

Fall 2025 Introduction to Algebra (I)

Preview Note

Department : Atmospheric Sciences Name : Guan-Hao, Chen Student ID : B11209022

Contents

0	Preliminaries	1
0.1	Basics	1
0.2	Properties of the integers	4
0.2.1	Well Ordering of \mathbb{Z}	4
0.2.2	Divides	4
0.2.3	Greatest Common Divisor (g.c.d.)	4
0.2.4	Least Common Multiple (l.c.m.)	4
0.2.5	The Division Algorithm	4
0.2.6	The Euclidean Algorithm	5
0.2.7	\mathbb{Z} -linear Combinations	5
0.2.8	Prime and Composite Numbers	6
0.2.9	The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic	6
0.2.10	Euler φ -function	7
0.3	$\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$: The integers modulo n	7
	I Group Theory	10
1	Introduction to Groups	10
1.1	Basic Axioms and Examples	10
1.2	Dihedral Groups	14
1.3	Symmetric Groups	14
1.4	Matrix Groups	14
1.5	The Quaternion Group	14
1.6	Homomorphisms and Isomorphisms	14
1.7	Group Actions	14
2	Subgroups	14

0 Preliminaries

0.1 Basics

The subset of a given set A is

$$B = \{a \in A \mid \dots (\text{conditions on } a) \dots\}$$

The *order* (or *cardinality*) of a set A will be denoted by $|A|$. If A is a finite set, the order of A is simply the number of elements of A .

The *Cartesian product* of two sets A and B is the collection $A \times B = \{(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$, of ordered pairs of elements from A and B .

The following notation for some common sets of numbers

1. **Integers:** $\mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$.
2. **Rational numbers:** $\mathbb{Q} = \{a/b \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b \neq 0\}$.
3. **Real numbers:** $\mathbb{R} = \{\text{all decimal expansions } \pm d_1 d_2 \dots d_n . a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots\}$.
4. **Complex numbers:** $\mathbb{C} = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}, i^2 = -1\}$.
5. \mathbb{Z}^+ , \mathbb{Q}^+ and \mathbb{R}^+ will denote the positive (nonzero) elements in \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R} , respectively.

The notation $f : A \rightarrow B$ or $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ to denote a *function* (or *map*) f from A to B , and the value of f at a is denoted by $f(a)$. The set A is called the *domain* of f and B is called the *codomain* of f .

The notation $f : a \mapsto b$ or $a \mapsto b$ if f is understood indicates that $f(a) = b$, i.e., the function is being specified on *elements*. If the function f is not specified on elements, it is important in general to check that f is *well defined*, i.e., is unambiguously determined.

The set

$$f(A) = \{b \in B \mid b = f(a), \text{ for some } a \in A\}$$

is a subset of B , called the *range* or *image* of f (or the *image* of A under f).

For each subset C of B , the set

$$f^{-1}(C) = \{a \in A \mid f(a) \in C\}$$

consisting of the elements of A mapping into C under f is called the *preimage* or *inverse image* of C under f . For each $b \in B$, the preimage of $\{b\}$ under f is called the *fiber* of f over b . Note that f^{-1} is not in general a function and that the fibers of f generally contain many elements since there may be many elements of A mapping to the element b .

If $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow C$, then the composite map $g \circ f : A \rightarrow C$ is defined by

$$(g \circ f)(a) = g(f(a))$$

Definition 0.1-1 Let $f : A \rightarrow B$

1. f is *injective* or is an *injection* if whenever $a_1 \neq a_2$, then $f(a_1) \neq f(a_2)$.
2. f is *surjective* or is a *surjection* if for all $b \in B$, there is some $a \in A$ such that $f(a) = b$.
3. f is *bijective* or is a *bijection* if it is both injective and surjective. If such a bijection f exists from A to B , we say A and B are in *bijective correspondence*.
4. f has a *left inverse* if there is a function $g : B \rightarrow A$ such that $g \circ f : A \rightarrow A$ is the identity map on A , i.e., $(g \circ f)(a) = a$ for all $a \in A$.
5. f has a *right inverse* if there is a function $h : B \rightarrow A$ such that $f \circ h : B \rightarrow B$ is the identity map on B , i.e., $(f \circ h)(b) = b$ for all $b \in B$.

