


Project Group 2



Angelova, Veneta V.G. - Iancu, Andrei A. - Kaufmann, Daniel D.A. - [Ogbeide, Osayewenre G.O.](#) - Spiridonov, Milan M. - Vorst, Lex L. van de



Checkpoint 4 Sprint D review 14-12-2022



Vorst, Lex L. van de a day ago

We need to do these reviews earlier, despite some hiccups we're progressing well and managed to demo most of the functionalities this sprint



Describe what goes well according to your teacher, what you should pay more attention to and what is your next step to achieve the learning outcomes...

[Post Feedback](#)

Checkpoint 3 Sprint C review 16-11-2022



Ogbeide, Osayewenre G.O. 2 months ago

Communication within the Group team members is Effective right Now. Lex and I are focusing on finishing the backend task this sprint. also, the same with front-end team members.



Vorst, Lex L. van de a month ago

Communication within the team has improved a lot, I'm confident we can keep improving our efficiency so we can deliver more next sprint



Ogbeide, Osayewenre G.O. a month ago

For this sprint, there is now a good communication and cooperation with our group team members. And now we have a plan for the License plate research and Censors detections for a Parking Space



Spiridonov, Milan M. a month ago

I didnt know we have to add reviews here.
Nice team!



Koehorst, Michiel M.W. (Teacher) a month ago

Sprint C review and Sprint D planning meeting was held on Nov 23rd. 4 team members were present, two members are not contributing anymore. Progress on the FE was shown and parking system proposal was discussed. Good retrospective was held: communication and planning has been improved. Team is a bit behind and needs to come up with good plan for the remaining work. Sprint deliverables were missing in Canvas. No updates on documentation seen. Cultural awereness document is missing. Test plan is missing. Feedpulse: group and peer/self reviews still not done by all team members or not done in the right manner.

Checkpoint 2 Sprint B review 02-11-2022



Vorst, Lex L. van de 2 months ago

Despite losing 2 team members, among other setbacks caused mainly by a lack of communication within the group, we managed to complete most of our work. Our main priority should be improving communication within the team and dividing work into clear goals, we will also have to adapt to the reduced amount of team members, as we are unsure if/when they will return.



Koehorst, Michiel M.W. (Teacher) 2 months ago

Good Sprint B review and Sprint C planning meeting was held on Nov 3rd. 4 team members were present. Good discussions held with the client on the progress (e.g. FE functionalities and BE API calls) and what can be done better. Some delay amongst others due to missing contribution from some team members. Action is needed on how to improve communication and planning. Unfortunately only a few peer and self reviews were done in Feedpulse. Previous feedback on project plan has not been processed. Start has been made with Architectural Design using C4 model diagrams. TICT quick scan has been done, remark on License Plates in relation with Data Privacy could have been added.

Checkpoint 2 Sprint B review 02-11-2022



Vorst, Lex L. van de 2 months ago

Despite losing 2 team members, among other setbacks caused mainly by a lack of communication within the group, we managed to complete most of our work. Our main priority should be improving communication within the team and dividing work into clear goals, we will also have to adapt to the reduced amount of team members, as we are unsure if/when they will return.



Koehorst, Michiel M.W. (Teacher) 2 months ago

Good Sprint B review and Sprint C planning meeting was held on Nov 3rd. 4 team members were present. Good discussions held with the client on the progress (e.g. FE functionalities and BE API calls) and what can be done better. Some delay amongst others due to missing contribution from some team members. Action is needed on how to improve communication and planning. Unfortunately only a few peer and self reviews were done in Feedpulse. Previous feedback on project plan has not been processed. Start has been made with Architectural Design using C4 model diagrams. TICT quick scan has been done, remark on License Plates in relation with Data Privacy could have been added.

Checkpoint 1 Sprint A review 28-09-2022



Vorst, Lex L. van de 3 months ago

We've made a good start, communication with teammates and clients should be improved



Koehorst, Michiel M.W. (Teacher) 3 months ago

Good Sprint A review and Sprint B planning meeting was held on Oct 5th. Good progress was made in the first sprint and client feedback was taking up well. Ensure that all Feedpulse reflections are done, the group reflection was very minimal and peer reviews and self review are now mostly missing. Regarding the project plan, overall a good plan, the main research question could be added and the research questions could be improved. A number of user stories are written, flow charts were created and a number of wire frames were created. For Sprint B one of the deliverables should be a first version of the Architecture/Solution document. Also TICT tool first scan needs to be part of Sprint B.