INTRODUCTORY STATISTICS

Tatiana Tyukina tt51@leicester.ac.uk

Topic 2 - Estimators 2.2 - Properties of Estimators Efficiency



- Topic 0: Introduction
- Topic 1: Descriptive Statistics
- Topic 2: Estimators: Point estimation, Interval estimation
 - 2.1 Point estimation,
 - 2.2 Properties of estimators,
 - 2.3 Interval estimation,
 - 2.4 Inference based on Normal Distribution
- o Topic 3: Hypothesis Testing
- Topic 4: Goodness of Fit: The χ^2 test
- o Topic 5: Bayesian Estimation





Let X_1, X_2, X_3 be a sample of size n = 3 from a distribution with unknown mean μ , $-\infty < \mu < \infty$, where the variance σ^2 is a known positive number.

- 1) Show that both $\hat{\theta}_1 = \bar{X}$ and $\hat{\theta}_2 = (2X_1 + X_2 + 5\bar{X}_3)/8$ are unbiased estimators for μ .
- 2) Compare the variances of $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$.





Let X_1, X_2, X_3 be a sample of size n = 3 from a distribution with unknown mean μ , $-\infty < \mu < \infty$, where the variance σ^2 is a known positive number.

- 1) Show that both $\hat{\theta}_1 = \bar{X}$ and $\hat{\theta}_2 = (2X_1 + X_2 + 5X_3)/8$ are unbiased estimators for μ .
- 2) Compare the variances of $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$.

Solution.

1) For
$$\hat{\theta}_1$$
: $E(\hat{\theta}_1) = E(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot 3\mu = \mu$.



Let X_1, X_2, X_3 be a sample of size n = 3 from a distribution with unknown mean μ , $-\infty < \mu < \infty$, where the variance σ^2 is a known positive number.

- 1) Show that both $\hat{\theta}_1 = \bar{X}$ and $\hat{\theta}_2 = (2X_1 + X_2 + 5X_3)/8$ are unbiased estimators for μ .
- 2) Compare the variances of $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$.

Solution.

1) For
$$\hat{\theta}_1$$
: $E(\hat{\theta}_1) = E(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot 3\mu = \mu$. $E(\bar{X}) = E(\frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{5}{3} \cdot \frac{5$

Hence, both $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$ are unbiased estimators.



Let X_1, X_2, X_3 be a sample of size n = 3 from a distribution with unknown mean μ , $-\infty < \mu < \infty$, where the variance σ^2 is a known positive number.

- 1) Show that both $\hat{\theta}_1 = \bar{X}$ and $\hat{\theta}_2 = (2\bar{X}_1 + X_2 + 5X_3)/8$ are unbiased estimators for μ .
- 2) Compare the variances of $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$.

Solution.

1) For
$$\hat{\theta}_1$$
: $E(\hat{\theta}_1) = E(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot 3\mu = \mu$.

For
$$\hat{\theta}_2$$
: $E(\hat{\theta}_2) = \frac{1}{8} \cdot (2E(X_1) + E(X_2) + 5E(X_3)) = \frac{2\mu + \mu + 5\mu}{8} = \mu$.

Hence, both $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$ are unbiased estimators.

2) The variance of $\hat{\theta}_1$: Var($\hat{\theta}_1$) = $\frac{\sigma^2}{3}$,

whereas the variance of $\hat{\theta}_2$ is

$$Var(\hat{\theta}_1) = Var(X) = Var(\frac{X_1}{3} + \frac{X_2}{3} + \frac{X_3}{3}) = \frac{1}{9} Var(X_1) + \frac{1}{9} Var(X_2) + \frac{1}{9} Var(X_3) = \frac{1}{9} (6^2 + 6^2 + 6^2) = \frac{6^2}{3}$$

$$= \frac{1}{9} (6^2 + 6^2 + 6^2) = \frac{6^2}{3}$$



Let X_1, X_2, X_3 be a sample of size n = 3 from a distribution with unknown mean μ , $-\infty < \mu < \infty$, where the variance σ^2 is a known positive number.

- 1) Show that both $\hat{\theta}_1 = \bar{X}$ and $\hat{\theta}_2 = (2X_1 + X_2 + 5X_3)/8$ are unbiased estimators for μ .
- 2) Compare the variances of $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$.

Solution.

1) For
$$\hat{\theta}_1$$
: $E(\hat{\theta}_1) = E(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot 3\mu = \mu$.

