

# Capstone Design: Proposal Evaluation Sheet

Date: 2021. 09. 16

Your Team: Team H(the outsiders)

Team to be Evaluated: Team A

Team Leader: Chae seung yun

The table in the next page contains evaluation criteria for a proposal. BG, PS, and PP represent background and related work, problem statement and proposed solution, and project planning, respectively. Please write the scores with a short description (i.e., why do you think so?) per each criterion.

Criteria	Items	Score
<b>BG</b> <b>(30%)</b>	Does the team thoroughly study prior work/approach/idea? 기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구를 빠짐없이 정리했는가?	38 / 50
	Does the team properly understand or classify related work? 기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구에 대해 적절히 이해하고 분류했는가?	37 / 50
	[Description] They explained well about existing research, and they understand related work enough.	75 / 100
<b>PS</b> <b>(40%)</b>	Is the proposal worth addressing? 제안한 내용은 충분히 의미 있는 프로젝트인가?	27 / 30
	Does the team clarify the problem? 해당 팀은 문제를 명료하게 정의하고 있는가?	38 / 40
	Is the proposed solution logical and practical? 제안하는 솔루션은 논리적이고 실용적인가?	24 / 30
	[Description] Generating font is so interesting idea but, User input of 300~400 seems to be still too much.	89 / 100
<b>PP</b> <b>(30%)</b>	Is it appropriate for a semester-long project as a team? The proposal must be neither too trivial nor too hard. 한 학기 동안 팀이 수행하기에 적절한 난이도인가?	30 / 40
	Are individual roles and collaboration clearly defined? 개별 역할 분담과 협업이 명료하게 정의되어 있는가?	25 / 30
	Is the final product meaningful? 최종 결과물이 의미 있는가?	23 / 30
	[Description] The output would be less worth compared to the effort. The project working looks hard. However, individual roles are well distributed.	78 / 100
<b>Total</b> <b>(종합)</b>	<b>BG * 0.3 + PS * 0.4 + PP * 0.3 =</b>	81.5/100

<b>Review</b> <b>(총평)</b>	<p>They explained existing research and understood enough. Generating font would be also interesting idea. They defined the problem clearly and individual roles are well distributed. However, Specific solution was not sufficient, and result would be less worth compared to the effort. we think that project could be hard to process. This idea is interesting but there already is an identical GAN project as opensource. So, this project is lack of differentiation.</p>	
------------------------------	---	--