Proposition 0.1-1 Let $f : A \rightarrow B$

1. The map f is injective if and only if f has a left inverse.
2. The map f is surjective if and only if f has a right inverse.
3. The map f is a bijection if and only if there exists $g : B \rightarrow A$ such that $f \circ g$ is the identity map on B and $g \circ f$ is the identity map on A .
4. If A and B are finite sets with the same number of elements (i.e., $|A| = |B|$), then $f : A \rightarrow B$ is bijective if and only if f is injective if and only if f is surjective.

Proof.

1. (\Rightarrow) Suppose f is injective. Notice that if $b \in f(A)$ then there is a unique $a \in A$ such that $f(a) = b$. Choose any $a_0 \in A$, and define $g : B \rightarrow A$ by

$$g(b) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } b \in f(A) \\ a_0 & \text{if } b \notin f(A) \end{cases}$$

Then $(g \circ f)(a) = a$ for all $a \in A$, so g is a left inverse of f .

(\Leftarrow) Suppose f has a left inverse g , and that $f(a) = f(b)$. Then $g(f(a)) = g(f(b))$, and since $g \circ f : A \rightarrow A$, we have $a = b$, which shows f is injective.

2. (\Rightarrow) Suppose f is surjective. Then every $b \in B$ is in the image of f , so for each $b \in B$ pick an element $g(b) \in A$ such that $f(g(b)) = b$. Then g is a right inverse of f .

(\Leftarrow) Suppose f has a right inverse g and let $b \in B$. Then $f(g(b)) = b$ as $f \circ g : B \rightarrow B$. This shows $b \in f(A)$, so $f(A) = B$ and f is surjective.

3. (\Rightarrow) Suppose f is a bijection, then f is injective and surjective by definition. By part 1. there exists a left inverse $g : B \rightarrow A$ such that $g \circ f : A \rightarrow A$, and by part 2. there exists a right inverse $g : B \rightarrow A$ such that $f \circ g : B \rightarrow B$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose there exists $g : B \rightarrow A$ such that $f \circ g : B \rightarrow B$ and $g \circ f : A \rightarrow A$. Then by part 1., f is surjective, and by part 2., f is injective. Then f is a bijection.

4. **Claim:**

- (1) If $f : A \rightarrow B$ is injective, then $|A| \leq |B|$.
- (2) If $f : A \rightarrow B$ is surjective, then $|A| \geq |B|$.
- (3) If $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a bijection, then $|A| = |B|$.

proof. Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\}$ has m elements.

- (1) $\{f(a_1), f(a_2), \dots, f(a_m)\}$ is a subset of B , because f is injective, $|A| = m \leq |B|$.
- (2) $\{f(a_1), f(a_2), \dots, f(a_m)\} = B$ has at most m different elements because f is surjective, $|A| = m \geq |B|$.
- (3) This follows from (1) and (2), since $|A| \leq |B|$ and $|A| \geq |B|$, we have $|A| = |B|$.

The situation of part 3. of **Proposition 0.1-1**, the map g is necessarily unique and we shall say g is the *2-sided inverse* (or *inverse*) of f .

A *permutation* of a set A is simply a bijection from A to itself.

If $A \subseteq B$ and $f : B \rightarrow C$, we denote the *restriction* of f to A by $f|_A$.

If $A \subseteq B$ and $g : A \rightarrow C$ and there is a function $f : B \rightarrow C$ such that $f|_A = g$, we shall say f is an *extension* of g to B .

Definition 0.1-2 Let A be a nonempty set.

- 1. A *binary relation* on a set A is a subset R of $A \times A$ and we write $a \sim b$ if $(a, b) \in R$.
- 2. The relation \sim on A is said to be:
 - (a) *reflexive* if $a \sim a$, for all $a \in A$
 - (b) *symmetric* if $a \sim b$ implies $b \sim a$ for all $a, b \in A$
 - (c) *transitive* if $a \sim b$ and $b \sim c$ implies $a \sim c$ for all $a, b, c \in A$

A relation is an *equivalence relation* if it is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

- 3. If \sim defines an equivalence relation on A , then the *equivalence class* of $a \in A$ is defined to be $\{x \in A \mid x \sim a\}$. Elements of the equivalence class of a are said to be *equivalent* to a . If C is an equivalence class, any element of C is called a *representative* of the class C .
- 4. A *partition* of A is any collection $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ of nonempty subsets of A (I some indexing set) such that
 - (a) $A = \cup_{i \in I} A_i$
 - (b) $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for all $i, j \in I$ with $i \neq j$, i.e., A is the disjoint union of the sets in the partition.

