

# Notes on quantum transport in mesoscopic systems

Li Gaoyang

December 1, 2020

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Basics</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Magnon . . . . .	2
1.2	Hall effect . . . . .	2
1.2.1	Conventional Hall effect . . . . .	2
1.2.2	Quantum Hall effect . . . . .	2
1.2.3	Spin Hall effect . . . . .	2
1.2.4	Fractional Hall effect . . . . .	2
1.2.5	Anomalous Hall effect . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Formulas in PRB. 88, 220406(R) (2013)</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Formula 1 . . . . .	2
2.2	Formula 2 . . . . .	3
<b>3</b>	<b>Spin current in NM-QD-MIL system</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1	Spin-dependent current in left lead . . . . .	5
3.2	magnonic current in right lead . . . . .	5
3.3	spin-dependent current in QD . . . . .	6
3.4	Verifying continuity condition . . . . .	7
3.4.1	Charge current . . . . .	7
3.4.2	Spin current . . . . .	7
3.5	Perturbation expansion of $G_d(\tau, \tau)$ . . . . .	8
<b>4</b>	<b>Checking formulas in notes</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Nonequilibrium Green's function technique</b>	<b>8</b>
5.1	Demonstrative Hamiltonian . . . . .	8
5.2	Current definition . . . . .	8
5.3	Free propagators . . . . .	10
5.4	DC case . . . . .	11
5.5	Another way to get $G_{n,k\alpha}(\tau, \tau')$ (Dyson equation + Keldysh equation) . . . . .	12
5.6	With spin index . . . . .	12
<b>6</b>	<b>Notes on programs</b>	<b>13</b>
6.1	NM/10x10SC/NM . . . . .	13
6.2	NM/10x10SC/MI . . . . .	13

# 1 Basics

## 1.1 Magnon

A magnon is a quasiparticle, a collective excitation of the electrons' spin structure in a crystal lattice. In the equivalent wave picture of quantum mechanics, a magnon can be viewed as a quantized spin wave. Magnons carry a fixed amount of energy and lattice momentum, and are spin-1, indicating they obey boson behavior.

## 1.2 Hall effect

### 1.2.1 Conventional Hall effect

### 1.2.2 Quantum Hall effect

### 1.2.3 Spin Hall effect

Occurs in paramagnetic systems as a result of spin-orbit interaction, refers to generation of pure spin current transverse to an applied electric field, even in the absence of magnetic field.

Similar to charge accumulation at sample edges in conventional Hall effect, spin accumulation is expected in spin Hall effect.

- extrinsic spin Hall effect: originates from asymmetric scattering for spin-up and spin-down.
- intrinsic spin Hall effect: originates from band structures without scattering.[1]

### 1.2.4 Fractional Hall effect

### 1.2.5 Anomalous Hall effect

## 2 Formulas in PRB. 88, 220406(R) (2013)

### 2.1 Formula 1

System Hamiltonian:

$$H = H_L + H_R + H_{sd}. \quad (1)$$

Left lead is metallic

$$H_L = \sum_{k\sigma} (\varepsilon_{k\sigma} - \mu_\sigma) c_{k\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma}, \quad (2)$$

right lead is insulating magnetic

$$H_R \approx \sum_q \hbar \omega_q a_q^\dagger a_q + \text{constant} . \quad (3)$$

The interfacial electron-magnon interaction is described by

$$H_{sd} = - \sum_{k,q} J_q \left[ S_q^- c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k+q\downarrow} + S_q^+ c_{k+q\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k\uparrow} \right] \quad (4)$$

where  $S_q^- \approx \sqrt{2S_0} a_q^\dagger$ ,  $S_q^+ \approx \sqrt{2S_0} a_q$  are in the momentum space and  $J_q$  denotes the effective exchange coupling at the interface. The magnonic spin current operator can be obtained by

$$\hat{I}_S = \frac{d\hat{N}_R}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \sum_q a_q^\dagger a_q, \quad (5)$$

the magnonic spin current is obtained by taking average over the nonequilibrium ground state  $|\psi_0\rangle$  of the interacting system  $H$ :

$$I_S = \frac{dN_R}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \langle \sum_q a_q^\dagger a_q \rangle. \quad (6)$$

Using the Heisenberg equation, we get

$$I_S = \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle [H_{sd}, \sum_q a_q^\dagger a_q] \rangle. \quad (7)$$

$$[H_{sd}, \sum_q a_q^\dagger a_q] = [-\sum_{k,q} J_q \left( S_q^- c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k+q\downarrow} + S_q^+ c_{k+q\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k\uparrow} \right), \sum_q a_q^\dagger a_q], \quad (8)$$

in which,

$$[a_q^\dagger, \sum_{q'} a_{q'}^\dagger a_{q'}] = \delta_{qq'} [a_q^\dagger, a_{q'}^\dagger a_{q'}] = [a_q^\dagger, a_q^\dagger a_q] = a_q^\dagger [a_q^\dagger, a_q] = -a_q^\dagger. \quad (9)$$

