_{i=1}^5 X_i = 5\hat{p}_l\right) = \binom{5}{5\hat{p}_l} p^{5\hat{p}_l} (1-p)^{5-5\hat{p}_l}$$

Binomial (5, p)

EXAMPLE

Let X_1, \dots, X_5 be a random sample of size 5 drawn from the Bernoulli pmf:

$p_X(x, p) = p^x(1-p)^{1-x}$, where $x = 0, 1$ and p is unknown parameter.

The maximum likelihood estimator for p is $\hat{p} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$

(then the MLE for p is $\hat{p}_l = \frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=1}^5 x_i$)

Show that \hat{p} is a sufficient estimator for p .

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_5 = x_5 | \hat{p} = \hat{p}_l) &= \frac{P(X_1=x_1, \dots, X_5=x_5 \cap \hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)}{P(\hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)} = \frac{P(X_1=x_1, \dots, X_5=x_5)}{P(\hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)} \\ &= \frac{p^{x_1}(1-p)^{1-x_1} \dots p^{x_5}(1-p)^{1-x_5}}{P(\hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)} = \frac{p^{\sum_{i=1}^5 x_i} (1-p)^{5-\sum_{i=1}^5 x_i}}{P(\hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)} = \frac{p^{5\hat{p}_l} (1-p)^{5-5\hat{p}_l}}{P(\hat{p}=\hat{p}_l)} \end{aligned}$$

$$P(\hat{p} = \hat{p}_l) = P\left(\frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=1}^5 X_i = \hat{p}_l\right) = P\left(\sum_{i=1}^5 X_i = 5\hat{p}_l\right) = \binom{5}{5\hat{p}_l} p^{5\hat{p}_l} (1-p)^{5-5\hat{p}_l}$$

$$P(X_1 = k_1, \dots, X_5 = k_5 | \hat{p} = \hat{p}_l) = \left[\binom{5}{5\hat{p}_l} \right]^{-1}$$

$$\hat{p} = \frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=1}^5 x_i$$



EXAMPLE

X_1, \dots, X_n with Bernoulli pmf γ , show $\hat{p} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$

$$\hat{p}_e = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n k_i, \quad k_i = 1, 0$$

$$P(X_1 = k_1, \dots, X_n = k_n | \hat{p} = \hat{p}_e) = \frac{P(X_1, \dots, X_n)}{P(\hat{p} = \hat{p}_e)} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n p^{k_i} (1-p)^{1-k_i}}{P_{\hat{p}}(\hat{p} = \hat{p}_e)} =$$

$$= b(k_1, \dots, k_n) = b(\hat{p}_e) \text{ independent on } p$$

If $\hat{\theta}$ is sufficient statistic for θ , then any one-to-one function of $\hat{\theta}$ is also sufficient statistic for θ .

For example, $\hat{p}^* = n\hat{p} = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is also sufficient.



THEOREM (NEYMAN-FISHER FACTORIZATION CRITERIA)

Let $\hat{\theta} = u(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a statistic based on the random sample X_1, \dots, X_n . Then, $\hat{\theta}$ is a sufficient statistic for θ if and only if the discrete joint pmf $p_X(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta)$ (which depends on the parameter θ) can be factored into two non-negative functions.

$$L(\hat{\theta}) = p_X(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta) = g(\overbrace{u(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^{\hat{\theta}}, \theta) \cdot h(x_1, \dots, x_n), \text{ for all } x_1, \dots, x_n,$$

where $g(\hat{\theta}, \theta)$ is a function only of $\hat{\theta}$ and θ and $h(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a function of only x_1, \dots, x_n and not of θ .

(A similar statement holds for continuous case.)



PROOF.

1) Suppose that $\hat{\theta} = u(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is sufficient for θ . Then,
 $P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n | \hat{\theta}) = \underline{P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n)}$ if and only if
 $\hat{\theta} = u(x_1, \dots, x_n) = u$ does not depend on θ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} p_X(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta) &= P_\theta(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n \cap \hat{\theta} = u) \\ &= P_\theta(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n | \hat{\theta} = u) P_\theta(\hat{\theta} = u) \\ p_X(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta) &= h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot g(u, \theta) \end{aligned}$$

PROOF.

