Capstone Design: Proposal Evaluation Sheet

Date: 2021-09-20

Your Team: E

Team to be Evaluated: A

Team Leader: Borislav Pavlov

Criteria	Items	Score
BG	Does the team thoroughly study prior work/approach/idea?	30 / 50
(30%)	기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구를 빠짐없이 정리했는가?	
	Does the team properly understand or classify related work?	20 / 50
	기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구에 대해 적절히 이해하고 분류했는가?	
	[Description]	50 / 100
	There are existing font makers using GAN, but there is insufficient	
	research on this. A more detailed comparison is needed than a	
	brief explanation. In addition, it should have conducted a study on	
	other font makers that do not use GAN. This is because font	
	makers using GAN are not the only competitors. Existing ideas	
	need to be further researched and classified.	
PS	Is the proposal worth addressing?	10 / 30
(40%)	제안한 내용은 충분히 의미 있는 프로젝트인가?	
	Does the team clarify the problem?	35 / 40
	해당 팀은 문제를 명료하게 정의하고 있는가?	
	Is the proposed solution logical and practical?	20 / 30
	제안하는 솔루션은 논리적이고 실용적인가?	
	[Description]	65 / 100
	Except that it somewhat overlaps with existing ideas, the problem	
	is clearly defined, and the proposed solution is also practical.	
	However, in order to become a meaningful project, a greater	
	motivation is needed to create own font through the font maker	
	instead of using the existing font.	
PP	Is it appropriate for a semester-long project as a team? The	35 / 40
(30%)	proposal must be neither too trivial nor too hard.	
	한 학기 동안 팀이 수행하기에 적절한 난이도인가?	
	Are individual roles and collaboration clearly defined?	30 / 30
	개별 역할 분담과 협업이 명료하게 정의되어 있는가?	
	Is the final product meaningful?	20 / 30
	최종 결과물이 의미 있는가?	

	[Description]	85 / 100
	It is meaningful because it is a project of an appropriate level of	
	difficulty for the team to carry out for a semester, and the final	
	result is to make a font maker by hand. In addition, assigning	
	roles by dividing the main project into sub projects is very clear	
	collaboration.	
Total	BG * 0.3 + PS * 0.4 + PP * 0.3 =	66.5 /
(종합)		100
Review	The solution is clear, the collaboration works well, and the end	
(총평)	result can be a meaningful, but the idea overlaps with an existing	
	one makes the project meaningless.	
	However, the final result will be meaningful if they describe the	
	differences from the existing ideas in more detail than the	
	contents described in the proposal and make a special GAN font	
	maker.	