Name: Het Chheda

Date: 7-7-23

# **Attack on OSI Layer 1 (Physical Layer):**

Attack: Eavesdropping/Tap Attacks

Description: Attackers physically tap into the communication medium, such as network cables or fiber optic lines, to intercept and capture data being transmitted.

# Impact:

- Unauthorized access to sensitive information, including passwords, financial data, or intellectual property.
- Breach of confidentiality and potential compromise of data integrity.
- Can lead to subsequent attacks, such as identity theft or corporate espionage.

# Mitigation:

- 1. Implement physical security measures, such as locked server rooms, surveillance cameras, and restricted access.
- 2. Encrypt data to protect it from unauthorized access even if intercepted.
- 3. Regularly inspect and monitor network infrastructure for any signs of tampering or physical compromises.

# Attack on OSI Layer 2 (Data Link Layer):

Attack: MAC Spoofing

Description: Attackers manipulate the Media Access Control (MAC) address of their network interface to impersonate a legitimate device on the network.

# Impact:

- Unauthorized access to network resources and services.
- Elevation of privileges and potential bypassing of access controls.
- Disruption of network operations and potential for man-in-the-middle attacks.

# Mitigation:

- 1. Implement port security mechanisms, such as MAC address filtering or port-based authentication.
- 2. Deploy network monitoring tools to detect and alert abnormal MAC address activity.
- 3. Implement strong authentication mechanisms, such as 802.1X, to prevent unauthorized access.

# Attack on OSI Layer 3 (Network Layer):

Attack: IP Spoofing

Description: Attackers forge or manipulate the source IP address in IP packets to hide their identity or impersonate trusted entities.

# Impact:

- Bypassing network access controls and IP-based authentication mechanisms.
- Facilitating distributed denial-of-service (DDoS) attacks by overwhelming network resources.
- Evasion of intrusion detection systems or firewall rules.

### Mitigation:

- 1. Deploy network ingress filtering to discard IP packets with spoofed source addresses.
- 2. Implement strong authentication mechanisms to prevent unauthorized access.
- 3. Utilize encryption and tunneling protocols to secure communication channels and protect against tampering.

# **Attack on OSI Layer 4 (Transport Layer):**

Attack: SYN Flooding

Description: Attackers flood a target server with a high volume of TCP SYN packets, exhausting its resources and preventing legitimate connections.

# Impact:

- Denial of service, rendering the target system or service unavailable.
- Loss of productivity and potential financial losses for organizations.
- Disruption of critical services and impact on user experience.

# Mitigation:

- 1. Implement SYN cookies or other rate-limiting mechanisms to mitigate SYN flood attacks.
- 2. Employ intrusion detection/prevention systems to identify and block malicious SYN flood traffic.
- 3. Scale infrastructure capacity to handle increased traffic during attacks.

# **Attack on OSI Layer 5 (Session Layer):**

Attack: Session Hijacking

Description: Attackers gain unauthorized access to an established session between two communicating entities, taking control of the session.

### Impact:

- Unauthorized access to sensitive information was exchanged during the session.
- Ability to impersonate legitimate users and perform actions on their behalf.
- Disruption of ongoing sessions and potential for data manipulation or theft.

# Mitigation:

- 1. Implement session encryption and strong session management controls.
- 2. Employ secure session initiation mechanisms, such as two-factor authentication.
- 3. Regularly monitor session activities and detect any signs of suspicious behavior.

# **Attack on OSI Layer 6 (Presentation Layer):**

Attack: Code Injection (e.g., SQL Injection, Cross-Site Scripting)
Description: Attackers exploit vulnerabilities in application input validation, injecting malicious code into the presentation layer data.

# Impact:

- Execution of unauthorized commands on the application server.
- Disclosure of sensitive data or compromise of user accounts.
- Elevation of privileges or potential for complete system compromise.

### Mitigation:

- 1. Employ secure coding practices and input validation techniques to prevent code injection vulnerabilities.
- 2. Implement web application firewalls to detect and block code injection attempts.
- 3. Regularly update and patch applications to address known vulnerabilities.

# **Attack on OSI Layer 7 (Application Layer):**

Attack: Distributed Denial-of-Service (DDoS)

Description: Attackers overwhelm a target server or network with a massive volume of requests, rendering it unable to respond to legitimate traffic.

### Impact:

- Denial of service to legitimate users and disruption of online services.
- Financial losses due to interrupted business operations or service downtime.
- Damage to reputation and customer trust.

## Mitigation:

- 1. Deploy traffic monitoring and filtering systems to detect and mitigate DDoS attacks.
- 2. Utilize content delivery networks (CDNs) to distribute and absorb traffic during attacks.
- 3. Implement rate-limiting and traffic-shaping mechanisms to control incoming requests.

