Capstone Design: Proposal Evaluation Sheet

Date: 2021.09.23

Your Team: Fancy (F)

Team to be Evaluated: E

Team Leader: Minji Cha

The table in the next page contains evaluation criteria for a proposal. BG, PS, and PP represent background and related work, problem statement and proposed solution, and project planning, respectively. Please write the scores with a short description (i.e., why do you think so?) per each criterion.

Criteria	Items	Score
BG	Does the team thoroughly study prior work/approach/idea?	20 / 50
(30%)	기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구를 빠짐없이 정리했는가?	
	Does the team properly understand or classify related work?	17 / 50
	기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구에 대해 적절히 이해하고 분류했는가?	
	[Description]	37 / 100
	The team did not clearly explain about the problems of existing	
	ideas. It would have been better if they had explained in more	
	detail about why the prior methods are inefficient.	
PS	Is the proposal worth addressing?	20 / 30
(40%)	제안한 내용은 충분히 의미 있는 프로젝트인가?	
	Does the team clarify the problem?	10 / 40
	해당 팀은 문제를 명료하게 정의하고 있는가?	
	Is the proposed solution logical and practical?	13 / 30
	제안하는 솔루션은 논리적이고 실용적인가?	
	[Description]	43 / 100
	Their explanation of the necessity of this project was not	
	reasonable. It is difficult to understand the relationship between	
	COVID-19 and the need for developing this service as they	
	mentioned in the proposal presentation.	
PP	Is it appropriate for a semester-long project as a team? The	23 / 40
(30%)	proposal must be neither too trivial nor too hard.	
	한 학기 동안 팀이 수행하기에 적절한 난이도인가?	
	Are individual roles and collaboration clearly defined?	13 / 30
	개별 역할 분담과 협업이 명료하게 정의되어 있는가?	
	Is the final product meaningful?	17 / 30
	최종 결과물이 의미 있는가?	
	[Description]	53 / 100
	There seems to be a lack of communication between team	
	members. And it is difficult to find a big difference between	
	existing solutions and this project.	
Total	BG * 0.3 + PS * 0.4 + PP * 0.3 =	44 / 100
(종합)		

Review	It seems that communication between the team members is not	
(총평)	enough yet. Also, there are already many other similar services.	
	Therefore, it would be better if they focus on specific functions or	
	parts of trading and investment model.	