Capstone Design: Proposal Evaluation Sheet

Date: 2021. 09. 23

Your Team: Team H(the outsiders)

Team to be Evaluated: Team G

Team Leader: Chae seung yun

The table in the next page contains evaluation criteria for a proposal. BG, PS, and PP represent background and related work, problem statement and proposed solution, and project planning, respectively. Please write the scores with a short description (i.e., why do you think so?) per each criterion.

Criteria	Items	Score
BG	Does the team thoroughly study prior work/approach/idea?	34 / 50
(30%)	기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구를 빠짐없이 정리했는가?	
	Does the team properly understand or classify related work?	33 / 50
	기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구에 대해 적절히 이해하고 분류했는가?	
	[Description]	67 / 100
	They studied well existing works, but they need to study how to	
	build that model.	
PS	Is the proposal worth addressing?	22 / 30
(40%)	제안한 내용은 충분히 의미 있는 프로젝트인가?	
	Does the team clarify the problem?	25 / 40
	해당 팀은 문제를 명료하게 정의하고 있는가?	
	Is the proposed solution logical and practical?	22 / 30
	제안하는 솔루션은 논리적이고 실용적인가?	
	[Description]	69 / 100
	Proposed solution was practical and good idea for people who is	
	suffering from clothes choice.	
PP	Is it appropriate for a semester-long project as a team? The	27 / 40
(30%)	proposal must be neither too trivial nor too hard.	
	한 학기 동안 팀이 수행하기에 적절한 난이도인가?	
	Are individual roles and collaboration clearly defined?	22 / 30
	개별 역할 분담과 협업이 명료하게 정의되어 있는가?	
	Is the final product meaningful?	18 / 30
	최종 결과물이 의미 있는가?	
	[Description]	67 / 100
	They explained well their individual roles and schedules and their	
	final product is meaningful. However it needs more specific	
	project process.	
Total	BG * 0.3 + PS * 0.4 + PP * 0.3 =	67.8/100
(종합)		

Review (총평)

They studied well existing works, but they need to study how to build that model. Also, they need to explain services of their project product.

The idea was nice and realistic. It would be solution for people who think clothes choices are hard. However, they must define how to assess the model clearly. The criterion of what combination is acceptable would be needed.

They explained features, but it is absent how the features will work. They need specific plans for each function of their product.

Their project is meaningful and practical, and they summarize their roles and schedules well.