Capstone Design: Proposal Evaluation Sheet

Date: 2021.09.16

Your Team: Fancy (F)

Team to be Evaluated: C

Team Leader: Minji Cha

The table in the next page contains evaluation criteria for a proposal. BG, PS, and PP represent background and related work, problem statement and proposed solution, and project planning, respectively. Please write the scores with a short description (i.e., why do you think so?) per each criterion.

Criteria	Items	Score
BG (30%)	Does the team thoroughly study prior work/approach/idea? 기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구를 빠짐없이 정리했는가?	37 / 50
	Does the team properly understand or classify related work? 기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구에 대해 적절히 이해하고 분류했는가?	40 / 50
	[Description] They challenged the existing methods relatively adequately. Limitation of existing ideas seems to be transparent for everyone.	77 / 100
PS (40%)	Is the proposal worth addressing? 제안한 내용은 충분히 의미 있는 프로젝트인가?	28 / 30
	Does the team clarify the problem? 해당 팀은 문제를 명료하게 정의하고 있는가?	28 / 40
	Is the proposed solution logical and practical? 제안하는 솔루션은 논리적이고 실용적인가?	18 / 30
	[Description] It is doubtful if this idea would be practical because there are astronomical variables in stock market. And It wasn't clear what could be improved.	74 / 100
PP (30%)	Is it appropriate for a semester-long project as a team? The proposal must be neither too trivial nor too hard. 한 학기 동안 팀이 수행하기에 적절한 난이도인가?	23 / 40
	Are individual roles and collaboration clearly defined? 개별 역할 분담과 협업이 명료하게 정의되어 있는가?	18 / 30
	Is the final product meaningful? 최종 결과물이 의미 있는가?	28 / 30
	[Description] There is a lack of explanation about who will be in charge of what content, and unless it is a project that has already been carried out, the time required to train the model is not likely to be enough for a semester project.	69 / 100
Total (종합)	**BG * 0.3 + PS * 0.4 + PP * 0.3 =**	73 / 100

Review (총평)	Overall, this project's value depends on if the result program would work in real markets because the stock market has many variables. Also, the time required to train the model is not likely to be enough for a semester project. However, they challenged the existing methods relatively adequately.	