

APPENDIX B: ACRONYMS

A _a	Achieved availability	CBM	Condition-based maintenance
A _i	Inherent availability	CCB	Configuration Control Board
A _o	Operational availability	CE	Conformité Européenne [EU]
act	Activity diagrams [SysML™]	CEA	Cost-effectiveness analysis
AECL	Atomic Energy Commission Limited [Canada]	CFR	Code of Federal Regulations [United States]
AIAA	American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics [United States]	CI	Configuration item
ANSI	American National Standards Institute [United States]	CMMI®	Capability Maturity Model® Integration [CMMI Institute]
API	Application programming interface	CMP	Configuration management plan
ARP	Aerospace Recommended Practice	ConOps	Concept of operations
AS	Aerospace Standard	COTS	Commercial off-the-shelf
ASQ	American Society for Quality	CSEP	Certified Systems Engineering Professional [INCOSE]
ASAM	Association for Standardization of Automation and Measuring Systems	CVS	Certified Value Specialist [SAVE]
ASEP	Associate Systems Engineering Professional [INCOSE]	DAU	Defense Acquisition University [United States]
AUTOSAR	AUTomotive Open System ARchitecture	DFD	Data flow diagrams
AVS	Associate Value Specialist [SAVE]	DMS	Diminishing material shortages
bdd	Block definition diagram [SysML™]	DoD	Department of Defense [United States]
BRS	Business Requirements Specification	DoDAF	Department of Defense Architecture Framework [United States]
CAIV	Cost as an Independent Variable	DSM	Design Structure Matrix
CBA	Cost-benefit analysis	DTC	Design to cost
		ECP	Engineering Change Proposal
		ECR	Engineering change request

EIA	Electronic Industries Alliance	INCOSE	International Council on Systems Engineering
EM	Electromagnetic	IPAL	INCOSE Product Asset Library [INCOSE]
EMC	Electromagnetic compatibility	IPD	Integrated Product Development
EMI	Electromagnetic interference	IPDT	Integrated Product Development Team
EN	Engineering notice	IPO	Input–process–output
ER	Entity relationship diagram	IPPD	Integrated Product and Process Development
ESEP	Expert Systems Engineering Professional [INCOSE]		
ETA	Event tree analysis	IPT	Integrated Product Team
FAST	Function Analysis System Technique	ISO	International Organization for Standardization
FBSE	Functions-based systems engineering		
FEA	Front-end analyses	IT	Information technology
FEP	Fuel enrichment plant	IV&V	Integration, verification, and validation
FFBD	Functional flow block diagram	JSAE	Japan Society of Automotive Engineers [Japan]
FHA	Functional hazard analysis		
FMEA	Failure Mode and Effects Analysis	JPL	Jet Propulsion Laboratory [United States]
FMECA	Failure modes, effects, and criticality analysis	KDR	Key driving requirement
		KM	Knowledge management
FMVSS	Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards [United States]	KPP	Key Performance Parameter
		KSA	Knowledge, skills, and abilities
FoS	Family of systems	LAI	Lean Advancement Initiative
FTA	Fault tree analysis	LCA	Life cycle assessment
G&A	General and administrative	LCC	Life cycle cost
GAO	Government Accountability Office [United States]	LCM	Life cycle management
		LefMEP	Lean Enablers for Managing Engineering Programs
GEIA	Government Electronic Industries Alliance		
GENIVI	Geneva In-Vehicle Infotainment Alliance	LefSE	Lean Enablers for Systems Engineering
GNP	Gross national product	LINAC	Linear accelerator
GPP	Green Public Procurement	LOR	Level of rigor
HALT	Highly accelerated life testing	LORA	Level of Repair Analysis
HFE	Human factors engineering	MBSE	Model-based systems engineering
HHA	Health hazard analysis	MIT	Massachusetts Institute of Technology
HSI	Human systems integration	MoC	Models of computation
HTS	Hazard tracking system	MODA	Multiple objective decision analysis
ibd	Internal block diagram [SysML™]	MoDAF	Ministry of Defense Architecture Framework [United Kingdom]
IBM	International Business Machines		
ICD	Interface control document	MOE	Measure of effectiveness
ICS	Industrial control system	MOP	Measure of performance
ICSM	Incremental Commitment Spiral Model	MORS	Military Operations Research Society
ICWG	Interface Control Working Group	MOS	Measure of suitability
IDEF	Integrated definition for functional modeling	MPE	Mass Properties Engineering
		MTA	Maintenance Task Analysis
IEC	International Electrotechnical Commission	MTBF	Mean time between failure
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers	MTBR	Mean time between repair
		MTTR	Mean time to repair
IFWG	Interface Working Group	N ²	N-squared diagram
IID	Incremental and iterative development	NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration [United States]
ILS	Integrated logistics support		

NEC	National Electrical Code [United States]	RFQ	Request for quote
NCOSE	National Council on Systems Engineering (pre-1995)	RFV	Request for variance
NCS	Network-Centric Systems	RMP	Risk management plan
NDI	Nondevelopmental item	ROI	Return on investment
NDIA	National Defense Industrial Association [United States]	RUP	Rational Unified Process [IBM]
O&SHA	Operations and support hazard analysis	RUP-SE	Rational Unified Process for Systems Engineering [IBM]
OAM&P	Operations, administration, maintenance, and provisioning	RVTM	Requirements Verification and Traceability Matrix
OEM	Original Equipment Manufacturer	SA	State Analysis [JPL]
OMG	Object Management Group	SAE	SAE International [formerly the Society of Automotive Engineers]
OOSEM	Object-Oriented Systems Engineering Method	SAR	Safety Assessment Report
OpEMCSS	Operational Evaluation Modeling for Context-Sensitive Systems	SAVE	Society of American Value Engineers
OPM	Object-Process Methodology	SCM	Supply chain management
OpsCon	Operational concept	SCN	Specification change notice
OSI	Open System Interconnect	sd	Sequence diagram [SysML™]
par	Parametric diagram [SysML™]	SE	Systems engineering
PBL	Performance-based logistics	SEARI	Systems Engineering Advancement Research Institute
PBS	Product breakdown structure	SEBoK	Guide to the Systems Engineering Body of Knowledge
PDT	Product Development Team	SEH	Systems Engineering Handbook [INCOSE]
PERT	Program Evaluation Review Technique	SEHA	System element hazard analysis
PHA	Preliminary hazard analysis	SEIT	Systems Engineering and Integration Team
PHS&T	Packaging, handling, storage, and transportation	SEMP	Systems engineering management plan
PIT	Product Integration Team	SEMS	Systems Engineering Master Schedule
pkg	Package diagram [SysML™]	SEP	Systems engineering plan
PLC	Programmable logic controller	SHA	System hazard analysis
PLCS	Product Life Cycle Support	SLA	Service-level agreement
PLM	Product line management	SOI	System of interest
PMI	Project Management Institute	SoS	System of systems
PRA	Probabilistic risk assessment	SOW	Statement of work
PSM	Practical Software and Systems Measurement	SROI	Social return on investment
QA	Quality assurance	SRR	System Requirements Review
QM	Quality management	SSDP	Service system design process
R&D	Research and development	STEP	Standard for the Exchange of Product Model Data
RAM	Reliability, availability, and maintainability	stm	State machine diagram [SysML™]
RBD	Reliability block diagram	StRS	Stakeholder Requirements Specification
RCM	Reliability-centered maintenance	SWOT	Strength–weakness–opportunity–threat
REACH	Registration, Evaluation, Authorization, and Restriction of Chemical Substances	SysML™	Systems Modeling Language [OMG]
req	Requirement diagram [SysML™]	SyRS	System Requirements Specification
RFC	Request for change	SYSPG	Systems Engineering Process Group
RFP	Request for proposal	TADSS	Training Aids, Devices, Simulators, and Simulations
		TCO	Total cost of ownership
		TOC	Total ownership cost

TOGAF	The Open Group Architecture Framework	USB	Universal Serial Bus
TPM	Technical performance measure	USD	US dollars [United States]
TRL	Technology readiness level	V&V	Verification and validation
TRP	Technology refreshment program	VA	Value analysis
TQM	Total quality management	VE	Value engineering
TR	Technical report	VM	Value management
uc	Use case diagram [SysML™]	VMP	Value Methodology Practitioner [SAVE]
UIC	International Union of Railways	VSE	Very small entities
UK	United Kingdom	VSME	Very small and micro enterprises
UL	Underwriters Laboratory [United States and Canada]	VV&A	Verification, validation, and accreditation
UML™	Unified Modeling Language™ [OMG]	WBS	Work breakdown structure
US	United States	WG	Working group

APPENDIX C: TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

Words not included in this glossary carry meanings consistent with general dictionary definitions. Other related terms can be found in SE VOCAB (2013).