Similarly,

$$[a_q, \sum_{q'} a_{q'}^\dagger a_{q'}] = [a_q, a_q^\dagger a_q] = a_q. \quad (10)$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} I_S &= \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle -\sum_{k,q} J_q \left( -S_q^- c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k+q\downarrow} + S_q^+ c_{k+q\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k\uparrow} \right) \rangle \\ &= \frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{k,q} J_q \left( \langle S_q^- c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k+q\downarrow} \rangle - \langle S_q^+ c_{k+q\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k\uparrow} \rangle \right). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

## 2.2 Formula 2

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle S_q^+ c_{k+q\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k\uparrow} \rangle = \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle [H_L + H_{sd} + H_R, S_q^+ c_{k+q\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k\uparrow}] \rangle. \quad (12)$$

The rhs. of eq. (12) is decomposed into 3 terms. The first term reads

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [H_L, S_q^+ c_{k+q\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k\uparrow}] \rangle &= \left[ \sum_{k'\sigma} (\varepsilon_{k'\sigma} - \mu_\sigma) c_{k'\sigma}^\dagger c_{k'\sigma}, S_q^+ c_{k+q\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k\uparrow} \right] \\ &= S_q^+ \left[ \sum_{k'\sigma} (\varepsilon_{k'\sigma} - \mu_\sigma) c_{k'\sigma}^\dagger c_{k'\sigma}, c_{k+q\downarrow}^\dagger \right] c_{k\uparrow} \\ &\quad + S_q^+ c_{k+q\downarrow}^\dagger \left[ \sum_{k'\sigma} (\varepsilon_{k'\sigma} - \mu_\sigma) c_{k'\sigma}^\dagger c_{k'\sigma}, c_{k\uparrow} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Note that,

$$\left[ \sum_{k'\sigma} c_{k'\sigma}^\dagger c_{k'\sigma}, c_{k+q\downarrow}^\dagger \right] = \sum_{k'\sigma} c_{k'\sigma}^\dagger \delta_{k',k+q} \delta_{\sigma\downarrow} = c_{k+q\downarrow}^\dagger, \quad (14)$$

$$\left[ \sum_{k'\sigma} c_{k'\sigma}^\dagger c_{k'\sigma}, c_{k\uparrow} \right] = -\sum_{k'\sigma} \{c_{k'\sigma}^\dagger, c_{k\uparrow}\} c_{k'\sigma} = -c_{k\uparrow}. \quad (15)$$

Eq. (14) (15) are derived using equity

$$\begin{aligned} [AB, C] &= A[B, C] + [A, C]B \\ &= A\{B, C\} - \{A, C\}B. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

So,

$$\left[ H_L, S_q^+ c_{k+q\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k\uparrow} \right] = (\varepsilon_{k+q\downarrow} - \varepsilon_{k\uparrow} + \mu_\uparrow - \mu_\downarrow) S_q^+ c_{k+q\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k\uparrow}. \quad (17)$$

If  $\mu_\uparrow = \mu_\downarrow$ , then eq. (17) reduces to

$$\left[ H_L, S_q^+ c_{k+q\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k\uparrow} \right] = (\varepsilon_{k+q\downarrow} - \varepsilon_{k\uparrow}) S_q^+ c_{k+q\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k\uparrow}. \quad (18)$$

The second term  $H_R = \sum_q \hbar \omega_q a_q^\dagger a_q$ , then using eq. (10), we get

$$\left[ H_R, S_q^+ c_{k+q\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k\uparrow} \right] = -\hbar \omega_q S_q^+ c_{k+q\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k\uparrow}. \quad (19)$$

The third term in eq. (12) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ H_{sd}, S_q^+ c_{k+q\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k\uparrow} \right] &= -J_q \left[ S_q^- c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k+q\downarrow}, S_q^+ c_{k+q\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k\uparrow} \right] \\ &= J_q \left[ S_q^+ c_{k+q\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k\uparrow}, S_q^- c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k+q\downarrow} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Combine these three terms, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left\langle S_q^+ c_{k+q\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k\uparrow} \right\rangle &= \frac{i}{\hbar} (\varepsilon_{k+q\downarrow} - \varepsilon_{k\uparrow} - \hbar \omega_q) \left\langle S_q^+ c_{k+q\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k\uparrow} \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{\hbar} J_q \left\langle \left[ S_q^+ c_{k+q\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k\uparrow}, S_q^- c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k+q\downarrow} \right] \right\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

which is also eq. (2) in PRB. 88, 220406(R) (2013).