Proposition 0.1-2 Let A be a nonempty set.

1. If \sim defines an equivalence relation on A , then the set of equivalence classes of \sim form a partition of A .
2. If $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a partition of A , then there is an equivalence relation on A whose equivalence classes are precisely the sets A_i , $i \in I$.

Proof. [Link](#)

0.2 Properties of the integers

0.2.1 Well Ordering of \mathbb{Z}

If A is any nonempty subset of \mathbb{Z}^+ , there is some element $m \in A$ such that $m \leq a$, for all $a \in A$ (m is call a *minimal element* of A).

0.2.2 Divides

If $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a \neq 0$, we say a *divides* b if there is an element $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $b = ac$. In this case, we write $a \mid b$; if a does not divide b , we write $a \nmid b$.

0.2.3 Greatest Common Divisor (g.c.d.)

If $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, there is a unique positive integer d , called the *greatest common divisor* of a and b (or g.c.d. of a and b), satisfying:

- (1) $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$ (d is a common divisor of a and b)
- (2) If $e \mid a$ and $e \mid b$, then $e \leq d$ (d is the greatest such divisor)

The g.c.d. of a and b will be denoted by (a, b) (or $\gcd(a, b)$). If $(a, b) = 1$, we say that a and b are *relatively prime*.

0.2.4 Least Common Multiple (l.c.m.)

If $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, there is a unique positive integer l , called the *least common multiple* of a and b (or l.c.m. of a and b), satisfying:

- (1) $a \mid l$ and $b \mid l$ (l is a common multiple of a and b)
- (2) If $a \mid m$ and $b \mid m$, then $l \leq m$ (l is the least such multiple)

The l.c.m. of a and b will be denoted by $[a, b]$ (or $\text{lcm}(a, b)$). The connection between the g.c.d. d and the l.c.m. l of two integers a and b is given by $dl = ab$.

0.2.5 The Division Algorithm

If $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, then there exist unique $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$a = qb + r \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq r < |b|$$

where q is the *quotient* and r is the *remainder*.

0.2.6 The Euclidean Algorithm

If $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, then we obtain a sequence of quotients and remainders

$$a = q_0b + r_0 \quad (0)$$

$$b = q_1r_0 + r_1 \quad (1)$$

$$r_0 = q_2r_1 + r_2 \quad (2)$$

$$r_1 = q_3r_2 + r_3 \quad (3)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$r_{n-2} = q_nr_{n-1} + r_n \quad (n)$$

$$r_{n-1} = q_{n+1}r_n \quad (n+1)$$

where r_n is the last nonzero remainder. Such an r_n exists since $|b| > |r_0| > |r_1| > \cdots > |r_n|$ is a decreasing sequence of strictly positive integers if the remainders are nonzero and such a sequence cannot continue indefinitely. Then r_n is the g.c.d. (a, b) of a and b .

Example 0.2.6-1 Find the g.c.d. of $a = 57970$ and $b = 10353$.

Sol. Applying the Euclidean algorithm, we have

$$57970 = (5)10353 + 6205$$

$$10353 = (1)6205 + 4148$$

$$6205 = (1)4148 + 2057$$

$$4148 = (2)2057 + 34$$

$$2057 = (60)34 + 17$$

$$34 = (2)17$$

Thus, the g.c.d. of 57970 and 10353 is $(57970, 10353) = 17$.

0.2.7 \mathbb{Z} -linear Combinations

One consequence of the Euclidean Algorithm which we shall use regularly is the following: if $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, then there exist $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$(a, b) = ax + by$$

that is, *the g.c.d. of a and b is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of a and b* . This follows by recursively writing the element r_n in the Euclidean Algorithm in terms of the previous remainders (namely, use equation (n) above to solve for $r_n = r_{n-2} - q_nr_{n-1}$ in terms of the remainders r_{n-1} and r_{n-2} , then use equation $(n-1)$ to write r_n in terms of the remainders r_{n-2} and r_{n-3} , etc., eventually writing r_n in terms of a and b).