<i>Acquirer</i>	The stakeholder that acquires or procures a product or service from a supplier	<i>Agile</i>	Project execution methods can be described on a continuum from “adaptive” to “predictive.” Agile methods exist on the “adaptive” side of this continuum, which is not the same as saying that agile methods are “unplanned” or “undisciplined”
<i>“-ilities”</i>	The developmental, operational, and support requirements a program must address (named because they typically end in “ility”—availability, maintainability, vulnerability, reliability, supportability, etc.)	<i>Agreement</i>	The mutual acknowledgment of terms and conditions under which a working relationship is conducted
<i>Acquisition logistics</i>	Technical and management activities conducted to ensure supportability implications are considered early and throughout the acquisition process to minimize support costs and to provide the user with the resources to sustain the system in the field	<i>Architecture</i>	(System) fundamental concepts or properties of a system in its environment embodied in its elements, relationships, and in the principles of its design and evolution (see ISO 42010)
<i>Activity</i>	A set of cohesive tasks of a process		

<i>Baseline</i>	<p>The gate-controlled step-by-step elaboration of business, budget, functional, performance, and physical characteristics, mutually agreed to by buyer and seller, and under formal change control. Baselines can be modified between formal decision gates by mutual consent through the change control process.</p> <p>An agreed-to description of the attributes of a product at a point in time, which serves as a basis for defining change (ANSI/EIA-649-1998)</p>	<i>Derived requirements</i>	Detailed characteristics of the system of interest (SOI) that typically are identified during elicitation of stakeholder requirements, requirements analysis, trade studies, or validation
<i>Black box/white box</i>	Black box represents an external view of the system (attributes). White box represents an internal view of the system (attributes and structure of the elements)	<i>Design constraints</i>	The boundary conditions, externally or internally imposed, for the SOI within which the organization must remain when executing the processes during the concept and development stages
<i>Capability</i>	An expression of a system, product, function, or process ability to achieve a specific objective under stated conditions	<i>Domain asset</i>	<p>Is the output of a subprocess of domain engineering that is reused for producing two or more products in a product line. A domain asset may be a variability model, an architectural design, a software component, a domain model, a requirements statement or specification, a plan, a test case, a process description, or any other element useful for producing products and services. Syn: domain artifact (ISO 26550 2nd CD)</p> <p><i>Note: In systems engineering, domain assets may be subsystems or components to be reused in further system designs. Domain assets are considered through their original requirements and technical characteristics. Domain assets include, but are not limited to, use cases, logical principles, environmental behavioral data, and risks or opportunities learned from the previous projects</i></p> <p>Domain assets are not physical products available off-the-shelf and ready for commissioning. Physical products (e.g., mechanical parts, electronic components, harnesses, optic lenses) are stored and managed according to the best practices of their respective disciplines</p> <p><i>Note: In software engineering, domain assets can include source or object code to be reused during the implementation</i></p> <p><i>Note: Domain assets have their own life cycles. ISO/IEC/IEEE 15288 may be used to manage a life cycle</i></p>
<i>Commercial off-the-shelf (COTS)</i>	Commercial items that require no unique acquirer modifications or maintenance over the life cycle of the product to meet the needs of the procuring agency		
<i>Commonality</i>	(Of a product line) refers to functional and nonfunctional characteristics that can be shared with all member products within a product line (ISO 26550 2nd CD)		
<i>Configuration</i>	A characteristic of a system element, or project artifact, describing their maturity or performance		
<i>Configuration item (CI)</i>	<p>A hardware, software, or composite item at any level in the system hierarchy designated for configuration management. (The system and each of its elements are individual CIs.) CIs have four common characteristics:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Defined functionality 2. Replaceable as an entity 3. Unique specification 4. Formal control of form, fit, and function 		
<i>Decision gate</i>	A decision gate is an approval event (often associated with a review meeting). Entry and exit criteria are established for each decision gate; continuation beyond the decision gate is contingent on the agreement of decision makers		

<i>Domain scoping</i>	Identifies and bounds the functional domains that are important to an envisioned product line and provide sufficient reuse potential to justify the product line creation. Domain scoping builds on the definitions of the product scoping (ISO 26550 2nd CD)	<i>Interface</i>	A shared boundary between two functional units, defined by functional characteristics, common physical interconnection characteristics, signal characteristics, or other characteristics, as appropriate (ISO 2382-1)
<i>Element</i>	See system element	<i>Integration definition for functional modeling (IDEF)</i>	A family of modeling languages in the fields of systems and software engineering that provide a multiple-page (view) model of a system that depicts functions and information or product flow. Boxes illustrate functions and arrows illustrate information and product flow (KBS, 2010). Alphanumeric coding is used to denote the view: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • IDEF0—functional modeling method • IDEF1—information modeling method • IDEF1X—data modeling method • IDEF3—process description capture method • IDEF4—object-oriented design method • IDEF5—ontology description capture method
<i>Enabling system</i>	A system that supports a SOI during its life cycle stages but does not necessarily contribute directly to its function during operation		
<i>Enterprise</i>	A purposeful combination of interdependent resources that interact with each other to achieve business and operational goals (Rebovich and White, 2011)		
<i>Environment</i>	The surroundings (natural or man-made) in which the SOI is utilized and supported or in which the system is being developed, produced, and retired		
<i>Facility</i>	The physical means or equipment for facilitating the performance of an action, for example, buildings, instruments, and tools		
<i>Failure</i>	The event in which any part of an item does not perform as required by its specification. The failure may occur at a value in excess of the minimum required in the specification, that is, past design limits or beyond the margin of safety	<i>IPO diagram</i>	Figures in this handbook that provide a high-level view of the process of interest. The diagram summarizes the process activities and their inputs and outputs from/to external actors; some inputs are categorized as controls and enablers. A control governs the accomplishments of the process; an enabler is the means by which the process is performed
<i>Functional configuration audit</i>	An evaluation to ensure that the product meets baseline functional and performance capabilities (adapted from ISO/IEC/IEEE 15288)		
<i>Human factors</i>	The systematic application of relevant information about human abilities, characteristics, behavior, motivation, and performance. It includes principles and applications in the areas of human-related engineering, anthropometrics, ergonomics, job performance skills and aids, and human performance evaluation	<i>Life cycle cost (LCC)</i>	The total cost of acquisition and ownership of a system over its entire life. It includes all costs associated with the system and its use in the concept, development, production, utilization, support, and retirement stages
		<i>Life cycle model</i>	A framework of processes and activities concerned with the life cycle, which also acts as a common reference for communication and understanding
<i>Human systems integration</i>	The interdisciplinary technical and management processes for integrating human considerations within and across all system elements; an essential enabler to SE practice	<i>Measures of effectiveness</i>	Measures that define the information needs of the decision makers with respect to system effectiveness to meet operational expectations

<i>Measures of performance</i>	Measures that define the key performance characteristics the system should have when fielded and operated in its intended operating environment	<i>Product line scoping</i>	Defines the products that will constitute the product line and the major (externally visible) common and variable features among the products, analyzes the products from an economic point of view, and controls and schedules the development, production, and marketing of the product line and its products. Product management is primarily responsible for this process (ISO 26550 2nd CD)
<i>N² diagrams</i>	Graphical representation used to define the internal operational relationships or external interfaces of the SOI		
<i>Operator</i>	An individual who, or an organization that, contributes to the functionality of a system and draws on knowledge, skills, and procedures to contribute the function	<i>Project</i>	An endeavor with defined start and finish criteria undertaken to create a product or service in accordance with specified resources and requirements
<i>Organization</i>	Person or a group of people and facilities with an arrangement of responsibilities, authorities, and relationships (adapted from ISO 9001:2008)	<i>Proof of concept</i>	A naïve realization of an idea or technology to demonstrate its feasibility
<i>Performance</i>	A quantitative measure characterizing a physical or functional attribute relating to the execution of a process, function, activity, or task; performance attributes include quantity (how many or how much), quality (how well), timeliness (how responsive, how frequent), and readiness (when, under which circumstances)	<i>Prototype</i>	A production-ready demonstration model developed under engineering supervision that is specification compliant and represents what manufacturing should replicate
<i>Physical configuration audit</i>	An evaluation to ensure that the operational system or product conforms to the operational and configuration documentation (adapted from ISO/IEC/IEEE 15288)	<i>Qualification limit</i>	Proving that the design will survive in its intended environment with margin. The process includes testing and analyzing hardware and software configuration items to prove that the design will survive the anticipated accumulation of acceptance test environments, plus its expected handling, storage, and operational environments, plus a specified qualification margin
<i>Process</i>	A set of interrelated or interacting activities that transforms inputs into outputs (adapted from ISO 9001:2008)	<i>Requirement</i>	A statement that identifies a system, product, or process characteristic or constraint, which is unambiguous, clear, unique, consistent, stand-alone (not grouped), and verifiable, and is deemed necessary for stakeholder acceptability
<i>Product line</i>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Group of products or services sharing a common, managed set of features that satisfy specific needs of a selected market or mission. ISO/IEC/IEEE 24765 (2010), Systems and software engineering vocabulary 2. A collection of systems that are potentially derivable from a single-domain architecture. IEEE 1517-1999 (R2004) IEEE standard for information technology—Software life cycle processes—Reuse processes (3.14) (ISO/IEC FCD 24765.5) 	<i>Resource</i>	An asset that is utilized or consumed during the execution of a process
		<i>Return on investment</i>	Ratio of revenue from output (product or service) to development and production costs, which determines whether an organization benefits from performing an action to produce something (ISO/IEC 24765.5 FCD; ISO/IEC/IEEE 24765, 2010)

<i>Reuse</i>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The use of an asset in the solution of different problems. [IEEE 1517-1999 (R2004)] 2. Building a software system at least partly from existing pieces to perform a new application. [ISO/IEC/IEEE 24765 (2010)] 	<i>Systems engineering</i>	Systems engineering (SE) is an interdisciplinary approach and means to enable the realization of successful systems. It focuses on defining customer needs and required functionality early in the development cycle, documenting requirements, and then proceeding with design synthesis and system validation while considering the complete problem: operations, cost and schedule, performance, training and support, test, manufacturing, and disposal. SE considers both the business and the technical needs of all customers with the goal of providing a quality product that meets the user needs (INCOSE)
<i>Specialty engineering</i>	Analysis of specific features of a system that requires special skills to identify requirements and assess their impact on the system life cycle		
<i>Stage</i>	A period within the life cycle of an entity that relates to the state of its description or realization		
<i>Stakeholder</i>	A party having a right, share, or claim in a system or in its possession of characteristics that meet that party's needs and expectations	<i>Systems engineering effort</i>	Systems engineering effort integrates multiple disciplines and specialty groups into a set of activities that proceed from concept to production and to operation. SE considers both the business and the technical needs of all stakeholders with the goal of providing a quality system that meets their needs
<i>Supplier</i>	An organization or an individual that enters into an agreement with the acquirer for the supply of a product or service		
<i>System</i>	<p>An integrated set of elements, sub-systems, or assemblies that accomplish a defined objective. These elements include products (hardware, software, firmware), processes, people, information, techniques, facilities, services, and other support elements (INCOSE)</p> <p>A combination of interacting elements organized to achieve one or more stated purposes (ISO/IEC/IEEE 15288)</p>	<i>Systems engineering management plan (SEMP)</i>	Structured information describing how the systems engineering effort, in the form of tailored processes and activities, for one or more life cycle stages, will be managed and conducted in the organization for the actual project
<i>System element</i>	Member of a set of elements that constitutes a system	<i>Tailoring</i>	The manner in which any selected issue is addressed in a particular project. Tailoring may be applied to various aspects of the project, including project documentation, processes and activities performed in each life cycle stage, the time and scope of reviews, analysis, and decision making consistent with all applicable statutory requirements
<i>System life cycle</i>	The evolution with time of a SOI from conception to retirement		
<i>System of interest</i>	The system whose life cycle is under consideration		
<i>System of systems</i>	A SOI whose system elements are themselves systems; typically, these entail large-scale interdisciplinary problems with multiple, heterogeneous, distributed systems	<i>Technical performance measures</i>	Measures that define attributes of a system element to determine how well a system or system element is satisfying or expected to satisfy a technical requirement or goal