### 3 Spin current in NM-QD-MIL system

For system consists of quantum dot(QD) sandwiched by a left normal metal(NM) lead and a right magnetic insulating lead(MIL), the Hamiltonian is

$$H = H_L + H_{\text{QD}} + H_R + H_T. \quad (22)$$

$$H_L = \sum_{k\sigma} \varepsilon_{k\sigma} c_{k\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma}, \quad (23)$$

$$H_{\text{QD}} = \sum_{\sigma} \varepsilon_{\sigma} d_{\sigma}^\dagger d_{\sigma}, \quad (24)$$

$$H_R \approx \sum_q \hbar \omega_q a_q^\dagger a_q, \quad (25)$$

$$H_T = V_L + V_R \quad (26)$$

$V_L$  is the coupling between left lead and QD, while  $V_R$  is the coupling between right lead and QD.

$$V_L = \sum_{k\sigma} (t_{k\sigma} c_{k\sigma}^\dagger d_{\sigma} + t_{k\sigma}^* d_{\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma}) \quad (27)$$

$$V_R = - \sum_q J_q \left[ S_q^- d_{\uparrow}^\dagger d_{\downarrow} + S_q^+ d_{\downarrow}^\dagger d_{\uparrow} \right]. \quad (28)$$

where  $S_q^- \approx \sqrt{2S_0} a_q^\dagger$ ,  $S_q^+ \approx \sqrt{2S_0} a_q$  are in the momentum space and  $J_q$  denotes the effective exchange coupling between the QD and MIL.

### 3.1 Spin-dependent current in left lead

The spin-dependent current flow out of left lead is  $I_{L\sigma}$ :

$$I_{L\sigma} = \frac{d}{dt} \langle N_{L\sigma} \rangle \quad (29)$$

in which,  $N_{L\sigma} = \sum_k c_{k\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma}$  Heisenberg equation:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle N_{L\sigma} \rangle = \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle [H, N_{L\sigma}] \rangle \quad (30)$$

$$[H, N_{L\sigma}] = [H_T, N_{L\sigma}] = \sum_k \left( t_{k\sigma}^* d_\sigma^\dagger c_{k\sigma} - t_{k\sigma} c_{k\sigma}^\dagger d_\sigma \right) \quad (31)$$

so, the spin-dependent current

$$I_{L\sigma} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_k \left( t_{k\sigma}^* \langle d_\sigma^\dagger c_{k\sigma} \rangle - t_{k\sigma} \langle c_{k\sigma}^\dagger d_\sigma \rangle \right). \quad (32)$$

Namely, the spin-up current is

$$I_{L\uparrow} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_k \left( t_{k\uparrow}^* \langle d_\uparrow^\dagger c_{k\uparrow} \rangle - t_{k\uparrow} \langle c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger d_\uparrow \rangle \right), \quad (33)$$

the spin-down current is

$$I_{L\downarrow} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_k \left( t_{k\downarrow}^* \langle d_\downarrow^\dagger c_{k\downarrow} \rangle - t_{k\downarrow} \langle c_{k\downarrow}^\dagger d_\downarrow \rangle \right), \quad (34)$$

The charge current in left lead is defined as

$$I_e = e(I_{L\uparrow} + I_{L\downarrow}). \quad (35)$$

The spin current in left lead is defined as

$$I_{LS} = \frac{1}{2}(I_{L\uparrow} - I_{L\downarrow}) \quad (36)$$

Substitute the spin-dependent current in, we get the spin current in left lead

$$I_{LS} = \frac{i}{2\hbar} \sum_k \left( t_{k\uparrow}^* \langle d_\uparrow^\dagger c_{k\uparrow} \rangle - t_{k\uparrow} \langle c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger d_\uparrow \rangle - t_{k\downarrow}^* \langle d_\downarrow^\dagger c_{k\downarrow} \rangle + t_{k\downarrow} \langle c_{k\downarrow}^\dagger d_\downarrow \rangle \right) \quad (37)$$

### 3.2 magnonic current in right lead

The magnonic current in right lead is

$$I_{RS} = \frac{d\langle N_R \rangle}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \langle \sum_q a_q^\dagger a_q \rangle, \quad (38)$$

From the Heisenberg equation, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \sum_q a_q^\dagger a_q \rangle = \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle [H, \sum_q a_q^\dagger a_q] \rangle. \quad (39)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [H, \sum_q a_q^\dagger a_q] \\
&= [V_R, \sum_q a_q^\dagger a_q] \\
&= - \sum_q J_q \left( [S_q^- d_\uparrow^\dagger d_\downarrow, \sum_{q'} a_{q'}^\dagger a_{q'}] + [S_q^+ d_\downarrow^\dagger d_\uparrow, \sum_{q'} a_{q'}^\dagger a_{q'}] \right) \\
&= \sum_q J_q (S_q^- d_\uparrow^\dagger d_\downarrow - S_q^+ d_\downarrow^\dagger d_\uparrow)
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

So, the magnon current reads

$$I_{RS} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_q J_q (\langle S_q^- d_\uparrow^\dagger d_\downarrow \rangle - \langle S_q^+ d_\downarrow^\dagger d_\uparrow \rangle). \tag{41}$$

