

Chapter 9

Conjugate Gradient Methods

The problem

Solving linear systems. Let A be a symmetric definite positive matrix ($n \times n$) and let $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, solving the linear system

$$A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \quad (1)$$

is equivalent to solve the minimization problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} \mathbf{x}. \quad (2)$$

Definition. The **error** associated to the vector \mathbf{x} as a solution of (1) will be represented by

$$r(\mathbf{x}) := A \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} = \nabla \varphi(\mathbf{x}). \quad (3)$$

We also use the notation $r_k := r(\mathbf{x}_k)$.

A conjugate set of vectors

Definition. Let A be a symmetric definite positive matrix ($n \times n$). A system of non-zero vectors $\{p_0, \dots, p_{n-1}\}$ is said to be **conjugate** with respect to A if

$$p_i^T A p_j = 0, \text{ for all } i \neq j. \quad (4)$$

Lemma. A conjugate system always exists and it is always linearly independent (base).

Proof. Exercise.

The conjugate direction method

Definition. Let $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a conjugate system $\{p_0, \dots, p_{n-1}\}$ we generate the following finite sequence

$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \alpha_k p_k \quad (5)$$

where α_k is the one-dimensional minimizer of the quadratic function φ along the p_k -direction ($\mathbf{x}_k + \alpha p_k$). In particular,

$$\alpha_k = -\frac{r_k^T p_k}{p_k^T A p_k}. \quad (\text{exercise})$$

The conjugate direction method

Theorem. For any $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the (finite) sequence $\{\mathbf{x}_k\}$ generated by the conjugate direction method (5) converges to the solution \mathbf{x}^* of the linear system (1) in at most n steps.

Proof. Since the conjugate system is a base of \mathbb{R}^n we have

$$\mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{x}_0 = \beta_0 \mathbf{p}_0 + \cdots + \beta_{n-1} \mathbf{p}_{n-1},$$

for some (unique) choice of the parameters β_ℓ , $\ell = 0, \dots, n-1$. We claim that

$$\beta_\ell = \frac{\mathbf{p}_\ell^T A (\mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{x}_0)}{\mathbf{p}_\ell^T A \mathbf{p}_\ell}.$$

To see the claim we pre-multiply the above expression by $\mathbf{p}_\ell^T A$ and use the conjugacy property.

The conjugate direction method

Proof (continue).

On the other hand, using (5) we have that

$$\mathbf{x}_\ell = \mathbf{x}_0 + \alpha_0 \mathbf{p}_0 + \alpha_1 \mathbf{p}_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{\ell-1} \mathbf{p}_{\ell-1}.$$

Again by pre-multiplying the above expression by $\mathbf{p}_\ell^T \mathbf{A}$ and using the conjugacy property we have

$$\mathbf{p}_\ell^T \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}_\ell - \mathbf{x}_0) = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\mathbf{p}_\ell^T \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{x}_0) = \mathbf{p}_\ell^T \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{x}_\ell + \mathbf{x}_\ell - \mathbf{x}_0) = \mathbf{p}_\ell^T \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{x}_\ell) = \mathbf{p}_\ell^T (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_\ell) = -\mathbf{p}_\ell^T \mathbf{r}_\ell$$

Now we have

$$\beta_\ell = \frac{\mathbf{p}_\ell^T \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{x}_0)}{\mathbf{p}_\ell^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{p}_\ell} = -\frac{\mathbf{r}_\ell^T \mathbf{p}_\ell}{\mathbf{p}_\ell^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{p}_\ell} = \alpha_\ell$$

All together implies that $\mathbf{x}_\ell = \mathbf{x}^*$ for some $\ell = 0, \dots, n-1$.

The conjugate direction method

Exercise. Proof (or at least illustrate) the above theorem with a geometric argument in the case of A being a diagonal matrix.

Hint: Notice, first, that in this case the level curves of φ are just ellipses whose axes are aligned with the coordinate directions and then we can use the conjugate system as the coordinates directions themselves.

The conjugate direction method

Definition. Given a system of vectors $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ we denote by $\langle v_1, \dots, v_k \rangle$ the subspace generated by the vectors of the system.

Theorem. Let $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and consider the (finite) sequence $\{\mathbf{x}_k\}$ generated by the conjugate direction method (5). Then

$$r_k^T p_\ell = 0 \text{ for } \ell = 0, 1, \dots, k-1. \quad (6)$$

Moreover \mathbf{x}_k is the minimizer of φ over the set

$$\{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0 + \langle p_0, \dots, p_{k-1} \rangle\}. \quad (7)$$

The conjugate direction method

Proof. We claim that a vector $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ minimizes φ over the set (7) if and only if $r(\hat{\mathbf{x}})^T p_\ell = 0$ for all $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$. To see the claim we argue as follows. Let

$$h(\sigma) = \varphi \left(\mathbf{x}_0 + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \sigma_\ell p_\ell \right), \quad \sigma = (\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{k-1})^T.$$

Its unique minimizer, σ^* (h is strictly convex quadratic), satisfies

$$\frac{\partial h(\sigma^*)}{\partial \sigma_\ell} = 0, \quad \ell = 0, \dots, k-1.$$

So (chain rule)

$$\nabla \varphi \left(\mathbf{x}_0 + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \sigma_\ell^* p_\ell \right) p_\ell = r(\hat{\mathbf{x}})^T p_\ell = 0, \quad \ell = 0, \dots, k-1.$$

Now we should proof (6) (for all $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$). We use induction.

