Deep learning
Episode 1

Deep learning whereabouts
A catch-all lecture in philosophy, tricks and frameworks



Previously on deep learning...

Feature extraction





Features would tune to your problem automatically!

Simple neural network



Trains with stochastic gradient descent!
or momentum/rmsprop/adam/...

Connectionist phrasebook

- Layer – a building block for NNs :
  - “Dense layer”: $f(x) = Wx+b$
  - “Nonlinearity layer”: $f(x) = \sigma(x)$
  - Input layer, output layer
  - A few more we gonna cover later
- Activation – layer output
  - i.e. some intermediate signal in the NN
- Backpropagation – a fancy word for “chain rule”

Backpropagation

TL;DR: backprop = chain rule*

$$\frac{\partial f(g(x))}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f(g(x))}{\partial g(x)} \cdot \frac{\partial g(x)}{\partial x}$$

Backpropagation

TL;DR: backprop = chain rule*

$$\frac{\partial f(g(x))}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f(g(x))}{\partial g(x)} \cdot \frac{\partial g(x)}{\partial x}$$

* g and x can be vectors/vectors/tensors



Backpropagation



$$\frac{\partial L(\sigma(linear_{w2,b2}(\sigma(linear_{w1,b1}(x)))))}{\partial w1} = \ldots$$

Backpropagation



$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w1} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma}.$$

Backpropagation



$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w1} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma} \cdot \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial linear_{w2,b2}} \cdot$$

Backpropagation



$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w1} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma} \cdot \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial linear_{w2,b2}} \cdot \frac{\partial linear_{w2,b2}}{\partial \sigma} \cdot$$

Backpropagation



$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w1} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma} \cdot \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial linear_{w2,b2}} \cdot \frac{\partial linear_{w2,b2}}{\partial \sigma} \cdot \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial linear_{w1,b1}} \cdot \frac{\partial linear_{w1,b1}}{\partial w1}$$

Matrix derivatives we used

$$\text{sigmoid}: \frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma(x)} \cdot [\sigma(x) \cdot (1 - \sigma(x))]$$

Works for any kind of x
(scalar, vector, matrix, tensor)

$$\text{linear over X}: \frac{\partial L}{\partial W \times X + b} \times W^T$$

$$\text{linear over W}: \frac{1}{\|X\|} \cdot X^T \times \frac{\partial L}{\partial [X \times W + b]}$$

Matrix derivatives we used

sigmoid : $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma(x)} \cdot [\sigma(x) \cdot (1 - \sigma(x))]$

Works for any kind of x
(scalar, vector, matrix, tensor)

linear over X : $\frac{\partial L}{\partial W \times X + b} \times W^T$

linear over W : $\frac{1}{\|X\|} \cdot X^T \times \frac{\partial L}{\partial [X \times W + b]}$

And now let's differentiate



- 5+ types of layers
- each with different dimensions
- parallel branches with independent losses
- several nonlinearities

And now let's differentiate



- 5+ types of layers
- each with different dimensions
- parallel branches with independent losses
- several nonlinearities

Deep learning frameworks



- 5+ types of layers
- each with different dimensions
- parallel branches with independent losses
- several nonlinearities

Deep learning frameworks

- Core idea: helps you define and train neural nets



Deep learning frameworks

- Core idea: helps you define and train neural nets



Deep learning frameworks

Layer-based frameworks:
  Same idea as in our hand-made neural net

Deep learning frameworks

Layer-based frameworks:

Same idea as in our hand-made neural net

this one - http://bit.ly/2w9kAHm



Deep learning frameworks

Caffe

```
name: "LeNet"
layer {
  name: "conv1"
  type: "Convolution"
  bottom: "data"
  top: "conv1"
  param {lr_mult: 1}
  param {lr_mult: 2}
  convolution_param {
    num_output: 20
    kernel_size: 5
    stride: 1
    weight_filler {
      type: "xavier"
    }}}
....
```

130 lines

You define model in config file by stacking layers.

Then train like this:

```
caffe train -solver
examples/mnist/lenet_solve
r.prototxt
```

Deep learning frameworks

Caffe

```
name: "LeNet"
layer {
  name: "conv1"
  type: "Convolution"
  bottom: "data"
  top: "conv1"
  param {lr_mult: 1}
  param {lr_mult: 2}
  convolution_param {
    num_output: 20
    kernel_size: 5
    stride: 1
    weight_filler {
      type: "xavier"
    }}}
....
```

130 lines

+ Easy to deploy (C++)
+ A lot of pre-trained models (model zoo)
- Model as protobuf
- Hard to build new layers
- Hard to debug

Industry standard for computer vision

Symbolic graphs

What will your CPU do when you write this?

```
a = x * y
b = a + z
c = np.sum(b)
```


This many further slides taken from Ars Ashukha @deepbayes2017

Symbolic graphs




```
a = x * y
b = a + z
c = np.sum(b)
```

Idea: let's define this graph explicitly!