<i>Trade-off</i>	Decision-making actions that select from various requirements and alternative solutions on the basis of net benefit to the stakeholders	<i>Variability</i>	Of a product line refers to characteristics that may differ among members of the product line (ISO 26550 2nd CD)
<i>User</i>	Individual who or group that benefits from a system during its utilization	<i>Variability constraints</i>	Denotes constraint relationships between a variant and a variation point, between two variants, and between two variation points (ISO 26550 2nd CD)
<i>Validation</i>	Confirmation, through the provision of objective evidence, that the requirements for a specific intended use or application have been fulfilled (ISO/IEC/IEEE 15288) <i>Note: Validation is the set of activities ensuring and gaining confidence that a system is able to accomplish its intended use, goals, and objectives (i.e., meet stakeholder requirements) in the intended operational environment</i>	<i>Verification</i>	Confirmation, through the provision of objective evidence, that specified requirements have been fulfilled (ISO/IEC/IEEE 15288) <i>Note: Verification is a set of activities that compares a system or system element against the required characteristics. This may include, but is not limited to, specified requirements, design description, and the system itself</i>
<i>Value</i>	A measure of worth (e.g., benefit divided by cost) of a specific product or service by a customer, and potentially other stakeholders and is a function of (i) the product's usefulness in satisfying a customer need, (ii) the relative importance of the need being satisfied, (iii) the availability of the product relative to when it is needed, and (iv) the cost of ownership to the customer (McManus, 2004)	<i>Waste</i>	Work that adds no value to the product or service in the eyes of the customer (Womack and Jones, 1996)

APPENDIX D: N² DIAGRAM OF SYSTEMS ENGINEERING PROCESSES

Figure D.1 illustrates the input/output relationships between the various SE processes presented in the handbook and shows the interactions depicted on the IPO diagrams throughout this handbook. The primary flows represent a typical system development program.

The individual processes are placed on the diagonal by abbreviation to the process names, as follows:

EXT	External inputs and outputs
BMA	Business or mission analysis
SNRD	Stakeholder needs and requirements definition
SRD	System requirements definition
AD	Architecture definition
DD	Design definition
SA	System analysis
IMPL	Implementation
INT	Integration
VER	Verification
TRAN	Transition
VAL	Validation
OPER	Operation
MAINT	Maintenance

DISP	Disposal
PP	Project planning
PAC	Project assessment and control
DM	Decision management
RM	Risk management
CM	Configuration management
INFOM	Information management
MEAS	Measurement
QA	Quality assurance
ACQ	Acquisition
SUP	Supply
LCMM	Life cycle model management
INFRAM	Infrastructure management
PM	Portfolio management
HRM	Human resource management
QM	Quality management
KM	Knowledge management
TLR	Tailoring

The off-diagonal squares represent the inputs/outputs interface shared by the processes that intersect at a given square. Outputs flow horizontally; inputs flow vertically and can be read in a clockwise fashion.

Note 1: The absence of an x in an intersection does not preclude tailoring to create a relationship between any two processes.

Note 2: This is the result of one possible instance of the life cycle processes. Other instances of the process relationships are possible.

[illegible]

FIGURE D.1 Input/output relationships between the various SE processes. INCOSE SEH original figure created by David Walden. Usage per the INCOSE Notices page. All other rights reserved.

APPENDIX E: INPUT/OUTPUT DESCRIPTIONS

Combined list of all inputs and outputs defined in the processes described in Chapters 4–8.

<i>Accepted system or system element</i>	System element or system is transferred from supplier to acquirer and the product or service is available to the project	<i>Acquisition need</i>	The identification of a need that cannot be met within the organization encountering the need or a need that can be met in a more economical way by a supplier
<i>Acquired system</i>	The system or system element (product or service) is delivered to the acquirer from a supplier consistent with the delivery conditions of the acquisition agreement	<i>Acquisition payment</i>	Payments or other compensations for the acquired system. Includes remitting and acknowledgement
<i>Acquisition agreement</i>	An understanding of the relationship and commitments between the project organization and the supplier. The agreement can vary from formal contracts to less formal interorganizational work orders. Formal agreements typically include terms and conditions	<i>Acquisition record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to acquisition
		<i>Acquisition reply</i>	The responses of one or more candidate suppliers in response to a request for supply
		<i>Acquisition report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate the status, results, and outcomes of the acquisition activities

<i>Acquisition strategy</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to acquire system elements. May also include inputs to determine acquisition constraints	<i>Business requirements</i>	Definition of the business framework within which stakeholders will define their requirements. Business requirements govern the project, including agreement constraints, quality standards, and cost and schedule constraints. Business requirements may be captured in a Business Requirements Specification (BRS), which is approved by the business leadership <i>Note: Business requirements may not always be formally captured in the system life cycle</i>
<i>Agreements</i>	Agreements from all applicable life cycle processes, including acquisition agreements and supply agreements		
<i>Alternative solution classes</i>	Identifies and describes the classes of solutions that may address the problem or opportunity		
<i>Analysis situations</i>	The context information for the analysis including life cycle stage, evaluation drivers, cost drivers, size drivers, team characteristics, project priorities, or other characterization information and parameters that are needed to understand analysis and represent the element being analyzed. Relevant information from the process that invokes the analysis. Any existing models related to the element being analyzed. Any data related to the element being analyzed, including historical, current, and projected data. Can originate from any life cycle process	<i>Business requirements traceability</i>	Bidirectional traceability of the business requirements
		<i>Candidate configuration items (CIs)</i>	Items for configuration control. Can originate from any life cycle process
		<i>Candidate information items</i>	Items for information control. Can originate from any life cycle process
		<i>Candidate risks and opportunities</i>	Risks and opportunities that arise from any stakeholder. In many cases, risk situations are identified during the project assessment and control process. Can originate from any life cycle process
<i>Applicable laws and regulations</i>	International, national, or local laws or regulations		
<i>Architecture definition record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to architecture definition	<i>Concept of operations (ConOps)</i>	The ConOps is a verbal and/or graphic statement prepared for the organization's leadership that describes the assumptions or intent regarding the overall operation or series of operations of the enterprise, to include any new capability (ANSI/AIAA, 2012; ISO/IEC/IEEE 29148, 2011)
<i>Architecture definition strategy</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to define the selected system architecture that satisfies the requirements		
<i>Architecture traceability</i>	Bidirectional traceability of the architecture characteristics		
<i>Business or mission analysis record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to business or mission analysis	<i>Configuration baselines</i>	Items placed under formal change control. The required configuration baseline documentation is developed and approved in a timely manner to support required systems engineering (SE) technical reviews, the system's acquisition and support strategies, and production
<i>Business or mission analysis strategy</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to conduct business or mission analysis and ensure business needs are elaborated and formalized into business requirements	<i>Configuration management record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to configuration management

<i>Configuration management report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate the status, results, and outcomes of the configuration management activities. Documents the impact to any process, organization, decision (including any required change notification), products, and services affected by a given change request	<i>Decision situation</i>	Decisions related to decision gates are taken on a prearranged schedule; other requests for a decision may arise from any stakeholder, and initial information can be little more than broad statements of the situation. Can originate from any life cycle process
<i>Configuration management strategy</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to perform configuration management for a project. Describes and documents how to make authorized changes to established baselines in a uniform and controlled manner	<i>Design definition record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to design definition
<i>Customer satisfaction inputs</i>	Responses to customer satisfaction surveys or other instruments	<i>Design definition strategy</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to define the system design that is consistent with the selected system architecture and satisfies the requirements
<i>Decision management strategy</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to perform decision management for a project	<i>Design traceability</i>	Bidirectional traceability of the design characteristics, the design enablers, and the system element requirements
<i>Decision record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to decision management	<i>Disposal constraints</i>	Any constraints on the system arising from the disposal strategy including cost, schedule, and technical constraints
<i>Decision report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate the status, results, and outcomes of the decision management activities. Should include a recommended course of action, an associated implementation plan, and key findings through effective trade space visualizations underpinned by defensible rationale grounded in analysis results that are repeatable and traceable. As decision makers seek to understand root causes of top-level observations and build their own understanding of the trade-offs, the ability to rapidly drill down from top-level trade space visualizations into lower-level analyses supporting the synthesized view is often beneficial	<i>Disposal enabling system requirements</i>	Requirements for any systems needed to enable disposal of the system of interest
		<i>Disposal procedure</i>	A disposal procedure that includes a set of disposal actions, using specific disposal techniques, performed with specific disposal enablers
		<i>Disposal record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to disposal
		<i>Disposal report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate the status, results, and outcomes of the disposal activities. May include an inventory of system elements for reuse/storage and any documentation or reporting required by regulation or organization standards
		<i>Disposal strategy</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to ensure the system or system elements are deactivated, disassembled, and removed from operations

