Using statistics in PostgreSQL for optimization performance

Использование статистики в PostgreSQL для оптимизации производительности



Алексей Ермаков alexey.ermakov@postgresql-consulting.com

What will we talk about today



О чем сегодня будем говорить

How the scheduler estimates the number of rows in a table

• Как планировщик оценивает число строк в таблице

• Как определяется селективность условий в запросах

How the selectivity of conditions in queries is determined

- Какая собирается статистика What statistics are collected
- Полезные приемы Useful tricks
- Грабли и способы их обхода Rake and how to bypass them
- Мониторинг производительности и диагностика проблем

Performance monitoring and problem diagnosis



- Connection
- Parser
- Rewrite system
- Planner/Optimizer
- Executor



Multiple execution plans are generated

- Генерируется множество планов выполнения
- Для каждой элементарной операции оценивается число строк и время выполнения
- Больше таблиц в запросе ⇒ дольше время планирования
 For each elementary operation, the number of rows and execution time are estimated
 More tables per query longer planning time

```
pgday=# create table posts (
id serial primary key,
category_id integer,
content text,
rating integer not null);
pgday=# create index concurrently posts_category_id on posts using btree(category_id);
```



pgday=# \d+ posts

Table "nublic posts"

Panaro Ponaro											
Column		Туре			Modifie			J		Stats target	Description
<pre>id category_id content</pre>	 	integer integer text	 			nextval()	1 1 1		 		†
Hasta shoull DRIMADY VEV have (id)											

```
"posts_pkey" PRIMARY KEY, btree (id)
"posts_category_id" btree (category_id)
```

Has OIDs: no

```
pgday=# insert into posts (category_id, content, rating) even distribution on select floor(100*random()), -- равномерное распределение на [0..99] 'hello world ' || id, normal_rand(1, 50, 10) -- нормальное распределение с mean = 50, stddev = 10 from generate_series(1, 10000) gs(id); normal distribution
```



```
pgday=# select * from posts order by id limit 5;
 id | category_id |
                     content
                                   rating
  1 |
               28 | hello world 1 |
                                         40
  2 |
               83 | hello world 2 |
                                         39
  3 I
               16 | hello world 3 |
                                         52
 4 |
               60 | hello world 4 |
                                         53
  5 I
               26 | hello world 5 |
                                         49
(5 rows)
```

cardinality

```
pgday=# explain select count(*) from posts;

QUERY PLAN

Aggregate (cost=198.00..198.01 rows=1 width=0)

-> Seq Scan on posts (cost=0.00..173.00 rows=10000 width=0)

(2 rows)
```

cardinality

```
pgday=# select reltuples, relpages from pg_class where relname = 'posts';
reltuples | relpages
     10000 I
                   74
rows \approx \frac{reltuples}{relpages} * current_relpages
select n_tup_ins, n_live_tup, last_autoanalyze, autoanalyze_count
from pg_stat_user_tables where relname = 'posts';
n_tup_ins | n_live_tup | last_autoanalyze | autoanalyze_count
     10000 | 10000 | 2015-07-04 02:22:04.806939+07 |
```

autoanalyze

- inserted + updated + deleted > threshold \Rightarrow run autoanalyze
- threshold = autovacuum_analyze_threshold + reltuples*autovacuum_analyze_scale_factor
- autovacuum_analyze_scale_factor (default = 0.1)
- autovacuum_analyze_threshold (default = 50)
- default_statistics_target (default = 100 since 8.4)
- rows in sample = 300 * stats_target



pg_stats

```
pgday=# \d+ pg_stats
         Column
                             Type
                                     | Modifiers | Storage
                                                             Description
tablename
                         l name
                                                 | plain
 attname
                         l name
                                                 | plain
null_frac
                         l real
                                                 | plain
 avg_width
                         | integer
                                                 | plain
n_distinct
                         l real
                                                 | plain
most_common_vals
                           anyarray |
                                                  | extended |
most_common_freqs
                         | real[]
                                                  | extended |
histogram_bounds
                                                  l extended l
                         | anyarray |
 correlation
                         | real
                                                 | plain
most common elems
                                                  | extended |
                         | anyarray |
most_common_elem_freqs |
                           real[]
                                                   extended |
 elem_count_histogram
                         | real[]
                                                   extended |
```