### 3.3 spin-dependent current in QD

Similarly, the spin-dependent current in the central QD is defined as

$$I_{C\sigma} = \langle \frac{dN_{C\sigma}}{dt} \rangle = \langle \frac{d}{dt} d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma \rangle, \tag{42}$$

Heisenberg equation:

$$\frac{d}{dt} d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma = \frac{i}{\hbar} [H, d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma]. \tag{43}$$

Specifically, we have

$$[H, d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma] = [V_L, d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma] + [V_R, d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma] \tag{44}$$

in which,

$$\begin{aligned}
[V_L, d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma] &= \sum_{k'\sigma'} (t_{k'\sigma'} [c_{k'\sigma'}^\dagger d_{\sigma'}, d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma] + t_{k'\sigma'}^* [d_{\sigma'}^\dagger c_{k'\sigma'}, d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma]) \\
&= \sum_{k'\sigma'} (t_{k'\sigma'} c_{k'\sigma'}^\dagger d_{\sigma'} \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} - t_{k'\sigma'}^* d_{\sigma'}^\dagger c_{k'\sigma'} \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}) \\
&= \sum_k (t_{k\sigma} c_{k\sigma}^\dagger d_\sigma - t_{k\sigma}^* d_\sigma^\dagger c_{k\sigma})
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

We change the summation index from  $k'$  to  $k$  in the last line, which doesn't change the result.

$$[V_R, d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma] = - \sum_q J_q (S_q^- [d_\uparrow^\dagger d_\downarrow, d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma] + S_q^+ [d_\downarrow^\dagger d_\uparrow, d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma]) \tag{46}$$

in which,

$$\begin{aligned}
[d_\uparrow^\dagger d_\downarrow, d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma] &= d_\uparrow^\dagger [d_\downarrow, d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma] + [d_\uparrow^\dagger, d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma] d_\downarrow \\
&= d_\uparrow^\dagger d_\downarrow \delta_{\sigma\downarrow} - d_\uparrow^\dagger d_\downarrow \delta_{\sigma\uparrow}
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

So,

$$[V_R, d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma] = - \sum_q J_q [S_q^- (d_\uparrow^\dagger d_\downarrow \delta_{\sigma\downarrow} - d_\uparrow^\dagger d_\downarrow \delta_{\sigma\uparrow}) + S_q^+ (d_\downarrow^\dagger d_\uparrow \delta_{\sigma\uparrow} - d_\downarrow^\dagger d_\uparrow \delta_{\sigma\downarrow})] \tag{48}$$

The spin-dependent current is

$$I_{C\uparrow} = \frac{i}{\hbar} [H, d_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} d_{\uparrow}] \quad (49)$$

$$I_{C\downarrow} = \frac{i}{\hbar} [H, d_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} d_{\downarrow}] \quad (50)$$

which gives

$$I_{C\uparrow} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[ \sum_k (t_{k\uparrow} c_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} d_{\uparrow} - t_{k\uparrow}^* d_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{k\uparrow}) + \sum_q J_q (S_q^- d_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} d_{\downarrow} - S_q^+ d_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} d_{\uparrow}) \right] \quad (51)$$

and

$$I_{C\downarrow} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[ \sum_k (t_{k\downarrow} c_{k\downarrow}^{\dagger} d_{\downarrow} - t_{k\downarrow}^* d_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{k\downarrow}) + \sum_q J_q (S_q^+ d_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} d_{\uparrow} - S_q^- d_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} d_{\downarrow}) \right]. \quad (52)$$

The spin current in central dot is

$$I_{CS} = \frac{1}{2} (I_{C\uparrow} - I_{C\downarrow}) \quad (53)$$

### 3.4 Verifying continuity condition

#### 3.4.1 Charge current

Since the right lead is a insulating lead, there is no charge current flow through it, so the charge current is

$$I_{Re} = 0 \quad (54)$$

where the subscript R denotes the right lead, while the subscript e denotes the charge current.

Meanwhile, the charge current flows in left lead and QD is

$$I_e = e \left( \sum_{\sigma} I_{L\sigma} + \sum_{\sigma} I_{C\sigma} \right) = 0 \quad (55)$$

#### 3.4.2 Spin current

Spin current in the left lead and QD:

$$I_{LS} + I_{CS} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_q J_q (\langle S_q^- d_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} d_{\downarrow} \rangle - \langle S_q^+ d_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} d_{\uparrow} \rangle). \quad (56)$$

The magnon current is

$$I_{RS} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_q J_q (\langle S_q^- d_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} d_{\downarrow} \rangle - \langle S_q^+ d_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} d_{\uparrow} \rangle), \quad (57)$$

in which,  $I_{LS}, I_{CS}, I_{RS}$  is defined earlier. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{LS} + I_{CS} &= I_{RS} \\ &= \frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_q J_q (\langle S_q^- d_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} d_{\downarrow} \rangle - \langle S_q^+ d_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} d_{\uparrow} \rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

