

Semantic Analyzer for COOL

Ganesh Vernekar - CS15BTECH11018

Sukrut Rao - CS15BTECH11036

September 25, 2017

This assignment creates a Semantic Analyzer for the COOL language. The output for a correct input program is a type annotated abstract syntax tree. The program performs semantic checks and reports errors for incorrect programs. All COOL rules are taken into account except that it is assumed that there is no `SELF_TYPE`.

1 Code Design

Overview

At a high level, the program does the following steps:

1. Traverse the input program and prepare an inheritance tree of all the classes. Checks are in place to ensure that there is no cycle in this directed tree. A check is also made to ensure that the class `Main` exists.
2. Prepare a map of each function with its mangled name with the class name (called the *Cl-mangled name*) with the function's return type. The name of the function is mangled to hold its name, list of arguments with types, and the class name, but not the return type. This is mapped against its return type, with the purpose of checking if dispatches made from other classes' objects in a given class are valid.
3. Traverse the AST in using the visitor pattern. At each node, scopes are entered and exited as applicable, and lookups are performed appropriately. Type annotations are done for each node after completing it for all of its children. Two scope tables are used - one for attributes and parameters, and the other for functions. The scope table for functions maps each function to its mangled name with return type, called the *RT-mangled name*.

At each stage, errors are reported as they are found, and attempts to recovery are made. The output of a semantically correct program is a type annotated AST, and that of a semantically incorrect program is a set of error messages.

Preparing the inheritance graph

This is the first pass on the input program, which is in the form of the AST. The program starts by visiting the root of the AST. This pass takes place while visiting the root, in `VisitorImpl.java`, before it continues its traversal down the AST. The following steps take place:

1. An inheritance graph, an object of the class `InheritanceGraph` defined in `InheritanceGraph.java` is created. This also defines a type, `Node`, to represent each node in the graph.

2. During the creation, all the base classes, that is, **Object**, **IO**, and **String**, are added to the graph, with **Object** as the root. **Int** and **Bool** are not directly added as a node, they are only added to a hash map containing the indices of the nodes of each class in the graph, with a dummy index of -1 . This is done for convenience as neither of these classes define any methods, nor can they be inherited from, and thus serve as a special case.
3. The graph is stored as an **ArrayList** of nodes. The indices of each node are stored in a hash map, that maps the name of the class to its index. This helps in quick lookup of a node from the class name, and helps check if the class exists in the graph or not.
4. In **VisitorImpl.java**, from the **program** node, each class is iterated over and added to the inheritance graph. A check is made to ensure that a class is not redefined, and hence not added twice. If a class is redefined, an error is reported and the redefinition is not added to the graph.
5. Then, the graph is analyzed to check for the presence of directed cycles in the graph. Here, the presence of the **Main** class is also checked. If there is either a cycle or no **Main** class, errors are reported and the compilation terminates. If not, the inheritance graph is ready.

At the end of this pass, if there are no errors, it can be asserted that the inheritance graph is valid, and that there exists a **Main** class.

Populating the Cl-mangled name

Once the inheritance graph is ready, the program next prepares a map of the *Cl-mangled name* of each function with its return type.

Definition of the Cl-mangled name

The Cl-mangled name of a method is a name that contains the method name, its argument list along with their types, and the name of the class it belongs to. The return type is not a part of the Cl-mangled name.

The following is the procedure to construct this name. Suppose we have a method `func(x : Int, y : String) : Bool` defined in class **C1**:

1. The mangled name starts with **_CN**, followed by the number of characters in the class name, followed by the name. In our case, it will be **_CN2C1**.
2. Then, it is appended with **_FN**, followed by the number of characters in the method name, and then the name. In our example, we now have **_CN2C1_FN4func**.
3. This is followed by **_AN**, where **A** stands for argument, followed by the number of parameters in the function, followed by **_**. Here, we have **_CN2C1_FN4func_AN2_**.
4. A counter starting from 0 is appended to mark the argument number. Then, **N** is appended, followed by the length of the argument type name, followed by the argument type name. We now have **_CN2C1_FN4func_AN2_ON3Int1N6String**.
5. The name where there are non-zero parameters, ends with **_FT**, to stand for "formal true". The complete mangled name thus is **_CN2C1_FN4func_AN2_ON3Int1N6String_FT**.
6. If there were no parameters, it ends with **_FF**. The name in our case would then have been **_CN2C1_FN4func_AN0_FF**.

Purpose and usage of the Cl-mangled name

A mangled name map is created that maps the Cl-mangled name of each function with its return type. In the example above, the name `_CN2C1_FN4func_AN2_ON3Int1N6String_FT` would be mapped with `Bool`. This is done by traversing across each class node from the program node and then traversing across each feature node for each class node. The purpose of this is to easily perform type checking for dispatches, especially for objects of other classes. In a class A, suppose a dispatch is performed of `func()` from class C1. The program needs to check if the dispatch parameters are of valid types, and if the object it is called on has a valid type. While traversing the AST, we might have not yet reached the class C1, and hence the method would not be type annotated yet. So, this provided an easy solution to perform the validity check quickly without leaving the current AST node.

At the end of this pass, the Cl-mangled names of each method in the input program have been mapped to their return types.