This many further slides taken from Ars Ashukha @deepbayes2017

Symbolic graphs




```
a = x * y
b = a + z
c = np.sum(b)
```

+ Automatic gradients!
+ Easy to build new layers
+ We can optimize the Graph
- Graph is static during training
- Need time to compile/optimize
- Hard to debug

This many further slides taken from Ars Ashukha @deepbayes2017

60 seconds of holywar

theano **and** TensorFlow™

- Graph optimization
- Numpy-like interface
- Great for RNNs

- Inconvenient randomness

- Worse multi-gpu support

- Yet another argument

- Easier to deploy
- Graph visualization
- Google! (and hype)

- Worse optimization

- Sessions, graphs

- Yet another argument

Dynamic graphs

Chainer, DyNet, Pytorch

A graph is created on the fly




```
from torch.autograd import Variable

x = Variable(torch.randn(1, 10))
prev_h = Variable(torch.randn(1, 20))
W_h = Variable(torch.randn(20, 20))
W_x = Variable(torch.randn(20, 10))
```

Dynamic graphs

Chainer, DyNet, Pytorch



\+ Can change graph on the fly
\+ Can get value of any tensor at any time (easy debugging)
\- Hard to optimize graphs (especially large graphs)
\- Still early development

Researchers love them!

Dynamic graphs

Researchers love them!

Dynamic graphs

Researchers love them!

Not magic!

Don't expect deep learning to solve all your problems for free. For it won't.



https://i.warosu.org/data/sci/img/0073/62/1435656449422.png

Not magic

Book of grudges

- No core theory
  - Relies on intuitive reasoning

Not magic

Book of grudges

- No core theory
  - Relies on intuitive reasoning

- Needs tons of data
  - You need either large dataset or heavy wizardry

Not magic

Book of grudges

- No core theory
  - Relies on intuitive reasoning
- Needs tons of data
  - You need either large dataset or heavy wizardry
- Computationally heavy
  - Running on mobiles/embedded is a challenge

Not magic

Book of grudges

- No core theory
  - Relies on intuitive reasoning
- Needs tons of data
  - You need either large dataset or heavy wizardry
- Computationally heavy
  - Running on mobiles/embedded is a challenge
- Pathologically overhyped
  - People expect of it to make wonders

Deep learning is a language

Deep learning is a language

in which you can hint your model
on what you want it to learn

Deep learning is a language

Say, you train classifier on two sets of features

Raw features

High-level features

Target

Deep learning is a language

Say, you train classifier on two sets of features

Raw features

Car photo (image pixels)

High-level features

Car brand, model, age, blemishes

Car price

Target

Deep learning is a language

Naive approach



Deep learning is a language

Naive approach



Deep learning is a language

Less naïve approach



Deep learning is a language

Less naïve approach



Deep learning is a language

"Image features should be less important"
if that's what you want to say



Deep learning is a language

You have a small dataset



Deep learning is a language

You have a small dataset
and a larger dataset with similar task



Deep learning is a language

You have a small dataset
and a larger dataset with similar task



Deep learning is a language

I want to learn features for style classification that also help determine age & gender



Deep learning is a language

For images:

- “I want to classify cats regardless where they are”
- “A cat shifted by 3 pixels is still a cat”

For texts:

- “People read and write texts left to right”

In general:

- “I don't want model to trust single feature too much”
- “I want my features to be sparse”

Let's see a few more “words”

Regularization

- Neural networks overfit like nothing else.

  Gotta regularize!

- We can use L1/L2 like usual, but there's more!

Regularization

- Dropout:

"I don't my network to trust
any single neuron too much"

- Idea:

At training time, with probability **p**

multiply neurons by zero!

- Scale up the remaining neurons to keep average the same

Regularization

- Dropout:

"I don't my network to trust any single neuron too much"



The problem with deep networks

- Imagine a 100-layer network with ReLU



The problem with deep networks

- Imagine a 100-layer network with ReLU



The problem with deep networks

- Imagine a 100-layer network with ReLU
- Single gradient step…



The problem with deep networks

- Imagine a 100-layer network with ReLU
- Single gradient step…



The problem with deep networks

- Imagine a 100-layer network with ReLU
- Single gradient step...



