

Capstone Design: Proposal Evaluation Sheet

Date: 2021. 09. 16

Your Team: Team H(the outsiders)

Team to be Evaluated: Team B

Team Leader: Chae seung yun

The table in the next page contains evaluation criteria for a proposal. BG, PS, and PP represent background and related work, problem statement and proposed solution, and project planning, respectively. Please write the scores with a short description (i.e., why do you think so?) per each criterion.

Criteria	Items	Score
BG (30%)	Does the team thoroughly study prior work/approach/idea? 기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구를 빠짐없이 정리했는가?	30 / 50
	Does the team properly understand or classify related work? 기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구에 대해 적절히 이해하고 분류했는가?	27 / 50
	[Description] Existing work is not enough, but motivation for project is introduced.	57 / 100
PS (40%)	Is the proposal worth addressing? 제안한 내용은 충분히 의미 있는 프로젝트인가?	21 / 30
	Does the team clarify the problem? 해당 팀은 문제를 명료하게 정의하고 있는가?	33 / 40
	Is the proposed solution logical and practical? 제안하는 솔루션은 논리적이고 실용적인가?	20 / 30
	[Description] The background of project is well explained, but solution was not practical.	74 / 100
PP (30%)	Is it appropriate for a semester-long project as a team? The proposal must be neither too trivial nor too hard. 한 학기 동안 팀이 수행하기에 적절한 난이도인가?	34 / 40
	Are individual roles and collaboration clearly defined? 개별 역할 분담과 협업이 명료하게 정의되어 있는가?	26 / 30
	Is the final product meaningful? 최종 결과물이 의미 있는가?	20 / 30
	[Description] Individual roles are well defined and proposal can be proper during semester, but we don't think people use this program.	80 / 100
Total (종합)	BG * 0.3 + PS * 0.4 + PP * 0.3 =	70.7/100

Review (총평)	<p>The idea of integration of study application into social networking system. Also, this idea seems like not difficult task. However, study of existing work was not enough, and their idea doesn't seem practical. Users do not be motivated to move their program if users have existing similar program.</p>	
------------------------------	--	--