2) (Converse) Assume, that

$$p_X(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta) = h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot g(u, \theta)$$

Define a set A_u :

$$A_u = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) : \hat{\theta} = u(x_1, \dots, x_n) = u \right\}$$

11001
10101
11100

$$P_\theta(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n | \hat{\theta} = u) = \begin{cases} \frac{P_\theta(X_1=x_1, \dots, X_n=x_n \cap \hat{\theta}=u)}{P_\theta(\hat{\theta}=u)} & \text{if } (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A_u \\ 0, & \text{if } (x_1, \dots, x_n) \notin A_u \end{cases}$$

$$P_\theta(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n | \hat{\theta} = u) = \frac{P_\theta(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n)}{P_\theta(\hat{\theta} = u)} = \frac{p_X(x_1, \dots, x_n, \theta)}{P_\theta(\hat{\theta} = u)}$$

$$= \frac{h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot g(u, \theta)}{\sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A_u} g(\hat{\theta} = u, \theta) h(x_1, \dots, x_n)} = \frac{h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot \cancel{g(u, \theta)}}{\cancel{g(\hat{\theta} = u, \theta)} \cdot \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A_u} h(x_1, \dots, x_n)}$$

$$P_\theta(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n | \hat{\theta} = u) = \frac{h(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{\sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A_u} h(x_1, \dots, x_n)}$$

□

EXAMPLE

Let $X_1 = k_1, \dots, X_n = k_n$ be a random sample of size n from the Poisson pdf,

$$p_X(k; \lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k}{k!}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Show that $\hat{\lambda} = \bar{X}$ is a sufficient statistic for λ .

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 = k_1, \dots, X_n = k_n, \hat{\lambda} = \lambda_e) &= h(X_1, \dots, X_n) \cdot g(\hat{\lambda}_e, \lambda) \\ L(\lambda) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{k_i}}{k_i!} = e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n k_i!} = e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{n \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i}{n}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n k_i! \right)^{-1} \\ &= \underbrace{e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{n \hat{\lambda}_e}}_{g(\hat{\lambda}_e, \lambda)} \cdot \underbrace{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n k_i! \right)^{-1}}_{h(k_1, \dots, k_n)} \Rightarrow \hat{\lambda} = \bar{X} \text{ is a sufficient estimator for } \lambda \end{aligned}$$

□

EXAMPLE

Let X_1, \dots, X_n denote a random sample from a geometric population with parameter p :

$$p_X(x; p) = p(1 - p)^{x-1}, \quad x = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Show that \bar{X} is sufficient for p .

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} L(p) &= \prod_{i=1}^n p (1-p)^{x_i-1} = p^n (1-p)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n} = \\ &= \underbrace{p^n (1-p)^{n\bar{x} - n}}_{g(\hat{p}_x, p)} \underbrace{\cdot 1}_{h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1} \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{p} = \bar{x}$ is a sufficient estimator for p

□



THEOREM

The two statistics $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$ are jointly sufficient for θ_1 and θ_2 if and only if the likelihood function can be factored into two non-negative functions,

$$f_X(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n; \theta_1, \theta_2) = g(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2; \theta_1, \theta_2)h(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n)$$

where $g(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2; \theta_1, \theta_2)$ is only a function of $\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2, \theta_1$, and θ_2 , and $h(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n)$ is free of θ_1 or θ_2 .

EXAMPLE

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$.

- i) If μ is unknown and $\sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2$ is known, show that \bar{X} is a sufficient statistic for μ .
- ii) If $\mu = \mu_0$ is known and σ^2 is unknown, show that $\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_0)^2$ is sufficient for σ^2 .
- iii) If μ and σ^2 are both unknown, show that $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$ are jointly sufficient for μ and σ^2 .

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(\mu, \sigma^2) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x_i - \mu)^2} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sigma^{-n} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2} \\
 &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sigma^{-n} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (-2\mu \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} n\mu^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE

$$L(\mu, \sigma^2) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sigma^{-n} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (-2\mu \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} n\mu^2}$$

1) \bar{x} for μ , $\sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2$

$$L(\mu, \sigma_0^2) = \underbrace{e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} (-2\mu n \bar{x})} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} n\mu^2}}_{g(\bar{x}, \mu)} \cdot \underbrace{(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \cdot \sigma_0^{-n} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}}_{h(x_1, \dots, x_n)}$$

\bar{x} is sufficient for μ

2) $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_0)^2$ for σ^2 , $\mu = \mu_0$

$$L(\mu_0, \sigma^2) = \underbrace{e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_0)^2} \sigma^{-n}}_{g(\hat{\sigma}^2, \sigma^2)} \cdot \underbrace{(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}}_{h(x_1, \dots, x_n)}$$

$$L(\mu, \sigma^2) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sigma^{-n} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (-2\mu \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} n\mu^2}$$

3) $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$ jointly sufficient for μ, σ^2

$$L(\mu, \sigma^2) = \underbrace{\sigma^{-n} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot (-2\mu)} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} n\mu^2}}_{g(\sum x_i, \sum x_i^2; \mu, \sigma^2)} \cdot \underbrace{(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}}_{h(x_1, \dots, x_n)}$$

$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$ are jointly sufficient

**The Sufficiency Principle:**

Any inference procedure should depend on the data only through sufficient statistics.



