**BLOG ASSESSMENT RUBRIC**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CRITERIA** | **POOR (1)** | **SATISFACTORY (2)** | **GOOD (3)** | **EXCELLENT (4)** |
| LENGTH | 60 words or fewer in each post. | 70 words or fewer in each post. | 80 words or fewer in each post. | More than 90 words in each post. |
| CONSISTENCY OF PARTICIPATION | No posts. | 1 good quality post added to the discussion. | 2 good quality posts added to the discussion. | 3 or more high quality posts added to the discussion. |
| USE OF LANGUAGE | Written responses contain numerous grammatical, spelling or punctuation errors.  The style of writing does not facilitate effective communication. | Written responses include some grammatical, spelling or punctuation errors that distract the reader. | Written responses are largely free of grammatical, spelling or punctuation errors.  The style of writing generally facilitates communication. | Written responses are free of grammatical, spelling or punctuation errors.  The style of writing facilitates communication. |
| CRITICAL DISCUSSION | No engagement with other classmates’ contributions. | Some basic discussion about other classmates’ contributions. | Consistent engagement with other classmates’ contributions. | Consistent engagement with other classmates’ contributions and acknowledgment of other classmates’ comments on own contribution. |
| CONTENT/ NEW IDEAS FROM INTERACTIONS | Posts information that is off-topic, incorrect, or irrelevant to discussion.  No evidence of new ideas or thoughts from interaction | Repeats but does not add substantive information to the discussion.  Some new ideas although not clearly related to interaction. | Posts information that is factually correct, lacks full development of concept or thought.  Some new ideas and thoughts developed as a result of interaction. | Posts factually correct, reflective and substantive contribution; advances discussion.  Collaborative thought and new ideas developed as a result of interaction. |