

# Computer Architecture HW2

Fabian Wüthrich

October 16, 2020

## 1 Critical Paper Reviews [1000 points]

see here

## 2 RowHammer [200 points]

### 2.1 RowHammer Properties

- a) True
- b) False
- c) True (i.e. protector cells)
- d) True (i.e. aggressor or protector cells)
- e) True

### 2.2 RowHammer Mitigations

- a) If the refresh interval is reduced from 64ms to 8ms, each row is refreshed 8 more times. Thus, bank utilization increases to  $8U$  and energy consumption increases to  $8E$
- b) With a doubling of the rows, the mitigation is still possible, but the bank is occupied 80% by refresh operations ( $U = 0.05 \times 8 \times 2 = 0.8$ ).

With another doubling of the rows, the mitigation cannot be implemented because we cannot refresh every row in 8ms ( $U = 0.05 \times 8 \times 4 = 1.6$ ).

- c) No, we need to know which rows are adjacent.
- d) With a 8ms refresh interval an attacker can issue a limited number of activations, constrained by  $t_{RC}$ . If  $T$  is less than the maximum number of activations issued in 8ms, we can guarantee the same level of security. We have  $t_{RC} = t_{RAS} + t_{RP} = 35ns + 13.5ns = 48.5ns$  and therefore

$$T = \frac{8ms}{48.5ns} = 164948.4536 \approx 164948$$

- e) A single counter requires  $\log_2(164948) = 17.3317 \approx 18$ bits and each row needs a counter. Thus,

$$18 \text{ bits/row} \times 2^{15} \text{ rows/bank} \times 8 \text{ banks} \times 2 \text{ ranks} = 9 \text{ Mbit}$$

When the number of rows per bank and the number of banks per chip are doubled we get

$$18 \text{ bits/row} \times 2^{16} \text{ rows/bank} \times 16 \text{ banks} \times 2 \text{ ranks} = 36 \text{ Mbit}$$

- f) The memory controller performs unnecessary activations which is bad for performance and energy consumption.

- g) Let  $X$  be a RV that describes the number of errors in a year. Each 64ms interval can be seen as a independent experiment of getting an error. Therefore,  $X$  has a binomial distribution with the parameters  $p = 1.9 \cdot 10^{-22}$  and  $n = \frac{365 \cdot 24 \cdot 3600s}{64ms} = 492'750'000$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} P(X \geq 1) &= 1 - P(X = 0) \\ &= 1 - (1 - p)^n \\ &= 9.3622 \cdot 10^{-14} \end{aligned}$$

### 3 Processing in Memory: Ambit [200 points]

#### 3.1 In-DRAM Bitmap Indices I

- a) All users occupy  $\frac{u}{8}$  bytes so we need  $\frac{u}{8 \cdot 8k}$  subarrays. Including the weeks,  $\frac{u \cdot w}{8 \cdot 8k}$  rows are occupied.
- b) Using Ambit we copy each row into the *Operand* row and perform a bulk **and**. This requires  $\frac{u \cdot w}{8 \cdot 8k} \times (t_{rc} + t_{and})$  seconds overall. Then we need to transfer  $\frac{u}{8}$  bytes to the CPU and count the bits. This takes  $\frac{u}{8X}$  seconds. Therefore, the throughput is

$$\frac{u}{\frac{u \cdot w}{8 \cdot 8k} \times (t_{rc} + t_{and}) + \frac{u}{8X}} \text{ users/second}$$

- c) The CPU has to transfer all users and weeks from memory which takes  $\frac{uw}{8X}$  seconds. Therefore, the throughput is

$$\frac{u}{\frac{uw}{8X}} = \frac{8X}{w} \text{ users/second}$$

- d) We want that the execution time on the CPU is lower than in memory. Therefore,

$$\frac{uw}{8X} < \frac{u \cdot w}{8 \cdot 8k} \times (t_{rc} + t_{and}) + \frac{u}{8X}$$

Solving the inequality for  $w$  gives

$$w < \frac{1}{1 - \frac{X}{8k} \times (t_{rc} + t_{and})}$$

#### 3.2 In-DRAM Bitmap Indices II

- a)

### 4 In-DRAM Bit Serial Computation [200 points]

### 5 Caching vs. Processing-in-Memory [200 points]