For
$$\hat{\theta}_2$$
: $E(\hat{\theta}_2) = \frac{1}{8} \cdot (2E(X_1) + E(X_2) + 5E(X_3)) = \frac{2\mu + \mu + 5\mu}{8} = \mu$.

Hence, both $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$ are unbiased estimators.

2) The variance of $\hat{\theta}_1$: Var($\hat{\theta}_1$) = $\frac{\sigma^2}{3}$,

whereas the variance of $\hat{\theta}_2$ is

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta}_2) = \operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{2X_1 + X_2 + 5X_3}{8}\right) = \frac{4}{64}\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{64}\sigma^2 + \frac{25}{64}\sigma^2 = \frac{30}{64}\sigma^2$$

Because $Var(\hat{\theta}_1) < Var(\hat{\theta}_2)$, \bar{X} is the better unbiased estimator.

PROPERTIES OF POINT ESTIMATORS: EFFICIENCY



EXAMPLE

Let X_1, X_2, X_3 be a sample of size n = 3 from a distribution with unknown mean μ , $-\infty < \mu < \infty$, where the variance σ^2 is a known positive number.

- 1) Show that both $\hat{\theta}_1 = \bar{X}$ and $\hat{\theta}_2 = (2X_1 + X_2 + 5X_3)/8$ are unbiased estimators for μ .
- 2) Compare the variances of $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$.

Solution.

1) For
$$\hat{\theta}_1$$
: $E(\hat{\theta}_1) = E(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot 3\mu = \mu$.

For
$$\hat{\theta}_2$$
: $E(\hat{\theta}_2) = \frac{1}{8} \cdot (2E(X_1) + E(X_2) + 5E(X_3)) = \frac{2\mu + \mu + 5\mu}{8} = \mu$.

Hence, both $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$ are unbiased estimators.

2) The variance of $\hat{\theta}_1$: Var($\hat{\theta}_1$) = $\frac{\sigma^2}{3}$,

whereas the variance of $\hat{\theta}_2$ is

$$Var(\hat{\theta}_2) = Var(\frac{2X_1 + X_2 + 5X_3}{8}) = \frac{4}{64}\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{64}\sigma^2 + \frac{25}{64}\sigma^2 = \frac{30}{64}\sigma^2$$

Because $Var(\hat{\theta}_1) < Var(\hat{\theta}_2)$, \bar{X} is the better unbiased estimator.

For any value of $\xi > 0$:

$$P(\theta - \xi \le \hat{\theta}_1 \le \theta + \xi) > P(\theta - \xi \le \hat{\theta}_2 \le \theta + \xi)$$



PROPERTIES OF POINT ESTIMATORS: EFFICIENCY



DEFINITION

Let $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$ be two <u>unbiased estimators for a parameter θ </u>. If

$$Var\left(\hat{\theta}_1\right) < Var\left(\hat{\theta}_2\right)$$

we say that $\hat{\theta}_1$ is **more efficient** than $\hat{\theta}_2$.

The relative efficiency of $\hat{\theta}_1$ with respect to $\hat{\theta}_2$ is the ratio $Var(\hat{\theta}_1)/Var(\hat{\theta}_2)$.



Let $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$ be two unbiased estimators for a parameter θ . If

$$Var\left(\hat{\theta}_1\right) < Var\left(\hat{\theta}_2\right)$$

we say that $\hat{\theta}_1$ is **more efficient** than $\hat{\theta}_2$.

The relative efficiency of $\hat{\theta}_1$ with respect to $\hat{\theta}_2$ is the ratio $Var(\hat{\theta}_1)/Var(\hat{\theta}_2)$.

DEFINITION

Let Θ denote a set of all estimators $\hat{\theta} = h(X_1, X_2, ... X_n)$ that are unbiased for the parameter θ in the continuous pdf $f_X(x, \theta)$ (or discrete pmf $p_X(x, \theta)$). The estimator $\hat{\theta}^*$ is the **best** (or **unbiased minimum variance**) **estimator** if $\hat{\theta}^* \in \Theta$ and

$$Var(\hat{\theta}^*) \leq Var(\hat{\theta}) \text{ for all } \hat{\theta} \in \Theta.$$



THEOREM (THE CRAMÉR-RAO LOWER BOUND)

Let $f_X(X,\theta)$ be a continuous pdf with continuous first-order and second-order derivatives.

