Name: Gonzalo Ortega Carpintero

Exercise 4 (Gathmann 5.7.).

(a)

Proof. Let $F = F_1 \cdots F_n$ be a reduced curve of degree d with its decomposition into F_i irreducible components. Lets proof the statement by induction over the number n of irreducible components. For n = 1, $F = F_1$ is an irreducible curve, so using [1, Proposition 5.6], we have that F has at most $\binom{d-1}{2} \leq \binom{d}{2}$ singular points. Assuming the hypothesis for n = m, lets check it for n = m + 1. We have $F = F_1 \cdots F_m F_{m+1}$, were $\deg F = d$ and $\deg F_1 \cdots F_m = d - \deg F_{m+1}$. Denote $d' = \deg F_{m+1}$. Using the induction hypothesis we know that $F_1 \dots F_m$ has at most $\binom{d-d'}{2}$ singular points and, because [1, Proposition 5.6], F_{m+1} has $\binom{d-1}{2}$. The Bézout's theorem says that the intersection multiplicity of both curves is (d - d')d' so the singular points F has are at most

$$\binom{d-d'}{2} + \binom{d'-1}{2} + (d-d')d' = \frac{(d-d')(d-d'-1) + (d'-1)(d'-2) + 2dd' - 2d'^2}{2}$$

$$= \frac{d(d-1)}{2} - d' + 1 \le \frac{d(d-1)}{2} = \binom{d}{2}$$

noting that $1 \leq d'$.

(b)

To find an example for each d where F has exactly $\binom{d}{2}$ singular points, its enough to take d linear independent lines L_i , $i \in [1,d]$ where for each $i \neq j \in [1,d]$, if $P = L_i \cup L_j$, then $L_i \cup L_k \neq P$, $\forall k \neq i, j \in [1,d]$. A concrete example could be formed by the lines of the form $\{d(x+d)\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$. (Considering that the characteristic of the field K is not a multiple of d).

Exercise 7 (Gathmann 6.21.).

Proof. We define, following [1, Construction 3.13], the ring homomorphism between the coordinate ring $A(F) = K[x,y]/\langle F \rangle$ and the homogeneous coordinate ring of degree d, S(F), formed by the homogeneous elements of degree d of $S(F) = K[x,y,z]/\langle F \rangle$, as

$$\phi: A(F) \longrightarrow S_d(F)$$

$$f^i = \sum_{i+j \le d} a_{i,j} x^i y^j \longmapsto \phi(f^i) = f^h = \sum_{i+j \le d} a_{i,j} x^i y^j z^{d-i-j}.$$

If $f, g \in A(F)$, ϕ and $d = \max\{\deg f, \deg g\}$, ϕ is an homomorphism as

$$\phi(1) = \phi(1x^{0}y^{0}) = 1x^{0}y^{0}z^{0} = 1,$$

$$\phi(f+g) = \phi\left(\sum_{i+j\leq d} a_{i,j}x^{i}y^{j} + \sum_{i+j\leq d} b_{i,j}x^{i}y^{j}\right) = \phi\left(\sum_{i+j\leq d} (a_{i,j} + b_{i,j})x^{i}y^{j}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i+j\leq d} (a_{i,j} + b_{i,j})x^{i}y^{j}z^{d-i-j} = \sum_{i+j\leq d} a_{i,j}x^{i}y^{j}z^{d-i-j} + \sum_{i+j\leq d} b_{i,j}x^{i}y^{j}z^{d-i-j} \text{ and }$$

$$= \phi(f) + \phi(g)$$

$$\begin{split} \phi(f \cdot g) &= \phi \left(\sum_{i+j \leq d} a_{i,j} x^i y^j \cdot \sum_{k+l \leq d} b_{k,l} x^k y^l \right) = \phi \left(\sum_{\substack{i+j \leq d \\ k+l \leq d}} a_{i,j} b_{k,l} x^{i+k} y^{j+l} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{i+j \leq d \\ k+l \leq d}} a_{i,j} b_{k,l} x^{i+k} y^{j+l} z^{2d-i-j-k-l} = \sum_{\substack{i+j \leq d \\ k+l \leq d}} a_{i,j} x^i y^j z^{d-i-j} \cdot \sum_{k+l \leq d} b_{k,l} x^k y^l z^{d-k-l} \\ &= \phi(f) \cdot \phi(g). \end{split}$$

Actually, ϕ is an isomorphism, as we can define its inverse as

$$f^h = \sum_{i+j+k=d}^{\phi^{-1}} a_{i,j,k} x^i y^j z^k \longmapsto \phi^{-1}(f^h) = f^i = \sum_{i+j\leq d} a_{i,j,k} x^i y^j.$$

