

# Capstone Design: Proposal Evaluation Sheet

Date: \_\_\_\_\_ 2021/09/16 \_\_\_\_\_

Your Team: \_\_\_\_\_ coturnix (C team) \_\_\_\_\_

Team to be Evaluated: \_\_\_\_\_ D \_\_\_\_\_

Team Leader: \_\_\_\_\_ Ban Seonghyun \_\_\_\_\_

The table in the next page contains evaluation criteria for a proposal. BG, PS, and PP represent background and related work, problem statement and proposed solution, and project planning, respectively. Please write the scores with a short description (i.e., why do you think so?) per each criterion.

Criteria	Items	Score
<b>BG</b> <b>(30%)</b>	Does the team thoroughly study prior work/approach/idea? 기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구를 빠짐없이 정리했는가?	35 / 50
	Does the team properly understand or classify related work? 기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구에 대해 적절히 이해하고 분류했는가?	35 / 50
	[Description] 기존에 진행된 GAN 연구와 기술이 적용된 분야 및 예시를 적절히 잘 표현하였습니다.  It was good to properly explain the fields and examples of GAN research applied and incorporate them into one technology.	70 / 100
<b>PS</b> <b>(40%)</b>	Is the proposal worth addressing? 제안한 내용은 충분히 의미 있는 프로젝트인가?	20 / 30
	Does the team clarify the problem? 해당 팀은 문제를 명료하게 정의하고 있는가?	25 / 40
	Is the proposed solution logical and practical? 제안하는 솔루션은 논리적이고 실용적인가?	25 / 30
	[Description] 기존에 있었던 아이디어들과 차별되어 개선되는 점이 부족해 보이나 여러 아이디어를 융합해 하나의 서비스를 만든다는 점은 좋았습니다.  Although there seems to be a lack of improvement from existing ideas, it was good to create a service by combining various ideas.	70 / 100
<b>PP</b> <b>(30%)</b>	Is it appropriate for a semester-long project as a team? The proposal must be neither too trivial nor too hard. 한 학기 동안 팀이 수행하기에 적절한 난이도인가?	35 / 40
	Are individual roles and collaboration clearly defined? 개별 역할 분담과 협업이 명료하게 정의되어 있는가?	20 / 30
	Is the final product meaningful? 최종 결과물이 의미 있는가?	25 / 30
	[Description] 역할 분담이 간단명료하게 수립되었습니다. 인공지능을 실용적이고 대중적으로 적용한다는 점에서 결과물은 큰 의미가 있어 보입니다. The division of roles has been established simply and clearly. The results seem to be of great significance in that artificial intelligence is applied practically.	80 / 100
<b>Total</b> <b>(종합)</b>	<b>BG * 0.3 + PS * 0.4 + PP * 0.3 =</b>	73 / 100

<b>Review</b>  <b>(총평)</b>	<p>여러 아이디어를 융합하여 하나의 서비스를 만드는 점이 좋지만 기존 인공지능 기반 채색 알고리즘을 애니메이션에 대입하는 것 이외의 차별점을 만들면 좋을 것 같습니다.</p> <p>It was good to create a single service by combining various ideas, but it would be good to make a difference other than substituting existing artificial intelligence-based coloring algorithms into animation.</p>	
----------------------------------	---	--