<i>Disposed system</i>	Disposed system that has been deactivated, disassembled, and removed from operations	<i>Implementation enabling system requirements</i>	Requirements for any systems needed to enable implementation of the system of interest
<i>Documentation tree</i>	Defines the hierarchical representation of the set of system definition products for the system under development. Based on the evolving system architecture	<i>Implementation record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to implementation
<i>Enabling system requirements</i>	Enabling system requirements from all applicable life cycle processes, including implementation enabling system requirements, integration enabling system requirements, verification enabling system requirements, transition enabling system requirements, validation enabling system requirements, operation enabling system requirements, maintenance enabling system requirements, and disposal enabling system requirements	<i>Implementation report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate the status, results, and outcomes of the implementation activities
<i>Final Requirements Verification and Traceability Matrix (RVTM)</i>	Final list of requirements, their verification attributes, and their traces. Includes any proposed changes to the system requirements due to the verification actions	<i>Implementation strategy</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to realize system elements to satisfy system requirements, architecture, and design
<i>Human resource management plan</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to identify the skill needs of the organization and projects. Includes the organizational training plan needed to develop internal personnel and the acquisition of external personnel	<i>Implementation traceability</i>	Bidirectional traceability of the system elements
<i>Human resource management record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to human resource management	<i>Information management record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to information management
<i>Human resource management report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate the status, results, and outcomes of the human resource management activities	<i>Information management report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate the status, results, and outcomes of the information management activities
<i>Implementation constraints</i>	Any constraints on the system arising from the implementation strategy including cost, schedule, and technical constraints	<i>Information management strategy</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to perform information management for a project
		<i>Information repository</i>	A repository that supports the availability for use and communication of all relevant project information artifacts in a timely, complete, valid, and, if required, restricted manner
		<i>Infrastructure management plan</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to define and sustain the organizational and project infrastructures
		<i>Infrastructure management record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to infrastructure management

<i>Infrastructure management report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate the status, results, and outcomes of the infrastructure management activities. Includes cost, usage, downtime/response measures, etc. These can be used to support capacity planning for upcoming projects	<i>Integration strategy</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to integrate the system elements
<i>Initial RVTM</i>	A preliminary list of requirements, their verification attributes, and their traces	<i>Interface definition</i>	The logical and physical aspects of internal interfaces (between the system elements composing the system) and external interfaces (between the system elements and the elements outside the system of interest)
<i>Installation procedure</i>	An installation procedure that includes a set of installation actions, using specific installation techniques, performed with specific transition enablers	<i>Interface definition update identification</i>	Identification of updates to interface requirements and definitions, if any
<i>Installed system</i>	Installed system ready for validation	<i>Knowledge management plan</i>	Establishes how the organization and projects within the organization will interact to ensure the right level of knowledge is captured to provide useful knowledge assets. Includes a list of applicable domains; plans for obtaining and maintaining knowledge assets for their useful life; characterization of the types of assets to be collected and maintained along with a scheme to classify them for the convenience of users; criteria for accepting, qualifying, and retiring knowledge assets; procedures for controlling changes to the knowledge assets; and definition of a mechanism for knowledge asset storage and retrieval
<i>Integrated system or system element</i>	Integrated system element or system ready for verification. The resulting aggregation of assembled system elements		
<i>Integration constraints</i>	Any constraint on the system arising from the integration strategy including cost, schedule, and technical constraints		
<i>Integration enabling system requirements</i>	Requirements for any systems needed to enable integration of the system of interest		
<i>Integration procedure</i>	An assembly procedure that groups a set of elementary assembly actions to build an aggregate of implemented system elements, using specific integration techniques, performed with specific integration enablers	<i>Knowledge management report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate the status, results, and outcomes of the knowledge management activities
<i>Integration record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to integration	<i>Knowledge management system</i>	Maintained knowledge management system. Project suitability assessment results for application of existing knowledge. Lessons learned from execution of the organizational SE processes on projects. Should include mechanisms to easily identify and access the assets and to determine the level of applicability for the project considering its use. Can be used by any life cycle process
<i>Integration report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate the status, results, and outcomes of the integration activities. Includes documentation of the integration testing and analysis results, areas of nonconformance, and validated internal interfaces		

<i>Life cycle concepts</i>	<p>Articulation and refinement of the various life cycle concepts consistent with the business needs in the form of life cycle concept documents on which the system of interest is based, assessed, and selected. The architecture is based on these concepts, and they are essential in providing context for proper interpretation of the system requirements. Typical concepts include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acquisition concept • Deployment concept • Operational concept (OpsCon) • Support concept • Retirement concept 	<i>Maintenance constraints</i>	Any constraints on the system arising from the maintenance strategy including cost, schedule, and technical constraints
		<i>Maintenance enabling system requirements</i>	Requirements for any systems needed to enable operation of the system of interest
		<i>Maintenance procedure</i>	A maintenance procedure that includes a set of maintenance actions, using specific maintenance techniques, performed with specific maintenance enablers
		<i>Maintenance record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to maintenance
<i>Life cycle constraints</i>	Constraints from all applicable life cycle processes, including implementation constraints, integration constraints, verification constraints, transition constraints, validation constraints, operation constraints, maintenance constraints, and disposal constraints	<i>Maintenance report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate the status, results, and outcomes of the maintenance activities
		<i>Maintenance strategy</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to perform corrective and preventive maintenance in conformance with operational availability requirements
<i>Life cycle model management plan</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to define a set of organizational life cycle models. Includes identification of new needs and the evaluation of competitiveness from the perspective of the organization strategy. Includes criteria for assessments and approvals/disapprovals	<i>Major stakeholder identification</i>	List of legitimate external and internal stakeholders with an interest in the solution. Major stakeholders are also derived from analysis of the ConOps
		<i>Measurement data</i>	Measurement data from all applicable life cycle processes, including measure of effectiveness (MOE) data, measure of performance (MOP) data, technical performance measures (TPM) data, project performance measures data, and organizational process performance measures data
<i>Life cycle model management record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to life cycle model management		
<i>Life cycle model management report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate the status, results, and outcomes of the life cycle model management activities	<i>Measurement needs</i>	Measurement needs from all applicable life cycle processes, including MOE needs, MOP needs, TPM needs, project performance measures needs, and organizational process performance measures needs
<i>Life cycle models</i>	Life cycle model or models appropriate for the project. Includes definition of the business and other decision-making criteria regarding entering and exiting each life cycle stage. The information and artifacts are collected and made available to be used and reused	<i>Measurement record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to measurement

<i>Measurement report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate the status, results, and outcomes of the measurement activities. Includes documentation of the measurement activity results, the measurement data that was collected and analyzed and results that were communicated, and any improvements or corrective actions driven by the measures with their supporting data	<i>Operation enabling system requirements</i>	Requirements for any systems needed to enable operation of the system of interest
		<i>Operation record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to operation
		<i>Operation report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate the status, results, and outcomes of the operation activities
<i>Measurement repository</i>	A repository that supports the availability for use and communication of all relevant measures in a timely, complete, valid, and, if required, confidential manner	<i>Operation strategy</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to perform system operations
		<i>Operator/maintainer training materials</i>	Training capabilities and documentation
<i>Measurement strategy</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to perform measurement for a project. Addresses the strategy for performing measurement: describing measurement goals, identifying information needs and applicable measures, and defining performance and evaluation methodologies	<i>Organization infrastructure</i>	Resources and services that support the organization. Organizational-level facilities, personnel, and resources for hardware fabrication, software development, system implementation and integration, verification, validation, etc.
<i>MOE data</i>	Data provided for the identified measurement needs	<i>Organization infrastructure needs</i>	Specific requests for infrastructure products or services from the organization, including commitments to external stakeholders
<i>MOE needs</i>	Identification of the MOEs (Roedler and Jones, 2006), which define the information needs of the decision makers with respect to system effectiveness to meet operational expectations	<i>Organization lessons learned</i>	Organizational-related lessons learned. Results from an evaluation or observation of an implemented corrective action that contributed to improved performance or increased capability. A lesson learned also results from an evaluation or observation of a positive finding that did not necessarily require corrective action other than sustainment
<i>MOP data</i>	Data provided for the identified measurement needs		
<i>MOP needs</i>	Identification of the MOPs (Roedler and Jones, 2006), which define the key performance characteristics the system should have when fielded and operated in its intended operating environment		
<i>Operation constraints</i>	Any constraints on the system arising from the operational strategy including cost, schedule, and technical constraints	<i>Organization portfolio direction and constraints</i>	Organization business objectives, funding outlay and constraints, ongoing research and development (R&D), market tendencies, etc., including cost, schedule, and solution constraints

<i>Organization strategic plan</i>	The overall organization strategy, including the business mission or vision and strategic goals and objectives	<i>Preliminary life cycle concepts</i>	Preliminary articulation of the various life cycle concepts consistent with the business needs in the form of life cycle concept documents on which the system of interest is based, assessed, and selected. The architecture is based on these concepts, and they are essential in providing context for proper interpretation of the system requirements. Typical concepts include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acquisition concept • Deployment concept • OpsCon • Support concept • Retirement concept
<i>Organization tailoring strategy</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to incorporate new or updated external standards into the organization's set of standard life cycle processes		
<i>Organizational policies, procedures, and assets</i>	Items related to the organization's standard set of life cycle processes, including guidelines and reporting mechanisms. Organization process guidelines in the form of organization policies, procedures, and assets for applying the system life cycle processes and adapting them to meet the needs of individual projects (e.g., templates, checklists, forms). Includes defining responsibilities, accountability, and authority for all SE processes within the organization	<i>Preliminary MOE data</i>	Preliminary data provided for the identified measurement needs
		<i>Preliminary MOE needs</i>	Preliminary identification of the MOEs (Roedler and Jones, 2006), which define the information needs of the decision makers with respect to system effectiveness to meet operational expectations
<i>Organizational process performance measures data</i>	Data provided for the identified measurement needs	<i>Preliminary TPM data</i>	Preliminary data provided for the identified measurement needs
<i>Organizational process performance measures needs</i>	Identification of the organizational process performance measures, which measure how well the organization is satisfying its objectives	<i>Preliminary TPM needs</i>	Preliminary identification of the TPM (Roedler and Jones, 2006), which measure attributes of a system element to determine how well a system or system element is satisfying or expected to satisfy a technical requirement or goal
<i>Portfolio management plan</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to define a project portfolio		
<i>Portfolio management record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to portfolio management	<i>Preliminary validation criteria</i>	The preliminary validation criteria (the measures to be assessed), who will perform validation activities, and the validation environments of the system of interest
<i>Portfolio management report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate the status, results, and outcomes of the portfolio management activities	<i>Problem or opportunity statement</i>	Description of the problem or opportunity. Should be derived from the organization strategy and provide enough detail to understand the gap or new capability that is being considered
<i>Preliminary interface definition</i>	The preliminary logical and physical aspects of internal interfaces (between the system elements composing the system) and external interfaces (between the system elements of the system and the elements outside the system of interest)	<i>Procedures</i>	Procedures from all applicable life cycle processes, including integration procedure, verification procedure, installation procedure, validation procedure, maintenance procedure, and disposal procedure

