

# Project 5 Report

Kyle Peppe

February 9, 2020

## Abstract

This project was to demonstrate my command of using the new tacticals we have learned in order to prove theorems. This was also the first set of exercises where I had to load and open HOL saved theory files. The exercises became more difficult with chapter 10 being a bit more difficult. I have completed the exercises 11.6.1, 11.6.2, and 11.6.3.

- Problem Statement
- Relevant Code
- Test Results

This project includes the following packages:

***634format.sty*** A format style for this course

***listings*** Package for displaying and inputting ML source code

***holtex*** HOL style files and commands to display in the report

This document also demonstrates my ability to :

- Easily generate a table of contents,
- Refer to chapter and section labels

---

# Contents

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Excercise 11.6.1</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Problem statement . . . . .	3
2.2	Relevant Code . . . . .	3
2.3	Session Transcript . . . . .	3
<b>3</b>	<b>Excercise 11.6.2</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1	Problem statement . . . . .	4
3.2	Relevant Code . . . . .	4
3.3	Session Transcript . . . . .	5
<b>4</b>	<b>Excercise 11.6.3</b>	<b>6</b>
4.1	Problem statement . . . . .	6
4.2	Relevant Code . . . . .	6
4.3	Session Transcripts . . . . .	8
<b>A</b>	<b>Source code</b>	<b>9</b>

**Acknowledgments:** I would like to acknowledge the 2 professors, Professor Chin and Professor Hamner, that have helped me begin to understand this new ML programming language. Also to Syracuse University for accepting me to this Masters program in Cybersecurity.

## Chapter 1

---

# Executive Summary

---

**All requirements for this project are satisfied.** Specifically,

### Report Contents

Our report has the following content:

- Chapter 1: Executive Summary

- Chapter 2: Exercise 11.6.1

  - Section 2.1: Problem Statement

  - Section 2.2: Relevant Code

  - Section 2.3: Session Transcripts

- Chapter 3: Exercise 11.6.2

  - Section 3.1: Problem Statement

  - Section 3.2: Relevant Code

  - Section 3.3: Session Transcripts

- Chapter 4: Exercise 11.6.3

  - Section 4.1: Problem Statement

  - Section 4.2: Relevant Code

  - Section 4.3: Session Transcripts

- Appendix A: Source Code

### Reproducibility in ML and $\text{\LaTeX}$

The ML and  $\text{\LaTeX}$  source files compile with no errors.

## Chapter 2

# Exercise 11.6.1

## 2.1 Problem statement

Add to the theory exTypeTheory by proving the following theorem

`‘!(l1 : 'a list)(l2 : 'a list).LENGTH (APP l1 l2) = LENGTH l1 + LENGTH l2 ‘‘`

## 2.2 Relevant Code

```
(* Exercise 11.6.1 *)
val LENGTHAPP =
TAC_PROOF(
  ([], ‘!(l1 : 'a list)(l2 : 'a list).LENGTH (APP l1 l2)
    = LENGTH l1 + LENGTH l2 ‘‘),
  Induct_on ‘l1‘ THEN
  ASM_REWRITE_TAC [APP_def, LENGTH, ADD_CLAUSES]
);

(* Save the Theorem *)
val _ = save_thm("LENGTHAPP", LENGTHAPP);
```

## 2.3 Session Transcript

```
> val LENGTH_APP =
TAC_PROOF(
  ([], ‘!(l1 : 'a list)(l2 : 'a list).LENGTH (APP l1 l2)
    = LENGTH l1 + LENGTH l2 ‘‘),
  Induct_on ‘l1‘ THEN
  ASM_REWRITE_TAC [APP_def, LENGTH, ADD_CLAUSES]
);
# # # # # val LENGTH_APP =
[oracles: DISK_THM] [axioms: ] []
|- !(l1 : 'a list) (l2 : 'a list).
  LENGTH (APP l1 l2) = LENGTH l1 + LENGTH l2:
  thm
>
```