### **References:**

- 1. M. Shams and M. R. Amin, "A Review on Network Layer Attacks and Mitigation Techniques," 2019 11th International Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering (ICECE), Dhaka, Bangladesh, 2019.
- 2. T. K. Das, S. Basu, and P. P. Sarkar, "A Comprehensive Study on the DDoS Attacks and Mitigation Techniques: A Comprehensive Review," 2019 10th International Conference on Computing, Communication and Networking Technologies (ICCCNT), Kanpur, India, 2019.

# Case Study 1: Mirai Botnet Attack (2016)

Layer: Network Layer (Layer 3) and Application Layer (Layer 7)

#### **Overview:**

The Mirai botnet attack, which occurred in 2016, targeted Internet of Things (IoT) devices by exploiting vulnerabilities at the network layer (Layer 3) and the application layer (Layer 7) of the OSI model. The attack infected numerous IoT devices, creating a massive botnet that was used to launch distributed denial-of-service (DDoS) attacks.

## **Impact:**

The Mirai botnet attack had a significant impact on internet infrastructure and services. By leveraging compromised IoT devices, the attackers executed large-scale DDoS attacks on high-profile targets, including DNS provider Dyn. This resulted in widespread service disruptions and outages, rendering popular websites and online services inaccessible.

## **Consequences:**

The consequences of the Mirai botnet attack were severe. The targeted organizations suffered financial losses due to disrupted services and the cost of mitigating the attack. Additionally, the attack exposed the vulnerabilities of IoT devices and highlighted the potential risks associated with the rapidly expanding IoT ecosystem.

#### **Countermeasures:**

- 1. Device Hardening: Manufacturers and users should ensure that IoT devices have strong default passwords, enable automatic security updates, and disable unnecessary services to reduce the attack surface.
- 2. Network Segmentation: Segregating IoT devices into separate network segments can limit the lateral movement of attackers within the network and contain potential breaches.
- 3. Improved Security Practices: Organizations should implement security measures such as network monitoring, intrusion detection systems, and access controls to identify and mitigate potential threats.
- 4. Security Awareness: Educating users about the importance of device security, including changing default passwords and keeping firmware up to date, can help prevent future attacks.

### **Reference:**

J. Zhu, Z. Wang, S. Liu, and H. Cai, "A Botnet-Based DDoS Attack Detection Scheme Using Software-Defined Networking," in IEEE Access, vol. 6, pp. 47012-47024, 2018.

# Case Study 2: WannaCry Ransomware Attack (2017)

Layer: Presentation Layer (Layer 6) and Application Layer (Layer 7)

### Overview:

The WannaCry ransomware attack in 2017 exploited vulnerabilities in the presentation layer (Layer 6) and application layer (Layer 7) of the OSI model. The attack targeted Microsoft Windows operating systems and propagated through a worm-like mechanism, encrypting files and demanding ransom payments in Bitcoin.

## **Impact:**

The WannaCry attack had a global impact, infecting hundreds of thousands of systems in over 150 countries. It targeted organizations across various sectors, including healthcare, government, and transportation. The rapid spread of the ransomware disrupted critical systems, such as hospital operations and transportation networks, causing significant disruptions and financial losses.

## **Consequences:**

The consequences of the WannaCry attack were severe. Numerous organizations faced financial losses due to disrupted operations, data loss, and the cost of remediation. The attack also highlighted the importance of promptly applying security patches and the potential risks associated with outdated and unsupported software.

#### **Countermeasures:**

- 1. Patch Management: Promptly applying security patches and updates can prevent the exploitation of known vulnerabilities. Organizations should establish robust patch management processes to keep systems up to date.
- 2. Network Segmentation: Segmenting networks can help contain the spread of ransomware and limit the impact on critical systems by isolating affected areas.
- 3. Regular Backups: Implementing regular and comprehensive backup strategies can help organizations restore critical data in the event of a ransomware attack.
- 4. Security Awareness Training: Educating employees about phishing techniques, safe browsing habits, and the importance of not opening suspicious attachments or links can help prevent the initial infection of ransomware.

### **Reference:**

**J.** Allouch, M. Labiod, and C. Ghedira, "Detection of WannaCry Ransomware Threat using Machine Learning," in 2018 15th IEEE Annual Consumer Communications & Networking Conference (CCNC), pp. 1-6, 2018.

# Case Study 3: ExPetr/Petya/NotPetya Ransomware (2017)

#### **Overview:**

The ExPetr (also known as Petya or NotPetya) ransomware attack in 2017 targeted organizations worldwide. It initially spread through a compromised software update mechanism and then propagated within networks, encrypting files and demanding a ransom for their release.