<i>Project assessment and control record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to project assessment and control	<i>Project lessons learned</i>	Project-related lessons learned. Results from an evaluation or observation of an implemented corrective action that contributed to improved performance or increased capability. A lesson learned also results from an evaluation or observation of a positive finding that did not necessarily require corrective action other than sustainment (CJCS, 2012)
<i>Project assessment and control strategy</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to perform assessment and control for a project	<i>Project performance measures data</i>	Data provided for the identified measurement needs
<i>Project budget</i>	A prediction of the costs associated with a particular project. Includes labor, infrastructure, acquisition, and enabling system costs along with reserves for risk management	<i>Project performance measures needs</i>	Identification of the project performance measures, which measure how well the project is satisfying its objectives
<i>Project change requests</i>	Requests to update any formal baselines that have been established. In many cases, the need for change requests is identified during the project assessment and control process. Can originate from any life cycle process	<i>Project planning record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to project planning
<i>Project constraints</i>	Any constraints on the system arising from the technical management strategy including cost, schedule, and technical constraints	<i>Project portfolio</i>	The necessary information for all of the organizations' projects. The initiation of new projects or the setting up of a product line management approach. Includes the project goals, resources, budgets identified and allocated to the projects, and clearly defined project management accountability and authorities
<i>Project control requests</i>	Internal project directives based on action required due to deviations from the project plan. New directions are communicated to both project team and customer, when appropriate. If assessments are associated with a decision gate, a decision to proceed, or not to proceed, is taken	<i>Project schedule</i>	A linked list of a project's milestones, activities, and deliverables with intended start and finish dates. May include a top-level milestone schedule and multiple levels (also called tiers) of schedules of increasing detail and task descriptions with completion criteria and work authorizations
<i>Project direction</i>	Organizational direction to the project. Includes sustainment of projects meeting assessment criteria and redirection or termination of projects not meeting assessment criteria	<i>Project status report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate the status, results, and outcomes of the overall project activities. Includes status on meeting the objectives set out for the project, information on the health and maturity of the project work effort, status on project tailoring and execution, and status on personnel availability and effectiveness for the project
<i>Project human resource needs</i>	Specific requests for human resources needed by the project, including commitments to external stakeholders		
<i>Project infrastructure</i>	Resources and services that support a project. Project-level facilities, personnel, and resources for hardware fabrication, software development, system implementation and integration, verification, validation, etc.		
<i>Project infrastructure needs</i>	Specific requests for infrastructure products or services needed by the project, including commitments to external stakeholders		

<i>Project tailoring strategy</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to incorporate and tailor the organization's set of standard life cycle processes for a given project	<i>Quality assurance report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate the status, results, and outcomes of the quality assurance activities. Includes information on deviations from nominal conditions during the product life cycle and actions to be taken when quality assurance goals and objectives are not achieved
<i>Quality management (QM) corrective actions</i>	Actions taken when quality goals are not achieved. Resulting from project-related and process-related reviews and audits		
<i>Qualified personnel</i>	The right people with the right skills are assigned at the right time to projects per their skill needs and timing	<i>Quality management evaluation report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate evidence of whether the organization's QM activities are effective. Includes the assessment of all the organizational-related process and any suggested improvements or necessary corrective actions. Provides constructive input for improvements to an organization's life cycle model implementation
<i>Quality assurance evaluation report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate evidence of whether the project's quality assurance activities are effective. Includes the assessment of all the project-related process and any suggested improvements or necessary corrective actions. Provides constructive input for improvements to an organization's life cycle model implementation	<i>Quality management guidelines</i>	Guidelines for quality practices within the organization, within individual projects, and as part of the execution of system life cycle processes
<i>Quality assurance plan</i>	The set of project quality assurance activities, tailored to the project, designed to monitor development and SE processes. Describes the quality assurance organization and applicable audit, evaluation, and monitoring activities. This includes the set of policies and procedures, including specific methods and techniques that apply to quality assurance practices within the organization and within individual projects. It also includes quality objectives for processes and systems that are measurable, along with linkages to the assigned accountability and authority for QM within the organization. The plan also references activities performed by other organizations or functions that are monitored or audited by the quality assurance organization	<i>Quality management plan</i>	The overarching guidance that explains the organization's quality philosophy and quality organization. Describes the QM organization and applicable audit, evaluation, and monitoring activities. This includes the set of policies and procedures, including specific methods and techniques that apply to QM practices within the organization. It also includes quality objectives for processes and systems that are measurable, along with the assigned accountability and authority for QM within the organization. The set of project QM activities form the basis of the project quality assurance
		<i>Quality management record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to QM
<i>Quality assurance record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to quality assurance	<i>Quality management report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate the status, results, and outcomes of the QM activities. Includes the results of any customer satisfaction surveys and any issues that need to be addressed

<i>Records</i>	Records from all applicable life cycle processes, including business or mission analysis record, stakeholder needs and requirements definition record, system requirements definition record, architecture definition record, design definition record, system analysis record, implementation record, integration record, verification record, transition record, validation record, operation record, maintenance record, disposal record, project planning record, project assessment and control record, decision record, risk record, configuration management record, information management record, measurement record, quality assurance record, acquisition record, supply record, life cycle model management record, infrastructure management record, portfolio management record, human resource management record, and QM record	<i>Risk record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to risk management
		<i>Risk report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate the status, results, and outcomes of the risk management activities. The risks are documented and communicated along with rationale, assumptions, treatment plans, and current status. For selected risks, an action plan is produced to direct the project team to update the project plan and properly respond to the risks. If appropriate, change requests are generated to mitigate technical risk. Risk profiles and/or risk matrices summarize the risks and contain the findings of the risk management process
		<i>SEMP</i>	<i>Systems engineering management plan.</i> The top-level plan for managing the SE effort. It defines how the project will be organized, structured, and conducted and how the total engineering process will be controlled to provide a product that satisfies stakeholder requirements. Includes identification of required technical reviews and their completion criteria, methods for controlling changes, risk and opportunity assessment and methodology, and identification of other technical plans and documentation to be produced for the project
<i>Reports</i>	Project reports from all applicable life cycle processes, including system analysis report, implementation report, integration report, verification report, transition report, validation report, operation report, maintenance report, disposal report, decision report, risk report, configuration management report, information management report, measurement report, quality assurance report, acquisition report, and supply report (other reports go to other process areas and are not aggregated here)	<i>Source documents</i>	External documents relevant to the particular stage of procurement activity for the system of interest. Includes the written directives embodied in the source documents relevant to organizational strategies and policies
<i>Request for supply</i>	A request to an external supplying organization to propose a solution to meet a need for a system element or system (product or service). The organization can identify candidate suppliers that could meet this need. Inputs are received from the project personnel in the organization with the need	<i>Stakeholder needs</i>	Needs determined from communication with external and internal stakeholders in understanding their expectations, needs, requirements, values, problems, issues, and perceived risks and opportunities
<i>Risk management strategy</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to perform risk management for a project		

<i>Stakeholder needs and requirements definition record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to stakeholder needs and requirements definition	<i>Supplied system</i>	The system or system element (product or service) is delivered from the supplier to the acquirer consistent with the delivery conditions of the supply agreement
<i>Stakeholder needs and requirements definition strategy</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to reflect consensus among the stakeholder classes to establish a common set of acceptable requirements. Includes the approach to capture the stakeholder needs, transform them into stakeholder requirements, and manage them through the life cycle	<i>Supply agreement</i>	An understanding of the relationship and commitments between the project organization and the acquirer. The agreement can vary from formal contracts to less formal interorganizational work orders. Formal agreements typically include terms and conditions
<i>Stakeholder requirements</i>	Requirements from various stakeholders that will govern the project, including required system capabilities, functions, and/or services; quality standards; system constraints; and cost and schedule constraints. Stakeholder requirements may be captured in the Stakeholder Requirements Specification (StRS)	<i>Supply payment</i>	Payments or other compensations for the supplied system. Includes receipt and acknowledgement
<i>Stakeholder requirements traceability</i>	Bidirectional traceability of the stakeholder requirements	<i>Supply record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to supply
<i>Standards</i>	This handbook and relevant industry, country, military, acquirer, and other specifications and standards. Includes new knowledge from industry-sponsored knowledge networks	<i>Supply report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate the status, results, and outcomes of the supply activities
<i>Strategy documents</i>	Strategies for all applicable life processes, including business or mission analysis strategy, stakeholder needs and requirements definition strategy, system requirements definition strategy, architecture definition strategy, design definition strategy, system analysis strategy, implementation strategy, integration strategy, verification strategy, transition strategy, validation strategy, operation strategy, maintenance strategy, disposal strategy, project assessment and control strategy, decision management strategy, risk management strategy, configuration management strategy, information management strategy, measurement strategy, acquisition strategy, and supply strategy	<i>Supply response</i>	The organization response to the request for supply
		<i>Supply strategy</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to identify candidate projects for management consideration. May also include inputs to determine supply constraints. Should also include the identification of potential acquirers
		<i>System analysis record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to system analysis
		<i>System analysis report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate the status, results, and outcomes of the system analysis activities. Includes the results of costs analysis, risks analysis, effectiveness analysis, and other critical characteristics analysis. Also includes all models or simulations that are developed for the analysis
		<i>System analysis strategy</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to accomplish the various analyses to be carried out, including methods, procedures, evaluation criteria, or parameters

