Huffman Coding Project

TOR 3 project Gregor Antonaz, Miha Sivka 20.5.2025

Git repo: https://github.com/GorGre14/hauffman_code

Project description

- Restrict your alphabet to 6 letters {a, b, c, d, e, f} and construct the sequence of 300 symbols e.g. abacefddcabbdedacffaeebdfcabeafbdcab
- using the following probability distribution: Pb(a)=0.05, Pb(b)=0.1, Pb(c)=0.15, Pb(d)=0.18, Pb(e)=0.22, Pb(f)=0.3
- Huffman coding assigns shorter codewords to more frequent symbols and longer ones to less frequent symbols, thereby minimizing the expected codeword length
- In this project we show Huffman coding and decoding of the stream and compute the average length of codewords
- And what happens if the probability is changed so that Pb(a)=0.3 and P(f)=0.05

Code explanation

- Node class defines nodes in the huffman tree
- Huffman_code buildes a Huffman code for the given dictionary and probabilities
- Encoding encodes the sequence with Huffman codebook
- Decoding decodes the string back to its original form
- Expected_length calculates the expected codeword length
- Averaged_realised_length computes the empirical average codeword length
- Compression_ratio estimates the compression ratio

Defining the probabilities and generating the sequence

```
ALPHABET = ["a", "b", "c", "d", "e", "f"]

def main():
    random.seed()
    p_original = dict(zip(ALPHABET, [0.05, 0.10, 0.15, 0.18, 0.22, 0.30]))
    p_shifted = dict(zip(ALPHABET, [0.30, 0.10, 0.15, 0.18, 0.22, 0.05]))

sequence = random.choices(ALPHABET, weights=[p_original[s] for s in ALPHABET], k=1000)
```

Constructing the codebook and calculating its stats

```
def run experiment(k: int, probs: Dict[Symbol, float], seq: List[Symbol], header: str):
   if k == 1:
      prob k = probs
      blocks = seq
   else:
      prob_k = \{x + y : probs[x] * probs[y]
                for x, y in itertools.product(ALPHABET, repeat=k)}
      blocks = ["".join(seq[i:i + k]) for i in range(0, len(seq), k)]
   code k = huffman_code(prob_k)
   print(f"\n{header}")
   print("\[ * len(header))
   print(f"Alphabet size
                           : {len(prob_k):>3}")
   H = entropy(prob_k)
   E_L = expected length(code_k, prob_k)
   L_bar = average_realised_length(code_k, blocks)
   ratio = compression_ratio(code_k, blocks, k)
   print(f"Entropy
                   : {H:.4f} bits")
   print(f"Expected length : {E_L:.4f} bits")
   print(f"Average length : {L_bar:.4f} bits")
   print(f"Compression ratio : {ratio:.4f}")
   return code_k, blocks
```

Encoding and decoding

```
def encode(sequence: Iterable[Symbol], codebook: Dict[Symbol, str]) -> str:
    return "".join(codebook[s] for s in sequence)

def decode(bitstring: str, codebook: Dict[Symbol, str]) -> List[Symbol]:
    rev = {v: k for k, v in codebook.items()}
    decoded: List[Symbol] = []
    w = ""
    for bit in bitstring:
        w += bit
        if w in rev:
              decoded.append(rev[w])
              w = ""
    if w:
        raise ValueError("codebook not prefix-free?")
    return decoded
```

Defining the block size and probability shift and running everything

Output

```
Block length k = 1 (optimal code)
Alphabet size
                    6
Entropy
          : 2.4058 bits
Expected length : 2.4500 bits
Average length
                : 2.4820 bits
Compression ratio : 1.0415
Block length k = 2 (optimal code)
Alphabet size
                : 36
                : 4.8116 bits
Entropy
Expected length : 4.8456 bits
Average length
                : 4.9180 bits
Compression ratio : 1.0512
Probability shift: P(a)=0.30, P(f)=0.05
k=1 - Expected length : = 2.9500 bits,
                                         ratio = 0.8763
k=2 - Expected length : = 6.1031 bits,
                                         ratio = 0.8471
```

Discussion

- When the symbol probabilities are suddenly changed so that P(a) = 0.30 and P(f) = 0.05, but you continue using the original Huffman code (which was optimized for P(a) = 0.05 and P(f) = 0.30), the compression ratio drops significantly
- Huffman coding is optimal only when the code matches the source distribution
- The compression ratio drops to \sim 0.87, meaning the method becomes inefficient and counterproductive