pg_stats

```
pgday=# \x
Expanded display is on.
pgday=# select * from pg_stats where tablename = 'posts' and attname = 'id';
schemaname
                       | public
tablename
                       posts
attname
                       l id
inherited
                       Ιf
null_frac
                       1 0
avg_width
                       1 4
n_distinct
                       I = 1
most_common_vals
most_common_freqs
histogram_bounds
                       | {1,100,200,300,400,500,600,700, ...,9400,9500,9600,9700,9800,9900,10000}
                                                               PostgreSQL-Consulting.com
                       1 1
correlation
```

selectivity estimate

```
pgday=# explain select count(*) from posts where id < 250;
                                          QUERY PLAN
 Aggregate (cost=14.29..14.29 rows=1 width=0)
   -> Index Only Scan using posts_pkey on posts (cost=0.29..13.66 rows=250 width=0)
          Index Cond: (id < 250)
histogram_bounds
                         1.100,200,300,400,500,600,700,...,9400,9500,9600,9700,9800,9900,10000
selectivity = \frac{2 + \frac{250 - 200}{300 - 200}}{100} = 0.025
rows \approx selectivity * cardinality = 0.025 * 10000 = 250
```

pg_stats

```
pgday=# select * from pg_stats where tablename = 'posts' and attname = 'category_id';
schemaname
                       | public
tablename
                       posts
attname
                       | categorv_id
inherited
                       Ιf
                       1 0
null_frac
avg_width
                       1 4
n_distinct
                       1 100
most_common_vals
                       | {98,22,20,99,32,6,23,92,7,18,65,67,14,26,28,76,77,84....}
most_common_freqs
                       \{0.0121, 0.012, 0.0118, 0.0117, 0.0116, 0.0115, 0.0115, 0.0115, 0.0114, \dots\}
histogram_bounds
correlation
                        1 0.0194019
most common elems
most_common_elem_freqs |
                                                                PostgreSQL-Consulting.com
elem_count_histogram
```

selectivity estimate

```
pgday=# explain select count(*) from posts where category_id = 98;
                                       QUERY PLAN
 Aggregate (cost=83.78..83.79 rows=1 width=0)
   -> Bitmap Heap Scan on posts (cost=5.22..83.48 rows=121 width=0)
         Recheck Cond: (category_id = 98)
         -> Bitmap Index Scan on posts_category_id (cost=0.00..5.19 rows=121 width=0)
               Index Cond: (category_id = 98)
most_common_vals
                       \{98,22,20,99,32,6,23,92,7,18,65,67,14,26,28,76,77,84,\ldots\}
                       \{0.0121.0.012.0.0118.0.0117.0.0116.0.0115.0.0115.0.0115.0.0114...\}
most_common_freas
selectivity = 0.0121
                                                                PostgreSQL-Consulting.com
rows \approx selectivity * cardinality = 0.0121 * 10000 = 121
```

pg_stats

```
pgday=# alter table posts alter column category_id set statistics 10;
ALTER TABLE
pgday=# analyze posts;
ANALYZE
pgday=# \d+ posts
                                     Table "public.posts"
  Column
           | Type | Modifiers
                                                  | Storage | Stats target | Description
category_id | integer |
                                                   | plain | 10
. . .
```



pg_stats

```
pgday=# select * from pg_stats where tablename = 'posts' and attname = 'category_id';
schemaname
                       | public
tablename
                       | posts
attname
                       | category_id
inherited
                       l f
null_frac
                       1 0
avg_width
                       1 4
n_distinct
                       1 100
most_common_vals
most_common_freqs
histogram_bounds
                       | {0,9,20,29,39,50,60,70,80,90,99}
correlation
                       1 0.0194019
most common elems
                                                                PostgreSQL-Consulting.com
most_common_elem_freqs |
elem_count_histogram
```

PGDAY'15 selectivity estimate

```
pgday=# explain select count(*) from posts where category_id = 98;
                                                   QUERY PLAN
 Aggregate (cost=84.48..84.49 rows=1 width=0)
    -> Bitmap Heap Scan on posts (cost=5.06..84.23 rows=100 width=0)
            Recheck Cond: (category_id = 98)
            -> Bitmap Index Scan on posts_category_id (cost=0.00..5.04 rows=100 width=0)
                    Index Cond: (category_id = 98)
\text{selectivity} = \frac{1 - null \_frac - sum common}{n \ distinct - distinct common} = \frac{\text{p(row in histogram bounds)}}{\text{number of distinct values in histogram bounds}} = \frac{1 - 0 - 0}{100 - 0} = 0.01
rows \approx selectivity * cardinality = 0.01 * 10000 = 100
```