<i>System architecture description</i>	Description of the selected system architecture, typically presented in a set of architectural views (e.g., views from architecture frameworks), models (e.g., logical and physical models, although there are other kinds of models that might be useful), and architectural characteristics (e.g., physical dimensions, environment resistance, execution efficiency, operability, reliability, maintainability, modularity, robustness, safeguard, understandability, etc.) (ISO/IEC/IEEE 42010, 2010). Architecturally significant system elements are identified and defined to some degree in this artifact. (Other system elements might need to be added during the design definition process as the design is fleshed out)	<i>System element documentation</i>	Detailed drawings, codes, and material specifications. Updated design documentation, as required by corrective action or adaptations caused by acquisition or conformance to regulations
		<i>System elements</i>	System elements implemented or supplied according to the acquisition agreement
		<i>System function definition</i>	Definition of the functional boundaries of the system and the functions the system must perform
		<i>System function identification</i>	Identification of the system functions
		<i>System functional interface identification</i>	Identification and documentation of the functional interfaces with systems external to the boundaries and the corresponding information exchange requirements
<i>System architecture rationale</i>	Rationale for architecture selection, technological/technical system element selection, and allocation between system requirements and architectural entities (e.g., functions, input/output flows, system elements, physical interfaces, architectural characteristics, information/data elements, containers, nodes, links, communication resources)	<i>System requirements</i>	What the system needs to do, how well, and under what conditions, as required to meet project and design constraints. Includes types of requirements such as functional, performance, interface, behavior (e.g., states and modes, stimulus responses, fault and failure handling), operational conditions (e.g., safety, dependability, human factors, environmental conditions), transportation, storage, physical constraints, realization, integration, verification, validation, production, maintenance, disposal constraints, and regulation. System requirements may be captured in a document called the System Requirements Specification (SyRS) or just System Specification. This includes the requirements at any level in the system hierarchy
<i>System design description</i>	Description of the selected system design. System elements are identified and defined		
<i>System design rationale</i>	Rationale for design selection, system element selection, and allocation between system requirements and system element. Includes rationale of major selected implementation options and enablers		
<i>System element descriptions</i>	Design characteristics description of the system elements contained in the system; the description depends on the implementation technology (e.g., data sheets, databases, documents, exportable data files)	<i>System requirements definition record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to system requirements definition

<i>System requirements definition strategy</i>	Approaches, techniques, resources, and specific considerations required to be used to identify and define the system requirements and manage the requirements through the life cycle	<i>Validated system</i>	Validated system ready for supply and operation. Also informs maintenance and disposal
<i>System requirements traceability</i>	Bidirectional traceability of the system requirements	<i>Validation constraints</i>	Any constraint on the system arising from the validation strategy including cost, schedule, and technical constraints
<i>TPM data</i>	Data provided for the identified measurement needs	<i>Validation criteria</i>	The validation criteria (the measures to be assessed), who will perform validation activities, and the validation environments of the system of interest
<i>TPM needs</i>	Identification of the TPM, which measure attributes of a system element to determine how well a system or system element is satisfying or expected to satisfy a technical requirement or goal	<i>Validation enabling system requirements</i>	Requirements for any systems needed to enable validation of the system of interest
<i>Trained operators and maintainers</i>	Trained humans that will operate and maintain the system	<i>Validation procedure</i>	A validation procedure that includes a set of validation actions, using specific validation techniques, performed with specific validation enablers
<i>Transition constraints</i>	Any constraints on the system arising from the transition strategy including cost, schedule, and technical constraints	<i>Validation record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to validation
<i>Transition enabling system requirements</i>	Requirements for any systems needed to enable transition of the system of interest	<i>Validation report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate the status, results, and outcomes of the validation activities. Includes validation results and the objective evidence confirming that the system satisfies its stakeholder requirements and business requirements or not. Should also communicate an assessment of the confidence level of the findings or results
<i>Transition record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to transition		
<i>Transition report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate the status, results, and outcomes of the transition activities. Includes documentation of the transition results and a record of any recommended corrective actions, such as limitations, concessions, and ongoing issues. Should also include plans to rectify any problems that arise during transition	<i>Validation strategy</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to accomplish the selected validation actions that minimize costs and risks while maximizing operational coverage of system behaviors
<i>Transition strategy</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to transition the systems into its operation environment	<i>Verification constraints</i>	Any constraint on the system arising from the verification strategy including cost, schedule, and technical constraints
<i>Updated RVTM</i>	An updated list of requirements, their verification attributes, and their traces	<i>Verification criteria</i>	The verification criteria (the measures to be assessed), who will perform verification activities, and the verification environments of the system of interest
<i>Validated requirements</i>	Confirmation that the various requirements will satisfy the business and stakeholder requirements		

<i>Verification enabling system requirements</i>	Requirements for any systems needed to enable verification of the system of interest	<i>Verification strategy</i>	Approaches, schedules, resources, and specific considerations required to accomplish the selected verification actions that minimize costs and risks while maximizing operational coverage of system behavior
<i>Verification procedure</i>	A verification procedure that includes a set of verification actions, using a specific verification method/technique, performed with specific verification enablers	<i>Verified system</i>	Verified system (or system element) ready for transition
<i>Verification record</i>	Permanent, readable form of data, information, or knowledge related to verification	<i>WBS</i>	The <i>work breakdown structure</i> is the decomposition of a project into smaller components and provides the necessary framework for detailed cost estimating and control. Includes a data dictionary. The costs for and description of the physical end products (hardware and software) may be captured in a product breakdown structure (PBS). The PBS supports bottoms up and algorithmic (parametric) cost estimating (see 10.1.3). The PBS is a key ingredient of commercial cost estimating tools
<i>Verification report</i>	An account prepared for interested parties in order to communicate the status, results, and outcomes of the verification activities. Includes verification results and the objective evidence confirming that the system fulfills its requirements, architectural characteristics, and design properties or not. Should also communicate an assessment of the confidence level of the findings or results		

APPENDIX F: ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

SEH V4 CONTRIBUTIONS

The *INCOSE Systems Engineering Handbook* version 4 editorial team owes a debt of gratitude to all the contributors to prior editions (versions 1, 2, 2A, and 3). Tim Robertson led the effort to create version 1 of the handbook. Version 2 was led by James Whalen (ESEP) and Richard Wray (ESEP). Version 3 was led at various times by Kevin Forsberg (ESEP), Terje Fossnes (ESEP), Douglas Hamelin, Cecilia Haskins (ESEP), Michael Krueger (ESEP), and David Walden (ESEP). The framework they provided gave a solid basis for moving ahead with this version. This revision reflects changes to the previous version based on three primary objectives: first, to reflect the updated ISO/IEC/IEEE 15288:2015 standard; second, to reflect the state of the practice based on inputs from the relevant INCOSE Working Groups (WGs); and third, to be consistent with the Systems Engineering Body of Knowledge (SEBoK) wherever possible. Version 4 also corrected several minor issues identified by the INCOSE community.

A great deal of effort and enthusiasm was provided by the section leads and key authors, most of whom also serve as INCOSE WG Chairs or SEBoK authors. We

acknowledge them in alphabetical order: Erik Aslaksen (CSEP), Albertyn Barnard, Joe Bobinis, Barry Boehm, Ed Casey, Dan Cernoch, Hugo Chale Gongora, Matthew Cilli, John Clark (CSEP), Bjorn Cole, Judith Dahmann, Arnold de Beer, Charles Dickerson, Rick Dove, Joe Elm (ESEP), Tom Fairlie, Alain Faisandier, Gauthier Fanmuy, Paul Frenz (CSEP), Sandy Friedenthal, Katri Hakola, Alan Harding, Cecilia Haskins (ESEP), Mimi Heisey, Eric Honour (CSEP), Scott Jackson, Ken Kepchar (ESEP), Alain Kouassi, Gary Langford, Claude Laporte, Alain LePut, Howard Lykins, Ray Madachy, James Martin, Jen Narkevicius, Warren Naylor, Bohdan Oppenheim, Ricardo Pineda, Paul Popick, Derek Price, Melinda Reed, Kevin Robinson, Jean-Claude Roussel (ESEP), Mike Ryan, Frank Salvatore (CSEP), Hillary Sillitto (ESEP), Jack Stein, Richard Swanson (ASEP), Corrie Taljaard, Chris Unger, Beth Wilson (ESEP), and Mark Wilson (ESEP).

The INCOSE Technical Operations review team led by Quoc Do generated excellent comments that significantly improved the handbook. Other individual reviewers also generated useful review comments. We acknowledge them in alphabetical order: Aaron Chia, Stephen Cook, Judith Dahmann, Bruce Douglass, Nick

Dutton (ASEP), Jeff Grady (ESEP), Robert Halligan, Alan Harding, Cecilia Haskins (ESEP), Ray Hentzschel, Charles Homes, Rainer Igetik, Vernon Ireland, Julian Johnson (ASEP), Mario Kossmann (CSEP), Michael Krueger (ESEP), Paul Logan, Bill Miller, Kevin Patrick, Jack Ring, Steve Saunders, Paul Schreinemakers, David Schultz, Zane Scott, Despina Tramoundanis, Joyce van den Hoek Ostende, Charles Wilson (CSEP), and Kenneth Zemrowski (ESEP). We would also like to thank the certification beta exam participants and the specific and anonymous reviewers who provided comments on v3.2, v3.2.1, and v3.2.2. Their inputs were much appreciated.

The editors wish to acknowledge the Idaho National Laboratory Systems Analysis Department for their significant support of this update and thank them for

their contribution of technical editor Douglas Hamelin. We also wish to acknowledge Lockheed Martin Corporation for their significant support of this update and thank them for their contribution of Thomas Shortell (CSEP), who was the lead for the handbook figures and the electronic versions. The editors wish to thank Vitech Corporation for the use of their CORE tool, which was used to create an underlying process model that helped ensure consistency in the handbook IPO diagrams.