Example 0.2.7-1 Use the Euclidean Algorithm to find integers x, y such that

$$(57970, 10353) = 57970x + 10353y$$

Sol. Based on **Example 0.2.6-1** we know that $(57970, 10353) = 17$. Start from the fifth equation in the Euclidean Algorithm,

$$\begin{aligned} 17 &= 2057 - 60 \cdot 34 \\ &= 2057 - 60 \cdot (4148 - (2)2057) = 121 \cdot 2057 - 60 \cdot 4148 \\ &= 121 \cdot (6205 - (1)4148) - 60 \cdot 4148 = 121 \cdot 6205 - 181 \cdot 4148 \\ &= 121 \cdot 6205 - 181 \cdot (10353 - (1)6205) = 302 \cdot 6205 - 181 \cdot 10353 \\ &= 302 \cdot (57970 - (5)10353) - 181 \cdot 10353 \\ &= 302 \cdot 57970 + (-1691) \cdot 10353 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $x = 302$ and $y = -1691$ is a solution of $(57970, 10353) = 57970x + 10353y$.

0.2.8 Prime and Composite Numbers

An element p of \mathbb{Z}^+ is called a *prime* if $p > 1$ and the only positive divisors of p are 1 and p . An integer $n > 1$ which is not prime is called *composite*.

0.2.9 The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

If $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n > 1$, then n can be factored uniquely into the product of primes, i.e., there are distinct primes p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s and positive integers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_s$ such that

$$n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_s^{\alpha_s}$$

This factorization is unique in the sense that if q_1, q_2, \dots, q_t are any distinct primes and positive integers $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_t$ such that

$$n = q_1^{\beta_1} q_2^{\beta_2} \dots q_t^{\beta_t}$$

then $s = t$ and if we arrange the two sets of primes in increasing order, then $q_i = p_i$ and $\alpha_i = \beta_i$ $1 \leq i \leq s$.

Suppose the positive integers a and b are expressed as products of prime powers:

$$a = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_s^{\alpha_s} \quad b = p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} \dots p_s^{\beta_s}$$

where p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s are distinct and the exponents are ≥ 0 (we allow the exponents to be 0 here, so that the products are taken over the same set of primes – the exponent will be 0 if that prime is not actually a divisor). Then the g.c.d. of a and b is

$$(a, b) = p_1^{\min\{\alpha_1, \beta_1\}} p_2^{\min\{\alpha_2, \beta_2\}} \dots p_s^{\min\{\alpha_s, \beta_s\}}$$

and the l.c.m. of a and b is

$$[a, b] = p_1^{\max\{\alpha_1, \beta_1\}} p_2^{\max\{\alpha_2, \beta_2\}} \dots p_s^{\max\{\alpha_s, \beta_s\}}$$

0.2.10 Euler φ -function

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let $\varphi(n)$ be the number of positive integers $a \leq n$ with a relatively prime to n , i.e., $(a, n) = 1$. For example, $\varphi(12) = 4$ since the positive integers 1, 5, 7, 11 are the only positive integers less than or equal to 12 which have no factors in common with 12. For prime p , $\varphi(p) = p - 1$, and more generally, for all $a \geq 1$ we have the formula

$$\varphi(p^a) = p^a - p^{a-1} = p^{a-1}(p - 1)$$

The function φ is *multiplicative* in the sense that

$$\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b) \quad \text{if } (a, b) = 1$$

(note that it is important here that a and b be relatively prime). Together with the formula above this gives a general formula for the values of φ : if $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_s^{\alpha_s}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(n) &= \varphi(p_1^{\alpha_1})\varphi(p_2^{\alpha_2}) \dots \varphi(p_s^{\alpha_s}) \\ &= p_1^{\alpha_1-1}(p_1 - 1)p_2^{\alpha_2-1}(p_2 - 1) \dots p_s^{\alpha_s-1}(p_s - 1) \end{aligned}$$

Example 0.2.10-1 Find the value of $\varphi(36)$.

