NLA I: Linear equation solving

Reference:

(D) J. Demmel, Applied NLA, 1997.

Here we discuss the linear equation

Ax=6

In particular, algorithms for solving it, and their complexity and numerical stability. We focus on direct methods: methods that are exact in the absence of round off. Later in the course we will study iterative methods, that do not produce exact answers in a faite number of steps, but rather decrease the error of some approximation at each step.

1. Boussian elimination

Let F = IR or C and real numbers

A = $\begin{pmatrix} \partial_{1} & \cdots & \partial_{1} & \cdots & \partial_{n} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \partial_{n} \end{pmatrix} \in F^{m \times n}$ $A = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{1} & \cdots & \partial_{n} & \cdots & \partial_{n} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \partial_{n} \end{pmatrix}$

(1)

The metrix A defines a linear map A: F" > F"

through linear combinations of its columns: for $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{pmatrix} \in F^n$ on h-column vector $A \cdot x = x_1 \operatorname{col}_1(A) + \cdots + x_n \operatorname{col}_n(A)$ $\underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}}}_{:} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 7 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}$ The image of this map is the column space, that is, the span of the columns of A: Im(A) = Span (col, (A), ..., col, (A)). It is a linear subspace of FM. The kernel of this linear map is the set of vectors that vanish through this map:

Ker (A) = {x ∈ Fn | Ax = 0} It is a linear subspace of Fn A fundamental theorem of linear algebra states

din (In(A)) + den (Ker(A)) = n (x)

In other terms

#independent columns + di-(Ko-(A)) = # columns The first and most fundamental problem of LA is to solve

 $A \times = 6$ (**)

We are given an n×n-matrix A and an n-vector b, and we want to find a solution x, also an n-vector.

The equation (***) being solvable is equivalent to the fact that b belongs to the i—see of A:

b∈ I_(A)

If it exists, such a solution is unique if and only if the kould of A is trivial

Ke-(A) = 103

By(x), both conditions are equivalent.

A & Fuxn is hon-singular if Ker(A)=10) or equivalently, of I_(A) = Fn. If this is the case, A admits on inverse natix A-1: $A \cdot A^{-1} = A^{-1} \cdot A = 1 = 1$ Polentity matrix We will study the plo: given $A \in F^{n \times n}$ nonsingular and $b \in F^{n}$, find $x \in F^{n}$ such that (s,t): A. x = 6 Gaussia dimination (GE) is the basic algorithm for this task. $\int_{3 \cdot x_{1} + 2 \cdot x_{2}}^{1 \cdot x_{1} + 2 \cdot x_{2}} = b_{1}$ Substract 3x first equation from the second equation to eliminate x1: $\int \Lambda \cdot \times_1 + 2 \times_2 = b_1$ -2 x2 - 62-361 then solve by "backward substitution" (compute x2 and then x1)

In motition interm at $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & +2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ A L U TA = L·U This decomposition is not always possible, since some pivot my vanish: (01) = L.U HL,U non singular lover & upper to angular Lower & upper triangular Solution: swap rows 1 & 2, which corresponds to notiplicate by a permetation with P (an identity matrix with permuted rows) P. A = Brown A with rows partied tollowing P A.P = Columns

Other properties: • $P^{-1} = P^{T}$ (transposed) · det(P) = ±1 · P., Pz permetation matrices > P.·Pz permetation matrix Gover elimition can be interpreted so the factorization A = P. L. Upper triangular
permutation unit lower triangular (1's in the diagonal) Withthis factorization, can sole Ax=6 by LUx = PTb permite the entires of b Ux= L-1 (P-16) forward substitution x = U-1 (1-1 P-16) backward sobstitution

I the example, P= 42 and so the step (1) is trivial (the entries of b= (5) are not modified).

(

To construct the LU factorisation, we proceed by induction on n. A = (3) 15 5) 5 ~ For h=1 the set $P = L = (1) \qquad \qquad U = A = (2...)$ Suppose 47,2. Choose & st. 26, 70 Remerk: In Garssian elinition with partiel pivoting (GEPP) ve choose le st |2R/ is maximal for 15 Rsh Susp rows 1 and to promultiplying A by He permutation matrix Consider the 2×2 blocks

order the 4×2 blocks

order the 4×2 blocks

order the 4×2 blocks A_{12} A_{12}

(D)

Hence

$$P = P_1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & P \end{pmatrix} \qquad L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ P L_2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad U = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & U_{12} \\ 0 & U \end{pmatrix}$$

with light 1 tinj

Cousain dinistion with complete pinoting (GECP) reorders all rows and columns in such a way that lakel is maximal in the whole matrix.

It can be more numerically stable than GEPP but it is much more expensive in terms of speed. It gives & a factoritation

GEPP

Pseudocode notation

for i=1 to n-1

· Swap rowk and i of A and L for & such that

12/2 = max |2pi|

· compute column i of L & For j=i+1 to n

aje ligit aji/aix

end for

· compute row i of U

for i=1 to n

and for mijer aij

· update Azz

for j=i+1 to a and & fit1 to a

Mik - ajk - læji Mik

end for agik

Dji Dik

end for

Remark: . the column i of A is used only to compute

· the row i of A is used only to compute

Need no extra space to store L and U: to Using the pseudo code, we can capute the complexity (= #flops) of this slgorithm Recall from calculus: for \$ = 1 $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} i^{k}}{k} = \frac{n^{k+1}}{k+1} + O(n^{k})$ $= \frac{n^{k+1}}{k+1} + O(n^{k})$ $C_{GEPP}(h) = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left(\sum_{j=i+1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{j=i+1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{j=i+1} \right)$ $GEPP \text{ on } n \times n \text{ matrices}$ $= \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left((n-i) + 2(n-i)^2 \right) = \frac{2}{3} n^3 + G(n^2)$ $= \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left((n-i) + 2(n-i)^2 \right) = \frac{2}{3} n^3 + G(n^2)$ Forward and backward substitution have each a complexity $n^2 + G(n)$ Hence GEPP solves Ax=6 with 3n3+6(n2) flops

12