Statistical independence

```
pgday=# explain analyze select count(*) from posts where category_id = 98 and id < 250;
                                          QUERY PLAN
Aggregate (cost=14.29..14.30 rows=1 width=0) (actual time=0.132..0.132 rows=1 loops=1)
      Index Scan using posts_pkey on posts (cost=0.29..14.29 rows=2 width=0)
                                                (actual time=0.081..0.129 rows=3 loops=1)
         Index Cond: (id < 250)
         Filter: (category_id = 98)
selectivity = selectivity1 * selectivity2 = 0.025 * 0.01 = 0.00025
rows \approx selectivity * cardinality = 0.00025 * 10000 = 2.5
```

pg_stats

```
pgday=# select * from pg_stats where tablename = 'posts' and attname = 'rating';
                       | public
schemaname
tablename
                       posts
attname
                       | rating
                       Ιf
inherited
null_frac
                       1 0
                       1 4
avg_width
                       1 72
n_distinct
most common vals
                       | {50,51,48,54,49,55,56,53,52,47,46,45,57,44,43,42,59,58,41,60,39,40,61,...}
most_common_freqs
                       [ {0.0411,0.0407,0.0399,0.0389,0.0384,0.038,0.0374,0.0369,0.0365,0.0355,...}
histogram_bounds
                       1 {12,23,25,26,27,28,29,29,30,30,31,31,31,32,32,32,33,33,33,33,67,67,67,...}
correlation
                       1 0 0412645
                                                               PostgreSQL-Consulting.com
most common elems
most_common_elem_freqs |
```



pg stats: distribution of functional indexes

```
pgday=# create index concurrently posts_expr_idx on posts using btree((rating^2));
select * from pg_stats where tablename = 'posts_expr_idx'; --tablename? no, index name
tablename
                       | posts_expr_idx
attname
                       | expr
                       18
avg_width
                       1 72
n_distinct
most common vals
                       \ \{2500.2601.2304.2916.2401.3025.3136.2809.2704.2209.2116.2025.3249.1936...\}
most_common_freqs
                       [ {0.0411041,0.0407041,0.039904,0.0389039,0.0384038,0.0380038,0.0374037...}
histogram bounds
                       144.529.625.676.729.784.841.841.900.900.961.961.961.1024.1024.1089...
```

pgday=# alter index posts_expr_idx alter column expr set statistics 1000; -- no documentation!

default estimators

default estimators

```
pgday=# explain analyze select count(*) from posts where id < (select 100);

QUERY PLAN

Aggregate (cost=134.95..134.96 rows=1 width=0) (actual time=0.083..0.083 rows=1 loops=1)

InitPlan 1 (returns $0)

-> Result (cost=0.00..0.01 rows=1 width=0) (actual time=0.001..0.001 rows=1 loops=1)

-> Index Only Scan using posts_pkey on posts (cost=0.29..126.61 rows=3333 width=0)

(actual time=0.031..0.069 rows=99 loops=1)

Index Cond: (id < $0)

Heap Fetches: 99
```



Оценка распределения в небольшой таблице

Distribution estimation in a small table



0 I

117 I

Оценка распределения в небольшой таблице

Distribution estimation in a small table

1.17



Для больших таблиц не работает

Doesn't work for large tables

So slow

- Очень медленно
 And if you need to see several distributions?
- А если нужно посмотреть несколько распределений?
- pg_stats содержит больше информации и гораздо удобней pg_stats contains more information and is much more convenient

Intermediate conclusions

pg stats contains a lot of useful information, it is important to be able to read it from there

- pg stats содержит много полезной информации, важно уметь оттуда ее читать stats target can be changed, and per column
- stats target можно менять, причем per column
- Некоторые настройки autovacuum/autoanalyze стоит менять, причем можно менять per table Some autovacuum/autoanalyze settings should be changed, менять per table and you can change them per table
 • Предполагается статистическая независимость условий

