**Capstone Design: Proposal Evaluation Sheet**

Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_2021/09/16\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Your Team: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_coturnix (C team)\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Team to be Evaluated: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_D\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Team Leader: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Ban Seonghyun\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

The table in the next page contains evaluation criteria for a proposal. BG, PS, and PP represent background and related work, problem statement and proposed solution, and project planning, respectively. Please write the scores with a short description (i.e., why do you think so?) per each criterion.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Items** | **Score** |
| **BG**  **(30%)** | Does the team thoroughly study prior work/approach/idea?  기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구를 빠짐없이 정리했는가? | 40 / 50 |
| Does the team properly understand or classify related work?  기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구에 대해 적절히 이해하고 분류했는가? | 40 / 50 |
| [Description]   기존에 진행된 GAN 연구와 기술이 적용된 분야 및 예시를 적절히 잘 표현하였습니다.  It was good to properly explain the fields and examples of GAN research applied and incorporate them into one technology. | 80 / 100 |
| **PS**  **(40%)** | Is the proposal worth addressing?  제안한 내용은 충분히 의미 있는 프로젝트인가? | 20 / 30 |
| Does the team clarify the problem?  해당 팀은 문제를 명료하게 정의하고 있는가? | 25 / 40 |
| Is the proposed solution logical and practical?  제안하는 솔루션은 논리적이고 실용적인가? | 25 / 30 |
| [Description]  기존에 있었던 아이디어들과 차별되어 개선되는 점이 부족해 보이나 여러 아이디어를 융합해 하나의 서비스를 만든다는 점은 좋았습니다.  Although there seems to be a lack of improvement from existing ideas, it was good to create a service by combining various ideas. | 70 / 100 |
| **PP**  **(30%)** | Is it appropriate for a semester-long project as a team? The proposal must be neither too trivial nor too hard.  한 학기 동안 팀이 수행하기에 적절한 난이도인가? | 35 / 40 |
| Are individual roles and collaboration clearly defined?  개별 역할 분담과 협업이 명료하게 정의되어 있는가? | 20 / 30 |
| Is the final product meaningful?  최종 결과물이 의미 있는가? | 25 / 30 |
| [Description]  역할 분담이 간단명료하게 수립되었습니다. 인공지능을 실용적이고 대중적으로 적용한다는 점에서 결과물은 큰 의미가 있어 보입니다.  The division of roles has been established simply and clearly. The results seem to be of great significance in that artificial intelligence is applied practically. | 80 / 100 |
| **Total (종합)** | **BG \* 0.3 + PS \* 0.4 + PP \* 0.3 =** | 76 / 100 |
| **Review**  **(총평)** | 여러 아이디어를 융합하여 하나의 서비스를 만드는 점이 좋지만 기존 인공지능 기반 채색 알고리즘을 애니메이션에 대입하는 것 이외의 차별점을 만들면 좋을 것 같습니다.  It was good to create a single service by combining various ideas, but it would be good to make a difference other than substituting existing artificial intelligence-based coloring algorithms into animation. |  |