Capstone Design: Proposal Evaluation Sheet

Date:	2021-09-23
Your Team:	E
Team to be Evaluated:	D
Team Leader:	Borislav Pavlov

The table in the next page contains evaluation criteria for a proposal. BG, PS, and PP represent background and related work, problem statement and proposed solution, and project planning, respectively. Please write the scores with a short description (i.e., why do you think so?) per each criterion.

Criteria	Items	Score
BG (30%)	Does the team thoroughly study prior work/approach/idea? 기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구를 빠짐없이 정리했는가?	30/50
	Does the team properly understand or classify related work? 기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구에 대해 적절히 이해하고 분류했는가?	35/50
	[Description] The content and ideas related to the topic were well organized, but the content of previous research seems to be needed a little more.	65/100
PS (40%)	Is the proposal worth addressing? 제안한 내용은 충분히 의미 있는 프로젝트인가?	25/30
	Does the team clarify the problem? 해당 팀은 문제를 명료하게 정의하고 있는가?	20/40
	Is the proposed solution logical and practical? 제안하는 솔루션은 논리적이고 실용적인가?	20/30
	[Description] The idea is good, and I think it can gain popularity in conjunction with the recent growth of the animation field.	65/100
PP (30%)	Is it appropriate for a semester-long project as a team? The proposal must be neither too trivial nor too hard. 한 학기 동안 팀이 수행하기에 적절한 난이도인가?	30/40
	Are individual roles and collaboration clearly defined? 개별 역할 분담과 협업이 명료하게 정의되어 있는가?	25/30
	Is the final product meaningful? 최종 결과물이 의미 있는가?	22/30
	[Description] Work is properly distributed among team members, and the content of collaboration is slightly lacking.	77/100
Total (종합)	BG * 0.3 + PS * 0.4 + PP * 0.3 =	68.6/100

Review **(총평)**	If it is accompanied by previous research or an investigation into the market situation, good results can be obtained. Because team D use difficult AI models such as, so collaboration between team members will be important. Also It would be good to consider the smooth connection between AI models.	