Sol. The prime factorization of 36 is $36 = 2^2 \cdot 3^2$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(36) &= \varphi(2^2)\varphi(3^2) \\ &= 2^{2-1}(2 - 1)3^{2-1}(3 - 1) \\ &= 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 = 12 \end{aligned}$$

0.3 $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$: The integers modulo n

Let n be a fixed positive integer. Define a relation on \mathbb{Z} by

$$a \sim b \quad \text{if and only if} \quad n \mid (a - b)$$

Clearly $a \sim a$, and $a \sim b$ implies $b \sim a$ for any integers a and b , so this relation is trivially reflexive and symmetric. If $a \sim b$ and $b \sim c$, then n divides $a - b$ and n divides $b - c$, so n also divides the sum of these two integers, i.e., n divides $(a - b) + (b - c) = a - c$, so $a \sim c$ and the relation is transitive. Hence, this is an equivalence relation. Write $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ (read: a is *congruent* to b mod n) if $a \sim b$. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we shall denote the equivalence class of a by \bar{a} – this is called the *congruent class* or *residue class* of a mod n and consists of the integers which differ from a by an integral multiple of n , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{a} &= \{a + kn \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &= \{a, a \pm n, a \pm 2n, a \pm 3n, \dots\} \end{aligned}$$

There are precisely n distinct equivalence classes mod n , namely

$$\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \dots, \overline{n-1}$$

determined by the possible remainders after division by n , and this residue classes partition the integers \mathbb{Z} . the set of equivalence classes under this equivalence relation will be denoted by $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, and called the *integers modulo n* (or the *integers mod n*).

The process of finding the equivalence class mod n of some integer a is often referred to as *reducing a mod n* . This terminology also frequently refers to finding the smallest nonnegative integer congruent to a mod n (the *least residue* of a mod n).

Definition 0.3-1 We can define an addition and a multiplication for the elements of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, defining *modular arithmetic* as follows: for $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, define their sum and product by

$$\bar{a} + \bar{b} = \overline{a + b} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} = \overline{ab}$$

Given any two elements \bar{a} and \bar{b} in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, to compute their sum (respectively, their product) take *any representative* integer a in the *class* \bar{a} and *any representative* integer b in the *class* \bar{b} , and add (respectively, multiply) the integers a and b as usual in \mathbb{Z} , and then take the equivalence class containing the result.

Theorem 0.3-1 The operations of addition and multiplication on $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ defined in **Definition 0.3-1** are both well defined, that is, they do not depend on the choices of representatives for the classes involved. More precisely, if $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\bar{a}_1 = \bar{b}_1$ and $\bar{a}_2 = \bar{b}_2$, then $\overline{a_1 + a_2} = \overline{b_1 + b_2}$ and $\overline{a_1 a_2} = \overline{b_1 b_2}$, i.e., if

$$a_1 \equiv b_1 \pmod{n} \quad \text{and} \quad a_2 \equiv b_2 \pmod{n}$$

then

$$a_1 + a_2 \equiv b_1 + b_2 \pmod{n} \quad \text{and} \quad a_1 a_2 \equiv b_1 b_2 \pmod{n}$$

Proof. Suppose $a_1 \equiv b_1 \pmod{n}$, i.e., $a_1 - b_1$ is divisible by n . Then $a_1 = b_1 + sn$ for some integer s . Similarly, $a_2 \equiv b_2 \pmod{n}$ means $a_2 = b_2 + tn$ for some integer t . Then $a_1 + a_2 = (b_1 + b_2) + (s + t)n$, so $a_1 + a_2 \equiv b_1 + b_2 \pmod{n}$, which shows that the sum of the residue classes is independent of the representatives chosen.

Similarly, $a_1 a_2 = (b_1 + sn)(b_2 + tn) = b_1 b_2 + (b_1 t + b_2 s + stn)n$, so $a_1 a_2 \equiv b_1 b_2 \pmod{n}$, and so the product of the residue classes is also independent of the representatives chosen.

Example 0.3-1 Find the last two digits in the number 2^{1000} .

Sol. First observe that the last two digits give the remainder of 2^{1000} after we divided by 100, so we are interested in the residue class mod 100 containing 2^{1000} . We compute $2^{10} = 1024 \equiv 24 \pmod{100}$, so then $2^{20} = (2^{10})^2 \equiv 24^2 = 576 \equiv 76 \pmod{100}$. Then $2^{40} = (2^{20})^2 \equiv 76^2 = 5776 \equiv 76 \pmod{100}$. Similarly, $2^{80} \equiv 2^{160} \equiv 2^{320} \equiv 2^{640} \equiv 76 \pmod{100}$. Finally, $2^{1000} = 2^{640} \cdot 2^{320} \cdot 2^{40} \equiv 76 \cdot 76 \cdot 76 \equiv 76 \pmod{100}$. Thus, the last two digits of 2^{1000} are 76.