Let $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ be a random sample from $f_X(x, \theta)$, and suppose that the set of x values, where $f_X(x, \theta) \neq 0$ does not depend on θ . Let $\hat{\theta} = g(X_1, X_2, ... X_n)$ be any **unbiased** estimator of θ . Then

$$Var\left(\hat{\theta}\right) \ge \left\{ nE\left[\left(\frac{\partial \ln f_X(X,\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2\right] \right\}^{-1} = \left\{ -nE\left[\frac{\partial^2 \ln f_X(X,\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right] \right\}^{-1}$$

Fisher information



THEOREM (THE CRAMÉR-RAO LOWER BOUND)

Let $f_X(X, \theta)$ be a continuous pdf with continuous first-order and second-order derivatives.

Let $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ be a random sample from $f_X(x, \theta)$, and suppose that the set of x values, where $f_X(x, \theta) \neq 0$ does not depend on θ . Let $\hat{\theta} = g(X_1, X_2, ... X_n)$ be any **unbiased** estimator of θ . Then

$$Var\left(\hat{\theta}\right) \ge \left\{ nE\left[\left(\frac{\partial \ln f_X(X,\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2\right] \right\}^{-1} = \left\{ -nE\left[\frac{\partial^2 \ln f_X(X,\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right] \right\}^{-1}$$

If the variance of a given $\hat{\theta}$ is equal to the Cramér-Rao lower bound we say that the estimator is *optimal* in a sense that no unbiased $\hat{\theta}$ can estimate θ with greater precision.

PROPERTIES OF POINT ESTIMATORS: EFFICIENCY



THEOREM (THE CRAMÉR-RAO LOWER BOUND)

Let $f_X(X,\theta)$ be a continuous pdf with continuous first-order and second-order derivatives.

Let $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ be a random sample from $f_X(x, \theta)$, and suppose that the set of x values, where $f_X(x, \theta) \neq 0$ does not depend on θ . Let $\hat{\theta} = g(X_1, X_2, ... X_n)$ be any **unbiased** estimator of θ . Then

$$Var\left(\hat{\theta}\right) \ge \left\{ nE\left[\left(\frac{\partial \ln f_X(X,\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2\right] \right\}^{-1} = \left\{ -nE\left[\frac{\partial^2 \ln f_X(X,\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right] \right\}^{-1}$$

If the variance of a given $\hat{\theta}$ is equal to the Cramér-Rao lower bound we say that the estimator is *optimal* in a sense that no unbiased $\hat{\theta}$ can estimate θ with greater precision.

The unbiased estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is said to be *efficient* if the variance of $\hat{\theta}$ equals to the Cramér-Rao lower bound associated with $f_X(x, \theta)$.

The *efficiency* of an unbiased estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is the ratio of the Cramér-Rao lower bound for $f_X(x, \theta)$ to the variance of $\hat{\theta}$.





Let $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ be a random sample from the Poisson distribution

$$p_X(x,\lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!}, x = 0, 1,$$

Compare the Cramér-Rao lower bound for $p_X(x, \lambda)$ to the variance of the maximum likelihood estimator for λ .



Let $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ be a random sample from the Poisson distribution

$$p_X(x,\lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!}, x = 0, 1,$$

Compare the Cramér-Rao lower bound for $p_X(x, \lambda)$ to the variance of the maximum likelihood estimator for λ .

Solution.

To obtain the ML estimator:
$$L(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} = e^{-n\lambda} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} = e^{-n\lambda} \cdot \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i!)^{-1}}$$



Let $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ be a random sample from the Poisson distribution

$$p_X(x,\lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!}, x = 0, 1,$$

Compare the Cramér-Rao lower bound for $p_X(x, \lambda)$ to the variance of the maximum likelihood estimator for λ .

Solution.

1) Obtain the ML estimator:

$$L(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} = e^{-n\lambda} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} \Rightarrow \angle(\lambda) = e^{-n\lambda} \cdot \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x_i!)^{-1}$$

$$\ln L(\lambda) = -n\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \ln \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln(x_i!)$$



Let $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ be a random sample from the Poisson distribution

$$p_X(x,\lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^x}{x!}, x = 0, 1,$$

Compare the Cramér-Rao lower bound for $p_X(x, \lambda)$ to the variance of the maximum likelihood estimator for λ .

