Capstone Design: Proposal Evaluation Sheet

Date: 2021. 09. 23

Your Team: Team H(the outsiders)

Team to be Evaluated: Team D

Team Leader: Chae seung yun

The table in the next page contains evaluation criteria for a proposal. BG, PS, and PP represent background and related work, problem statement and proposed solution, and project planning, respectively. Please write the scores with a short description (i.e., why do you think so?) per each criterion.

Criteria	Items	Score
BG (30%)	Does the team thoroughly study prior work/approach/idea? 기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구를 빠짐없이 정리했는가?	31 / 50
	Does the team properly understand or classify related work? 기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구에 대해 적절히 이해하고 분류했는가?	29 / 50
	[Description] They explained well existing studies of project, but it is not specific studies enough.	60 / 100
PS (40%)	Is the proposal worth addressing? 제안한 내용은 충분히 의미 있는 프로젝트인가?	18 / 30
	Does the team clarify the problem? 해당 팀은 문제를 명료하게 정의하고 있는가?	27 / 40
	Is the proposed solution logical and practical? 제안하는 솔루션은 논리적이고 실용적인가?	17 / 30
	[Description] It sounds interesting, but their solution was quite not related their problem. Generating animation would be more logical and practical	62 / 100
PP (30%)	Is it appropriate for a semester-long project as a team? The proposal must be neither too trivial nor too hard. 한 학기 동안 팀이 수행하기에 적절한 난이도인가?	27 / 40
	Are individual roles and collaboration clearly defined? 개별 역할 분담과 협업이 명료하게 정의되어 있는가?	21 / 30
	Is the final product meaningful? 최종 결과물이 의미 있는가?	20 / 30
	[Description] They didn't have specific plan and role distribution, but they summarize their schedules well. Also, they will take a lot of time to build that project.	68 / 100
Total (종합)	BG * 0.3 + PS * 0.4 + PP * 0.3 =	63.2/100

| Review (총평) | They explained well existing study introduction, but they need to look up more details of existing study.

They summarize their schedules well, but they have to specific project plane and role distribution. Also, it would have been nice if specific design contents were included.

In aspect of solution, but they would be better if they can generate animation. But they suggest still images. However. their idea was nice. Also, the art style of anime is very diverse. So, the way that AI create such art should be defined clearly.

Also, the project doesn't have extinct differentiation from existing ones, and it takes lots of time to create. | |