1

## Chapter 3

## Exercise 11.6.2

### 3.1 Problem statement

Add to the theory `exTypeTheory` by defining the function `Map` (`Map f [1;2;3;4] = [f1; f2; f3; f4]`) and then prove the following theorem

`‘‘Map f (APP l1 l2) = APP (Map f l1) (Map f l2) ‘‘`

### 3.2 Relevant Code

```
(* Exercise 11.6.2 *)
val Map_def =
  Define
    ‘(Map (f : 'a -> 'b) [] = []) /\ (Map f (h :: (l1 : 'a list))
    = (f h) :: Map f (l1 : 'a list)) ‘;

val Map_APP =
  TACPROOF (
    ([], ‘‘Map f (APP l1 l2) = APP (Map f l1) (Map f l2) ‘‘),
    Induct_on ‘l1 ‘ THEN
    ASMREWRITE_TAC[Map_def, APP_def]
  );

(* Save the Theorem *)
val _ = save_thm("Map_APP", Map_APP);
```

### 3.3 Session Transcript

```

> val Map_def =
Define
  '(Map (f:'a -> 'b) [] = []) /\ (Map f (h::(l1:'a list))
= (f h)::Map f (l1:'a list))';
# # # Definition has been stored under "Map_def"
val Map_def =
  [oracles: DISK_THM] [axioms: ] []
|- (!(f : 'a -> 'b). Map f ([] : 'a list) = ([] : 'b list)) /\
  !(f : 'a -> 'b) (h : 'a) (l1 : 'a list). Map f (h::l1) = f h::Map f l1:
  thm
> val Map_APP =
TAC_PROOF (
  ([], 'Map f (APP l1 l2) = APP (Map f l1) (Map f l2)',
  Induct_on 'l1' THEN
  ASM_REWRITE_TAC[Map_def, APP_def]
);
# # # # <<HOL message: inventing new type variable names: 'a, 'b>>
val Map_APP =
  [oracles: DISK_THM] [axioms: ] []
|- Map (f : 'b -> 'a) (APP (l1 : 'b list) (l2 : 'b list)) =
  APP (Map f l1) (Map f l2):
  thm
>

```

2

## Chapter 4

# Exercise 11.6.3

## 4.1 Problem statement

Solve the below theorems after using/setting up the new datatypes, definitions, and theorems: datatype nexp, definition of semantics of nexp expressions.

```
Add_0:
  ‘!(f:nexp).nexpVal (Add (Num 0) f) = nexpVal f‘

Add_SYM:
  ‘!(f1:nexp)(f2:nexp).nexpVal (Add f1 f2) = nexpVal (Add f2 f1)‘

Sub_0:
  ‘!(f:nexp).(nexpVal (Sub (Num 0) f) = 0) /\
    (nexpVal (Sub f (Num 0)) = nexpVal f)‘

Mult_ASSOC:
  ‘!(f1:nexp)(f2:nexp)(f3:nexp).nexpVal (Mult f1 (Mult f2 f3)) =
    nexpVal (Mult (Mult f1 f2) f3)‘
```

## 4.2 Relevant Code

```
(* Add_0 *)
val Add_0 =
TACPROOF(
  ([], ‘!(f:nexp).nexpVal (Add (Num 0) f) = nexpVal f‘),
  Induct_on ‘f‘ THEN
  ASM_REWRITE_TAC[ADD_CLAUSES, nexpValDef]
);

(* Save the Theorem *)
val _ = save_thm("Add_0", Add_0);

(* Add_SYM *)
val Add_SYM =
TACPROOF(
  ([], ‘!(f1:nexp)(f2:nexp).nexpVal (Add f1 f2) = nexpVal (Add f2 f1)‘),
  Induct_on ‘f1‘ THEN
  PROVE_TAC[nexpValDef, ADD_SYM]
);

(* Save the Theorem *)
val _ = save_thm("Add_SYM", Add_SYM);
```



```

(* Sub_0 *)
val Sub_0 =
TACPROOF(
  ([], '!(f:nexp).(nexpVal (Sub (Num 0) f) = 0) /\
    (nexpVal (Sub f (Num 0)) = nexpVal f)' ),
  Induct_on 'f' THEN
  PROVE_TAC[nexpValDef, SUB_0]
);

(* Save the Theorem *)
val _ = save_thm("Sub_0", Sub_0);

(* Mult_ASSOC *)
val Mult_ASSOC =
TACPROOF(
  ([], '!(f1:nexp)(f2:nexp)(f3:nexp).nexpVal (Mult f1 (Mult f2 f3)) =
    nexpVal (Mult (Mult f1 f2) f3)' ),
  Induct_on 'f1' THEN
  ASMLREWRITE_TAC[MULT_ASSOC, nexpValDef]
);

(* Save the Theorem *)
val _ = save_thm("Mult_ASSOC", Mult_ASSOC);