Solution.

$$\begin{array}{l} L(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} = e^{-n\lambda} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} \Rightarrow \\ \ln L(\lambda) = -n\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \ln \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln(x_i!) \Rightarrow \\ \frac{d \ln L(\lambda)}{d\lambda} = -n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \underline{\lambda}^{-1} = 0 \end{array}$$



Let $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ be a random sample from the Poisson distribution

$$p_X(x,\lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!}, x = 0, 1,$$

Compare the Cramér-Rao lower bound for $p_X(x, \lambda)$ to the variance of the maximum likelihood estimator for λ .

Solution.

$$\begin{split} L(\lambda) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} = e^{-n\lambda} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} \implies \\ \ln L(\lambda) &= -n\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \ln \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(x_i!) \implies \\ \frac{d \ln L(\lambda)}{d\lambda} &= -n + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \lambda^{-1} = 0 \implies \hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \bar{X}. \end{split}$$



Let $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ be a random sample from the Poisson distribution

$$p_X(x,\lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!}, x = 0, 1,$$

Compare the Cramér-Rao lower bound for $p_X(x, \lambda)$ to the variance of the maximum likelihood estimator for λ .

Solution.

1) Obtain the ML estimator:

$$L(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} = e^{-n\lambda} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} \Rightarrow \ln L(\lambda) = -n\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \ln \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln(x_i!) \Rightarrow \frac{d \ln L(\lambda)}{d\lambda} = -n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \lambda^{-1} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \bar{X}.$$

2) Let's find the Cramér-Rao Lower Boundary (CRLB):

$$CRLB = -\frac{1}{n E\left(\frac{d^2 \ln(p_A(x,\lambda))}{d\lambda^2}\right)}$$

PROPERTIES OF POINT ESTIMATORS: EFFICIENCY



EXAMPLE

Let $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ be a random sample from the Poisson distribution

$$p_X(x,\lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!}, x = 0, 1,$$

Compare the Cramér-Rao lower bound for $p_X(x, \lambda)$ to the variance of the maximum likelihood estimator for λ .

Solution.

1) Obtain the ML estimator:

$$\begin{split} L(\lambda) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} = e^{-n\lambda} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} \implies \\ \ln L(\lambda) &= -n\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \ln \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(x_i!) \implies \\ \frac{d \ln L(\lambda)}{d\lambda} &= -n + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \lambda^{-1} = 0 \implies \hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \bar{X}. \end{split}$$

2) Let's find the Cramér-Rao Lower Boundary (CRLB):

$$\frac{d \ln p_X(X,\lambda)}{d\lambda} = \frac{d \left[\ln e^{-\lambda}\right] + \ln(\lambda^X) - \ln(X!)}{d\lambda} = \frac{d(-\lambda + X \ln(\lambda) - \ln(X!))}{d\lambda}$$
$$\frac{d \ln p_X(X,\lambda)}{d\lambda} = -1 + \frac{X}{\lambda} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{d^2 \ln p_X(X,\lambda)}{d\lambda^2} = -\frac{X}{\lambda^2}$$

Then the Fisher information $-\frac{x}{\lambda}$ $E(x) = \lambda$

$$E\left(\frac{d^{2} \ln p_{X}(X,\lambda)}{d\lambda^{2}}\right) = -\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}E(X) = -\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^{2}} = -\frac{1}{\lambda}$$



Then the Fisher information

$$E\left(\frac{d^2 \ln p_X(X,\lambda)}{d\lambda^2}\right) = -\frac{1}{\lambda^2} E\left(X\right) = -\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2} = -\frac{1}{\lambda}$$

$$CRLB = -\frac{1}{n(-\frac{1}{\lambda})} = \frac{\lambda}{n}$$

Then the Fisher information

$$E\left(\frac{d^2 \ln p_X(X,\lambda)}{d\lambda^2}\right) = -\frac{1}{\lambda^2} E(X) = -\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2} = -\frac{1}{\lambda}$$
$$CRLB = -\frac{1}{n(-\frac{1}{\lambda})} = \frac{\lambda}{n}$$

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\lambda}) = \operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}{n}\right) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var}(X_i)}{n^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda}{n^2} = \frac{n\lambda}{n^2} = \frac{\lambda}{n}$$

Then the Fisher information

$$E\left(\frac{d^2 \ln p_X(X,\lambda)}{d\lambda^2}\right) = -\frac{1}{\lambda^2} E(X) = -\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2} = -\frac{1}{\lambda}$$

$$CRLB = -\frac{1}{n(-\frac{1}{\lambda})} = \frac{\lambda}{n}$$

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\lambda}) = \operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}{n}\right) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var}(X_i)}{n^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda}{n^2} = \frac{n\lambda}{n^2} = \frac{\lambda}{n}$$

Conclusion: The maximum-likelihood estimator $\hat{\lambda} = \bar{X}$ for the parameter λ of the Poisson distribution is **efficient**.