Any errors introduced as part of the editorial process rest with the editors, not the contributors.

We apologize if we unintentionally omitted anyone from these lists.

Gratefully, David Walden (ESEP), Garry Roedler (ESEP), and Kevin Forsberg (ESEP).

APPENDIX G: COMMENT FORM

Reviewed document:

INCOSE SE Handbook v4.0

Name of submitter:

Given FAMILY (given name and family name)

Date submitted:

DD-MMM-YYYY

Contact info:

john.doe@anywhere.com (email address)

Type of submission:

Group (individual/group)

Group name and number of contributors:

INCOSE XYZ WG (if applicable)

Comments:

Detailed comments with reference to document section, paragraph, etc. Please include detailed recommendations, as shown in the table below

Send comments to info@incose.org

Comment ID	Category (TH, TL, E, G)	Section number (e.g., 3.4.2.1, no alpha)	Specific reference (e.g., paragraph, line, figure, table)	Issue, comment, and rationale (rationale must make comment clearly evident and supportable)	Proposed change/new text—mandatory entry (must be substantial to increase the odds of acceptance)

E, editorial; G, general; TH, technical high; TL, technical low.

INDEX

- acquirer, 13, 51, 88–93, 123–4, 134, 139–44, 261
- acquisition, 2, 51, 54–5, 72, 98, 106, 139–42, 237, 241, 261, 267–8
- affordability, 27, 30, 32, 64, 74, 98, 107, 134, 211–19
- aggregate, 69, 80–82
- agile, 27, 106, 110, 207–10, 261
- agreement, 2, 139–44, 261, 269–70, 280
- allocate/allocation, 29, 57–60, 66–72, 77, 124, 135, 150–155, 188, 190–196, 201, 224, 236, 238
- analysis, 9–10, 18–19, 32, 41–2, 48–51, 54–5, 59–67, 72, 74–7, 86, 92, 98–101, 107–8, 112–13, 120, 132, 138, 164, 170–171, 195–9, 211–45
- architecture, 6, 19, 30–31, 64–70, 81–2, 85, 92, 110, 159, 181, 190–199, 208–10, 261
- architecture definition, 2, 33, 48, 64–70, 73, 182, 235–6, 267–8
- assessment, 22, 70, 74, 108–10, 113, 135–8, 147–9, 152–8, 171, 202
- associate systems engineering professional (ASEP), 23
- attribute, 6, 60–63, 87, 113, 119, 173–4, 212–13, 230–231
- audits, 77–9, 106, 109–10, 124–7, 129, 137–8, 148–9, 167, 200–2, 263, 264
- availability, 96, 128–30, 226–9
- baseline, 26–7, 34–8, 60, 64, 107, 122–7, 262, 270
- behavioral architecture *see* functional architecture
- benchmark, 148–9
- black box, 6–7, 60–61, 194–6, 262
- boundary, 6, 20, 44, 57, 59–60, 66, 73–4
- brainstorming, 116, 118, 120, 202, 242–3
- business or mission analysis, 2, 33, 47–52, 182, 267–8
- business requirements, 33, 47–52, 182, 270
- case studies, 39–46
- certified systems engineering professional (CSEP), 23
- change control, 34, 126–7, 186
- commercial off-the-shelf (COTS), 10, 72, 79, 99, 161, 167, 237, 262
- complexity, ix, 8–10, 12–14, 17–18, 21, 44, 127, 148, 165–70, 189, 221, 231
- concept, 2, 5–8, 11, 13–14, 17–18, 20, 25–36, 38–46, 48–51, 53–8, 60–61, 64
 - documents, 13, 54–7, 99, 195, 274, 276
 - stage, 13, 25–31, 41–3, 45–6, 224
- concept of operations (ConOps), 48, 50–51, 52, 270 *see also* operational concept (OpsCon)
- configuration control board (CCB), 124–6
- configuration item (CI), 123–7, 262, 270
- configuration management, 2, 27, 122–7, 267–8
- consensus, 55, 165, 244, 280
- constraint, 26, 49–50, 53–5, 58–62, 65–8, 71–2, 74–5, 77–80, 83–4, 90–91, 95–103, 105–7, 173, 215–16, 262, 266, 271–82

- context, 6, 9–10, 13, 20–22, 47, 49–50, 55–7, 66–7, 74, 86–7, 104, 121, 139, 178, 182–3, 195, 198
- contract(s)/subcontract(s), 41–2, 55, 61, 80, 84, 89, 96, 98, 101–2, 126, 130–131, 136–7, 139–40, 142–4, 215, 219, 269, 280
- contractual, 84, 90, 114, 121, 124, 127, 169, 228
- cost effectiveness, 107, 211–19
- cost estimating, 168, 208, 217–19, 242–3
- coupling, 196, 231
- coupling matrix, 69, 81–2 *see also* N^2 diagram
- customer, 11, 22, 26, 34, 39, 47, 53–6, 60–61, 63, 95–9, 107–8, 121, 125–8, 133, 142–3, 147–8, 153, 156–8, 165, 170–175, 195, 204–8, 227, 237, 242–4 *see also* stakeholder
- decision gates, 25–9, 93, 106–8, 123–4, 130, 135, 142, 147, 153, 262
- decision management, 2, 33, 67, 110–14, 164, 267–8
- decisions, 7, 12–14, 21, 110–14, 130–135, 163–4, 200–201, 271
- demonstration, 86
- derivation, 63, 195–6, 201, 225, 241
- derived requirement, 56, 59, 62–4, 66–7, 201, 213, 232, 238, 241, 262
- design, 14, 60, 64, 73–4, 113, 134, 181–2, 209–10, 281
- design definition, 2, 29, 31, 70–4, 267–8
- design structure matrix (DSM), 199 *see also* N^2 diagram
- design to cost (DTC), 215, 219
- development models, 32–9
- development stage, 25–9, 31, 33, 93, 107, 224
- disposal, 2, 14, 30, 32, 51, 101–3, 110, 124, 217–18, 220–221, 236, 267–8
- documentation tree, 59, 272
- domain, 6, 19, 49, 60, 73, 124, 152, 159–61, 165–71, 179, 184–6, 239–41, 262–3
- effectiveness, 14–15, 22, 74–6, 98, 106–7, 109, 133, 147–9, 204, 213, 216
- electromagnetic compatibility (EMC), 219–20
- emergent properties/behaviors, 6, 9–10, 12, 20–21, 56, 64, 68, 73, 229–30
- enabling system, 10–11, 27, 31, 49, 52, 59, 66, 72, 75, 78, 80, 84, 89, 91, 96, 98, 100–102, 106, 145, 198, 215, 236–7, 272
- enterprise, 48, 69, 145, 175–9, 263 *see also* organization/organizational
- environment, 6, 10–11, 20–21, 40–42, 47, 49, 53–7, 60, 66–70, 87, 91, 96, 100–103, 125, 165–70, 218, 220–221, 223, 233, 240, 263
- environmental engineering, 220–221
- estimating, 119, 146, 168, 218, 226, 283
- ethics, 23, 141, 143
- evaluation criteria, 66–7, 75, 215
- evolutionary development, 8, 36–7, 122, 196
- expert systems engineering professional (ESEP), 24
- failure modes, effects, and criticality analysis (FMECA), 101, 117, 224
- family of systems (FoS), 159–61, 221 *see also* system of systems (SoS)
- flowdown, 60, 181, 193, 201
- functional analysis, 107, 190–193, 201, 224, 242–3
- functional architecture, 190–197
- functional breakdown structure, 224
- functional flow block diagram (FFBD), 56, 192, 198–9
- functions-based systems engineering (FBSE), 190–193
- gates *see* decision gate
- hardware, 5, 20, 31, 53, 69, 78–9, 82, 126–7, 177, 182, 185, 194, 200
- hazard, 231–4
- hierarchy, 7–8, 32–3, 59, 61, 92, 173, 187, 192–3, 200, 228, 241
- human resource management, 2, 154–6, 267–8
- human systems integration (HSI), 237–41
- ICWG/IFWG, 56, 198
- IDEF, 192, 263
- ilities *see* specialty engineering
- implementation, 2, 70–74, 77–9, 267–8
- INCOSE, iii, ix, vii, 5, 11–12, 23–4, 132, 206–7, 211–12, 284–6
- incremental, 14, 32, 36–8, 64, 80–82, 101, 122, 182
- incremental commit spiral model (ICSM), 36–8
- information management, 2, 27, 128–30, 236, 267–8
- infrastructure management, 2, 149–51, 267–8
- inspection, 78, 86, 99, 109, 136–7, 223, 229
- integrated product and process development (IPPD), 199–204, 238
- integrated product development team (IPDT), 78, 106, 155, 199–204, 239–41
- integration, 2, 7, 9, 30–34, 69, 79–82, 87, 182, 185–6, 198–202, 213, 267–8
- interface, 10, 20, 30–31, 47, 52–7, 59–63, 66–70, 72, 78–82, 94, 107, 133, 184, 191, 197–202, 209, 237, 263
- interoperability, 68, 134, 175, 186, 221
- ISO/IEC/IEEE 15288, vii–viii, 1–4, 12–13, 29, 162
- iteration, 28, 32–3, 35–6, 58, 60, 65, 191–2, 197, 201, 215
- key performance parameters (KPPs), 134, 212, 215–16
- knowledge management, 2, 158–61, 267–8
- leadership, 9, 21–2, 51, 111, 133, 137
- leading indicators, 132–3, 207
- lean, 203–7
- lessons learned, 105, 109, 116, 119, 142, 144, 146–9, 152, 159–61, 203, 207, 233, 273, 275, 277

- life cycle, 1–3, 13–14, 20, 25–39, 48–51, 54–7, 98, 104, 110, 121, 145–9, 181–2, 186, 199–203, 220–225, 227–8, 234–5
- life cycle cost (LCC), 13–14, 62, 76, 95, 99, 107, 125, 211–19, 222–3, 229, 242, 263
- life cycle model, 104–5, 145–9, 181–2, 186, 263, 274
- life cycle model management, 2, 145–9, 267–8
- logical architecture *see* functional architecture
- logistics, 97–101, 222–5, 261