An important subset of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ consists of the collection of residue classes which have a multiplicative inverse in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$:

$$(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \mid \text{there exists } \bar{c} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \text{ with } \bar{a} \cdot \bar{c} = \bar{1}\}$$

Proposition 0.3-1

$$(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \mid (a, n) = 1\}$$

Proof. It is easy to see that if any representative of \bar{a} is relatively prime to n , then all representatives are relatively prime to n , so that the set on the right in the proposition is well defined.

If a is an integer relatively prime to n , then the Euclidean Algorithm produces integers x and y satisfying $ax + ny = 1$, hence $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, so that \bar{x} is the multiplicative inverse of \bar{a} in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. This gives an efficient method for computing multiplicative inverses in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

Example 0.3-2 Find the multiplicative inverse of $\overline{17}$ in $\mathbb{Z}/60\mathbb{Z}$.

Sol. Suppose $n = 60$ and $a = 17$. Applying the Euclidean Algorithm, we obtain

$$60 = (3)17 + 9$$

$$17 = (1)9 + 8$$

$$9 = (1)8 + 1$$

$$8 = (8)1$$

so that a and n are relatively prime, and $(-7)17 + 2 \cdot 60 = 1$. Hence, $\overline{-7} = \overline{53}$ is the multiplicative inverse of $\overline{17}$ in $\mathbb{Z}/60\mathbb{Z}$.

Part I

Group Theory

1 Introduction to Groups

1.1 Basic Axioms and Examples

Definition 1.1-1

1. A *binary operation* \star on a set G is a function $\star : G \times G \rightarrow G$. For any $a, b \in G$, we shall write $a \star b$ for $\star(a, b)$.
2. A binary operation \star on a set G is *associative* if for all $a, b, c \in G$, we have $a \star (b \star c) = (a \star b) \star c$.
3. If \star is a binary operation on a set G , we say elements a and b of G *commute* if $a \star b = b \star a$. We say \star (or G) is *commutative* if for all $a, b \in G$, we have $a \star b = b \star a$.

Example 1.1-1

1. $+$ (usual addition) is a commutative binary operation on \mathbb{Z} (or on $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ respectively).
2. \times (usual multiplication) is a commutative binary operation on \mathbb{Z} (or on $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ respectively).
3. $-$ (usual subtraction) is noncommutative binary operation on \mathbb{Z} , where $-(a, b) = a - b$. It is not a binary operation on \mathbb{Z}^+ (nor $\mathbb{Q}^+, \mathbb{R}^+$) (e.g. $-(1, 2) = 1 - 2 = -1 \notin \mathbb{Z}^+$).
4. Taking the vector cross-product of two vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 is a binary operation which is not associative and not commutative. For example,
 - (1) $\mathbf{u} = (1, 2, 3), \mathbf{v} = (4, 5, 6) \in \mathbb{R}^3, \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v} = (-3, 6, -3)$
 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$
 - (2) $\mathbf{u} = (1, 2, 3), \mathbf{v} = (4, 5, 6) \in \mathbb{R}^3, \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v} = (-3, 6, -3), \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{u} = (3, -6, 3)$
 \Rightarrow it is not commutative.
 - (3) $\mathbf{u} = (1, 2, 3), \mathbf{v} = (4, 5, 6), \mathbf{w} = (7, 8, 9) \in \mathbb{R}^3,$
 $(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) \times \mathbf{w} = (-3, 6, -3) \times (7, 8, 9) = (78, 6, -66)$
 $\mathbf{u} \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w}) = (1, 2, 3) \times (-3, 6, -3) = (-24, -6, 12)$

Suppose that \star is a binary operation on a set G , and H is a subset of G . If the restriction of \star to H is a binary operation on H ($\forall a, b \in H, a \star b \in H$), we say that H is *closed* under \star .

Observe that if \star is an associative (respectively, commutative) binary operation on a set G , and \star restricted to some subset H of G is a binary operation on H , then \star is automatically associative (respectively, commutative) on H as well.