Statistically independent conditions are assumed



Создание частичных индексов

Creating Partial Indexes

It is not always necessary to index all values Не всегда нужно индексировать все значения

Creating Partial Indexes

```
select * from pg_stats where tablename = 'foo' and attname = 'bar_id';
null_frac
                       1 0.00739433
avg_width
                       14
n_distinct
                      1 50
most_common_vals
                       [ {20,31,73,26,3,235,38,37,183,167,110,27,147,165,...}
most_common_freqs
                       {0.555908,0.117836,0.10815,0.100445,0.0505153,0.017418,0.0101523,...}
SELECT f *
   FROM foo f
   WHERE f.bar id = 183
ORDER BY f.id DESC OFFSET 0 LIMIT 20
The index on (bar_id, id) suggests itself, but...
Напрашивается индекс на (bar id, id), но...
```



88% записей приходится на 4 значения 88% of entries are 4 values

Создание частичных индексов

Creating Partial Indexes

Therefore, a partial index is sufficient, which is 10 times less than the full one: Поэтому достаточно частичного индекса, который раз в 10 меньше полного:

```
create index concurrently foo_bar_id_id_partial on foo
using btree(bar_id, id) where bar_id not in (20,26,31,73);
\di+ foo_bar_id_id_partial
Схема I
                Имя
                             І Тип
                                  I Владелец I Таблица
                                                     I Размер I Описание
public |
       foo_bar_id_id_partial | индекс | postgres | foo
                                                     1 758 MB 1
```

Для запросов с bar id из списка будет эффективно использоваться индекс по id For requests with bar_id from the list, the index by id will be effectively used



```
select ... from table where a = ? and b = ?
```

Какой индекс создать? What index to create?

- a
- a, b
- (a, b)
- (b, a)
- a where b = smth maybe you don't need an index at all?
- а может вообще не нужен индекс?



We look at the typical parameters in the request in the logs and the corresponding execution plans

- Смотрим типичные параметры в запросе в логах и соответствующние планы их выполнения
- Смотрим распределения Look at distributions
- Выбираем условия с минимальным selectivity Choose conditions with minimum selectivity
- Стараемся на них составить индекс и поставить их в начало
- На условия с большим selectivity скорей всего индекс не нужен
 - We try to compile an index on them and put them at the beginning For conditions with high selectivity, most likely the index is not needed



Грабли



www.saveelephant.org



Rake

Lack of cross columns statistics (multivariate distributions)

Отсутствие cross columns статистики (многомерных распределений)

```
pgday=# explain analyze select count(*) from posts where content < 'hello world 250';
Aggregate (cost=203.24..203.25 rows=1 width=0) (actual time=3.317..3.317 rows=1 loops=1)
   -> Seg Scan on posts (cost=0.00..199.00 rows=1697 width=0)
                          (actual time=0.016..3.111 rows=1669 loops=1)
pgday=# explain analyze select count(*) from posts where content < 'hello world 250' and id < 250;
Aggregate (cost=14.39..14.40 rows=1 width=0) (actual time=0.183..0.184 rows=1 loops=1)
   -> Index Scan using posts_pkey on posts (cost=0.29..14.29 rows=42 width=0)
                                             (actual time=0.034..0.155 rows=168 loops=1)
```

Грабли Rake

Lack of cross columns statistics (multivariate distributions) Отсутствие cross columns статистики (многомерных распределений)

Rake

No statistics on ison fields

Отсутствие статистики по json полям pg_stats has no entries for json fields at all

- в pg_stats вообще нет записей по json полям which means that there is no
- а значит, что и нет null_frac, n_distinct и прочего null_frac, n_distinct and other
- например, если много null в этом поле и есть условие на not null, то план может выбраться неоптимальный for example, if there are many nul
- по jsonb статистика есть
 there are statistics on jsonb

for example, if there are many nulls in this field and there is a condition for not null, then the plan may not be optimal



Грабли Rake

Not using statistics for intarray operators

Неиспользование статистики у intarray операторов

```
pgday=# create table test as select array[100]::integer[] as f1 from
generate_series(1,10000);
SELECT 10000
pgday=# analyze test;
ANALYZE
pgday=# explain analyze select * from test where f1 && array[100];
                             QUERY PLAN
Seg Scan on test (cost=0.00..532.40 rows=10000 width=25)
                   (actual time=0.048..6.207 rows=10000 loops=1)
  Filter: (f1 && '100'::integer[])
```

