**Capstone Design: Proposal Evaluation Sheet**

Date: 2021.09.23.

Your Team: cookieNcream

Team to be Evaluated: Team H

Team Leader: Bomin Namkoong

The table in the next page contains evaluation criteria for a proposal. BG, PS, and PP represent background and related work, problem statement and proposed solution, and project planning, respectively. Please write the scores with a short description (i.e., why do you think so?) per each criterion.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Items** | **Score** |
| **BG**  **(30%)** | Does the team thoroughly study prior work/approach/idea?  기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구를 빠짐없이 정리했는가? | 32 / 50 |
| Does the team properly understand or classify related work?  기존 아이디어/접근방식/연구에 대해 적절히 이해하고 분류했는가? | 30 / 50 |
| [Description] | 62 / 100 |
| **PS**  **(40%)** | Is the proposal worth addressing?  제안한 내용은 충분히 의미 있는 프로젝트인가? | 27 / 30 |
| Does the team clarify the problem?  해당 팀은 문제를 명료하게 정의하고 있는가? | 26 / 40 |
| Is the proposed solution logical and practical?  제안하는 솔루션은 논리적이고 실용적인가? | 20 / 30 |
| [Description]  이 어플이 꼭 필요한 이유에 대한 설득력이 부족함. | 73 / 100 |
| **PP**  **(30%)** | Is it appropriate for a semester-long project as a team? The proposal must be neither too trivial nor too hard.  한 학기 동안 팀이 수행하기에 적절한 난이도인가? | 29 / 40 |
| Are individual roles and collaboration clearly defined?  개별 역할 분담과 협업이 명료하게 정의되어 있는가? | 29 / 30 |
| Is the final product meaningful?  최종 결과물이 의미 있는가? | 24 / 30 |
| [Description] | 82 / 100 |
| **Total (종합)** | **BG \* 0.3 + PS \* 0.4 + PP \* 0.3 =** | 72.4 / 100 |
| **Review (총평)** | 서비스의 활용도 측면을 좀 더 잘 설명하면 좋을 것 같고 구현 방안도 지금보다 구체화하면 좋을듯. |  |