### 3.5 Perturbation expansion of $G_d(\tau, \tau)$

When neglecting left lead, the hamiltonian is

$$H = H_{QD} + H_R + H_{sd} \quad (59)$$

Expand S-matrix up to the second order of J, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_d(\tau, \tau) &= -i \langle T_C S s_q^+(\tau) s_q^-(\tau') \rangle \\ &= -i \sum_k g_{k\uparrow}(\tau', \tau) g_{k+q\downarrow}(\tau, \tau') \\ &\quad + \int_c d\tau_1 \int_c d\tau_2 \sum_{kq_1} J_{q_1}^2 g_{Rq_1}(\tau_2, \tau_1) g_{k\uparrow}(\tau', \tau_2) g_{k+q_1\downarrow}(\tau_2, \tau_1) g_{k\uparrow}(\tau_1, \tau) g_{k+q\downarrow}(\tau, \tau') \\ &\quad + \int_c d\tau_1 \int_c d\tau_2 \sum_{kq_1} J_{q_1}^2 g_{Rq_1}(\tau_2, \tau_1) g_{k\uparrow}(\tau', \tau) g_{k+q\downarrow}(\tau, \tau_1) g_{k+q-q_1\uparrow}(\tau_1, \tau_2) g_{k+q\downarrow}(\tau_2, \tau') \\ &\quad - \int_c d\tau_1 \int_c d\tau_2 \sum_{kk'} J_q^2 g_{Rq}(\tau_2, \tau_1) g_{k\uparrow}(\tau_1, \tau) g_{k+q\downarrow}(\tau, \tau_1) g_{k'\uparrow}(\tau', \tau_2) g_{k'+q\downarrow}(\tau_2, \tau') \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

## 4 Checking formulas in notes

## 5 Nonequilibrium Green's function technique

### 5.1 Demonstrative Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = H_{lead} + H_{dot} + H_T \quad (61)$$

$$H_{lead} = \sum_{k\alpha} \epsilon_{k\alpha} \hat{C}_{k\alpha}^\dagger \hat{C}_{k\alpha} \quad (62)$$

$$\epsilon_{k\alpha} = \epsilon_{k\alpha}^{(0)} + qv_\alpha \quad (63)$$

$$H_{dot} = \sum_n (\epsilon_n + qU_n) d_n^\dagger d_n \quad (64)$$

$$U_n = \sum_m V_{nm} < d_m^\dagger d_m > \quad (65)$$

$$H_T = \sum_{k\alpha n} \left[ t_{k\alpha n} \hat{C}_{k\alpha}^\dagger \hat{d}_n + t_{k\alpha n}^* \hat{d}_n^\dagger \hat{C}_{k\alpha} \right] \quad (66)$$

### 5.2 Current definition

We use the Hamiltonian in WangJian's notes. Equation of motion of particle operator  $\hat{N}_{\alpha k\sigma}$  in the lead  $\alpha$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \hat{N}_\alpha &= \frac{i}{\hbar} [H, \sum_k c_{\alpha k}^\dagger c_{\alpha k}] = \left[ \sum_{k'n, \alpha'=L, R} \left[ t_{k'\alpha'} c_{k'\alpha'}^\dagger d_n + \text{c.c.} \right], \sum_k c_{\alpha k}^\dagger c_{\alpha k} \right] \\ &= \frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{kk', n, \alpha'=L, R} \left[ -t_{k'\alpha'} c_{k'\alpha'}^\dagger d_n \delta_{\alpha\alpha'} \delta_{kk'} + \text{c.c.} \right] \\ &= \frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{kn} [-t_{k\alpha} c_{k\alpha}^\dagger d_n + t_{k\alpha}^* d_n^\dagger c_{k\alpha}] \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$



So, the charge current is given by

$$\begin{aligned} I_\alpha(t) &= e \left\langle \frac{d}{dt} \hat{N}_\alpha(t) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{ie}{\hbar} \sum_{kn} (\langle -t_{k\alpha} c_{k\alpha}^\dagger(t) d_n(t) \rangle + \langle t_{k\alpha}^* d_n^\dagger(t) c_{k\alpha}(t) \rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

Define the lesser Green's function

$$G_{\sigma',k\alpha\sigma}^<(t,t') = i \langle c_{k\alpha\sigma}^\dagger(t') d_{\sigma'}(t) \rangle \quad (69)$$

the charge current is written as

$$I_L(t) = \frac{-e}{\hbar} \sum_{kn\alpha \in L} (t_{k\alpha n} G_{n,k\alpha\sigma}^<(t,t) - t_{k\alpha n}^* G_{k\alpha,n}(t,t)) \quad (70)$$

More generally, we define the contour Green's function

$$G_{n,k\alpha}(\tau, \tau') = -i \langle d_n(\tau) c_{k\alpha}^\dagger(\tau') \rangle. \quad (71)$$

