Capstone Design: Proposal Evaluation Sheet

Date: 2021-09-23

Your Team: E

Team to be Evaluated: F

Team Leader: Borislav Pavlov

The table in the next page contains evaluation criteria for a proposal. BG, PS, and PP represent background and related work, problem statement and proposed solution, and project planning, respectively. Please write the scores with a short description (i.e., why do you think so?) per each criterion.

Criteria	Items	Score
BG	Does the team thoroughly study prior work/approach/idea?	40/50
(30%)	기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구를 빠짐없이 정리했는가?	
	Does the team properly understand or classify related work?	35/50
	기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구에 대해 적절히 이해하고 분류했는가?	
	[Description]	75/100
	Research and objects needed for their project are properly identified	
PS	Is the proposal worth addressing?	25/30
(40%)	제안한 내용은 충분히 의미 있는 프로젝트인가?	
	Does the team clarify the problem?	25/40
	해당 팀은 문제를 명료하게 정의하고 있는가?	
	Is the proposed solution logical and practical?	20/30
	제안하는 솔루션은 논리적이고 실용적인가?	
	[Description]	70/100
	The idea is good, it would be nice if the error situation (such as	
	negative numbers included in the root) could be solved well in	
	the idea of changing constants and causing various problems	
PP	Is it appropriate for a semester-long project as a team? The	30/40
(30%)	proposal must be neither too trivial nor too hard.	
	한 학기 동안 팀이 수행하기에 적절한 난이도인가?	
	Are individual roles and collaboration clearly defined?	25/30
	개별 역할 분담과 협업이 명료하게 정의되어 있는가?	
	Is the final product meaningful?	25/30
	최종 결과물이 의미 있는가?	
	[Description]	80/100
	If team members work together properly, good results can be	
	achieved.	
Total	BG * 0.3 + PS * 0.4 + PP * 0.3 =	74.5/100
(종합)		

Review	First of all, I think the idea of increasing the usability of incorrect	
(총평)	notes is good, it seems to be a form developed from the existing	
	idea, and it will be a good result if proper collaboration between	
	team members and response to the above-mentioned error	
	situations are well organized.	