TL06 Capas convolucionales y de agrupación

Índice

1. Capas convolucionales y de agrupación

2. Ejemplo: MNIST

3. Ejercicio: Fashion-MNIST

1 Capas convolucionales y de agrupación

Capas convolucionales:

- API: https://keras.io/api/layers/convolution_layers
- Clase Conv2D: https://keras.io/api/layers/convolution_layers/convolution2d
- Algunos parámetros relevantes de la clase Conv2D:
 - filters : número de filtros (canales de salida) a aplicar (en cada canal de entrada)
 - kernel size: tamaño de los filtros; entero o par de enteros
 - strides=(1, 1): saltos; entero o par de enteros
 - padding="valid": "valid" indica sin relleno; "same" indica salida del mismo tamaño que la entrada (sin saltos)
 - data format=None: "channels_last" (si no se ha configurado otra cosa) o "channels_first"
 - activation=None : función de activación

Capas de agrupación:

- API: https://keras.io/api/layers/pooling layers
- Clase MaxPooling2D: https://keras.io/api/layers/pooling_layers/max_pooling2d
- Algunos parámetros relevantes de la clase MaxPooling2D:
 - pool size=(2, 2): tamaño de la ventana; entero o par de enteros
 - strides=None: saltos; entero o par de enteros
 - padding="valid": "valid" indica sin relleno; "same" indica salida del mismo tamaño que la entrada (sin saltos)
 - data_format=None : "channels_last" (si no se ha configurado otra cosa) o "channels_first"

Ejemplo de red convolucional básica: dos pares Conv2D-MaxPooling2D previos a un MLP

2 MNIST

MNIST: resumen de resultados

- \bullet MLP inicial: MLP con una capa oculta de 800 RELUs, batch size 16, 10 épocas; 98.1% en test
- Mejor arquitectura: una capa oculta de 800 RELUs, 98.2% en val, 98.2% en test (98.2% modelo val)
- Learning rate y batch size: ajustados a 0.00168 y 256; 98.5% en val, 98.5% en test (98.5% modelo val)
- ReduceLROnPlateau: factor 0.3787 y paciencia 10; 98.5% en val, 98.4% en test (98.4% modelo val)

Inicialización: librerías, semilla, lectura de MNIST sin aplanar imágenes y partición train-val-test

```
In []: import numpy as np; import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import os; os.environ['TF_CPP_MIN_LOG_LEVEL'] = '2'
import keras; import keras_tuner
keras.utils.set_random_seed(23); input_dim = (28, 28, 1); num_classes = 10
(x_train_val, y_train_val), (x_test, y_test) = keras.datasets.mnist.load_data()
x_train_val = x_train_val.astype("float32") / 255.0
x_test = x_test.astype("float32") / 255.0
x_train_val = np.expand_dims(x_train_val, -1)
x_test = np.expand_dims(x_test, -1)
print(x_train_val.shape, y_train_val.shape, x_test.shape, y_test.shape)
y_train_val = keras.utils.to_categorical(y_train_val, num_classes)
y_test = keras.utils.to_categorical(y_test, num_classes)
x_train = x_train_val[:-10000]; x_val = x_train_val[-10000:]
y_train = y_train_val[:-10000]; y_val = y_train_val[-10000:]

(60000, 28, 28, 1) (60000,) (10000, 28, 28, 1) (10000,)
```

MyHyperModel: exploramos número de filtros de la primera capa; doblamos en la segunda

```
class MyHyperModel(keras tuner.HyperModel):
In [ ]:
            def build(self, hp):
                M = keras.Sequential()
                M.add(keras.Input(shape=(28, 28, 1)))
                filters = hp.Int("filters", min value=8, max value=64, step=2, sampling="log")
                M.add(keras.layers.Conv2D(filters, kernel size=(3, 3), activation="relu"))
                M.add(keras.layers.MaxPooling2D(pool size=(2, 2)))
                M.add(keras.layers.Conv2D(2*filters, kernel size=(3, 3), activation="relu"))
                M.add(keras.layers.MaxPooling2D(pool size=(2, 2)))
                M.add(keras.layers.Flatten())
                M.add(keras.layers.Dense(units=800, activation='relu'))
                M.add(keras.layers.Dense(10, activation='softmax'))
                opt = keras.optimizers.Adam(learning rate=0.00168)
                M.compile(loss="categorical crossentropy", optimizer=opt, metrics=["accuracy"])
                return M
            def fit(self, hp, M, x, y, xy val, **kwargs):
                factor = 0.3787; patience = 5
                 reduce cb = keras.callbacks.ReduceLROnPlateau(
                    monitor='val accuracy', factor=factor, patience=patience, min delta=le-4, min lr=le-5)
                early cb = keras.callbacks.EarlyStopping(monitor='val accuracy', patience=2*patience, min delta=1e-5)
                kwarqs['callbacks'].extend([reduce cb, early cb])
                return M.fit(x, y, batch size=256, epochs=100, validation data=xy val, **kwargs)
```

