

# **Factory Patterns: Factory Method and Abstract Factory**

# Factory Patterns

- Factory patterns are examples of creational patterns
- *Creational patterns* abstract the object instantiation process. They hide how objects are created and help make the overall system independent of how its objects are created and composed.
- *Class creational patterns* focus on the use of inheritance to decide the object to be instantiated
  - ⇒ Factory Method
- *Object creational patterns* focus on the delegation of the instantiation to another object
  - ⇒ Abstract Factory

# Factory Patterns

- All OO languages have an idiom for object creation. In Java this idiom is the *new* operator. Creational patterns allow us to write methods that create new objects without explicitly using the new operator. This allows us to write methods that can instantiate different objects and that can be extended to instantiate other newly-developed objects, all without modifying the method's code! (Quick! Name the principle involved here!)


# The Factory Method Pattern

- Intent

⇒ Define an interface for creating an object, but let subclasses decide which class to instantiate. Factory Method lets a class defer instantiation to subclasses.

- Motivation

⇒ Consider the following framework:



⇒ The createDocument() method is a factory method.


# The Factory Method Pattern

- Applicability

Use the Factory Method pattern in any of the following situations:

- ⇒ A class can't anticipate the class of objects it must create
- ⇒ A class wants its subclasses to specify the objects it creates

- Structure



# The Factory Method Pattern

- Participants

- ⇒ Product

- Defines the interface for the type of objects the factory method creates

- ⇒ ConcreteProduct

- Implements the Product interface

- ⇒ Creator

- Declares the factory method, which returns an object of type Product

- ⇒ ConcreteCreator

- Overrides the factory method to return an instance of a ConcreteProduct

- Collaborations


- ⇒ Creator relies on its subclasses to implement the factory method so that it returns an instance of the appropriate ConcreteProduct

# The Factory Method Pattern

- So what exactly does it mean when we say that "the Factory Method Pattern lets subclasses decide which class to instantiate?"
  - ⇒ It means that Creator class is written without knowing what actual ConcreteProduct class will be instantiated. The ConcreteProduct class which is instantiated is determined solely by which ConcreteCreator subclass is instantiated and used by the application.
  - ⇒ It does *not* mean that somehow the subclass decides at runtime which ConcreteProduct class to create

## Factory Method Example 2

- Consider this maze game:





## Factory Method Example 2 (Continued)

- Here's a MazeGame class with a createMaze() method:

```
/**
 * MazeGame.
 */
public class MazeGame {

    // Create the maze.
    public Maze createMaze() {
        Maze maze = new Maze();
        Room r1 = new Room(1);
        Room r2 = new Room(2);
        Door door = new Door(r1, r2);
        maze.addRoom(r1);
        maze.addRoom(r2);
    }
}
```

## Factory Method Example 2 (Continued)

```
    r1.setSide(MazeGame.North, new Wall());  
    r1.setSide(MazeGame.East, door);  
    r1.setSide(MazeGame.South, new Wall());  
    r1.setSide(MazeGame.West, new Wall());  
    r2.setSide(MazeGame.North, new Wall());  
    r2.setSide(MazeGame.East, new Wall());  
    r2.setSide(MazeGame.South, new Wall());  
    r2.setSide(MazeGame.West, door);  
    return maze;  
}  
  
}
```

## Factory Method Example 2 (Continued)

- The problem with this createMaze() method is its *inflexibility*.
- What if we wanted to have enchanted mazes with EnchantedRooms and EnchantedDoors? Or a secret agent maze with DoorWithLock and WallWithHiddenDoor?
- What would we have to do with the createMaze() method? As it stands now, we would have to make significant changes to it because of the explicit instantiations using the *new* operator of the objects that make up the maze. How can we redesign things to make it easier for createMaze() to be able to create mazes with new types of objects?

## Factory Method Example 2 (Continued)

- Let's add factory methods to the MazeGame class:

```
/**
 * MazeGame with a factory methods.
 */
public class MazeGame {

    public Maze makeMaze() {return new Maze();}

    public Room makeRoom(int n) {return new Room(n);}

    public Wall makeWall() {return new Wall();}

    public Door makeDoor(Room r1, Room r2)
        {return new Door(r1, r2);}
```

## Factory Method Example 2 (Continued)

```
public Maze createMaze() {  
    Maze maze = makeMaze();  
    Room r1 = makeRoom(1);  
    Room r2 = makeRoom(2);  
    Door door = makeDoor(r1, r2);  
    maze.addRoom(r1);  
    maze.addRoom(r2);  
    r1.setSide(MazeGame.North, makeWall());  
    r1.setSide(MazeGame.East, door);  
    r1.setSide(MazeGame.South, makeWall());  
    r1.setSide(MazeGame.West, makeWall());  
    r2.setSide(MazeGame.North, makeWall());  
    r2.setSide(MazeGame.East, makeWall());  
    r2.setSide(MazeGame.South, makeWall());  
    r2.setSide(MazeGame.West, door);  
    return maze;  
}  
}
```

## Factory Method Example 2 (Continued)

- We made createMaze() just slightly more complex, but a lot more flexible!
- Consider this EnchantedMazeGame class:

```
public class EnchantedMazeGame extends MazeGame {  
    public Room makeRoom(int n) {return new EnchantedRoom(n);}  
    public Wall makeWall() {return new EnchantedWall();}  
    public Door makeDoor(Room r1, Room r2)  
        {return new EnchantedDoor(r1, r2);}  
}
```

- The createMaze() method of MazeGame is inherited by EnchantedMazeGame and can be used to create regular mazes or enchanted mazes *without modification!*