Definition 1.1-2

1. A *group* is an ordered pair (G, \star) where G is a set and \star is a binary operation on G satisfying the following axioms:
 - (i) **Associative:** $(a \star b) \star c = a \star (b \star c)$, for all $a, b, c \in G$.
 - (ii) **Identity:** There exists an element $e \in G$ such that $e \star a = a \star e = a$ for all $a \in G$.
 - (iii) **Inverses:** For each $a \in G$, there exists $a^{-1} \in G$ such that $a \star a^{-1} = a^{-1} \star a = e$.
2. The group (G, \star) is called *abelian* (or *commutative*) if $a \star b = b \star a$ for all $a, b \in G$.

We say G is a *finite group* if in addition G is a finite set.

Note that the axiom (ii) ensures that a group is always nonempty.

Example 1.1-2

1. $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ and \mathbb{C} are groups under $+$ with $e = 0$ and $a^{-1} = -a$ for all a .
2. $\mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{Q}^+, \mathbb{R}^+$ are groups under \times with $e = 1$ and $a^{-1} = \frac{1}{a}$.
3. The axioms for a vector space V which specify that $(V, +)$ is an abelian group.
4. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is an abelian group under the operation $+$ with the identity element $\bar{0}$ and the inverse of \bar{a} is $\overline{-a}$.
5. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, the set $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ of equivalence classes \bar{a} which have multiplicative inverses mod n is an abelian group under multiplication with the identity element $\bar{1}$.

If (A, \star) and (B, \diamond) are groups, we can find a new group $A \times B$, called the *direct product*, whose elements are those in the Cartesian product

$$A \times B = \{(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$$

and whose operation is defined componentwise:

$$(a_1, b_1)(a_2, b_2) = (a_1 \star a_2, b_1 \diamond b_2)$$

Proposition 1.1-1 If G is a group under the operation \star , then

1. The identity of G is unique.
2. For each $a \in G$, a^{-1} is uniquely determined.
3. $(a^{-1})^{-1} = a$ for all $a \in G$.
4. $(a \star b)^{-1} = (b)^{-1} \star (a)^{-1}$
5. **Generalized Associative Law:** For any $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in G$, the value of $a_1 \star a_2 \star \dots \star a_n$ is independent of how the expression is bracketed.

Proof.

1. If f and g are both identities, then by axiom (ii) of the **Definition 1.1-2**, we have $f \star g = f$ and $g \star f = g$. Thus $f = g$, and the identity is unique.

2. Assume b and c are both inverses of a , and let e be the identity of G . By axiom (iii) of the **Definition 1.1-2**, $a \star b = e$ and $c \star a = e$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 c &= c \star e && \text{(Axiom (ii))} \\
 &= c \star (a \star b) && \text{(Since } e = a \star b) \\
 &= (c \star a) \star b && \text{(Axiom (i))} \\
 &= e \star b && \text{(Since } e = c \star a) \\
 &= b && \text{(Axiom (ii))}
 \end{aligned}$$

3. The inverse of a is a^{-1} , and the inverse of a^{-1} is $(a^{-1})^{-1}$, by part 2., we know $a = (a^{-1})^{-1}$.
4. Let $c = (a \star b)^{-1}$, so by definition of c , $(a \star b) \star c = e$. By the associative law, we have

$$a \star (b \star c) = e$$

Multiply both sides on the left by a^{-1} to get

$$a^{-1} \star (a \star (b \star c)) = a^{-1} \star e$$

The associative law on the LHS and the definition of e on the RHS give

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a^{-1} \star a) \star (b \star c) &= a^{-1} \\
 e \star (b \star c) &= a^{-1} \\
 b \star c &= a^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, multiply both sides on the left by b^{-1} to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 b^{-1} \star (b \star c) &= b^{-1} \star a^{-1} \\
 (b^{-1} \star b) \star c &= b^{-1} \star a^{-1} \\
 e \star c &= b^{-1} \star a^{-1} \\
 c &= b^{-1} \star a^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(a \star b)^{-1} = b^{-1} \star a^{-1}$.