Handling Scope

This section describes how scope checking is handled by the program. The program consists of two scope tables :

1. V-Scope table
2. F-Scope table

V-Scope table

This is the scope table in the usual sense, that stores each identifier and its type. The implementation is the pre-defined implementation given in this assignment. When the program enters a new scope of the input program, a new scope of the scope table, in the form of a new hash map is entered. When the program exits a scope of the input program, the scope is exited. At any given point, only the scopes from the current scope to a chain of directly outer scopes is stored. For example, if a class C1 has methods `f1()` and `f2()`, and `f1()` is visited first, its scope is destroyed when the program reaches `f2()`.

This works because attributes of another class object cannot be accessed directly, and hence, their scopes need not be stored. Methods can be accessed directly using a dispatch, and to handle this, we already have the map containing the Cl-mangled name.

Thus, for any legal usage of an identifier, it must lie in the current scope or any scope in the chain of outer scopes. For methods, the scope checking is handled using the map of Cl-mangled names. Hence, this saves memory and keeps the structure of the table simple.

F-Scope table

This is another scope table, that maps the method name with its *RT-mangled name*. This mangled name form contains the method name, its number and types of arguments, and its return type. The class name is not a part of the RT-mangled name, while the return type is, unlike the Cl-mangled name.

The purpose of this is to check for definitions of methods with the same name in a class and its ancestors. The benefit is four-fold:

1. Checks that multiple methods with the same name do not exist in the same class.
2. Checks whether a call to a method not defined in the current class is defined in an ancestor class.

3. Checks that a function redefined from an ancestor class has the same signature and the same return type.
4. Checks that the `main()` method exists in the `Main` class.

This is a separate scope table as it would be possible to have an identifier with the same name as the RT-mangled name of a method, which would cause conflicts and incorrect results.

Definition of the RT-mangled name

The RT-mangled name is very similar to the CI-mangled name. Instead of starting with the class length and its name, it starts with the type length and its name. The rest is identical. In the example used previously, the mangled name would be `_TN4Bool_FN4func_AN2_ON3Int1N6String_FT`.

Procedure of handling scope

The scope checking is done at the respective nodes where it is applicable as the program visits them. The general procedure is as follows:

1. For every class, the program enters a new scope. In every class node, the program first traverses each feature. Each new attribute is inserted in the V-Scope table and each new method is inserted in the F-Scope table. When moving to a child class, a new scope is entered. The scope is exited when leaving the class.
2. While inserting attributes, it is checked if the chain of scopes moving outwards from the current scope contain the attribute. If so, an error is reported. Otherwise, it is added to the V-Scope table.
3. For inserting methods, first there is a check if the method is already defined in the same class, which if true results in an error. Then, the RT-mangled name of the method is prepared. It is checked if the method name already has a mangled name in the chain of scopes moving outwards in the F-Scope table. If such a name exists and is identical to the mangled name of the current method, then it is an instance of a method from an ancestor class being correctly redefined. If the names do not match, either the signature or the return type does not match, and hence an error is given. In either case, the mangled name is added to the F-Scope table. The addition is done even if there was an error to prevent dispatch calls made to either definition from failing.

Traversing the AST

The AST is now traversed in a depth first fashion. This traversal is done using the visitor pattern. For each node the visitor visits, it recursively first visits all the children of that node, performs scope changes as appropriate, and using the types of the children, annotates the type of the node. The traversal starts from the root and recursion ensures that the whole tree is traversed.

The Visitor Pattern

In accordance with the visitor pattern, a visitor interface is defined in `Visitor.java`, which is then implemented in `ExpressionVisitorImpl.java` in the `ExpressionVisitorImpl` class. This class handles AST nodes corresponding to expressions. Another class, `VisitorImpl`, in `VisitorImpl.java`, inherits from this class and handles the other AST nodes. These classes define a `visit()` method for each kind of node.

The original AST node classes, defined in `AST.java`, have an `accept()` method added to them, that accept a visitor object, and call the `visit()` method of the object on themselves.

Performing the traversal

In `Semantic.java`, in the class `Semantic`, a visitor is created. To start the traversal, the root node of the AST calls its `accept()` method on the visitor, which causes the visitor to visit it. Inside the `visit()` method of any node, the `accept()` method of each of its children is called on the same visitor, which causes the visitor to visit each of the children before completing the type annotation of that node. Thus, recursively the entire AST is visited and type annotated. Error checking for a node is done in the `visit()` method of that node.

Annotations

The semantic rules decide the types annotated to each AST node. For a given node, visiting its children is done first. This makes available the types of each of the child nodes. These types can be checked for validity based on the semantic rules of the COOL language, and often determine the type of the node.

For instance, the type of a conditional node is determined by the join of the types of each branch. Moreover, the type of the predicate must necessarily be `Bool`. By this traversal method, we first obtain these types in the `visit()` method of the conditional. Then, inside the same method, the program checks that the predicate's type is `Bool`, computes the join of the types of each branch, and annotates the type of the conditional to be this type.

For certain nodes, such as in a `let` node, a new scope is entered in the V-Scope table in the `visit()` method of the node, and it is exited just before the method returns. This handles the various scopes that are entered and exited when a method or a `let` block is entered or exited.

During this process, if any errors are found, they are reported with appropriate error messages. However, the compilation still continues and annotations are set with predefined values that could possibly be meaningful, if the error prevents any appropriate type from being computed.

At the end of this pass, if there were no errors, an annotated AST is ready and is displayed at the end of the program. If there were errors, the list of errors are displayed.

2 Test Cases