```

## 4.3 Session Transcripts

```

> val Add_0 =
TAC_PROOF(
([], '!(f:nexp).nexpVal (Add (Num 0) f) = nexpVal f'',
Induct_on 'f' THEN
ASM_REWRITE_TAC[ADD_CLAUSES, nexpValDef]
);
# # # # val Add_0 =
[] |- !(f :nexp). nexpVal (Add (Num (0 :num)) f) = nexpVal f:
thm
> val Add_SYM =
TAC_PROOF(
([], '!(f1:nexp)(f2:nexp).nexpVal (Add f1 f2) = nexpVal (Add f2 f1)'',
Induct_on 'f1' THEN
PROVE_TAC[nexpValDef, ADD_SYM]
);
# # # # Meson search level: .....
Meson search level: .....
Meson search level: .....
Meson search level: .....
val Add_SYM =
[] |- !(f1 :nexp) (f2 :nexp). nexpVal (Add f1 f2) = nexpVal (Add f2 f1):
thm
> val Sub_0 =
TAC_PROOF(
([], '!(f:nexp).(nexpVal (Sub (Num 0) f) = 0) /\
(nexpVal (Sub f (Num 0)) = nexpVal f)'',
Induct_on 'f' THEN
PROVE_TAC[nexpValDef, SUB_0]
);
# # # # Meson search level: .....
Meson search level: .....
Meson search level: .....
Meson search level: .....
val Sub_0 =
[]
|- !(f :nexp).
(nexpVal (Sub (Num (0 :num)) f) = (0 :num)) /\
(nexpVal (Sub f (Num (0 :num))) = nexpVal f):
thm
> val Mult_ASSOC =
TAC_PROOF(
([], '!(f1:nexp)(f2:nexp)(f3:nexp).nexpVal (Mult f1 (Mult f2 f3)) =
nexpVal (Mult (Mult f1 f2) f3)'',
Induct_on 'f1' THEN
ASM_REWRITE_TAC[MULT_ASSOC, nexpValDef]
);
# # # # val Mult_ASSOC =
[]
|- !(f1 :nexp) (f2 :nexp) (f3 :nexp).
nexpVal (Mult f1 (Mult f2 f3)) = nexpVal (Mult (Mult f1 f2) f3):
thm
>

```

3

## Appendix A

---

# Source code

---

```

(*****
(* Author: Kyle Peppe *)
(* Exercises 11.6.1 and 11.6.2 *)
(* Date: 2/3/20 *)
(*****)

(* Beginning theory commands *)
structure exTypeScript = struct
open HolKernel Parse boolLib bossLib;
open listTheory TypeBase arithmeticTheory

val _ = new_theory "exType";

(* APP_def from the book *)
val APP_def =
Define
  ‘(APP [] (l:’a list) = l) /\ (APP (h::(l1:’a list)) (l2:’a list)
    = h::(APP l1 l2))’;

(* APP_ASSOC from the book *)
val APP_ASSOC =
TAC_PROOF(
  ([],
    ‘!(l1:’a list)(l2:’a list)(l3:’a list).(APP(APP l1 l2) l3)
    = (APP l1 (APP l2 l3))’),
    Induct_on ‘l1’ THEN
    PROVE_TAC[APP_def]
  );

(* Save the Theorem *)
val _ = save_thm("APP_ASSOC", APP_ASSOC);

(* Exercise 11.6.1 *)
val LENGTHAPP =
TAC_PROOF(
  ([], ‘!(l1:’a list)(l2:’a list).LENGTH (APP l1 l2)
    = LENGTH l1 + LENGTH l2’),
    Induct_on ‘l1’ THEN
    ASM_REWRITE_TAC [APP_def, LENGTH, ADD_CLAUSES]
  );

(* Save the Theorem *)
val _ = save_thm("LENGTHAPP", LENGTHAPP);