## Factory Method Example 2 (Continued)

- The reason this works is that the createMaze() method of MazeGame defers the creation of maze objects to its subclasses. That's the Factory Method pattern at work!
- In this example, the correlations are:
  - ⇒ Creator => MazeGame
  - ⇒ ConcreteCreator => EnchantedMazeGame (MazeGame is also a ConcreteCreator)
  - ⇒ Product => MapSite
  - ⇒ ConcreteProduct => Wall, Room, Door, EnchantedWall, EnchantedRoom, EnchantedDoor

# The Factory Method Pattern

- Consequences

- ⇒ Benefits

- Code is made more flexible and reusable by the elimination of instantiation of application-specific classes
    - Code deals only with the interface of the Product class and can work with any ConcreteProduct class that supports this interface

- ⇒ Liabilities

- Clients might have to subclass the Creator class just to instantiate a particular ConcreteProduct

- Implementation Issues

- ⇒ Creator can be abstract or concrete

- ⇒ Should the factory method be able to create multiple kinds of products? If so, then the factory method has a parameter (possibly used in an if-else!) to decide what object to create.



# The Abstract Factory Pattern


- Intent

- ⇒ Provide an interface for creating families of related or dependent objects without specifying their concrete classes.
- ⇒ The Abstract Factory pattern is very similar to the Factory Method pattern. One difference between the two is that with the Abstract Factory pattern, a class delegates the responsibility of object instantiation to another object via composition whereas the Factory Method pattern uses inheritance and relies on a subclass to handle the desired object instantiation.
- ⇒ Actually, the delegated object frequently uses factory methods to perform the instantiation!

# The Abstract Factory Pattern

- Motivation

⇒ A GUI toolkit that supports multiple look-and-feels:



# The Abstract Factory Pattern


- Applicability

Use the Abstract Factory pattern in any of the following situations:

- ⇒ A system should be independent of how its products are created, composed, and represented
- ⇒ A class can't anticipate the class of objects it must create
- ⇒ A system must use just one of a set of families of products
- ⇒ A family of related product objects is designed to be used together, and you need to enforce this constraint

# The Abstract Factory Pattern

- Structure



# The Abstract Factory Pattern

- Participants

- ⇒ AbstractFactory

- Declares an interface for operations that create abstract product objects

- ⇒ ConcreteFactory

- Implements the operations to create concrete product objects

- ⇒ AbstractProduct

- Declares an interface for a type of product object

- ⇒ ConcreteProduct

- Defines a product object to be created by the corresponding concrete factory

- Implements the AbstractProduct interface

- ⇒ Client

- Uses only interfaces declared by AbstractFactory and AbstractProduct classes

# The Abstract Factory Pattern

- Collaborations

- ⇒ Normally a single instance of a ConcreteFactory class is created at run-time. (This is an example of the Singleton Pattern.) This concrete factory creates product objects having a particular implementation. To create different product objects, clients should use a different concrete factory.
- ⇒ AbstractFactory defers creation of product objects to its ConcreteFactory

## Abstract Factory Example 1

- Let's see how an Abstract Factory can be applied to the MazeGame
- First, we'll write a MazeFactory class as follows:

```
// MazeFactory.  
public class MazeFactory {  
    public Maze makeMaze() {return new Maze();}  
    public Room makeRoom(int n) {return new Room(n);}  
    public Wall makeWall() {return new Wall();}  
    public Door makeDoor(Room r1, Room r2) {  
        return new Door(r1, r2);  
    }  
}
```

- Note that the MazeFactory class is just a collection of factory methods!
- Also, note that MazeFactory acts as both an AbstractFactory and a ConcreteFactory.

## Abstract Factory Example 1 (Continued)

- Now the createMaze() method of the MazeGame class takes a MazeFactory reference as a parameter:

```
public class MazeGame {  
  
    public Maze createMaze(MazeFactory factory) {  
        Maze maze = factory.makeMaze();  
        Room r1 = factory.makeRoom(1);  
        Room r2 = factory.makeRoom(2);  
        Door door = factory.makeDoor(r1, r2);  
        maze.addRoom(r1);  
        maze.addRoom(r2);  
        r1.setSide(MazeGame.North, factory.makeWall());  
        r1.setSide(MazeGame.East, door);  
    }  
}
```



## Abstract Factory Example 1 (Continued)

```
    r1.setSide(MazeGame.South, factory.makeWall());  
    r1.setSide(MazeGame.West, factory.makeWall());  
    r2.setSide(MazeGame.North, factory.makeWall());  
    r2.setSide(MazeGame.East, factory.makeWall());  
    r2.setSide(MazeGame.South, factory.makeWall());  
    r2.setSide(MazeGame.West, door);  
    return maze;  
}  
  
}
```

- Note how createMaze() delegates the responsibility for creating maze objects to the MazeFactory object

## Abstract Factory Example 1 (Continued)

- We can easily extend MazeFactory to create other factories:

```
public class EnchantedMazeFactory extends MazeFactory {  
    public Room makeRoom(int n) {return new EnchantedRoom(n);}  
    public Wall makeWall() {return new EnchantedWall();}  
    public Door makeDoor(Room r1, Room r2)  
        {return new EnchantedDoor(r1, r2);}  
}
```

- In this example, the correlations are:
  - ⇒ AbstractFactory => MazeFactory
  - ⇒ ConcreteFactory => EnchantedMazeFactory (MazeFactory is also a ConcreteFactory)
  - ⇒ AbstractProduct => MapSite
  - ⇒ ConcreteProduct => Wall, Room, Door, EnchantedWall, EnchantedRoom, EnchantedDoor
  - ⇒ Client => MazeGame