The problem with deep networks

- Imagine a 100-layer network with ReLU
- Single gradient step…



Batch normalization

TL;DR:

- It's usually a good idea to normalize linear model inputs

    (c) Every machine learning lecturer, ever

Batch normalization

Idea:

- We normalize activation of a hidden layer

  (zero mean unit variance)

$$h_i = \frac{h_i - \mu_i}{\sqrt{\sigma_i^2}}$$

- Update μ_i, σ_i^2 with moving average while training

$$\mu_i := \alpha \cdot mean_{batch} + (1-\alpha) \cdot \mu_i$$

$$\sigma_i^2 := \alpha \cdot variance_{batch} + (1-\alpha) \cdot \sigma_i^2$$

Batch normalization

Idea:

- We normalize activation of a hidden layer

  (zero mean unit variance)

$$h_i = \frac{h_i - \mu_i}{\sqrt{\sigma_i^2}}$$

i stands for i-th neuron

- Update μ_i, σ_i^2 with moving average while training

$$\mu_i := \alpha \cdot mean_{batch} + (1-\alpha) \cdot \mu_i$$

$$\sigma_i^2 := \alpha \cdot variance_{batch} + (1-\alpha) \cdot \sigma_i^2$$

Batch normalization



Batch normalization

Good side effect #1:

- Vanishing gradient less a problem for sigmoid-like nonlinearities



Good side effect #2:

- We no longer need to train bias (+b term in Wx+b)

Weight normalization

Same problem, different solution

- Learn separate “direction” w and “length” l

$$\hat{w} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{w}{||w||} \cdot l$$

- Much simpler, but requires good init

More normalization

Layer/Instance normalization

- Like batchnorm, but normalizes over different axes

Normprop

- A special training algorithm

Self-normalizing neural networks (SELU)

More normalization

Layer/Instance normalization

- Like batchnorm, but normalizes over different axes

Normprop

- A special training algorithm

Self-normalizing neural networks (SELU)

Architectures: residual network

Problem: very deep networks are hard to train

Gradients w.r.t. first layers are volative

Architectures: residual network

Idea: let's create a shortcut for gradients

Normal layer



previous layer activation

next layer activation

$$f_{w,b}(x) = relu(W \cdot a + b)$$

Architectures: residual network

Idea: let's create a shortcut for gradients

Normal layer



previous layer activation

next layer activation

$$f_{w,b}(x) = relu(W \cdot a + b)$$

$$\nabla f_{w,b}(x) = \nabla relu(W \cdot a + b)$$

Gradients can vanish if relu < 0

Architectures: residual network

Idea: let's create a shortcut for gradients

Normal layer



$$f_{w,b}(x) = relu(W \cdot a + b)$$

Residual layer



$$f_{w,b}(x) = relu(W \cdot a + b) + X$$

Architectures: residual network

Idea: let's create a shortcut for gradients

Normal layer



$$f_{w,b}(x) = relu(W \cdot a + b)$$

$$\nabla f_{w,b}(x) = \nabla relu(W \cdot a + b)$$

Residual layer



$$f_{w,b}(x) = relu(W \cdot a + b) + X$$

???

Architectures: residual network

Idea: let's create a shortcut for gradients

Normal layer



Gradients can vanish

Residual layer



elemwise addition

Gradients won't vanish

$$f_{w,b}(x) = relu(W \cdot a + b)$$

$$\nabla f_{w,b}(x) = \nabla relu(W \cdot a + b)$$

$$f_{w,b}(x) = relu(W \cdot a + b) + X$$

$$\nabla f_{w,b}(x) = \nabla relu(W \cdot a + b) + \vec{1}$$

Loss Surfaces

Idea: https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.09913



(a) without skip connections



(b) with skip connections

TL;DR today

Didn’t like writing backward code?

TL;DR today

Didn’t like writing backward code?

Autograd (pytorch, jax, tf, etc)

Multiple types of input data?
e.g. image + tabular

TL;DR today

Didn’t like writing backward code?

Autograd (pytorch, jax, tf, etc)

Multiple types of input data?
e.g. image + tabular

Concat / add branches

Model overfits too quickly?

TL;DR today

Didn’t like writing backward code?

Autograd (pytorch, jax, tf, etc)

Multiple types of input data?
e.g. image + tabular

Concat / add branches

Model overfits too quickly?

Dropout or similar

Many layers hard to train?

TL;DR today

Didn’t like writing backward code?

Autograd (pytorch, jax, tf, etc)

Multiple types of input data?
e.g. image + tabular

Concat / add branches

Model overfits too quickly?

Dropout or similar

Many layers hard to train?

BatchNorm, Residual connections

Nuff

Coding time!