Collaboration Guidelines - UtilityFog-Fractal-TreeOpen

Project Philosophy

This project embraces open collaboration, scientific rigor, and ethical development of AI-embodied nanotechnology. We welcome contributors from diverse backgrounds including computer science, nanotechnology, artificial intelligence, physics, and related fields.

Contribution Standards

Code Contributions

- Documentation: All code must include comprehensive documentation
- Testing: Implement appropriate test coverage for new features
- · Style: Follow established coding conventions and style guides
- Review: All contributions require peer review before integration

Research Contributions

- Citations: Properly cite all sources and prior work
- · Methodology: Clearly describe research methods and assumptions
- · Reproducibility: Provide sufficient detail for result reproduction
- Peer Review: Academic-style review process for research content

Design Contributions

- Rationale: Explain design decisions and trade-offs
- Alternatives: Consider and document alternative approaches
- Validation: Provide evidence or reasoning for design choices
- Iteration: Embrace iterative improvement based on feedback

Communication Protocols

Discussion Forums

- · Use respectful, professional language
- Stay on-topic and relevant to project goals
- · Provide constructive feedback and suggestions
- · Acknowledge others' contributions appropriately

Issue Reporting

- Provide clear, detailed problem descriptions
- Include steps to reproduce issues
- Suggest potential solutions when possible
- · Use appropriate labels and categories

Feature Requests

• Explain the motivation and use case

- · Consider implementation complexity
- · Discuss potential impacts on existing systems
- · Propose initial design approaches

Review Process

Peer Review Criteria

- Technical Accuracy: Verify correctness of technical content
- Clarity: Ensure clear communication of ideas
- Completeness: Check for missing information or context
- · Consistency: Maintain alignment with project standards

Review Timeline

- Initial review within 48 hours
- · Detailed feedback within 1 week
- · Resolution of comments within 2 weeks
- · Final approval within 3 weeks

Intellectual Property

Open Source Commitment

- All contributions become part of the open-source project
- · Contributors retain attribution rights
- Commercial use permitted under project license
- Patent rights shared with the community

Attribution Standards

- · Credit original authors and contributors
- · Maintain contribution history and documentation
- · Acknowledge external sources and influences
- · Respect existing intellectual property rights

Ethical Guidelines

Responsible Development

- · Consider potential societal impacts
- · Address safety and security concerns
- · Promote beneficial applications
- Prevent harmful or malicious uses

Transparency

- Open development process
- · Public discussion of major decisions
- · Clear documentation of project evolution
- · Accessible communication channels

Community Standards

Inclusive Environment

- Welcome diverse perspectives and backgrounds
- Maintain respectful and professional interactions
- · Address conflicts constructively
- · Support learning and knowledge sharing

Quality Assurance

- · Maintain high standards for all contributions
- Continuous improvement of processes
- Regular evaluation of project direction
- · Adaptation based on community feedback

Getting Started

- 1. Review project documentation and current status
- 2. Identify areas of interest and expertise
- 3. Engage in community discussions
- 4. Start with small contributions to understand processes
- 5. Gradually take on larger responsibilities as appropriate

Contact Information

- Project discussions: [To be established]
- Technical questions: [To be established]
- Community coordination: [To be established]
- Governance issues: [To be established]