PROPERTIES OF POINT ESTIMATORS: EFFICIENCY



The unbiased estimator $\hat{\theta}$ that minimizes the mean square error is called the **Minimum-Variance Unbiased Estimator (MVUE)** of θ .



Suppose that $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ is a random sample with a fixed sample size n, obtained from a population with the pdf

$$f_X(x,\mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}},$$

i.e $X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. We know that \bar{X} is the ML estimator for μ . Is it the best estimator for μ in terms of unbiasedness and minimum variance?



Suppose that $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ is a random sample with a fixed sample size n, obtained from a population with the pdf

$$f_X(x,\mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}},$$

i.e $X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. We know that \bar{X} is the ML estimator for μ .

Is it the best estimator for μ in terms of unbiasedness and minimum variance?

Solution:

- 1) By the theorem from Topic 2.2 Unbiasedness (Slide 7), we know that X is an unbiased estimator for μ .
- 2) Let's find the CRLB for $f_X(x)$



Suppose that $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ is a random sample with a fixed sample size n, obtained from a population with the pdf

$$f_X(x,\mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}},$$

i.e $X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. We know that \bar{X} is the ML estimator for μ .

Is it the best estimator for μ in terms of unbiasedness and minimum variance?

Solution:

- 1) By the theorem from Topic 2.2 Unbiasedness (Slide 7), we know that \bar{X} is an unbiased estimator for μ .
- 2) Let's find the CRLB for $f_X(x)$

$$\ln f_X(x,\mu) = -\frac{1}{2} \ln 2\pi - \ln \sigma - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x-\mu)^2$$

$$f_X(x,\mu) = 1$$

$$\partial^2 \ln f_X(x,\mu)$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln f_X(x,\mu)}{\partial \mu} = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} 2(x-\mu) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial^2 \ln f_X(x,\mu)}{\partial \mu^2} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2}$$





Hence, the CRLB:

$$CRLB = \frac{1}{-nE(\frac{\partial^2 \ln f_X(x,\mu)}{\partial \mu^2})} = \frac{1}{-nE(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2})} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$



Hence, the CRLB:

$$CRLB = \frac{1}{-nE(\frac{\partial^2 \ln f_X(x,\mu)}{\partial \mu^2})} = \frac{1}{-nE(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2})} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

Now we can either derive the variance for \bar{X} , or we can recall the theorem from Topic 2.1 about the sampling distribution of \bar{X} and note that the variance of the estimator is:

$$Var(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n},$$

which coincides with the CRLB.



Hence, the CRLB:

$$CRLB = \frac{1}{-nE(\frac{\partial^2 \ln f_X(x,\mu)}{\partial \mu^2})} = \frac{1}{-nE(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2})} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

Now we can either derive the variance for \bar{X} , or we can recall the theorem from Topic 2.1 about the sampling distribution of \bar{X} and note that the variance of the estimator is:

$$Var(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n},$$

which coincides with the CRLB.

Thus, we have shown that the sample mean $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ is the "best" estimator (MVUE) for the population mean.



$$MSE\left(\hat{\theta}\right) = E\left(\hat{\theta} - \theta\right)^2$$



$$MSE\left(\hat{\theta}\right) = E\left(\hat{\theta} - \theta\right)^2$$

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}) = E(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^{2} = E[(\hat{\theta} - E(\hat{\theta})) + (E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta)]^{2}$$
$$= E[(\hat{\theta} - E(\hat{\theta}))^{2} + (E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta)^{2} + 2(\hat{\theta} - E(\hat{\theta}))(E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta)]$$



$$MSE\left(\hat{\theta}\right) = E\left(\hat{\theta} - \theta\right)^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\theta}\right) &= E\left(\hat{\theta} - \theta\right)^{2} = E\left[\left(\hat{\theta} - E\left(\hat{\theta}\right)\right) + \left(E\left(\hat{\theta}\right) - \theta\right)\right]^{2} \\ &= E\left[\left(\hat{\theta} - E\left(\hat{\theta}\right)\right)^{2} + \left(E\left(\hat{\theta}\right) - \theta\right)^{2} + 2\left(\hat{\theta} - E\left(\hat{\theta}\right)\right)\left(E\left(\hat{\theta}\right) - \theta\right)\right] \\ &= \underbrace{E\left(\hat{\theta} - E\left(\hat{\theta}\right)\right)^{2}}_{\text{Voc } |\widehat{\theta}|} + E\underbrace{\left(E\left(\hat{\theta}\right) - \theta\right)^{2}}_{\text{Bias}} + 2\underbrace{E\left(\hat{\theta} - E\left(\hat{\theta}\right)\right)}_{\text{Sign}} \left(E\left(\hat{\theta}\right) - \theta\right) \end{aligned}$$