```

---

```

(* Exercise 11.6.2 *)
val Map_def =
  Define
    ‘(Map (f:’a -> ’b) [] = []) /\ (Map f (h::(ll:’a list))
    = (f h)::Map f (ll:’a list))’;

val Map_APP =
  TACPROOF (
    ([], ‘Map f (APP ll ll2) = APP (Map f ll) (Map f ll2)’),
    Induct_on ‘ll’ THEN
    ASMREWRITE_TAC[Map_def, APP_def]
  );

(* Save the Theorem *)
val _ = save_thm("Map_APP", Map_APP);

(* Exporting and printing the theory *)
val _ = export_theory();
val _ = print_theory "-";

end

(*****
(* Author: Kyle Peppe *)
(* Exercise 11.6.3 *)
(* Date: 2/4/20 *)
*****)

(* Beginning Commands *)
structure exTypeScript = struct
open HolKernel Parse boolLib bossLib;
open boolTheory TypeBase arithmeticTheory

(* Command to set the theory *)
val _ = new_theory "nexp";

val _ = Datatype ‘nexp = Num num | Add nexp nexp | Sub nexp nexp | Mult nexp nexp’;

val nexpValDef =
  Define
    ‘(nexpVal (Num num) = num) /\ (nexpVal (Add f1 f2) = (nexpVal f1) + (nexpVal f2))
    /\ (nexpVal (Sub f1 f2) = (nexpVal f1) - (nexpVal f2))
    /\ (nexpVal (Mult f1 f2) = (nexpVal f1) * (nexpVal f2))’;

(* Add_0 *)
val Add_0 =
  TACPROOF(
    ([], ‘!(f:nexp).nexpVal (Add (Num 0) f) = nexpVal f’),
    Induct_on ‘f’ THEN
    ASMREWRITE_TAC[ADD_CLAUSES, nexpValDef]
  )

```

---

---

```

);

(* Save the Theorem *)
val _ = save_thm("Add_0", Add_0);

(* Add_SYM *)
val Add_SYM =
TACPROOF(
  ([], '!(f1:nexp)(f2:nexp).nexpVal (Add f1 f2) = nexpVal (Add f2 f1)' ,
  Induct_on 'f1' THEN
  PROVE_TAC[nexpValDef, ADD_SYM]
);

(* Save the Theorem *)
val _ = save_thm("Add_SYM", Add_SYM);

(* Sub_0 *)
val Sub_0 =
TACPROOF(
  ([], '!(f:nexp).(nexpVal (Sub (Num 0) f) = 0) /\
  (nexpVal (Sub f (Num 0)) = nexpVal f)' ,
  Induct_on 'f' THEN
  PROVE_TAC[nexpValDef, SUB_0]
);

(* Save the Theorem *)
val _ = save_thm("Sub_0", Sub_0);

(* Mult_ASSOC *)
val Mult_ASSOC =
TACPROOF(
  ([], '!(f1:nexp)(f2:nexp)(f3:nexp).nexpVal (Mult f1 (Mult f2 f3)) =
  nexpVal (Mult (Mult f1 f2) f3)' ,
  Induct_on 'f1' THEN
  ASM_REWRITE_TAC[MULT_ASSOC, nexpValDef]
);

(* Save the Theorem *)
val _ = save_thm("Mult_ASSOC", Mult_ASSOC);

(* Exporting the Theorem *)
val _ = export_theory();

val _ = print_theory "-";

end

```