Now, we can define the desired isomorphism between $K(F) = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} : f, g \in A(F) \right\}$ and $K(F^h) = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} : f, g \in S_d(F) \right\}$ as

$$\Phi: K(F) \longrightarrow K(F^h)$$

$$\frac{f^i}{g^i} \longmapsto \Phi\left(\frac{f^i}{g^i}\right) = \frac{\phi(f^i)}{\phi(g^i)} = \frac{f^h}{g^h},$$

witch has inverse

$$\Phi^{-1}: K(F^h) \longrightarrow K(F)$$

$$\frac{f^h}{g^h} \longmapsto \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{f^h}{g^h}\right) = \frac{\phi^{-1}(f^h)}{\phi^{-1}(g^h)} = \frac{f^i}{g^i},$$

and, given $\frac{f}{g}, \frac{h}{k} \in K(F)$, verifies

$$\begin{split} \Phi(\frac{1}{1}) &= \frac{\phi(1)}{\phi(1)} = \frac{1}{1}, \\ \Phi(\frac{f}{g} + \frac{h}{k}) &= \Phi(\frac{fk + gh}{gk}) = \frac{\phi(fk + gh)}{\phi(gk)} = \frac{\phi(f) \cdot \phi(k) + \phi(g) \cdot \phi(h)}{\phi(g) \cdot \phi(k)} = \frac{\phi(f)}{\phi(g)} + \frac{\phi(h)}{\phi(k)} \\ &= \Phi(\frac{f}{g}) + \Phi(\frac{h}{k}), \\ \Phi(\frac{f}{g} \cdot \frac{h}{k}) &= \Phi(\frac{f \cdot h}{g \cdot k}) = \frac{\phi(f \cdot h)}{\phi(g \cdot k)} = \frac{\phi(f) \cdot \phi(h)}{\phi(g) \cdot \phi(k)} = \Phi(\frac{f}{g}) \cdot \Phi(\frac{h}{k}). \end{split}$$

Exercise 8 (Gathmann 6.25.).

Let $F = y^2z - x^3 + xz^2$ and $\varphi = \frac{y}{z}$. F = 0 at the points $P_1 = (0:0:1)$, $P_2 = (1:0:1)$, $P_3 = (-1:0:1)$, $P_4 = (0:1:0)$. Hence, using [1, Construction 6.17] and [1, Algorithm 2.12], we compute the multiplicity at each P_i of φ at F.

$$\mu_{P_1}(y) = \mu_{(0,0)}(y, y^2 - x^3 + x) = \mu_{(0,0)}(y, x(1 - x^2)) = 1$$

$$\mu_{P_1}(z) = \mu_{(0,0)}(1, y^2 - x^3 + x) = 0$$

$$\mu_{P_1}(\varphi) = \mu_{P_1}(y) - \mu_{P_1}(z) = 1 - 0 = 1$$

$$\mu_{P_2}(y) = \mu_{(1,0)}(y, y^2 - x^3 + x) = \mu_{(0,0)}(y, y^2 - (x+1)^3 + x + 1) = \mu_{(0,0)}(y, -x(x^2 + 3x - 2)) = 1$$

$$\mu_{P_2}(z) = \mu_{(1,0)}(1, y^2 - x^3 + x) = \mu_{(0,0)}(1, y^2 - (x+1)^3 + x + 1) = 0$$

$$\mu_{P_2}(\varphi) = \mu_{P_2}(y) - \mu_{P_2}(z) = 1 - 0 = 1$$

$$\mu_{P_3}(y) = \mu_{(-1,0)}(y, y^2 - x^3 + x) = \mu_{(0,0)}(y, y^2 - (x - 1)^3 + x - 1) = \mu_{(0,0)}(y, x(x^2 - 3x + 4)) = 1$$

$$\mu_{P_3}(z) = \mu_{(-1,0)}(1, y^2 - x^3 + x) = \mu_{(0,0)}(1, y^2 - (x - 1)^3 + x - 1) = 0$$

$$\mu_{P_3}(\varphi) = \mu_{P_3}(y) - \mu_{P_3}(z) = 1 - 0 = 1$$

$$\mu_{P_4}(y) = \mu_{(0,0)}(1, z - x^3 + xz^2) = 0$$

$$\mu_{P_4}(z) = \mu_{(0,0)}(z, z - x^3 + xz^2) = \mu_{(0,0)}(z, z(1+xz) - x^3) = \mu_{(0,0)}(z, -x^3) = 3$$

$$\mu_{P_4}(\varphi) = \mu_{P_4}(y) - \mu_{P_4}(z) = 0 - 3 = -3$$

Now, following [1, Construction 6.23], we have

$$\operatorname{div} \frac{y}{z} = 1 \cdot (0:0:1) + 1 \cdot (1:0:1) + 1 \cdot (-1:0:1) - 3 \cdot (0:1:0).$$

References

[1] Andreas Gathmann, Plane Algebraic Curves, Class Notes RPTU Kaiserslautern 2023.