Capstone Design: Proposal Evaluation Sheet

Date: 2021-09-20

Your Team: E

Team to be Evaluated: B

Team Leader: Borislav Pavlov

Criteria	Items	Score
BG	Does the team thoroughly study prior work/approach/idea?	40 / 50
(30%)	기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구를 빠짐없이 정리했는가?	
	Does the team properly understand or classify related work?	30 / 50
	기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구에 대해 적절히 이해하고 분류했는가?	
	[Description]	70 / 100
	The reasons and examples of people holding study meetings	
	through Instagram have been well organized, but the detailed	
	explanation of the study method is insufficient. There are various	
	methods in the study, and if they were properly classified, it would	
	have been more helpful for application development.	
PS	Is the proposal worth addressing?	10 / 30
(40%)	제안한 내용은 충분히 의미 있는 프로젝트인가?	
	Does the team clarify the problem?	30 / 40
	해당 팀은 문제를 명료하게 정의하고 있는가?	
	Is the proposed solution logical and practical?	10 / 30
	제안하는 솔루션은 논리적이고 실용적인가?	
	[Description]	50 / 100
	The problem is clearly defined, but considering the severity of the	
	problem, it is a problem that can be easily solved through existing	
	solutions (using Instagram, etc.) even if the application is not	
	developed. If we have a study meeting with people who use this	
	application, inevitably there must be a lot of application users, so	
	it would be better to use Instagram. In addition, study users want	
	to upload their studies to Instagram instead of other applications.	
	After all, the need for the application is lacking.	
PP	Is it appropriate for a semester-long project as a team? The	35 / 40
(30%)	proposal must be neither too trivial nor too hard.	
	한 학기 동안 팀이 수행하기에 적절한 난이도인가?	
	Are individual roles and collaboration clearly defined?	25 / 30
	개별 역할 분담과 협업이 명료하게 정의되어 있는가?	
	Is the final product meaningful?	20 / 30
	최종 결과물이 의미 있는가?	

		I
	[Description]	80 / 100
	Developing a web application is appropriate for a team to	
	perform for a semester, and it is meaningful because the final	
	result is made in the form of an application. In addition, the	
	division of roles for each team member was clearly defined which	
	divided into front-end, framework, and back-end.	
Total	BG * 0.3 + PS * 0.4 + PP * 0.3 =	65 / 100
(종합)		
Review	The necessity of the project was well explained, and the examples	
(총평)	were well explained. In addition, the project is a suitable project to	
	be carried out in one semester, and the result is also meaningful	
	in the form of an application. The division of roles among team	
	members was well done, and the problem to be solved was clearly	
	presented.	
	However, the description of the existing solution is insufficient,	
	and it is questionable whether users prefer the solution presented	
	by the project compared to the existing solution.	