Following Jauho's notation [2], when the electron in the lead is non-interacting,  $G_{n,k\alpha\sigma}(\tau, \tau')$  is related to  $G_{nm}$  and  $g_{k\alpha}$  by the following contour integral

$$G_{n,k\alpha}(\tau, \tau') = \sum_m \int d\tau_1 G_{nm}(\tau, \tau_1) t_{k\alpha m}^* g_{k\alpha}(\tau_1, \tau') \quad (72)$$

where

$$G_{nm}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \equiv -i \langle T_c [d_n(\tau_1) d_m^\dagger(\tau_2)] \rangle \quad (73)$$

$$g_{k\alpha}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \equiv -i \langle T_c [c_{k\alpha}(\tau_1) c_{k\alpha}^\dagger(\tau_2)] \rangle_0. \quad (74)$$

Using the theorem of analytic continuation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{n,k\alpha}^<(t, t') &= \sum_m \int dt_1 [G_{nm}^r(t, t_1) t_{k\alpha m}^* g_{k\alpha}^<(t_1, t') \\ &\quad + G_{nm}^<(t, t_1) t_{k\alpha m}^* g_{k\alpha}^a(t_1, t')] . \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

This gives the term in current

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{kn} t_{k\alpha n} G_{n,k\alpha}^<(t, t') &= \sum_{kmn} \int dt_1 t_{k\alpha n} t_{k\alpha m}^* \\ &\times [G_{nm}^r(t, t_1) g_{k\alpha}^<(t_1, t') + G_{nm}^<(t, t_1) g_{k\alpha}^a(t_1, t')] \\ &= \sum_n \int dt_1 [G^r(t, t_1) \Sigma_\alpha^<(t_1, t') + G^<(t, t_1) \Sigma_\alpha^a(t_1, t')]_{nn} \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

matrix element of the self-energy  $\Sigma_\alpha$  due to lead  $\alpha$  is

$$\Sigma_{\alpha,mn}^\gamma(t_1, t_2) = \sum_k t_{k\alpha m}^*(t_1) g_{k\alpha}^\gamma(t_1, t_2) t_{k\alpha n}(t_2). \quad (77)$$

Here, the matrix index are  $m, n$ , which is index for energy level of central scattering area. Substitute ?? in charge current, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_\alpha(t) &= -\frac{e}{\hbar} \int dt_1 \text{Tr} [G^r(t, t_1) \Sigma_\alpha^<(t_1, t) \\ &\quad + G^<(t, t_1) \Sigma_\alpha^a(t_1, t)] + h.c. \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

where the summation over index  $n$  is abbreviated in to matrix summation notation  $\text{Tr}$ , and summation index  $k$  goes into self-energy matrix  $\Sigma_\alpha$ .

### 5.3 Free propagators

Here we assume a time-dependent external voltage  $v_\alpha$ . The free Green's functions of lead electrons are (XXX)

$$g_{k\sigma}^<(t, t') \equiv i \left\langle c_{k\sigma}^\dagger(t') c_{k\sigma}(t) \right\rangle = i f(\varepsilon_k^{(0)}) e^{-i \int_{t'}^t dt_1 \varepsilon_{k\sigma}(t_1)} \quad (79)$$

$$g_{k\sigma}^>(t, t') \equiv -i \left\langle c_{k\sigma}(t) c_{k\sigma}^\dagger(t') \right\rangle = i [f(\varepsilon_k) - 1] e^{-i \varepsilon_{k\sigma}(t-t')} \quad (80)$$

$$g_{k\sigma}^r(t) \equiv -i \theta(t) \left\langle [c_{k\sigma}(t), c_{k\sigma}^\dagger(t')]_+ \right\rangle = -i \theta(t) e^{-i \varepsilon_{k\sigma}(t-t')} \quad (81)$$

$$g_{k\sigma}^a(t) \equiv i \theta(-t) \left\langle [c_{k\sigma}(t), c_{k\sigma}^\dagger(t')]_+ \right\rangle = i \theta(-t) e^{-i \varepsilon_{k\sigma}(t-t')} \quad (82)$$

Using the relation

$$\int dt e^{i\omega t} = 2\pi \delta(\omega), \quad (83)$$

Fourier transformation gives

$$g_{k\sigma}^<(\omega) = 2\pi i f(\varepsilon_{k\sigma}) \delta(\omega - \varepsilon_{k\sigma}) = i f(\varepsilon_{k\sigma}) A_0(k, \omega) \quad (84)$$

$$g_{k\sigma}^>(\omega) = 2\pi i [f(\varepsilon_{k\sigma}) - 1] \delta(\omega - \varepsilon_{k\sigma}) \quad (85)$$

$$g_{k\sigma}^r(\omega) = -i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} \theta(t) e^{-i \varepsilon_{k\sigma} t} = -i \int_0^{\infty} dt e^{i(\omega - \varepsilon_{k\sigma})t} = \frac{-i}{i(\omega - \varepsilon_{k\sigma})} e^{i(\omega - \varepsilon_{k\sigma})t} \Big|_0^{+\infty} \quad (86)$$

