

Quantum algorithms are meant to leverage the promising power of quantum computers [5]. Commonly described as quantum circuits, quantum algorithms are hardware agnostic [13]. Due to the variety of technologies that emerged to build quantum memories, the algorithms tend to be as theoretical as possible. From ion traps [? paper on ion traps] to superconducting qubit [2], through quantum dots [8, 10], each layout has its own requirements and constraints. Also, the qubit chip layouts from IBM [9], Google [3] and Rigetti [15] are quite limited.

Moreover, quantum devices – no matter which technology – are error prone. Quantum operations are faulty and qubits are not able to hold the desired state for long times, gradually rotating to another state – the qubit decoheres. [some numbers for the technologies] [14]. This creates an undesirable environment to compute the most useful algorithms. Therefore, in order to fight the errors generated by this behaviour, fault-tolerant (FT) and quantum error correction (QEC) mechanisms have been developed during the last years [13] [? papers on error correction]. These techniques force the quantum chips layout to arrange the qubits in a particular manner [16], constraining them even more.

A link between the algorithms and the devices is required [7] thus. As in classical computation, the algorithms should go through a compilation process in order to adapt them to the hosting device. Certainly, the mapping procedure is an important part of this process based on three sub-tasks, scheduling, initial placement and routing; as we considered before.

There is a considerable amount of literature on the mapping task. Initial works on this field [12, 17, 1] focused primarily on the definition of what they defined as a *scheduler* able to parallelize operations and add the require ones to route qubits. They would consider general constraints, common for most of the hardware devices – although the works were examining ion-traps as hardware implementations. The proposed techniques examine a dependency graph looking for the best way to organize qubits and operations. The majority of the methods use latency as the metric to minimize, however some of them [6] would minimize in #SWAPS. Following a similar reasoning as the first approaches, more complex solutions [4] have been published. And, also, several works [11, 18] outlining only the routing sub-task.

References

- [1] Tayebbeh Bahreini and Naser Mohammadzadeh. An minlp model for scheduling and placement of quantum circuits with a heuristic solution approach. *ACM Journal on Emerging Technologies in Computing Systems*, 12(3):1–20, Sep 2015.
- [2] R. Barends, J. Kelly, A. Megrant, A. Veitia, D. Sank, E. Jeffrey, T. C. White, J. Mutus, A. G. Fowler, B. Campbell, and et al. Superconducting quantum circuits at the surface code threshold for fault tolerance. *Nature*, 508(7497):500–503, Apr 2014.
- [3] Sergio Boixo, Sergei V. Isakov, Vadim N. Smelyanskiy, Ryan Babbush, Nan Ding, Zhang Jiang, Michael J. Bremner, John M. Martinis, and Hartmut Neven. Characterizing Quantum Supremacy in Near-Term Devices, 2016.
- [4] Kyle E. C. Booth, Minh Do, J. Christopher Beck, Eleanor Rieffel, Davide Venturelli, and Jeremy Frank. Comparing and Integrating Constraint Programming and Temporal Planning for Quantum Circuit Compilation, 2018.
- [5] Patrick J. Coles, Stephan Eidenbenz, Scott Pakin, Adetokunbo Adedoyin, John Ambrosiano, Petr Anisimov, William Casper, Gopinath Chennupati, Carleton Coffrin, Hristo Djidjev, David Gunter, Satish Karra, Nathan Lemons, Shizeng Lin, Andrey Lokhov, Alexander Malyzhenkov, David Mascarenas, Susan Mniszewski, Balu Nadiga, Dan O’Malley, Diane Oyen, Lakshman Prasad, Randy Roberts, Phil Romero, Nandakishore Santhi, Nikolai Sinitsyn, Pieter Swart, Marc Vuffray, Jim Wendelberger, Boram Yoon, Richard Zamora, and Wei Zhu. Quantum Algorithm Implementations for Beginners, 2018.
- [6] Azim Farghadan and Naser Mohammadzadeh. Quantum circuit physical design flow for 2d nearest-neighbor architectures. *International Journal of Circuit Theory and Applications*, 45(7):989–1000, Mar 2017.
- [7] X. Fu, L. Rieseboos, L. Lao, C. G. Almudever, F. Sebastiano, R. Versluis, E. Charbon, and K. Bertels. A heterogeneous quantum computer architecture. *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Computing Frontiers - CF ’16*, 2016.
- [8] Charles D. Hill, Eldad Peretz, Samuel J. Hile, Matthew G. House, Martin Fuechsle, Sven Rogge, Michelle Y. Simmons, and Lloyd C. L. Hol-

- lenberg. A surface code quantum computer in silicon. *Science Advances*, 1(9):e1500707, Oct 2015.
- [9] IBM. Ibm q experience backend information. <https://github.com/QISKit/ibmqx-backend-information>, 2018.
 - [10] Ruoyu Li, Luca Petit, David P. Franke, Juan Pablo Dehollain, Jonas Helsen, Mark Steudtner, Nicole K. Thomas, Zachary R. Yoscovits, Kanwal J. Singh, Stephanie Wehner, and et al. A crossbar network for silicon quantum dot qubits. *Science Advances*, 4(7):eaar3960, Jul 2018.
 - [11] Aaron Lye, Robert Wille, and Rolf Drechsler. Determining the minimal number of swap gates for multi-dimensional nearest neighbor quantum circuits. *The 20th Asia and South Pacific Design Automation Conference*, Jan 2015.
 - [12] Tzvetan S. Metodi, Darshan D. Thaker, Andrew W. Cross, Frederic T. Chong, and Isaac L. Chuang. Scheduling physical operations in a quantum information processor. *Quantum Information and Computation IV*, May 2006.
 - [13] Michael A. Nielsen and Isaac L. Chuang. Quantum computation and quantum information. 2009.
 - [14] T. E. O’Brien, B. Tarasinski, and L. DiCarlo. Density-matrix simulation of small surface codes under current and projected experimental noise. *npj Quantum Information*, 3(1), Sep 2017.
 - [15] Eyob A. Sete, William J. Zeng, and Chad T. Rigetti. A functional architecture for scalable quantum computing. *2016 IEEE International Conference on Rebooting Computing (ICRC)*, Oct 2016.
 - [16] R. Versluis, S. Poletto, N. Khammassi, B. Tarasinski, N. Haider, D.J. Michalak, A. Bruno, K. Bertels, and L. DiCarlo. Scalable quantum circuit and control for a superconducting surface code. *Physical Review Applied*, 8(3), Sep 2017.
 - [17] Mark Whitney, Nemanja Isailovic, Yatish Patel, and John Kubiatawicz. Automated generation of layout and control for quantum circuits. *Proceedings of the 4th international conference on Computing frontiers - CF '07*, 2007.
 - [18] Robert Wille, Oliver Keszocze, Marcel Walter, Patrick Rohrs, Anupam Chattopadhyay, and Rolf Drechsler. Look-ahead schemes for nearest neighbor optimization of 1d and 2d quantum circuits. *2016 21st*

Asia and South Pacific Design Automation Conference (ASP-DAC),
Jan 2016.