Not using statistics for intarray operators

Неиспользование статистики у intarray операторов

```
pgday=# create extension intarray;
CREATE EXTENSION
pgday=# explain analyze select * from test where f1 && array[100];
                             QUERY PLAN
Seq Scan on test (cost=0.00..199.00 rows=10 width=25)
                   (actual time=0.051..6.493 rows=10000 loops=1)
  Filter: (f1 && '100'::integer[])
```

Not using statistics for intarray operators

Неиспользование статистики у intarray операторов

```
pgday=# explain analyze select * from test where f1 OPERATOR(pg_catalog.&&) array[100];
                             QUERY PLAN
Seq Scan on test (cost=0.00..199.00 rows=10000 width=25)
                   (actual time=0.021..5.686 rows=10000 loops=1)
  Filter: (f1 OPERATOR(pg_catalog.&&) '100'::integer[])
```



Грабли

Rake

Insufficient statistics_target Недостаточный statistics_target For example, searching for a non-existent (rare) value in a very large table by a field with a small n_distinct

- Например, поиск несуществующего (редкого) значения в очень большой таблице по полю с небольшим n_distinct
- selectivity = $\frac{1-null_frac-sumcommon}{n_distinct-distinctcommon}$ = $\frac{p(\text{row in histogram bounds})}{\text{number of distinct values in histogram bounds}}$
- Чем больше statistics_target ⇒ тем больше sumcommon (сумма most common freqs)The more statistics_target the more sumcommon (sum of most common
- Оценка может отличаться на несколько порядков
- Выкручиваем stats_target до 1000-10000

The score may differ by several orders of magnitude

• Analyze может быть медленным

We twist stats_target to 1000-10000

PostgreSQL-Consu

Analyze can be slow

Statistics Collector Views

- pg stat user tables
- pg stat user indexes
- pg stat user functions
- pg stat database
- pg stat activity
- pg statio user tables
- pg statio user indexes

Monitoring

resetting all "monitoring" statistics in the current database

- pg_stat_reset() сброс всей "мониторинговой" статистики в текущей базе
- track_io_timing
- track_functions
- stats_temp_directory RAM disk
- track_activity_query_size



pg_stat_statements

```
pgday=# select * from (select unnest(proargnames) from pg_proc where proname = 'pg_stat_statements')
       unnest
 userid
 dbid
 query
 calls
 total_time
 rows
 . . .
 blk_read_time
 blk_write_time
```

pg stat statements

```
total time: 50:49:48 (IO: 0.64%)
```

total queries: 301,163,398 (unique: 9,206)

report for all databases, version 0.9.3 @ PostgreSQL 9.2.13

tracking top 10000 queries, logging 100ms+ queries

pos:1 total time: 14:39:43 (28.8%, CPU: 28.8%, IO: 36.8%) calls: 4,895,890 (1.63%)

avg_time: 10.78ms (IO: 0.8%)

user: bravo db: echo rows: 4,895,890 query:

SELECT sum(o.golf) as golf, sum(o.romeo) as romeo, sum(o.whiskey) as whiskey,

sum(o.hotel) as hotel FROM oscar AS o LEFT JOIN uniform AS u ON u.kilo = o.kilo JOIN

pg stat statements

- pg_stat_statements.max
- pg_stat_statements.track
- pg_stat_statements.track_utility

Заключение Conclusion

PostgreSQL collects 2 types of statistics: data distributions (collected by autoanalyze) and various system counters (collected by stats collector)

- В PostgreSQL собирается 2 вида статистики: по распределениям данных (собирается autoanalyze) и различные системные счетчики (собирается stats collector) With their help, you can identify problem areas and eliminate them
- С их помощью можно выявлять проблемные места и устранять них
- Планировщик иногда может ошибаться
- pg_stat_statements стоит использовать

pg_stat_statements should be used

The scheduler can sometimes make mistakes



- PostgreSQL Manual 61.1. Row Estimation Examples
- PostgreSQL Manual 27.2. The Statistics Collector
- depesz: Explaining the unexplainable
- https://github.com/PostgreSQL-Consulting/pg-utils
- http://blog.postgresql-consulting.com/

alexey.ermakov@postgresql-consulting.com

Вопросы?