## **Capstone Design: Proposal Evaluation Sheet**

| Date:                   | 2021.09.23    |
|-------------------------|---------------|
| Your Team: _            | EDITH(D)      |
| Team to be Evaluated: _ | A             |
| Team Leader:            | Chingis Oinar |

The table in the next page contains evaluation criteria for a proposal. BG, PS, and PP represent background and related work, problem statement and proposed solution, and project planning, respectively. Please write the scores with a short description (i.e., why do you think so?) per each criterion.

| Does the team thoroughly study prior work/approach/idea? 기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구를 빠짐없이 정리했는가? Does the team properly understand or classify related work? 기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구에 대해 적절히 이해하고 분류했는가? [Description]  Very thorough explanation of background and related work. However, researching more approaches could have made it even better.  Is the proposal worth addressing? 제안한 내용은 충분히 의미 있는 프로젝트인가? Does the team clarify the problem? 해당 팀은 문제를 명료하게 정의하고 있는가? | 45 / 50<br>45 / 50<br>90 / 100<br>21 / 30<br>30 / 40  |
|--|---|
| Does the team properly understand or classify related work? 기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구에 대해 적절히 이해하고 분류했는가? [Description]  Very thorough explanation of background and related work. However, researching more approaches could have made it even better.  Is the proposal worth addressing? 제안한 내용은 충분히 의미 있는 프로젝트인가?  Does the team clarify the problem? 해당 팀은 문제를 명료하게 정의하고 있는가?  | 90 / 100  |
| 기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구에 대해 적절히 이해하고 분류했는가? [Description] Very thorough explanation of background and related work. However, researching more approaches could have made it even better.  Is the proposal worth addressing? 제안한 내용은 충분히 의미 있는 프로젝트인가?  Does the team clarify the problem? 해당 팀은 문제를 명료하게 정의하고 있는가?   | 90 / 100  |
| [Description] Very thorough explanation of background and related work. However, researching more approaches could have made it even better.  Is the proposal worth addressing? 제안한 내용은 충분히 의미 있는 프로젝트인가?  Does the team clarify the problem? 해당 팀은 문제를 명료하게 정의하고 있는가?   | 21/30   |
| Very thorough explanation of background and related work. However, researching more approaches could have made it even better.  Is the proposal worth addressing? 제안한 내용은 충분히 의미 있는 프로젝트인가?  Does the team clarify the problem? 해당 팀은 문제를 명료하게 정의하고 있는가?   | 21/30   |
| However, researching more approaches could have made it even better.  Is the proposal worth addressing? 제안한 내용은 충분히 의미 있는 프로젝트인가?  Does the team clarify the problem? 해당 팀은 문제를 명료하게 정의하고 있는가?   |   |
| 제안한 내용은 충분히 의미 있는 프로젝트인가?  Does the team clarify the problem?  해당 팀은 문제를 명료하게 정의하고 있는가?  |   |
| Does the team clarify the problem?<br>해당 팀은 문제를 명료하게 정의하고 있는가?   | 30 / 40   |
| 해당 팀은 문제를 명료하게 정의하고 있는가?   | 30 / 40   |
|  |   |
| Is the proposed solution logical and practical?  |   |
|  | 20 / 30   |
| 제안하는 솔루션은 논리적이고 실용적인가?   |   |
| [Description]  | 71 / 100  |
| The idea seems unique since there are not many alternatives. The problem is clearly stated and discussed. The solution is practical.   |   |
| Is it appropriate for a semester-long project as a team? The   | 20 / 40   |
| proposal must be neither too trivial nor too hard.   |   |
| 한 학기 동안 팀이 수행하기에 적절한 난이도인가?  |   |
| Are individual roles and collaboration clearly defined?<br>개별 역할 분담과 협업이 명료하게 정의되어 있는가?  | 30 / 30   |
| Is the final product meaningful?   | 20/30   |
| 최종 결과물이 의미 있는가?  |   |
| [Description]  | 70 / 100  |
| The difficulty is not too hard but might be quite easy for the semester long project. The roles are clearly defined. The final product is quite meaningful.  |   |
| BG * 0.3 + PS * 0.4 + PP * 0.3 =   | 76.4 100  |
| _  | The idea seems unique since there are not many alternatives. The problem is clearly stated and discussed. The solution is practical.  Is it appropriate for a semester-long project as a team? The proposal must be neither too trivial nor too hard. 한 학기 동안 팀이 수행하기에 적절한 난이도인가?  Are individual roles and collaboration clearly defined? 개별 역할 분담과 협업이 명료하게 정의되어 있는가?  Is the final product meaningful? 최종 결과물이 의미 있는가?  [Description]  The difficulty is not too hard but might be quite easy for the semester long project. The roles are clearly defined. The final product is quite meaningful. |

| Review<br>(총평) | The idea is unique and there are not many alternatives. Thus, the problem is well-defined. The roles are well-divided. However, it might be quite easy for the semester long project. |  |
|----------------|---|--|
|                |   |  |
|                |   |  |