- maintainability, 45–6, 97–101, 213, 222–9
- maintainer, 31, 77, 88, 95, 97–8, 100, 182, 223, 237–41, 275, 282 *see also* stakeholder
- maintenance, 2, 97–101, 110, 213, 222–5, 228–9, 236, 267–8
- manufacturing, 225
- margin, 70–71, 81, 94, 263–4
- mass properties, 188, 225–6
- measures/measurement, 2, 6, 110–13, 117, 127, 130–135, 149, 158, 193, 203, 208, 267–8
- measures of effectiveness (MOEs), 54, 59, 74, 131, 133–4, 215, 263, 275–6
- measures of performance (MOPs), 59, 74, 131, 133–4, 264, 275–6
- measures of suitability (MOSs), 54, 59, 74
- mission analysis *see* business or mission analysis
- model, 66–70, 74–7, 180–189, 192–7, 224–5, 231
- model-based systems engineering (MBSE), 189–97

- N^2 diagram, 69, 198–9, 264, 267–8
- nondevelopmental item (NDI), 38, 72, 161 *see also* commercial off-the-shelf (COTS)

- object-oriented systems engineering method (OOSEM), 190, 193–7
- operation, 2, 95–7, 267–8
- operational concept (OpsCon), 30, 48–51, 55–7, 60, 64, 74, 79, 91–2, 96, 235, 274, 276 *see also* concept of operations (ConOps)
- operator, 6, 31, 40, 49, 52, 56, 69, 77, 86, 88–9, 92, 95–7, 99, 170, 181–2, 185, 223, 226–7, 229, 232, 233, 237–41, 264, 275, 282 *see also* stakeholder
- opportunity, 49–52, 110, 114–22, 153, 177–8
- organization/organizational, 36–39, 51, 88, 133, 137, 139–40, 145, 163–5, 176–8, 209, 264 *see also* enterprise

- peer reviews, 76, 78, 86
- performance, ix, 14–16, 59, 63–4, 96, 99–101, 108–10, 113, 134, 155, 174, 190–193, 212–17, 222–3, 239–41, 264
- physical architecture, 64–70, 81, 195–6
- physical breakdown structure, 69, 224
- physical model, 66, 68, 75, 183–5, 188

- planning, 21, 31–32, 104–8, 120, 178, 207, 223, 241
- portfolio management, 2, 142, 144, 151–4, 177, 267–8, 277
- process, ix, 1–4, 6, 11–14, 28, 38–9, 145–9, 162–5, 199–203, 205–7, 264
- producibility, 225
- product breakdown structure (PBS), 283
- product line management (PLM), 19, 63, 68, 152–3, 160–161, 166, 170–172, 196–7, 262–4, 266
- production stage, 28–9, 31, 110, 205–7, 218–19, 224, 225–6
- professional development, 22–4, 175
- project, ix, 27, 36–9, 47, 104–14, 151–4, 165, 264
- project assessment and control, 2, 108–10, 267–8
- project planning, 2, 104–8, 267–8
- prototyping, 14–15, 30–31, 42–3, 45–6, 67, 70, 85, 101, 183, 197, 264

- qualification (system), 94, 158, 166, 220, 264
- qualification margin, 94, 264
- qualification/qualified (person), 27, 91, 98, 154–6, 239, 278
- quality assurance, 2, 135–8, 267–8
- quality management, 2, 156–8, 267–8

- recursion, 28, 32–3, 58 *see also* recursion
- reliability, 98–101, 213, 226–9
- requirements, 9–10, 28–31, 47–64, 83–7, 89–95, 124–7, 133–5, 139–44, 213, 219–20, 235, 262
- requirements analysis, 59–64, 220
- requirements verification and traceability matrix (RVTM), 84, 91, 272, 273, 282
- resilience, 22, 229–31, 236
- resource, 20, 79, 105–8, 118, 132, 149–51, 154–6, 176, 223, 264
- retirement stage, 25–9, 32, 48, 51, 101–3, 110, 121, 159, 218, 224, 235, 274, 276
- return on investment (ROI), 14–17, 22, 26, 170–172, 217, 245, 264
- reviews, 26–7, 31, 76–8, 86, 93–4, 105–9, 124, 147–9, 153, 155, 164, 236, 239
- risk, ix, 13, 22, 25–7, 30, 33–8, 56, 59, 63, 70, 74–6, 82, 85, 104, 106, 108, 113, 114–22, 125, 132–5, 142–4, 153, 162–7, 169, 174–5, 179, 185, 189–90, 197–200, 207–8, 217, 225, 228, 231–7, 239–43, 270
- risk management, 2, 33–8, 114–22, 267–8, 279

- safety, 19, 39–40, 53, 121, 231–4, 240
- scenario, 54, 56, 78, 94, 194–6, 235
- security, 10, 19, 43–5, 128–30, 174, 234–7
- sensitivity analysis, 112–13, 217
- services, 1, 10, 20, 23, 32, 79, 171–5, 177
- similarity, 86–7
- simulation, 19, 70, 76, 78, 87, 113, 180–189, 192, 223–5, 238

- software, 6, 19–20, 53, 69, 73, 79, 82, 85, 106, 177, 194–5, 200, 223, 228, 233, 262
- specialty engineering, 211–45, 261
- specification, 48, 52, 55, 57, 59, 64, 127, 141, 219–20, 224–5, 270, 280–281
- spiral, 32, 36–8
- stages, 13–14, 25–32, 93, 95, 121, 146, 162, 218, 224
- stakeholder, 9, 22–23, 25, 27–8, 30–34, 36–8, 47–78, 85, 89–96, 106, 111–19, 128, 131–2, 142, 144, 147–8, 151–3, 156–9, 165, 170–178, 180–182, 189, 195–8, 265
 - needs and requirements definition, 2, 52–7, 267–8
 - requirements, 28–31, 48, 52–7, 89–95, 280
- standards, 1, 12–13, 60, 93–4, 107, 146–9, 163–70, 186, 197–8, 210, 219, 221, 235
- state, 6, 20–21, 96, 188, 192, 228, 230–231
- supplier, 13, 93, 139–44, 165, 265
- supply, 2, 142–4, 267–8
- support stage, 25–9, 32, 48, 51, 56, 95, 97–101, 110, 123, 144, 218, 222–5, 228, 234, 274, 276
- survivability, 240
- SysML *see* Systems Modeling Language (SysML)
- system(s), 1, 5–8, 25, 265
 - analysis, 2, 74–7, 267–8
 - element, 5–8, 10, 20, 48, 58, 64–74, 77–103, 110, 113, 122–7, 134–5, 140–141, 160–161, 177, 181–8, 192–6, 198–203, 219–22, 225–6, 231, 235–8, 265
 - engineer, ix, 4, 21–5, 29–30, 52, 54–5, 70, 104, 106–7, 112, 124, 130, 139, 159, 201, 216–17, 235
 - science, 17–21
 - thinking, 17–21
- system of interest (SOI), 6–8, 10–11
- system of systems (SoS), 8–10, 13, 36, 172, 215, 229
- system requirements, 28–31, 48, 57–64, 83–7, 224, 235, 281
 - definition, 2, 57–64, 267–8
- systems engineering (SE), ix, 1, 11–13, 25, 53, 265
- systems engineering and integration team (SEIT), 200–203
- systems engineering body of knowledge (SEBoK), guide to, vii, 1, 12–13, 17, 19–20, 132, 176, 186, 284
- systems engineering management plan (SEMP), 104–8, 135, 241, 265
- systems engineering plan (SEP), 106 *see also* systems engineering management plan (SEMP)
- Systems Modeling Language (SysML), 187–8, 193–4, 199
- tailoring, ix, 1–3, 25, 105–8, 110, 145–9, 158, 162–79, 196–7, 202–3, 265, 267–8
- taxonomy, 160, 183–4, 219
- team, 11, 21–2, 33, 36–9, 69, 78, 88, 106–10, 120, 155–6, 176–7, 199–204
- technical performance measures (TPMs), 59, 74, 106–7, 131, 134–5, 265, 276, 282
- test, 86
- testing, 10, 78, 82, 85, 108, 120, 182, 227
- tools, 38, 55–6, 66, 100, 148, 150–151, 173, 188, 192
- traceability, 33, 50, 54, 56–60, 62, 64, 67, 72, 78, 80, 84, 89, 91–2, 96, 99, 114, 119, 126–7, 142, 187, 191–2, 195–6
- trade study, 25, 107, 110–14, 120, 130, 212–4, 266
- training, 22–3, 51, 77–9, 88–9, 96, 98, 100, 108, 120, 149, 151, 155, 159, 182, 202, 223, 233, 237, 239, 275
- transition, 2, 88–9, 267–8
- usability, 237–41
- utilization stage, 25–9, 32, 88, 93, 95, 110, 121, 123, 218, 222–6, 228, 234
- validation, 2, 21, 89–95, 267–8
- value, 6, 13–17, 22, 36, 99, 103, 106, 111–14, 122, 132, 145–6, 170–178, 180, 204–8, 212–17, 221, 225, 241–5, 266
- value engineering, 241–5
- Vee model, 32–6, 81, 169, 193
- verification, 2, 21, 27, 30–31, 33, 56–63, 69, 78, 81, 83–8, 267–8
- very small and micro enterprises (VSME), 179
- view, 6–8, 22, 28–9, 36, 48, 51, 55–7, 64–70, 72, 104, 117, 119, 175–6, 197, 199, 227, 262
- waste, 27, 101–2, 131, 133, 159, 173–4, 204–7, 220–221, 266
- white box, 6, 78, 194, 199, 262
- work breakdown structure (WBS), 105–7, 109, 168, 216, 243, 283

WILEY END USER LICENSE AGREEMENT

Go to www.wiley.com/go/eula to access Wiley's ebook EULA.