## **Capstone Design: Proposal Evaluation Sheet**

Date: _	2021-09-25
Your Team: _	EDITH
Team to be Evaluated: _	Fancy (F)
Team Leader:	Chingis Oinar

The table in the next page contains evaluation criteria for a proposal. BG, PS, and PP represent background and related work, problem statement and proposed solution, and project planning, respectively. Please write the scores with a short description (i.e., why do you think so?) per each criterion.

Criteria	Items	Score
BG	Does the team thoroughly study prior work/approach/idea?	50 / 50
(30%)	기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구를 빠짐없이 정리했는가?	
	Does the team properly understand or classify related work?	45 / 50
	기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구에 대해 적절히 이해하고 분류했는가?	
	[Description]	95 / 100
	The team had a full background of what they want to do and competitors.	
PS	Is the proposal worth addressing?	20/30
(40%)	제안한 내용은 충분히 의미 있는 프로젝트인가?	
	Does the team clarify the problem?	30 / 40
	해당 팀은 문제를 명료하게 정의하고 있는가?	
	Is the proposed solution logical and practical?	20/30
	제안하는 솔루션은 논리적이고 실용적인가?	
	[Description]	70 / 100
	The solution seems useful but considering that it works with an only specific types of questions, it might not be very practical. However, the problem is cleatefined and it's worth addressing.	arly
PP	Is it appropriate for a semester-long project as a team? The	25 / 40
(30%)	proposal must be neither too trivial nor too hard.	
	한 학기 동안 팀이 수행하기에 적절한 난이도인가?	
	Are individual roles and collaboration clearly defined?	30 / 30
	개별 역할 분담과 협업이 명료하게 정의되어 있는가?	
	Is the final product meaningful?	25 / 30
	최종 결과물이 의미 있는가?	
	[Description]	80/100
	I am not sure whether the problem is trivial or hard. My concern is that it only works with specific type of questions. However, the team can add some features on top if the time permits. The final product seems to be meaning the roles are well-defined.	ngful.
Total	BG * 0.3 + PS * 0.4 + PP * 0.3 =	80.5/ 100
(종합)		