# **Effect of Decoherence During Gates**

Ben Criger

July 5, 2016

#### 1 Introduction

The purpose of this note is to determine the effect of biased (read: mostly dephasing) noise during the operation of certain gates. I'm going to start with the  $Y_{90}$  gate, implemented by a nice square pulse. There are two limits that can be handled succinctly, the perfectly-Markovian limit (where we can't decrease the error rate without a quantum error-correcting code), and the 'unknown constant Hamiltonian' limit, where we can apply pulse sequences, reversing the effect of the unknown Hamiltonian for  $\sim 1/2$  the pulse duration. I begin with the Markovian limit, as I'm not so familiar with DD pulse sequences.

## 2 Helpful Math

Let's vectorize the density matrix, in the Pauli basis:

$$\rho = \frac{\hat{1}}{2} + \rho_x \sigma_x + \rho_y \sigma_y + \rho_z \sigma_z \tag{1}$$

To express the equation of motion in this basis, I calculate a few commutators and dissipators.

With these in hand, we can start expressing the equation of motion for a noisy  $Y_{90}$  in this operator basis.

$$\mathcal{D}\left[A\right]\left(B\right) = ABA^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2}\left\{A^{\dagger}A, B\right\} \tag{2}$$

$$\mathcal{D}\left[A\right]\left(\hat{\mathbb{1}}\right) = A\hat{\mathbb{1}}A^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2}\left\{A^{\dagger}A, \,\hat{\mathbb{1}}\right\} = \left[A, \, A^{\dagger}\right] \tag{3}$$

$$\therefore \mathcal{D}\left[\sigma_z\right] \left(\hat{\mathbb{1}}\right) = \left[\sigma_z, \, \sigma_z\right] = 0 \tag{4}$$

$$\mathcal{D}\left[\sigma_z\right]\left(\sigma_z\right) = 0 \tag{5}$$

$$\mathcal{D}\left[\sigma_{z}\right]\left(\sigma_{x,y}\right) = -2\sigma_{x,y} \tag{6}$$

 $[\sigma_y, \, \sigma_x] = -2i\sigma_z \quad [\sigma_y, \, \sigma_z] = 2i\sigma_x \tag{7}$ 

# 3 $Y_{90}$ with Markovian Noise

A simple master equation for a  $Y_{90}$ , subject to dephasing is:

$$\dot{\rho} = -i\frac{\omega}{2} \left[ \sigma_y, \, \rho \right] + \frac{\gamma}{2} \mathcal{D} \left[ \sigma_z \right] \left( \rho \right), \tag{8}$$

where the factors of two are included to make the matrix description look nice, as we will see in a minute. I express the commutator and dissipator in matrix form:

$$\frac{\gamma}{2}\mathcal{D}\left[\sigma_{z}\right](\cdot) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -\gamma & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -\gamma & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad -i\frac{\omega}{2}\left[\sigma_{y}, \cdot\right] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -\omega & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{9}$$

The Lindbladian is just the sum of these two terms:

$$\dot{\vec{\rho}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma & 0 & \omega \\ 0 & 0 & -\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & -\omega & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \vec{\rho} = \hat{L}\vec{\rho}$$
 (10)

We take the matrix exponent  $\exp(\hat{L}t)$  to get the superoperator S:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{\gamma t}{2}} \left( \cosh(\beta t/2) - \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \sinh(\beta t/2) \right) & 0 & -\frac{2\omega}{\beta} e^{-\frac{\gamma t}{2}} \sinh(\beta t/2) \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-\frac{\gamma t}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2\omega}{\beta} e^{-\frac{\gamma t}{2}} \sinh(\beta t/2) & 0 & e^{-\frac{\gamma t}{2}} \left( \cosh(\beta t/2) + \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \sinh(\beta t/2) \right) \end{bmatrix}$$
(11)

where  $\beta = \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 4\omega^2}$ .

If  $\omega$  is large, and  $\gamma$  is small (as we hope will be the case in low-noise systems), then  $\beta$  will be imaginary, and the hyperbolic functions will become regular trigonometric functions:

$$\beta \equiv i\nu \tag{12}$$

$$\cosh\left(\frac{\beta t}{2}\right) = \cos\left(\frac{\nu t}{2}\right) \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{\sinh(\frac{\beta t}{2})}{\beta} = \frac{\sin(\frac{\nu t}{2})}{\nu} \tag{14}$$

$$S \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{\gamma t}{2}} \left( \cos(\nu t/2) - \frac{\gamma}{\nu} \sin(\nu t/2) \right) & 0 & -\frac{2\omega}{\nu} e^{-\frac{\gamma t}{2}} \sin(\nu t/2) \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-\frac{\gamma t}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2\omega}{\nu} e^{-\frac{\gamma t}{2}} \sin(\nu t/2) & 0 & e^{-\frac{\gamma t}{2}} \left( \cos(\nu t/2) + \frac{\gamma}{\nu} \sin(\nu t/2) \right) \end{bmatrix}$$
 (15)

We have control over t, and we'd like to determine how to set it in order to obtain the maximum-fidelity  $Y_{90}$ . To separate the noise from the gate we'd like to perform, we express the total superoperator as the product of a desired  $Y_{90}$  superoperator and a noise operator:

$$S = S_{\text{Noise}} S_{\text{Id}} \tag{16}$$

$$\therefore S_{\text{Noise}} = S_{\text{Id}}^{-1} S \tag{17}$$

$$S_{\text{Id}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (18)

$$S_{\text{Id}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore S_{\text{Noise}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2\omega}{\nu} \exp(-\frac{\gamma t}{2}) \sin(\frac{\nu t}{2}) & 0 & \exp(-\frac{\gamma t}{2}) \left(\frac{\gamma}{\nu} \sin(\frac{\nu t}{2}) - \cos(\frac{\nu t}{2})\right) \\ 0 & 0 & \exp(-\gamma t) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(-\frac{\gamma t}{2}) \left(\frac{\gamma}{\nu} \sin(\frac{\nu t}{2}) + \cos(\frac{\nu t}{2})\right) & 0 & \frac{2\omega}{\nu} \exp(-\frac{\gamma t}{2}) \sin(\frac{\nu t}{2}) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(18)$$

To find the channel fidelity  $F_{\Lambda} = \langle \Omega | \Lambda \otimes \hat{\mathbb{1}} (|\Omega \rangle \langle \Omega |) | \Omega \rangle$  (where  $|\Omega \rangle$  is a Bell state), we take the trace of this superoperator and divide by 4 (I won't prove this here, but leave it as an exercise):

$$F_{S_{\text{Noise}}} = \frac{1}{4} \left[ 1 + \frac{4\omega}{\nu} \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma t}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\nu t}{2}\right) + \exp\left(-\gamma t\right) \right]$$
 (20)

### 4 Questions

- 1. Show that "shorting" the gate time optimally (maximizing the channel fidelity) doesn't appreciably raise the fidelity over just setting  $\omega t = \frac{\pi}{2}$ .
- 2. How does all this change when we add amplitude damping?