Experimento: exploración y resumen de resultados

```
In []: tuner = keras tuner.BayesianOptimization(
            MyHyperModel(), objective="val accuracy", max trials=10, executions per trial=1,
            overwrite=True, directory="/tmp", project name="MNIST")
In []: tuner.search(x train, y train, (x val, y val))
        Trial 10 Complete [00h 12m 55s]
        val accuracy: 0.9940000176429749
        Best val accuracy So Far: 0.9940000176429749
        Total elapsed time: 01h 38m 03s
In [ ]: tuner.results summary(num trials=4)
        Results summary
        Results in /tmp/MNIST
        Showing 4 best trials
        Objective(name="val accuracy", direction="max")
        Trial 02 summary
        Hyperparameters:
        filters: 64
        Score: 0.9940000176429749
        Trial 09 summary
        Hyperparameters:
        filters: 64
        Score: 0.9940000176429749
        Trial 00 summary
        Hyperparameters:
        filters: 32
        Score: 0.9932000041007996
        Trial 03 summary
        Hyperparameters:
        filters: 64
        Score: 0.9929999709129333
```

Experimento (cont.): evaluación en test de los mejores modelos en validación

```
num models = 10
In [ ]:
        best hyperparameters = tuner.get best hyperparameters(num trials=num models)
        best models = tuner.get best models(num models=num models)
        for m in range(num models):
            values = best hyperparameters[m].values
            score = best models[m].evaluate(x test, y test, verbose=0)
            print(f'Model {m}: Hyperparameters: {values!s} Loss: {score[0]:.4} Precisión: {score[1]:.2%}')
        Model 0: Hyperparameters: {'filters': 64} Loss: 0.03234 Precisión: 99.31%
        Model 1: Hyperparameters: {'filters': 64} Loss: 0.03318 Precisión: 99.38%
        Model 2: Hyperparameters: {'filters': 32} Loss: 0.03167 Precisión: 99.33%
        Model 3: Hyperparameters: {'filters': 64} Loss: 0.03387 Precisión: 99.47%
        Model 4: Hyperparameters: {'filters': 64} Loss: 0.03082 Precisión: 99.38%
        Model 5: Hyperparameters: {'filters': 64} Loss: 0.0273 Precisión: 99.43%
        Model 6: Hyperparameters: {'filters': 64} Loss: 0.03103 Precisión: 99.37%
        Model 7: Hyperparameters: {'filters': 8} Loss: 0.03838 Precisión: 99.23%
        Model 8: Hyperparameters: {'filters': 16} Loss: 0.03671 Precisión: 99.25%
        Model 9: Hyperparameters: {'filters': 16} Loss: 0.03302 Precisión: 99.23%
```

Conclusión: precisión en test claramente mejor que la que teníamos

3 Fashion-MNIST

Ejercicio: realiza un experimento similar al de MNIST con Fashion-MNIST

Coste: el mismo experimento cuesta unas 4.5 horas, por lo que conviene reducir el coste de alguna forma

Fashion-MNIST: resumen de resultados

- MLP inicial: MLP con una capa oculta de 800 RELUs, batch size 16, 20 épocas; 88.0% en test
- Mejor arquitectura: una capa oculta de 800 RELUs, 89.0% en val, 88.3% en test (88.0% modelo val)
- Learning rate y batch size: ajustados a 0.00015 y 256; 89.6% en val, 89.8% en test (89.1% modelo val)
- ReduceLROnPlateau: factor 0.32 y paciencia 5; 90.0% en val, 89.6% en test (89.5% modelo val)

Inicialización: librerías, semilla, lectura de Fashion-MNIST sin aplanar imágenes y partición train-val-test

```
In []: import numpy as np; import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import os; os.environ['TF_CPP_MIN_LOG_LEVEL'] = '2'
import keras; import keras_tuner
keras.utils.set_random_seed(23); input_dim = (28, 28, 1); num_classes = 10
(x_train_val, y_train_val), (x_test, y_test) = keras.datasets.fashion_mnist.load_data()
x_train_val = x_train_val.astype("float32") / 255.0
x_test = x_test.astype("float32") / 255.0
x_train_val = np.expand_dims(x_train_val, -1)
x_test = np.expand_dims(x_test, -1)
print(x_train_val.shape, y_train_val.shape, x_test.shape, y_test.shape)
y_train_val = keras.utils.to_categorical(y_train_val, num_classes)
y_test = keras.utils.to_categorical(y_test, num_classes)
x_train = x_train_val[:-10000]; x_val = x_train_val[-10000:]
y_train = y_train_val[:-10000]; y_val = y_train_val[-10000:]

(60000, 28, 28, 1) (60000,) (10000, 28, 28, 1) (10000,)
```