$$MSE\left(\hat{\theta}\right) = E\left(\hat{\theta} - \theta\right)^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\theta}\right) &= E\left(\hat{\theta} - \theta\right)^{2} = E\left[\left(\hat{\theta} - E\left(\hat{\theta}\right)\right) + \left(E\left(\hat{\theta}\right) - \theta\right)\right]^{2} \\ &= E\left[\left(\hat{\theta} - E\left(\hat{\theta}\right)\right)^{2} + \left(E\left(\hat{\theta}\right) - \theta\right)^{2} + 2\left(\hat{\theta} - E\left(\hat{\theta}\right)\right)\left(E\left(\hat{\theta}\right) - \theta\right)\right] \\ &= E\left(\hat{\theta} - E\left(\hat{\theta}\right)\right)^{2} + E\left(E\left(\hat{\theta}\right) - \theta\right)^{2} + 2E\left(\hat{\theta} - E\left(\hat{\theta}\right)\right)\left(E\left(\hat{\theta}\right) - \theta\right) \\ &= \operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\theta}\right) + \underbrace{\left(E\left(\hat{\theta}\right) - \theta\right)^{2} = \operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\theta}\right) + \left(\operatorname{Bias}\left(\hat{\theta}, \theta\right)\right)^{2}}_{\left(\text{Dias}\left(\hat{\theta}\right)\right)} \end{aligned}$$



If *X* has a binomial distribution with parameters *n* and *p*, then $\hat{p}_1 = X/n$ is an unbiased estimator of *p*. Another estimator of *p* is $\hat{p}_2 = (X+1)/(n+2)$.

- 1) Derive the bias of \hat{p}_2 .
- 2) Derive $MSE(\hat{p}_1)$ and $MSE(\hat{p}_2)$.
- 3) Show that for $p \approx 0.5 \text{ MSE}(\hat{p}_2) < \text{MSE}(\hat{p}_1)$.

Solution :

1)
$$t_{p}(\hat{p}_{z}) = E(\hat{p}_{z}) - p = E(\frac{K+1}{n+2}) - p = \frac{E(X)+1}{n+2} - p = \frac{np+1-np-2p}{n+2} = \frac{1-2p}{n+2}$$

PROPERTIES OF POINT ESTIMATORS: EFFICIENCY



EXAMPLE

2)
$$MSE(\hat{p}_1) = Var(\hat{p}_1) + bias^2(\hat{p}_1) = Var(\hat{p}_1) = Var(\frac{X}{n}) =$$

$$= \frac{Var(X)}{n^2} = \frac{np(1-p)}{n^2} = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}$$

$$MSE(\hat{p}_2) = Var(\hat{p}_1) + bias^2(\hat{p}_2) = \frac{Var(X+1)}{(n+2)^2} + \frac{(1-2p)^2}{(n+2)^2} =$$

$$= \frac{np(1-p) + (1-2p)^2}{(n+2)^2} = \frac{np - np^2 + 1 - 4p + np^2}{(n+2)^2} =$$

$$= \frac{1 + (n-1)p - (n-1)p^2}{(n+2)^2}$$

3)
$$P \approx \frac{1}{2}$$

$$MSE(\widehat{P}_{1}) = \frac{1}{4n}$$

$$MSE(\widehat{P}_{2}) = \frac{1 + (n-4)\frac{1}{2} - (n-4)\frac{1}{4}}{(n+2)^{2}} = \frac{4 + 2n - 8 - n + 4}{4(n+2)^{2}} = \frac{n}{4(n+2)^{2}}$$

$$MSE(\hat{p}_2) - MSE(\hat{p}_1) = \frac{n}{4(n+2)^2} - \frac{1}{4n} = \frac{n^2 - n^2 - 4n - 4}{4n(n+2)^2} = \frac{n+1}{(n+2)^2} < 0 \quad \text{N.3.1}$$

preferable than a unbiased interms of smaller MSE.