## **Capstone Design: Proposal Evaluation Sheet**

Date: _	2021-09-25
Your Team:	EDITH
Team to be Evaluated:	The outsiders (H)
Team Leader:	Chingis Oinar

The table in the next page contains evaluation criteria for a proposal. BG, PS, and PP represent background and related work, problem statement and proposed solution, and project planning, respectively. Please write the scores with a short description (i.e., why do you think so?) per each criterion.

Criteria	Items	Score
BG	Does the team thoroughly study prior work/approach/idea?	40 / 50
(30%)	기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구를 빠짐없이 정리했는가?	
	Does the team properly understand or classify related work?	40 / 50
	기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구에 대해 적절히 이해하고 분류했는가?	
	[Description]	80 / 100
	Overall, the team did a good studying the problem and providing info. The related work is nicely presented.	
PS	Is the proposal worth addressing?	25/30
(40%)	제안한 내용은 충분히 의미 있는 프로젝트인가?	
	Does the team clarify the problem?	35 / 40
	해당 팀은 문제를 명료하게 정의하고 있는가?	
	Is the proposed solution logical and practical?	25 / 30
	제안하는 솔루션은 논리적이고 실용적인가?	
	[Description]	85 / 100
	The proposal is worth addressing. The problem was well-presented. The solu is logical and practical.	tion
PP	Is it appropriate for a semester-long project as a team? The	30 / 40
(30%)	proposal must be neither too trivial nor too hard.	
	한 학기 동안 팀이 수행하기에 적절한 난이도인가?	
	Are individual roles and collaboration clearly defined?	30 / 30
	개별 역할 분담과 협업이 명료하게 정의되어 있는가?	
	Is the final product meaningful?	25 / 30
	최종 결과물이 의미 있는가?	
	[Description] The roles are clear. The solution is good for a semester-long project.	85/100
	The roles are clear. The solution is good for a semester-long project.	
Total	BG * 0.3 + PS * 0.4 + PP * 0.3 =	83.5/ 100
(종합)		

Review (총평)	Overall, the team provided a good range of background info. The solution is ni presented and the roles were well-defined.	cely