5. First show the result is true for $n = 1, 2$ and 3. Next, assume for any $k < n$ that any bracketing of a product of k elements, $b_1 \star b_2 \star \cdots \star b_k$ can be reduced (without altering the value of the product) to an expression of the form

$$b_1 \star (b_2 \star (b_3 \star (\cdots \star b_k))) \cdots$$

Now argue that an bracketing of the product $a_1 \star a_2 \star \cdots \star a_n$ must break into 2 subproducts, say $(a_1 \star a_2 \star \cdots \star a_k) \star (a_{k+1} \star a_{k+2} \star \cdots \star a_n)$, where each subproduct is bracketed in some fashion. Apply the induction assumption to each of these two subproducts and finally reduce the result to the form $a_1 \star (a_2 \star (a_3 \star (\cdots \star a_n))) \cdots$ to complete the induction.

For any group G (operation \cdot implied) and $x \in G$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ since the product $xx \dots x$ (n terms) does not depend on how it is bracketed, we shall denote it by x^n . Denote $x^{-1}x^{-1} \dots x^{-1}$ (n terms) by x^{-n} . Let $x^0 = 1$, the identity of G .

When we are dealing with specific groups, we shall use the natural (given) operation. For example, when the operation is $+$, the identity will be denoted by 0 and for any element a , the inverse a^{-1} will be written $-a$, and $a + a + \dots + a$ ($n > 0$ terms) will be written na ; $-a - a - \dots - a$ (n terms) will be written $-na$, and $0a = 0$.

Proposition 1.1-2 Let G be a group and let $a, b \in G$. The equations $ax = b$ and $ya = b$ have unique solutions for $x, y \in G$. In particular, the left and right cancellation laws hold in G , i.e.,

1. If $au = av$, then $u = v$.
2. If $ub = vb$, then $u = v$.

Proof. We can solve $ax = b$ by multiplying both sides on the left by a^{-1} and simplifying to get $x = a^{-1}b$. The uniqueness of x follows because a^{-1} is unique. Similarly, we can solve $ya = b$ by multiplying both sides on the right by a^{-1} and simplifying to get $y = ba^{-1}$. The uniqueness of y follows because a^{-1} is unique.

If $au = av$, multiply both sides on the left by a^{-1} , and simplify to get $u = v$. Similarly, if $ub = vb$, multiply both sides on the right by b^{-1} , and simplify to get $u = v$.

Definition 1.1-3 For G a group and $x \in G$ define the *order* of x to be the smallest positive integer n such that $x^n = 1$, and denote this integer by $|x|$. In this case x is said to be of order n . If no positive power of x is the identity, the order of x is defined to be infinity and x is said to be of infinite order.

Example 1.1-3

1. An element of a group has order 1 if and only if it is the identity.
2. In the additive groups \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} every nonzero element has infinite order.
3. In the multiplicative groups $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ or $\mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$ the element -1 has order 2 and all other nonidentity elements have infinite order.
4. In the additive group $\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}$, the element $\bar{6}$ has order 3 since $\bar{6} \neq \bar{0}$, $\bar{6} + \bar{6} = \bar{12} = \bar{3} \neq \bar{0}$, but $\bar{6} + \bar{6} + \bar{6} = \bar{18} = \bar{0}$, the identity in this group.
5. In the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})^\times$, the element $\bar{2}$ has order 3 since $\bar{2} \neq \bar{1}$, $\bar{2} \times \bar{2} = \bar{4} \neq \bar{1}$, but $\bar{2} \times \bar{2} \times \bar{2} = \bar{8} = \bar{1}$, the identity in this group.

Definition 1.1-4 Let $G = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n\}$ be a finite group with $g_1 = 1$. The *multiplication table* or *group table* of G is the $n \times n$ matrix whose i, j entry is the group element $g_i g_j$.

More about the group table:

1. [Group Multiplication Tables | Cayley Tables \(Abstract Algebra\)](#)
2. [Group Theory Step-by-Step: 1 - 7](#)

1.2 Dihedral Groups

For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $n \geq 3$ let D_{2n} be the set of symmetries of a regular n -gon, where a symmetry is any rigid motion of the n -gon which can be effected by taking a copy of the n -gon, moving this copy in any fashion in 3-space, and then placing the copy back on the original n -gon so it exactly covers it.

aaaaa

1.3 Symmetric Groups

1.4 Matrix Groups

1.5 The Quaternion Group

1.6 Homomorphisms and Isomorphisms

1.7 Group Actions

2 Subgroups