MyHyperModel: exploramos número de filtros de la primera capa; doblamos en la segunda

```
class MyHyperModel(keras tuner.HyperModel):
In [ ]:
            def build(self, hp):
                M = keras.Sequential()
                M.add(keras.Input(shape=(28, 28, 1)))
                filters = hp.Int("filters", min value=8, max value=64, step=2, sampling="log")
                M.add(keras.layers.Conv2D(filters, kernel size=(3, 3), activation="relu"))
                M.add(keras.layers.MaxPooling2D(pool size=(2, 2)))
                M.add(keras.layers.Conv2D(2*filters, kernel size=(3, 3), activation="relu"))
                M.add(keras.layers.MaxPooling2D(pool size=(2, 2)))
                M.add(keras.layers.Flatten())
                M.add(keras.layers.Dense(units=800, activation='relu'))
                M.add(keras.layers.Dense(10, activation='softmax'))
                opt = keras.optimizers.Adam(learning rate=0.00015)
                M.compile(loss="categorical crossentropy", optimizer=opt, metrics=["accuracy"])
                return M
            def fit(self, hp, M, x, y, xy val, **kwarqs):
                factor = 0.32; patience = 5
                 reduce cb = keras.callbacks.ReduceLROnPlateau(
                    monitor='val accuracy', factor=factor, patience=patience, min delta=le-4, min lr=le-5)
                early cb = keras.callbacks.EarlyStopping(monitor='val accuracy', patience=2*patience, min delta=1e-5)
                kwarqs['callbacks'].extend([reduce cb, early cb])
                return M.fit(x, y, batch size=256, epochs=100, validation data=xy val, **kwargs)
```

Experimento: exploración y resumen de resultados

```
In []: tuner = keras tuner.BayesianOptimization(
            MyHyperModel(), objective="val accuracy", max trials=10, executions per trial=1,
            overwrite=True, directory="/tmp", project name="Fashion-MNIST")
In []: tuner.search(x train, y train, (x val, y val))
        Trial 10 Complete [00h 38m 00s]
        val accuracy: 0.9203000068664551
        Best val accuracy So Far: 0.9222000241279602
        Total elapsed time: 04h 32m 45s
In [ ]: tuner.results summary(num trials=4)
        Results summary
        Results in /tmp/Fashion-MNIST
        Showing 4 best trials
        Objective(name="val accuracy", direction="max")
        Trial 03 summary
        Hyperparameters:
        filters: 64
        Score: 0.9222000241279602
        Trial 06 summary
        Hyperparameters:
        filters: 64
        Score: 0.9218000173568726
        Trial 02 summary
        Hyperparameters:
        filters: 64
        Score: 0.9205999970436096
        Trial 09 summary
        Hyperparameters:
        filters: 64
        Score: 0.9203000068664551
```

Experimento (cont.): evaluación en test de los mejores modelos en validación

```
num models = 10
In [ ]:
        best hyperparameters = tuner.get best hyperparameters(num trials=num models)
        best models = tuner.get best models(num models=num models)
        for m in range(num models):
            values = best hyperparameters[m].values
            score = best models[m].evaluate(x test, y test, verbose=0)
            print(f'Model {m}: Hyperparameters: {values!s} Loss: {score[0]:.4} Precisión: {score[1]:.2%}')
        Model 0: Hyperparameters: {'filters': 64} Loss: 0.2649 Precisión: 91.62%
        Model 1: Hyperparameters: {'filters': 64} Loss: 0.2455 Precisión: 91.64%
        Model 2: Hyperparameters: {'filters': 64} Loss: 0.2511 Precisión: 91.19%
        Model 3: Hyperparameters: {'filters': 64} Loss: 0.2476 Precisión: 91.47%
        Model 4: Hyperparameters: {'filters': 64} Loss: 0.2451 Precisión: 91.66%
        Model 5: Hyperparameters: {'filters': 64} Loss: 0.2532 Precisión: 91.36%
        Model 6: Hyperparameters: {'filters': 64} Loss: 0.2508 Precisión: 91.33%
        Model 7: Hyperparameters: {'filters': 32} Loss: 0.2521 Precisión: 91.23%
        Model 8: Hyperparameters: {'filters': 16} Loss: 0.272 Precisión: 90.80%
        Model 9: Hyperparameters: {'filters': 8} Loss: 0.2789 Precisión: 90.16%
```

Conclusión: precisión en test claramente mejor que la que teníamos