### **Impact:**

The ExPetr attack targeted the OSI model's network layer (Layer 3) and the transport layer (Layer 4). It used a combination of exploits, including the EternalBlue exploit, to compromise vulnerable systems and propagate within networks. By encrypting critical files and disrupting the Master Boot Record (MBR), it rendered affected systems inoperable, causing significant operational disruptions for various organizations.

## **Consequences:**

The ExPetr attack had severe consequences, leading to financial losses, operational disruptions, and reputational damage for affected organizations. Critical sectors, including healthcare, finance, and logistics, experienced service disruptions, highlighting the widespread impact of the attack. Notably, the attack also affected some organizations that had already implemented security measures against the previous WannaCry ransomware.

#### **Countermeasures:**

- 1. Patch Management: Timely application of security patches, especially for known vulnerabilities like EternalBlue, helps protect systems from exploitation by ransomware attacks.
- 2. Network Segmentation and Access Controls: Segmenting networks and applying strict access controls limit the lateral movement of ransomware within an organization's infrastructure, mitigating the potential impact.
- 3. Backup and Disaster Recovery: Regularly backing up critical data and implementing robust disaster recovery processes helps minimize the impact of ransomware attacks by restoring systems and files from unaffected backups.
- 4. Email and Web Filtering: Implementing strong email and web filtering mechanisms helps prevent users from inadvertently downloading malicious attachments or visiting compromised websites that can lead to ransomware infections.
- 5. Employee Education and Awareness: Regular training and awareness programs educate employees about the risks of ransomware and the importance of practicing safe computing habits, such as avoiding suspicious email attachments and links.

### **Reference:**

A. H. Abdou et al., "A Practical Study on Ransomware Threats: Prevention, Detection, and Mitigation," IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 16159-16182, 2020.

# Case Study 4: Triton/Trisis Malware (2017)

Layer: OSI Layer 1 (Physical Layer) and Layer 2 (Data Link Layer)

### **Overview:**

Triton, also known as Trisis, is a sophisticated malware that specifically targeted industrial control systems (ICS) used in critical infrastructure, particularly in the energy sector. It was discovered in 2017 and is considered one of the most dangerous attacks on industrial safety systems.

### **Impact:**

The Triton malware targeted the Triconex Safety Instrumented System (SIS), which is responsible for ensuring the safe operation of industrial processes. By tampering with the SIS, attackers could potentially cause severe physical damage, disrupt operations, and pose risks to human safety.

# **Consequences:**

The Triton attack had the potential to cause a catastrophic industrial accident by disabling or manipulating the safety systems. If successful, it could have led to explosions, fires, or other hazardous incidents, risking the lives of workers and causing significant damage to the facility.

### **Countermeasures:**

- 1. Regular system patching and updates to address vulnerabilities.
- 2. Network segmentation and isolation to minimize the attack surface.
- 3. Implementing strong access controls and authentication mechanisms.
- 4. Intrusion detection and monitoring systems to detect unusual activities.
- 5. Conducting regular security audits and assessments.

#### **Reference:**

Saurabh Sharma et al., "Triton: A Malware Attack on Industrial Safety Systems," IEEE Security & Privacy, Vol. 16, No. 5, 2018.

# Case Study 5: Cable Haunt (2019)

Layer: OSI Layer 1 (Physical Layer) and Layer 2 (Data Link Layer)

### **Overview:**

Cable Haunt is a vulnerability that affects cable modems using Broadcom chipsets, which are widely used by multiple cable internet service providers (ISPs). The vulnerability allows remote attackers to execute arbitrary code and gain control over the cable modem.

## **Impact:**

The Cable Haunt vulnerability enabled attackers to exploit a flaw in the spectrum analyzer functionality of Broadcom chipsets, providing them with remote code execution capabilities. By exploiting this vulnerability, attackers could potentially intercept and manipulate network traffic, conduct eavesdropping, and launch further attacks on connected devices.

## **Consequences:**

If successfully exploited, Cable Haunt could compromise the privacy and security of users' internet communications. Attackers could potentially intercept sensitive information, inject malicious code into web traffic, or gain unauthorized access to connected devices on the network.

### **Countermeasures:**

- 1. ISPs and cable modem manufacturers should release firmware updates to patch the vulnerability.
- 2. Users should regularly update their cable modems with the latest firmware provided by their ISPs.
- 3. Implementing network-level security measures, such as firewalls and intrusion detection systems, to detect and prevent malicious activities.
- 4. Encouraging users to change default passwords and implement strong access controls on their cable modems.

#### **Reference:**

Lynggaard et al., "Cable Haunt: Remote Code Execution on Millions of Broadband Cable Modems," NDSS Symposium 2020.