EXAMPLE

The estimator $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$ is biased estimator for σ^2 :

$$E(\hat{\sigma}^2) = \frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^2.$$



EXAMPLE

The estimator $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$ is biased estimator for σ^2 :

$$E(\hat{\sigma}^2) = \frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^2.$$

However, if the sample size $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$E(\hat{\sigma}^2) \rightarrow \sigma^2,$$

hence, $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is *asymptotically unbiased*.



DEFINITION

A sequence of random variables X_1, X_2, \dots **converges in probability** to a random variable X if for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - X| < \varepsilon) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - X| \geq \varepsilon) = 0$$

Denoted as $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$



DEFINITION

A sequence of random variables X_1, X_2, \dots **converges in probability** to a random variable X if for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - X| < \varepsilon) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - X| \geq \varepsilon) = 0$$

Denoted as $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$

DEFINITION

A sequence $\hat{\theta}_n = u(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ is said to be **consistent sequence of estimators** for θ if it converges in probability to θ , i. e. for $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta| < \varepsilon) = 1$$

DEFINITION

A sequence of random variables X_1, X_2, \dots **converges in probability** to a random variable X if for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - X| < \varepsilon) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - X| \geq \varepsilon) = 0$$

Denoted as $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$

DEFINITION

A sequence $\hat{\theta}_n = u(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ is said to be **consistent sequence of estimators** for θ if it converges in probability to θ , i. e. for $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta| < \varepsilon) = 1$$

Consistency means that the probability of our estimator being within some small ε -interval of θ can be made as close to one as we like by making the sample size n sufficiently large.



EXAMPLE

Suppose that X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is a random sample of size n from discrete pmf $p_X(x, \mu)$, where $E(X) = \mu$, $\text{Var}(X) = \sigma^2 < \infty$. Let $\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \bar{X}_n$. Is $\hat{\mu}$ a consistent estimator for μ ?



EXAMPLE

Suppose that X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is a random sample of size n from discrete pmf $p_X(x, \mu)$, where $E(X) = \mu$, $\text{Var}(X) = \sigma^2 < \infty$. Let $\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \bar{X}_n$. Is $\hat{\mu}$ a consistent estimator for μ ?

Solution.

(Markov's inequality)

Let X be a random variable and let $g(\cdot)$ be a non-negative function. Then, for any $k > 0$,

$$P(g(X) \geq k) \leq \frac{E(g(X))}{k}$$

EXAMPLE

Suppose that X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is a random sample of size n from discrete pmf $p_X(x, \mu)$, where $E(X) = \mu$, $\text{Var}(X) = \sigma^2 < \infty$. Let $\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \bar{X}_n$.

$$E(\bar{X}_n) = \mu$$

$$\text{Var}(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

Is $\hat{\mu}$ a consistent estimator for μ ?

Solution.

(Markov's inequality)

Let X be a random variable and let $g(\cdot)$ be a non-negative function. Then, for any $k > 0$,

$$P(g(X) \geq k) \leq \frac{E(g(X))}{k}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| < \varepsilon) &= 1 - P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| \geq \varepsilon) = 1 - P\left(\frac{(\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \geq \frac{k}{\varepsilon^2}\right) \stackrel{g(\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{=} 1 - P\left(\frac{(\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \geq \frac{k}{\varepsilon^2}\right) \stackrel{E(g(\cdot))}{\leq} \frac{E((\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2)}{\varepsilon^2} \\
 &\geq 1 - \frac{E((\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2)}{\varepsilon^2} = 1 - \frac{\text{Var}(\bar{X}_n)}{\varepsilon^2} = 1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{n\varepsilon^2} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

$1 \leq P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| < \varepsilon) \leq 1$
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| < \varepsilon) = 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$



THEOREM (WEAK LAW OF LARGE NUMBERS)

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d random variables with $E(X_i) = \mu$ and $\text{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2 < \infty$. Define $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| < \varepsilon) = 1$$

that is, \bar{X}_n converges in probability to μ



- ① State main properties of point estimators;
- ② Define the notions of bias and unbiasedness;
- ③ Define the notions of efficiency and relative efficiency of estimators;
- ④ What is the Mean Square Error, state the relation between MSE of an estimator, its variance and bias.
- ⑤ Define the notion of sufficiency of an estimator;
- ⑥ Define the notion of consistency of an estimator.