To make the integral converge at the upper limit, we let  $\omega \rightarrow \omega + i0^+$ , where  $0^+$  is a positive infinitesimal, which yields

$$g_{k\sigma}^r(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega - \varepsilon_{k\sigma} + i0^+}. \quad (87)$$

Similarly,

$$g_{k\sigma}^a(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega - \varepsilon_{k\sigma} - i0^+}. \quad (88)$$

Then we have

$$g_{k\sigma}^r(\omega) - g_{k\sigma}^a(\omega) = -2\pi i \delta(\omega - \varepsilon_{k\sigma}) \quad (89)$$

The fermion spectral function is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} A_0(k\sigma, \omega) &= i [g_{k\sigma}^r(\omega) - g_{k\sigma}^a(\omega)] \\ &= -2\Im [g_{k\sigma}^r(\omega)] \\ &= 2\pi \delta(\omega - \varepsilon_{k\sigma}) \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

where the following relation are used

$$\frac{1}{x \pm i\eta} = P \frac{1}{x} \mp i\pi \delta(x), \quad \eta = 0^+, \quad (91)$$

$$\Im [g_{k\sigma}^r(\omega)] = -\pi \delta(\omega - \varepsilon_k). \quad (92)$$

#### 5.4 DC case

$$G^\gamma(t, t_1) = G^\gamma(t - t_1) \quad (93)$$

and

$$\Sigma^\gamma(t, t_1) = \Sigma^\gamma(t - t_1) \quad (94)$$

where

$$\gamma = <, >, r, a. \quad (95)$$

Recall that

$$[G^<]^\dagger(E) = -G^<(E) \quad (96)$$

$$[G^r]^\dagger = G^a \quad (97)$$

and using equation (221) in WangJian's note, we have charge current for DC bias

$$I_\alpha = -\frac{e}{\hbar} \int \frac{dE}{2\pi} \text{Tr} [(G^r(E) - G^a(E)) \Sigma_\alpha^<(E) + G^<(E) (\Sigma_\alpha^a(E) - \Sigma_\alpha^r(E))] \quad (98)$$

Substitute free propagators in, we have

$$\Sigma_{\alpha,mn}^<(t - t_1) = \sum_k t_{k\alpha m}^*(t_1) g_{k\alpha}^<(t_1 - t_2) t_{k\alpha n}(t_2) = i \sum_k t_{k\alpha m}^*(t_1) f(\epsilon_{k\alpha}) e^{-i\epsilon_{k\alpha}(t-t_1)} t_{k\alpha n}(t_2) \quad (99)$$

Fourier transformation gives (dependent variable  $\epsilon_{k\alpha}$  not  $\omega$ ?, check Eq.(71) in WangJ's note Chap2?)

$$\Sigma_{\alpha,mn}^<(E) = 2\pi i \sum_k t_{k\alpha m}^* f(\epsilon_{k\alpha}) t_{k\alpha n} \delta(E - \epsilon_{k\alpha}) \quad (100)$$

$$\Sigma_\alpha^a(E) - \Sigma_\alpha^r(E) = \sum_k t_{k\alpha m}^* (g_{k\alpha}^a(E) - g_{k\alpha}^r(E)) t_{k\alpha n} \quad (101)$$

which according to Eq. (89), we have

$$\Sigma_\alpha^a(E) - \Sigma_\alpha^r(E) = 2\pi i \sum_k t_{k\alpha m}^* \delta(E - \epsilon_{k\alpha}) t_{k\alpha n}. \quad (102)$$

Define a level-width function:

$$\Gamma_{\alpha,mn}(E) = \sum_k 2\pi t_{k\alpha m}^* t_{k\alpha n} \delta(E - \epsilon_{k\alpha}) \quad (103)$$

So it gives equations(the fermion distribution is factorized out of summation  $k$ ?)

$$\Sigma_{\alpha,mn}^<(E) = i f(\epsilon_{k\alpha}) \Gamma_{\alpha,mn}(E) \quad (104)$$

and

$$\Sigma_\alpha^a(E) - \Sigma_\alpha^r(E) = i \Gamma_{\alpha,mn}(E) \quad (105)$$

Then Eq. (98) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} I_\alpha &= -\frac{e}{\hbar} \int \frac{dE}{2\pi} \text{Tr} [(G^r(E) - G^a(E)) (i f(\epsilon_{k\alpha}) \Gamma_{\alpha,mn}(E))] \\ &\quad + G^<(E) (i \Gamma_{\alpha,mn}(E)) \\ &= -\frac{ie}{\hbar} \int \frac{dE}{2\pi} \text{Tr} [\Gamma_{\alpha,mn}(E) ([G^r(E) - G^a(E)] f(\epsilon_{k\alpha}) + G^<(E))] \end{aligned} \quad (106)$$