The conjugate direction method

Proof.

- The case $k = 1$ follows from the previous arguments. Since $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}_0 + \alpha_0 \mathbf{p}_0$ minimizes φ along \mathbf{p}_0 we have that $\mathbf{r}_1^T \mathbf{p}_0 = 0$.
- Assume $\mathbf{r}_{k-1}^T \mathbf{p}_\ell = 0$ for all $\ell = 0, \dots, k-2$. One can verify that $\mathbf{r}_k = \mathbf{r}_{k-1} + \alpha_{k-1} A \mathbf{p}_{k-1}$. So

$$\mathbf{p}_{k-1}^T \mathbf{r}_k = \mathbf{p}_{k-1}^T \mathbf{r}_{k-1} + \alpha_{k-1} \mathbf{p}_{k-1}^T A \mathbf{p}_{k-1} = 0$$

where the last equality follows from the definition of α_{k-1} . For the rest of conjugate directions \mathbf{p}_ℓ , $\ell = 0, \dots, k-2$ we have

$$\mathbf{p}_\ell^T \mathbf{r}_k = \mathbf{p}_\ell^T \mathbf{r}_{k-1} + \alpha_{k-1} \mathbf{p}_\ell^T A \mathbf{p}_{k-1} = 0$$

because of the induction hypothesis and the fact that \mathbf{p}_ℓ is a conjugate vector with respect to A .

The conjugate gradient method

Definition. The **conjugate gradient method** is a conjugate direction method such in generating its set of conjugate vectors the **new** vector p_k can be computed using only the previous vector p_{k-1} . The algorithm gives for free the conjugacy property of p_k with respect to all previous $\{p_0, \dots, p_{k-2}\}$ vectors of the conjugate system. More concretely, p_0 is the steepest descent direction at the initial point x_0 and then we define

$$p_k = -r_k + \beta_k p_{k-1},$$

where β_k is determined such that $p_k^T A p_{k-1} = 0$.

Lemma. Under the above notation we have

$$\beta_k = \frac{r_k^T A p_{k-1}}{p_{k-1}^T A p_{k-1}}. \quad (\text{exercise})$$

The conjugate gradient method

Lemma. Suppose that the k -th iterate generated by the conjugate gradient method is not the solution point x^* . Then the following statements hold.

(a) $r_k^T r_\ell = 0$ for $\ell = 0, \dots, k-1$.

(b) $\langle r_0, \dots, r_k \rangle = \langle p_0, \dots, p_k \rangle = \langle r_0, Ar_0, \dots, A^k r_0 \rangle$.

(c) $p_k^T A p_\ell = 0$ for $\ell = 0, \dots, k-1$.

Therefore, the (finite) sequence $\{x_k\}$ converges to x^* in at most n steps.

The conjugate gradient method

Proof (of (a)). Because the conjugate gradient method is a conjugate method we already have that

$$r_k^T p_\ell = 0 \quad \text{for } \ell = 0, \dots, k-1 \quad \text{and for all } k = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

But now since

$$p_\ell = -r_\ell + \beta_\ell p_{\ell-1}$$

we conclude that $r_\ell \in \langle p_\ell, p_{\ell-1} \rangle$. So,

$$r_k^T r_\ell = r_k^T (\hat{c}_1 p_\ell + \hat{c}_2 p_{\ell-1}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \ell = 1, \dots, k-1.$$

By definition p_0 satisfies $r_k^T r_0 = -r_k^T p_0 = 0$ which finishes the proof.

The conjugate gradient method: Rate of convergence

Definition. We might consider the following choices of the parameters α_k and β_k above.

$$\hat{\alpha}_k = \frac{r_k^T p_k}{p_k^T A p_k} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\beta}_k = \frac{r_{k+1}^T r_{k+1}}{r_k^T r_k}$$

The conjugate gradient method: Rate of convergence

We might construct the following algorithm **CG: Conjugated gradient**.

Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Set $r_0 \leftarrow Ax_0 - b$, $p_0 \leftarrow -r_0$, $k \leftarrow 0$. While $r_k \neq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\alpha}_k &\leftarrow \frac{r_k^T p_k}{p_k^T A p_k} \\ x_{k+1} &\leftarrow x_k + \hat{\alpha}_k p_k \\ r_{k+1} &\leftarrow x_k + \hat{\alpha}_k p_k \\ \hat{\beta}_{k+1} &\leftarrow \frac{r_{k+1}^T r_{k+1}}{r_k^T r_k} \\ p_{k+1} &\leftarrow -r_{k+1} + \hat{\beta}_{k+1} p_k \\ k &\leftarrow k + 1 \quad \text{end (while)}\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The conjugate gradient method: Rate of convergence

Theorem. If A has only $r \leq n$ distinct eigenvalues, then the CG method iteration will terminate at the solution in at most r iterations.