In steady state,  $I = I_L = -I_R$ , or  $I = I_L + I_R = (I_L - I_R)/2$ , this leads to the general expression for the dc-current

$$I = -\frac{ie}{2\hbar} \int \frac{d\varepsilon}{2\pi} \text{Tr} \left\{ [\mathbf{\Gamma}^L(\varepsilon) - \mathbf{\Gamma}^R(\varepsilon)] \mathbf{G}^<(\varepsilon) + [f_L(\varepsilon)\mathbf{\Gamma}^L(\varepsilon) - f_R(\varepsilon)\mathbf{\Gamma}^R(\varepsilon)] [\mathbf{G}^r(\varepsilon) - \mathbf{G}^a(\varepsilon)] \right\} \quad (107)$$

if the left and right line-width functions are proportional to each other,

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}^L(\varepsilon) = \lambda \mathbf{\Gamma}^R(\varepsilon) \quad (108)$$

and fix the arbitrary parameter  $x$ , i.e.  $x = 1/(1 + \lambda)$ , gives

$$J = \frac{1e}{\hbar} \int \frac{d\varepsilon}{2\pi} [f_L(\varepsilon) - f_R(\varepsilon)] \mathcal{T}(\varepsilon) \quad (109)$$

$$\mathcal{T}(\varepsilon) = \text{Tr} \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{\Gamma}^L(\varepsilon)\mathbf{\Gamma}^R(\varepsilon)}{\mathbf{\Gamma}^L(\varepsilon) + \mathbf{\Gamma}^R(\varepsilon)} [\mathbf{G}^r(\varepsilon) - \mathbf{G}^a(\varepsilon)] \right\}$$

Despite the apparent similarity of (12.27) to the Landauer formula, it is important to bear in mind that, in general, there is no immediate connection between the quantity  $\mathcal{T}(\varepsilon)$  and the transmission coefficient  $T(\varepsilon)$ .

### 5.5 Another way to get $G_{n,k\alpha}(\tau, \tau')$ (Dyson equation + Keldysh equation)

Denote  $G_0$  the Green's function of the isolated quantum dot and leads corresponding to the Hamiltonian  $H_0$ , and  $G$  the Green's function of the open system corresponding to  $H$ , one has the Dyson equation

$$G = G_0 + G_0 \Sigma G \quad (110)$$

Use the theorem of analytic continuation on Dyson equation, we get the Keldysh equation (**in matrix representation**)

$$G^{<,>} = G_0^{<,>} + G^r \Sigma^r G_0^{<,>} + G^{<,>} \Sigma^a G_0^a + G^r \Sigma^{<,>} G_0^a \quad (111)$$

or

$$G^{<,>} = G_0^{<,>} + G_0^r \Sigma^r G^{<,>} + G_0^{<,>} \Sigma^a G^a + G_0^r \Sigma^{<,>} G^a \quad (112)$$

or

$$G^< = G^r (G_0^r)^{-1} G_0^< (G_0^a)^{-1} G^a + G^r \Sigma^< G^a \quad (113)$$

See Eq. (77) in WangJian's notes.

### 5.6 With spin index

The demonstrative current of lead  $\beta$  with spin  $\sigma$  is [?]

$$I_{\beta\sigma} = \frac{e}{\hbar} \sum_{k,i,j} \int d\omega V_{ki\beta\sigma} V_{kj\beta\sigma}^* \left\{ \left[ G_{i\sigma,j\sigma}^r(\omega) - G_{i\sigma,j\sigma}^a(\omega) \right] g_{k\beta\sigma}^<(\omega) - \left[ g_{k\beta\sigma}^r(\omega) - g_{k\beta\sigma}^a(\omega) \right] G_{i\sigma,j\sigma}^<(\omega) \right\}. \quad (114)$$

Substitute free propagators into current formula, we have

$$I_{\beta\sigma} = \frac{ie}{\hbar} \sum_{i,j} \int d\omega \Gamma_{ij\beta\sigma}(\omega) \left\{ \left[ G_{i\sigma,j\sigma}^r(\omega) - G_{i\sigma,j\sigma}^a(\omega) \right] f_{\beta}(\omega) + G_{i\sigma,j\sigma}^<(\omega) \right\} \quad (115)$$

self-energy of lead  $\alpha$  is

$$\Sigma_{\alpha}^<(\omega) = i\Gamma_{\alpha}(\omega - qv_{\alpha}) f_{\alpha}(\omega) \quad (116)$$

## 6 Notes on programs

### 6.1 NM/10x10SC/NM




Figure 1: NM/10x10/NM system, 2D simple cubic lattice connected to two normal metal leads.

### 6.2 NM/10x10SC/MI




Figure 2: NM/10x10/MI system, 2D simple cubic lattice connected to a normal metal lead and a magnetic insulator lead with Ohmic spectra.

## References

- [1] Y, K, Kato. Observation of the Spin Hall Effect in Semiconductors[J]. Science, 2004.
- [2] Antti-Pekka Jauho, Quantum Kinetics in Transport and Optics of Semiconductors, P188.